Dear editor

Male circumcision is a very common procedure globally. Technical improvements that reduce adverse events are welcome. It has been suggested that meatal stenosis (MS) is a long-term complication of circumcision. Diagnosis of MS is usually based on a change in the elliptical shape of the meatal opening to a circular shape because of fibrosis or scarring, with visually apparent narrowing. There are, however, natural differences in meatal widths in different males, so a narrow meatus does not necessarily indicate functionally significant stenosis.

One school of thought is that MS stems from ischemia of the meatal mucosa stemming from damage to the frenular artery.1,2 The only previous evidentiary support for this hypothesis was a conference abstract.3 We therefore commend Karami et al4 for undertaking a large randomized trial comparing MS prevalence after conventional Plastibell circumcision of newborns with a modified Plastibell technique that leaves the frenulum intact. Their unstated hypothesis would appear to be that use of cautery increases the potential for more tissue reaction and thereby the potential for MS. It should be noted, however, that the Plastibell device is not designed for use with cautery. The use of cautery is an important variable, whether the frenulum is “intact” or not. Cautery results in an electrical injury that may predispose to MS.

A recent study found that MS was absent in boys with “hooded prepuce”, a condition in which the glans is completely exposed, leading the authors to conclude that chemical and mechanical traumas are not responsible for MS.5 A larger study would, however, be needed before drawing such a conclusion.

Participation rate in the study by Karami et al was not disclosed but would have fallen well short of 100% because written informed consent by parents is never that high. They also do not disclose the percentage of participants lost to follow-up over 16 months, or how this differed between each group. The method of randomization was not disclosed. If different doctors performed different proportions of the two circumcision procedures, then the outcome may be a comparison of different physicians’ results rather than a comparison of two procedures. A control group of uncircumcised boys should have been evaluated in the same manner at each time-point of follow-up (as discussed further below). The statistical test used was not disclosed, or whether adjustment was made for multiple comparisons.

They claim that MS prevalence is generally 5–20%. However, a recent meta-analysis of all studies involving 1.5 million male circumcisions (not cited by Karami et al) shows that the prevalence is closer to 1–5%.
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et al) found a summary risk estimate for MS of 0.656% (95% CI 0.435–0.911) and a median prevalence of 0.84%. This is very much lower than the prevalence of MS reported in Karami et al, which, at 16 months, reached 13.8% in their conventional Plastibell group and 18.9% in their Plastibell without frenular ligation group. In attempting to understand the reason for such extraordinarily high MS prevalence values, we note that the authors state “the calibre of the urine stream was estimated by visual inspection”. Visual inspection is, however, highly subjective, and the observers were not blinded. When visual inspection only is used, MS cases are more likely to be diagnosed, even when such cases are asymptomatic. Two MS case series have reported MS diagnosis to be incidental in 32% of 50 MS cases and in 26.6% of 120 MS cases. Most cases were asymptomatic, and obstructive uropathy was not seen.

A recent study of boys circumcised as newborns and diagnosed with MS at age 3–8 years based solely on an upward deflection of the urinary stream and a pinpoint meatus found, using a probe, that the appearance of MS was an “illusion”, arising instead from a ventral “meatal web”, which was removed by meatoplasty. It may be that, rather than MS, the appearance of MS was an “illusion”, despite the deflection of the urinary stream and a pinpoint meatus found, and obstructive uropathy was not seen. When visual inspection only is used, MS cases are more likely to be diagnosed, even when such cases are asymptomatic. Two MS case series have reported MS diagnosis to be incidental in 32% of 50 MS cases and in 26.6% of 120 MS cases. Most cases were asymptomatic, and obstructive uropathy was not seen.

Karami et al reported that the prevalence of MS was 4.9% and 5.9% in the conventional and frenular ligation groups, respectively, at 2 months, rising to 8.5% and 13.7%, respectively, at 12 months and 13.8% and 18.9%, respectively, at 16 months. However, a 2017 study monitoring MS onset by repeated visual inspection following neonatal circumcision found that most MS developed in the first 2 months after circumcision, the average time taken being 2.3 and 3.8 weeks in the two groups studied. Thirty-six (95%) of the 38 MS cases were asymptomatic.

Karami et al claim that their study was blinded, but this seems unlikely as the presence of an intact frenulum would be apparent during visual inspection. They state that “MS is extremely rare in boys who are not circumcised” and that “the risk of MS in non-circumcised boys is 10–26 times lower than circumcised boys”, citing a Danish study. However, a critical analysis of data from the latter study showed that the overall MS prevalence in ethnic Danish and other non-Muslim males (uncircumcised) was 0.121% compared with 0.99% in Muslim males (circumcised). MS prevalence as a function of circumcision status became inverted with age in that study: for age 0–9 years, OR for MS was 0.305 in uncircumcised vs. circumcised males, for age 10–19 years, OR was 0.587, for age 20–39 years, OR was 0.619, for age 40–59 years, OR was 0.878, and for age ≥60 years, OR was 1.91. It should be noted that being uncircumcised can increase MS risk because of the higher risk of penile inflammatory conditions, especially lichen sclerosis, and from urinary tract infections, each of which is more common in uncircumcised males, especially when the uncircumcised male is elderly. Having an uncircumcised group would have allowed them to monitor the higher rate of foreskin-related problems, urinary tract infections, and sepsis among uncircumcised males. Those data would support the net cost–benefit of circumcision, especially because the treatment of asymptomatic MS is likely to have no benefit. In ethnic Danish males, circumcision rate was 0.42%, and there were only four, one, and one MS cases among circumcised males aged 0–9, 10–19, and 20–36 years, respectively. These very small values led the critics to question the reliability of the HRs that were generated in the Danish study.

It is trivial to examine the meatus of the circumcised male. In uncircumcised younger males, nonretractile foreskins are common, making it difficult to visualize the meatus. A visible meatus was reported in 54%, 53%, and 39% of uncircumcised male infants and in 47% of uncircumcised boys under the age of 3 years. When visual inspection only is used, MS cases are more likely to be diagnosed among circumcised boys, especially where such cases are asymptomatic.

In the absence of a defined objective method for diagnosing MS, the risk of misdiagnosis is high. What is needed is hard data on what constitutes the normal range for meatal diameters in infants and an objective method for measuring these. Visual inspection is unacceptable as a reliable diagnostic method. Karami et al mention “symptomatic presentation” but report no MS symptoms other than subjective meatal narrowing from visual inspection. No mention is made of any of the boys in their study having MS severe enough to merit correction. If a condition causes no symptoms or self-resolves, it is a non-issue clinically. A diagnosis of MS must only be made on the basis of physiological measurements such as urine flow rate, evidence of urinary tract blockage, and kidney function studies.

After taking into account all of the above, we question the validity of the MS diagnoses in the study by Karami et al and suggest that a re-evaluation of their findings and the clinical relevance of their study is warranted. It would seem premature to conclude that “frenulum manipulation” during circumcision is a cause of MS.
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