Review of inhaled iloprost for the control of pulmonary artery hypertension in children
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Abstract: In the pediatric population, pulmonary hypertension may present as an acute event in the setting of lung or cardiac pathology or as a chronic disease, mainly as idiopathic pulmonary hypertension or associated with congenital heart disease. Recently, new pharmacologic approaches have demonstrated significant efficacy in the management of adults with pulmonary arterial hypertension; these include intravenous epoprostenol, prostacyclin analogs, endothelin receptor antagonists and phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors. The same treatment strategies are currently used in children. There are only few reports of the use of inhaled iloprost in pediatrics, only one of which reported the use of chronic inhaled iloprost in a significant number of children. This report showed that 1) the acute pulmonary vasodilator response to inhaled iloprost is equivalent to that of inhaled nitric oxide; 2) acute inhalation of iloprost can induce bronchoconstriction 3) the addition of inhaled iloprost can reduce the need for intravenous prostanoid therapy in some patients; 4) most children tolerated the combination of inhaled iloprost and endothelin receptor antagonist or phosphodiesterase inhibitors; 5) Several patients had clinical deterioration during chronic inhaled iloprost therapy and required rescue therapy with intravenous prostanoids. In this review we will discuss the role of inhaled iloprost in acute and chronic pulmonary hypertension in children.
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Introduction

In the pediatric population, pulmonary hypertension may present as an acute event in the setting of lung or cardiac disease, for example after cardiopulmonary bypass for correction of congenital heart disease or associated with acute lung injury. A specific form of pediatric pulmonary hypertension is persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. But pulmonary hypertension also presents as a chronic disease in children.

Chronic pulmonary arterial hypertension is a rare and complex disease characterized by vasoconstriction and progressive remodeling of the pulmonary arterial wall leading to right ventricular failure and death. The pathologic features are similar in children and in adults but the spectrum of associated conditions, clinical presentation and factors influencing survival differ slightly. The different etiologies are all included in the revised classification of Venice, which was first mainly produced for adult patients. The most common etiologies in children after the immediate neonatal period are idiopathic, familial or associated with congenital heart disease.

Historically, pulmonary arterial hypertension carried a dismal prognosis in children less than 16 years with a median survival of 0.8 years compared to 2.8 years in adults. The role of endothelial dysfunction and the abnormal balance of vasodilator-antimitotic (prostacyclin and nitric oxide) versus vasoconstrictor-promitotic (endothelin-1) substances shown in adults are also true for the pediatric population. We have now moved from the belief of pulmonary arterial hypertension as a process driven...
by vasoconstriction only, to a concept of a disease also
characterized by proliferation and remodeling.

Recently, new pharmacologic approaches have dem-
strated significant efficacy in the management of
adults with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH); these
include intravenous eproprostenol, prostacyclin analogs
delivered subcutaneously (treprostinil) or by inhalation
(ilo prost), endothelin receptor antagonists (bosentan and
ambrisentan) and phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors
(sildenafil). The same treatment strategies are currently
used in children.2,6,15 In the late 1990s, the development of
chronic vasodilator therapy including calcium channel block-
ers for acute responders to vasodilator testing and continuous
intravenous eproprostenol for non-responders has dramati-
cally improved the outcome of children, with some children
surviving more than 10 years after diagnosis.16

However, the use of continuous intravenous epopro-
stenol in children, even if clearly efficacious, remains a
difficult approach both for the child and the parents. The
need for a permanent central line and pump and its associated
risks of infection, thrombosis and dysfunction lead to the
development of other delivery approach. In this review, we
will discuss the rationale of using inhaled iloprost in acute
and chronic pulmonary hypertension in children as well as
the potential benefit and problems of this therapy.

Iloprost

Prostacyclin is a naturally occurring prostaglandin described
more than 20 years ago to be a potent antiaggregatory and
vasodilator agent.18 Prostacyclin is primarily produced by
the endothelial cells of the vascular intima and acts through
a specific receptor-mediated activation of membrane-bound
adenylate cyclase and a consequent increase in intracellular
cyclic adenosine monophosphate.19

Iloprost is a stable prostacyclin analog, pharmacologically
similar to eproprostenol, with vasodilatory, vascular remodel-
ing and platelet inhibitory properties, but is a more stable
compound, with an elimination half-life of 20 to 30 minutes.20
Iloprost exerts its effects via prostacyclin receptors and pro-
 mote similar mechanisms to eproprostenol. The biological
effects of prostacyclin are indeed mediated by binding to a
group of receptors. The receptors for prostanooids are classi-
ﬁ ed into DP, IP, EP, FP and TP.21 There are some differences,
as different analogs seem to activate different subgroups of
receptors. Iloprost is thought to bind to IP and EP3 receptors,
but the final intracellular effect as mentioned is essentially
through the increase of cAMP via stimulation of guanulate
cyclase. The transduced biological effects are vasodilation,
inhibition of platelet activation and aggregation, inhibition
of leukocytes activation, and adhesion (anti-infl ammatory
effects) and antiproliferation. Iloprost has also shown some
de-remodeling effect in animal studies.22,23

There is extensive experience with its intravenous use in
different indications including thromboarteritis obliterans24
or Raynaud phenomenon.25 In general, the doses used are in
the range of 1 to 10 ng/kg/min compared to doses ranging
from 10 to 50 μg/kg/min for epoprostenol, but the dosages
may fluctuate.

The main problem related to the intravenous use is the
lack of selectivity for the pulmonary vascular bed and there-
fore the potential risk of systemic hypotension. To overcome
the systemic side effects, the inhaled route has been used to
obtain pulmonary selectivity similarly to inhaled nitric
oxide. The distance between the alveoli and the smooth
muscle cell is 10 μm at the most, allowing for easy transfer
of the inhaled drug. The real barrier to uptake is the vascular
adventitia itself. A prerequisite is to obtain particles that
can reach the alveoli, and for this purpose the droplet size
should range between 2.5 and 5 μm. When administered by
inhaled iloprost is selective for the pulmonary vasculature,
inducing a decrease in pulmonary vascular pressure with no
or minor effects on the systemic circulation. Moreover, it can
be delivered to only ventilated regions, avoiding the potential
increase of intrapulmonary shunts by dilating vessels in non-
ventilated areas, as seen with intravenous administration.
This preferential delivery to well-ventilated regions areas
improves ventilation perfusion mismatch.26

When prostacyclins are administered intravenously a
tachyphylaxis phenomenon may appear requiring permanent
dose escalation. This may be explained by desensitization
of the IP receptor and/or a saturation of the transporter
system.27,28 Research has shown that this leads to complete
loss of vasodilatory response to prostanoids, and this should
be kept in mind when using prostanooids treatment. This phe-
nomenon may indeed explain the failure of the drug in some
patients as well as the need of dose escalation.

Adult use of inhaled iloprost

Until recently, chronic treatment with prostacyclin analogs
in adults has required intravenous or subcutaneous
administration, with each approach limited by problems such
as line infections, thrombosis, or site pain. Previous studies
of inhaled iloprost have been performed primarily in
adult patients.29,30 In one large multicenter, randomized,
placebo-controlled trial of iloprost therapy for 3 months,
more patients demonstrated the combined endpoint of at
least a 10% improvement in the 6 minute walk distance and improvement in NYHA functional class (17% vs 5%; p < 0.01), and no deterioration or death. This trial included 203 patients with idiopathic pulmonary hypertension, or PAH occurring in association with appetite suppressant use, inoperable chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, and connective tissue disease. The 6 minute walk distance increased by 36 m in the iloprost-treated patients, and increased by 59 m in patients with idiopathic pulmonary hypertension.10

Opitz et al described the long term clinical efficacy of inhaled iloprost as first-line monotherapy in patients with idiopathic PAH. In this study, only a minority of patients could be stabilized with inhaled iloprost monotherapy during a follow-up of up to 5 years. The authors concluded that chronic iloprost appears to have a limited role in the era of multiple treatment options.

Most recently, inhaled iloprost has been studied in patients who remain symptomatic (NYHA functional class III or IV) while on stable bosentan therapy for at least 3 months. In this multicenter, randomized controlled trial, 67 patients with PAH (94% NYHA functional class III, mean baseline 6 minute walk distance 355 m) were randomized to receive inhaled iloprost or placebo. After 12 weeks, post-inhalation 6 minute walk distance improved by 30 m in the iloprost group and 4 m in the placebo group, for a placebo-adjusted difference of +26 m (p = 0.051). There were also improvements in NYHA functional class (p = 0.002), time to clinical worsening (p = 0.022) and post-inhalation mean pulmonary arterial pressure (p < 0.001), and pulmonary vascular resistance p < 0.001. When assessing carefully these results, one can notice that the predefined significance on the primary endpoint was not achieved. Moreover the clinical significance of an increase of 26 m should be questioned. However, this study showed that combination therapy of inhaled iloprost appeared to be safe and well tolerated.

Even if strong scientific data are not available, clinical experience and current study results may lead to the conclusion that inhaled iloprost may not be ideal for first-line monotherapy in chronic pulmonary hypertension. Its use in combination with other targeted therapy remains however of interest as there may be some synergism, for example with phosphodiesterase inhibitors (ie, sildenafil), assuming that the latter may keep the levels of cAMP elevated in the cell and prolong or augment the effects of iloprost.12–15 This clearly requires further clinical studies.

Inhaled iloprost was approved by the FDA in 2004 for functional class III and IV PAH, and in 2005 the indication was broadened to include add-on therapy for patients who remain symptomatic despite oral therapy with other agents.

When used chronically, inhaled iloprost may result in some side effects the most frequently reported in the adult population being flush, jaw pain, pain in lower extremities, headaches and diarrhea.

Although extensively studied in adults with PAH, less is known about the relative efficacy of inhaled iloprost in children and experience has been limited, especially for prolonged therapy. We will now review this experience.

Dose and delivery in pediatrics

As mentioned, studies to evaluate delivery system and potential doses have essentially been performed in adults and there is clearly a lack of studies in the pediatric population. These are needed urgently. But as this disease is serious and life threatening, pediatricians have tried to extrapolate from adult studies on how to use inhaled iloprost in children.

Iloprost is available as single-use vials containing 10 μg/mL either in a 1 mL or 2 mL aqueous solution. Indications approved are patients with idiopathic PAH NYHA class III in Europe (EMEA) and patients with PAH (group 1 of Venice classification) in NYHA class III and IV in the United States (FDA). For pediatrics, it is mentioned safety and efficacy has not been established.

The recommended doses are 2.5 or 5 μg of inhaled iloprost starting with the low dose followed by the 5 μg for the second inhalation. Iloprost should not be taken less than 6 times per day and up to 9 times are allowed. The maximal doses evaluated in trials were 45 μg per day.

Again no mention for specific dosages is available for pediatrics, as the drug is not approved for this population.

With regards to delivery devices, it is allowed or possible to use different devices providing that the droplets size is between 2.5 and 5 μm. In Europe, 4 devices are recommended for use: Venta-Neb™ (Nebutech Company, Elsenfeld, Germany), Halolite™, Pro-Dose™ AAD™ System, and I-Neb™ AAD™ System (all devices from Respironics Inc, Murraysville PA, USA). In the United States two devices are allowed the I-Neb™ ADD™ System and the Pro-Dose™ AAD™ System. Again no devices has been specifically tested in children.

When the drug is applied to children older than 12 years and close to an adult weight an adult dose can be used; in smaller and younger patients physicians need to extrapolate the dose to be used in children. For delivery devices the rule of droplets size between 2.5 and 5 μm is true for children also.
However, depending on the age, clearly inhalation may be more problematic but we must remember that children with asthma or cystic fibrosis learn quite easily how to inhale at a very young age, and therefore inhalation may not be a major problem.

**Chronic pulmonary hypertension**

Several case reports reported the use of aerosolized iloprost in children. One of the first clinical reports in a young patient was in 2001. A 5-year-old boy was treated with inhaled iloprost at a daily dose of 24 μg (6 times 4 μg). He showed continuous clinical improvement for three years while on therapy and avoided the use of continuous infusion of prostacyclin for this period. No side effects were reported. The use of inhaled iloprost in combination with bosentan allowed to delaying lung transplantation in an 8-year-old boy. Aerosolized iloprost was used a bridge to transplantation in young patients with cystic fibrosis. We can clearly not suggest that inhaled iloprost is beneficial in young patients with cystic fibrosis but this case report raises the possibility of using this drug in patients with increased pulmonary pressure and cystic fibrosis. A study dedicated to this particular group of patients would be of major interest.

There is currently only one study showing the effect of chronic iloprost in a significant number of pediatric patients. Ivy et al examined the acute and chronic effects of inhaled iloprost in 23 children with idiopathic PAH or PAH associated with congenital heart disease. The dose applied ranged between 2.5 μg and 10 μg with 4 to 9 inhalations a day for a total daily dose of 3.75 to 50 μg, depending of the age and weight of the patients. No definite dosing for patient was discussed and no dose-ranging effect evaluation was performed during this study. The results show that 1) the acute pulmonary vasodilator response to inhaled iloprost is equivalent to the effects of inhaled nitric oxide as measured during cardiac catheterization; 2) acute inhalation of iloprost can induce bronchoconstriction in some children, as demonstrated by cough and reductions in FEV₁ and FEF₂₅⁻₇₅ by pulmonary function tests; 3) the addition of inhaled iloprost therapy can reduce the need for intravenous prostanoid therapy in some patients; 4) most children tolerated the combination of inhaled iloprost and endothelin receptor antagonist or phosphodiesterase inhibitors. 5) Several patients had clinical deterioration during chronic inhaled iloprost therapy and required rescue therapy with intravenous prostanoids.

**Acute pulmonary hypertension**

One of the major advantages of the inhalative approach is its selectivity for the pulmonary vascular bed. This is of major importance when used in acute pulmonary hypertensive crisis with hemodynamic compromise characterized by low systemic pressure and low cardiac output. The minor effect on systemic pressure is here particularly beneficial. Moreover, its intrapulmonary selectivity may improve ventilation/perfusion mismatch and potentially oxygenation.

Inhaled iloprost was first used in patients with acute respiratory failure and increased pulmonary arterial pressure accompanied by disturbances of gas exchange, then in acute heart right failure and later effects were compared with those of inhaled nitric oxide. All these different pathologies are encountered in pediatrics and thus these approaches have also been reported in children.

Several small studies have shown the potential efficacy of inhaled iloprost in the acute pulmonary hypertension setting in pediatrics such as acute lung injury, post-cardiopulmonary bypass or in persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.

De Luca et al reported two neonates treated with inhaled iloprost for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the neonate, one with diaphragmatic hernia and one associated with an aneurysm of the vein of Galien. Both patients were refractory to inhaled nitric oxide. Dose administered was 1 μg every 4 hours. They showed improvement in oxygenation. Chotigeat et al reported one case of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the neonate that had hypoxia despite high frequency oscillation, inotropic drugs and oral sildenafil. Aerosolized iloprost was given trough the nasotracheal tube and induced significant improvement in oxygenation. Eifinger et al treated 4 preterm neonates with 2 μg/kg iloprost per dose for a total of 44 to 65 doses in total. Oxygenation improved and echocardiography showed reduction in pulmonary pressure.

We are, however, still facing the same problem with these small case studies as no dose response or dose efficacy has been reported and multiple different dosage are used which does not allow recommendations to be made for dose administration in this population. Moreover these patients were on spontaneous ventilation or intubated and ventilated with different modes of ventilation, which increases the difficulty of assessing the dose delivered to the patient.

Some studies have also presented the use of inhaled iloprost in acute pulmonary hypertension after cardiopulmonary bypass for cardiac repair. Zwissler described the selective pulmonary vasodilatation of inhaled prostacyclin in a newborn after cardiopulmonary bypass. However, no large scale or randomized study has been performed in theses setting and it would be important to better assess the role of...
this therapy in pediatric acute pulmonary hypertension and compare with the use of inhaled nitric oxide. An important aspect in acute patients is that all information on dosage is available in non-intubated patients and with delivery systems mentioned above; no real dose-finding studies or absorption studies have been conducted in intubated patients. It is not known how much drug is delivered to the patient and how much may be deposited in the nasotracheal tube for example. As such, caution should be the rule particularly in very young patients, and drug delivery in intubated patients should still be considered experimental. Studies should also aim to determine the dose and mode of delivery particularly in intubated patients.

Vasoreactivity testing

The assessment of pulmonary vascular reactivity plays an essential role in PAH. This is true for all forms of PAH to decide if, potentially, patients can be treated with calcium channel blockers in the so-called responders and also for PAH associated with congenital heart disease to decide for operability. A landmark study in adults showed that inhaled iloprost can be used for vasoreactivity testing and it was shown to be even more potent than inhaled nitric oxide. However, it may be of interest to perform a study similar to that of Sitbon to prove that acute effects of inhaled iloprost during acute testing can be translated to long term beneficial effects of calcium channel blockers. We and others have shown that the response to inhaled nitric oxide seems to depend on the degree of pulmonary vascular disease and thus may be helpful in selecting patients for surgery. We have shown that in children with PAH and congenital heart disease, both inhaled nitric oxide and aerosolized iloprost are equally effective in selectively lowering pulmonary vascular resistance, both in the pre- and post-operative period using 25 ng/kg/min of iloprost. Halliloglu showed that both aerosolized and intravenous iloprost significantly decreased in pulmonary pressure but aerosolized iloprost was more selective without decreasing systemic vascular pressure. Recently, Ivy et al showed that acute administration of inhaled iloprost lowered mean pulmonary artery pressure equivalent to the response to inhaled nitric oxide with oxygen. In this study the acute effects of inhaled iloprost were also assessed by pulmonary function prior to the initiation of chronic therapy and some patients presented significant airways reactivity.

Summary and conclusion

Inhaled iloprost has been show to combine efficacy with excellent tolerability and safety in the adult population. In several case series and case reports, similar results have been described in the pediatric population with more emphasis on acute pulmonary hypertension. The major drawbacks of this therapy remain the need for 6 to 9 inhalations a day, which if difficult in an adult is even more complicated in a very young patient. But this is not the only problem, and specific studies in the pediatric population are required. They should better define the dose for pediatric patients, if the use of the current devices to deliver iloprost in adults is also to be used in young patients. Currently strategies for further improvement that need to be studied in children include the use of controlled-released formulations (iloprost loaded liposomes) which may decrease the number of aerosol inhalations.

The current practice in children suggests that physicians choose first an oral therapy, for practical reasons mainly and, as shown by the report of Ivy, inhaled iloprost is used as add on therapy because of inadequate response to oral treatment. Significant data are still lacking and specific studies in pediatric patients are urgently needed.
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