Influence of trait anxiety, child maltreatment, and adulthood life events on depressive symptoms
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Background: Various personality traits mediate the association between childhood stress and depressive symptoms in adulthood. The aim of this study was to clarify the indirect effects of the experience of child maltreatment on depressive symptoms and appraisal of life events in adulthood through trait anxiety.

Subjects and methods: A total of 404 participants who were volunteer subjects from the community were studied using the following self-administered questionnaire surveys: Patient Health Questionnaire-9, which measures depressive symptoms; State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y, which measures trait anxiety; the Child Abuse and Trauma Scale, which measures child maltreatment; and Life Experiences Survey, which measures negative and positive appraisal of adulthood life events.

Results: Structural equation modeling demonstrated that the experience of child maltreatment increased depressive symptoms in adulthood as well as the negative appraisal of life events in adulthood through an increase in trait anxiety. Furthermore, trait anxiety affected depressive symptoms in adulthood through its influence on the negative appraisal of adulthood life events. The following indirect effect was also significant: the experience of child maltreatment increased the negative appraisal of adulthood life events via trait anxiety and subsequently influenced adult depressive symptoms.

Limitations: The subjects of this study are volunteer subjects from the community including healthy people, and hence the results may not be generalizable to major depressive patients. Recall bias should be considered when interpreting the results. Because this study is a cross-sectional study, the causality between the experience of child maltreatment and depression is not clear.

Conclusion: This study suggests that trait anxiety may play a mediating role in the influence of the experience of child maltreatment on depressive symptoms in adulthood and negative appraisal of adulthood life events.
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Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common psychiatric disorder, with a lifetime prevalence of 5%–17% of the general population.1 The most recent Global Burden of Disease Study reported that MDD is the second leading cause of years lived with disability.2 MDD is caused by the interaction of multiple factors, such as heredity, personality traits, experience of child maltreatment, parenting, and stressful adulthood life events.3–5 Previous studies pointed out that persons who were abused in childhood have a higher incidence of major depressive episodes in adulthood,4 and patients with depression experienced more harmful events in childhood than healthy controls.6
Because there is a long time between the experience of child stress and MDD in adulthood, it is assumed that there are multiple mediators between them.

Previously, we reported that affective temperament, a personality trait associated with depression, plays a major role in the effect of the experience of child maltreatment on adulthood depressive symptoms, as both a moderator and a mediator, in adult volunteer subjects from the community. In addition, affective temperament plays roles in the effects of the experience of child maltreatment on depressive symptoms and is a mediator of depressive symptoms in MDD patients. Neuroticism, which is another temperament, has been established as a risk factor for developing depression. High levels of neuroticism tend to induce the development of depressive episodes in response to stressful life events. The quality of parenting, mediated by neuroticism, affects the severity of depressive symptoms in patients with MDD. Our previous study indicated that neuroticism is also a mediator for the effects of the experience of child maltreatment and quality of parenting on adulthood depressive symptoms, as well as the negative appraisal of life events in volunteer subjects from the community. Therefore, several personality traits have been proposed as mediators for the effect of childhood stress on depression.

On the other hand, it is known that “trait anxiety” constitutes a public mental health problem. A higher therapeutic response in depressive disorders is associated with increased age, longer treatment duration, higher resilience, and lower trait anxiety. In addition, trait anxiety has been implicated as a vulnerability characteristic associated with the development of stress-induced depression. Furthermore, in cardiac surgery patients, trait anxiety mediates the effect of stress exposure on postoperative posttraumatic stress disorder and depression. Although trait anxiety is a personality trait that makes people more vulnerable to depression, it remains unclear whether trait anxiety is involved as a mediator in the effects of the experience of child maltreatment on adulthood depressive symptoms.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to clarify how three factors, namely, trait anxiety, adulthood life events, and the experience of child maltreatment, are associated with adulthood depressive symptoms in adult volunteer subjects from the community and whether the experience of child maltreatment has an indirect effect on depressive symptoms in adulthood and the appraisal of adulthood life events through trait anxiety. Consequently, we analyzed trait anxiety evaluated using the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y (STAI-Y), child maltreatment measured using the Child Abuse and Trauma Scale (CATS), adulthood life events assessed using the Life Experiences Survey (LES), and demographic characteristics in volunteer subjects from the community, and analyzed the association between the above three causative factors and depressive symptoms by structural equation modeling (SEM).

Subjects and methods

Subjects

This research was part of a larger study, conducted from January to August 2014. We recruited volunteers by flyers and word of mouth and distributed the self-administered questionnaires to 853 Japanese adult volunteers. Of the 853 volunteers, 404 subjects (47.4%; 220 men, 184 women; age 42.3±11.9 years) agreed to participate in this study and provided complete responses to the questionnaires.

Subjects completed the self-administered questionnaire anonymously. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the subjects after giving the following explanations: 1) participation in this research and replies to the questions can be freely decided by individual judgment; 2) if they do not agree to participate in this research, they will not experience any disadvantages; 3) data of this research will be managed by making all data anonymous in a format which cannot identify individuals; and 4) personal information will not be leaked to the outside. In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, this study was conducted with approval from the ethics committee of Tokyo Medical University and Hokkaido University Hospital.

Questionnaires

Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)

Spitzer et al developed the PHQ-9, which is a self-administered questionnaire for the screening of major depressive episodes and the evaluation of the severity of depressive symptoms. The Japanese version was developed and its validity was substantiated by Muramatsu et al. Major depressive episodes were diagnosed in two ways, by applying the PHQ-9 diagnostic algorithms and a summary score. This study used a summary score for evaluating the severity of depressive symptoms. Specifically, the total score (0–27 points) of nine items of depressive symptoms in the previous 2 weeks using a 4-point Likert scale for each item were calculated (0=near absence, 1=nearly every day).

State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y

The STAI-Y is a 40-item self-reported measure of transient (state) and chronic (trait) anxiety symptoms. The STAI-Y state anxiety section consists of 20 statements by which
respondents assess how they feel on a 4-point scale (1—not at all, 2—somewhat, 3—moderately so, and 4—very much so) for each statement. The STAI-Y trait anxiety section consists of 20 statements by which respondents evaluate how they feel on a 4-point scale (1—almost never, 2—sometimes, 3—often, and 4—almost always). The STAI-Y state and trait scores range from 20 to 80.

**Child Abuse and Trauma Scale**
The CATS is a 38-item self-administered questionnaire to retrospectively evaluate adverse childhood experiences. Tanabe, an author of the present manuscript, developed and validated the Japanese version of the CATS using the classical translation–back translation technique with the permission and confirmation of Dr Sanders. Participants evaluate how frequently they had a particular abusive experience during their childhood and adolescence, using a 5-point scale (0—never, 1—rarely, 2—sometimes, 3—very often, and 4—always). There are three subscales, measuring the subjective reports of the following three aspects of adverse childhood experiences: neglect/negative home atmosphere, punishment, and sexual abuse. In the present study, the average score of 38 items were calculated as the total score, and the average scores of each subscale were also calculated.

**Life Experiences Survey**
The LES is a 57-item self-administered questionnaire. The respondents state the major events that they experienced in the previous year. The Japanese version of the LES was used in this study. The respondents evaluated the intensity of the psychological impact of the event using a 7-point scale, ranging from extremely negative (−3) to extremely positive (+3). The positive change score summing the effect of positive events and the negative change score summing the effect of negative events were calculated.

**Construction of the structural equation model**
A structural equation model was constructed using the PHQ-9 scores, STAI-Y trait anxiety scores, LES positive change scores, LES negative change scores, and CATS subscale scores (Figure 1). The average subscale scores of the CATS (sexual abuse, neglect, and punishment) were the observed variables in the CATS, and the latent variable “child maltreatment” was comprised of these subscales. In this model, the experience of child maltreatment, trait anxiety, LES positive and negative change scores (positive and negative appraisal of life events) were considered to directly affect depressive symptoms in adulthood. Furthermore, the experience of child...

---

**Figure 1** Results of covariance structure analysis in the structural equation model with child maltreatment, trait anxiety (STAI-Y trait anxiety), negative and positive appraisal of life events in adulthood (LES negative and LES positive), and depressive symptoms (PHQ-9) in 404 adult volunteer subjects from the community.

**Notes:** Rectangles indicate the observed variables. The oval indicates the latent variable. Arrows with solid lines represent the statistically significant pathways, and the broken lines show the nonsignificant pathways. The numbers beside the arrows show the standardized path coefficients (minimum −1, maximum 1). ***p < 0.001.

**Abbreviations:** LES, Life Experiences Survey; STAI-Y, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y.
maltreatment was considered to indirectly influence depressive symptoms and the evaluation of life events in adulthood through trait anxiety as a potential mediating factor.

The rationale of this structural equation model was based on the temporal characteristics of the questionnaires used in this study, ie, the CATS for child maltreatment evaluates adverse experiences in the childhood and teen years; STAI-Y trait anxiety evaluates the general tendency to feel anxiety as a nonpathological personal trait; the LES evaluates life events during the previous year; and the PHQ-9 evaluates depressive symptoms in the previous 2 weeks. A previous prospective, longitudinal community study showed that the average age of onset of anxiety disorders was earlier than that of depressive disorders and that most anxiety disorders were primary disorders that substantially increase the risk for secondary depression.25

In addition, this study used the latent variable “child maltreatment” instead of the CATS total score because the intention was to clarify the subtypes of child maltreatment that are associated with depressive symptoms. Furthermore, our previous studies showed that neglect, rather than punishment and sexual abuse, is the most influential factor for MDD and depressive symptoms in adult volunteers from the community.7,9,10

Data analysis
The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and the Mann–Whitney U test (SPSS version 24, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used to calculate correlations between variables and to compare variables between two categories of demographic characteristics (eg, men vs women), respectively. Furthermore, stepwise multiple regression analysis (backward elimination) using PHQ-9 as the dependent variable was performed. Demographic characteristics and scores of the questionnaire data, age, sex, current marital status, presence of offspring, living status, years of education, employment status, comorbidity of physical disease, CATS (neglect, punishment, and sexual abuse), LES change scores (positive and negative) and STAI-Y trait anxiety scores were used as independent variables.

The structural equation model was analyzed by covariance structure analysis robust maximum likelihood estimation using Mplus version 7.3 (Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles, CA, USA). There is no absolute standard to judge the fitness of the model by covariance structure analysis. For this reason, the model was comprehensively evaluated by combining several indices of goodness-of-fit, such as root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), and comparative fit index (CFI). A CFI>0.95, a TLI>0.95, and an RMSEA<0.08 indicate an acceptable fit; and a CFI>0.97, a TLI>0.97, and an RMSEA<0.05 indicate a good fit.26 All of the coefficients were standardized and shown (with a maximum of +1 and a minimum of −1) for covariance structure analysis. A P-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference between two groups.

Results
Influence of the demographic characteristics of subjects and questionnaire data on total PHQ-9 scores
Demographic and questionnaire data on 404 subjects and their effects on total PHQ-9 scores are shown in Table 1. Age, sex, current marital status, and the presence of offspring were significantly associated with total PHQ-9 scores. On the other hand, years of education, employment status, living status, and comorbidity of physical disease were not associated with PHQ-9 summary scores. The total CATS score, average scores of the CATS subscales (neglect, punishment, and sexual abuse), STAI-Y traits and state anxiety scores, and LES negative change score significantly correlated with PHQ-9 summary scores.

Stepwise multiple regression analysis (backward elimination) of PHQ-9 summary scores
Table 2 shows the results of stepwise multiple regression analysis (backward elimination) of PHQ-9 summary scores. We chose 14 independent factors with the PHQ-9 summary score as a dependent variable. Ten independent variables were excluded from this model. Four independent variables, namely, STAI-Y trait anxiety, CATS neglect score, LES negative change score, and age significantly predicted PHQ-9 summary scores (adjusted R²=0.466, F=82.892, P<0.001). As variance inflation factors were approximately 1, multicollinearity was denied in this multiple regression analysis.

Correlation (ρ) between CATS subscale scores, LES positive and negative change scores, and STAI-Y state and trait anxiety scores
As shown in Table 1, the STAI-Y trait anxiety score, total CATS score and subscale scores, and LES negative change score were positively correlated with depressive symptoms. Furthermore, the correlation between total CATS scores
Table 1 Background characteristics, PHQ summary, CATS, STAI-Y, and LES scores of the subjects, and their correlation with and effects on PHQ-9 scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics or measures</th>
<th>Number or mean±SD</th>
<th>Correlation with PHQ-9 scores (p) or effect on PHQ-9 scores (mean±SD, U-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42.3±11.9</td>
<td>$p=0.116^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (men:women)</td>
<td>220:184</td>
<td>Men 2.9±3.6 vs women 3.7±4.1* (U-test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>15.2±2.0</td>
<td>$p=0.065$, $P=0.193$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status (employed:unemployed)</td>
<td>341:56</td>
<td>Employed 3.3±3.8 vs unemployed 3.6±4.4, $P=0.955$ (U-test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current marital status (married:single)</td>
<td>287:114</td>
<td>Married 3.0±3.7 vs single 4.0±4.1** (U-test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of offspring (yes:no)</td>
<td>270:131</td>
<td>Yes 2.9±3.6 vs no 4.0±4.2** (U-test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone (yes:no)</td>
<td>101:295</td>
<td>Yes 3.6±4.2 vs no 3.1±3.7, $P=0.357$ (U-test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities (yes:no)</td>
<td>81:319</td>
<td>Yes 3.6±3.7 vs no 3.2±3.8, $P=0.120$ (U-test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ summary score</td>
<td>3.3±3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS (average score)</td>
<td>0.04±0.22</td>
<td>$p=0.155^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>0.61±0.58</td>
<td>$p=0.331^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect</td>
<td>1.41±0.62</td>
<td>$p=0.207^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punishment</td>
<td>0.65±0.43</td>
<td>$p=0.323^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40.3±10.3</td>
<td>$p=0.547^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-Y state anxiety</td>
<td>41.7±9.8</td>
<td>$p=0.624^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-Y trait anxiety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES (change score)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>1.7±3.1</td>
<td>$p=0.328^**$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1.7±3.0</td>
<td>$p=0.054$, $P=0.275$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean±SD or numbers. $p=$Spearman rank correlation coefficient. $^*P<0.05$, $^**P<0.01$.

Abbreviations: CATS, Child Abuse and Trauma Scale; LES, Life Experiences Survey; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; STAI-Y, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y.

Table 2 Results of stepwise multiple regression analysis of PHQ-9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent factors selected by stepwise regression</th>
<th>Standardized partial regression coefficient (beta)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAI-Y trait anxiety</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS neglect</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES negative</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>−0.081</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted $R^2$=0.466</td>
<td>$F=82.892$, $P&lt;0.001$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Dependent variable: PHQ-9 summary score. The 14 independent variables tested were as follows: age, sex (men=0, women=1), current marital status (single=0, married=1), presence of offspring (yes=1, no=0), living alone (yes=0, no=1), years of education, employment status (unemployed=0, employed=1), comorbidities (yes=1, no=0), CATS (neglect, punishment, and sexual abuse), LES change scores (positive and negative), and STAI-Y trait anxiety scores. $R^2$=squares of sum of multiple correlation coefficient.

Abbreviations: CATS, Child Abuse and Trauma Scale; LES, Life Experiences Survey; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; STAI-Y, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y; VIF, variance inflation factor.

and subscale scores, positive and negative change scores of LES, and STAI-Y state and trait anxiety scores were analyzed (Table 3). The STAI-Y trait anxiety score significantly correlated with total CATS score and subscale scores, and positive and negative score changes of the LES, and STAI-Y state anxiety scores.

Analysis of the structural equation model

In our structural equation model, the CATS, LES, and STAI-Y trait anxiety scores affect PHQ-9 summary scores in a complex manner, and this model was analyzed by covariance structure analysis. We treated scores of the three CATS subscales (sexual abuse, neglect, and punishment) as the observed variables, and “child maltreatment” as the latent variable (Figure 1). The goodness-of-fit of this structural equation model was good ($\chi^2$ statistic $P=0.445$, CFI=1.000, TLI=1.001, and RMSEA=0.000). This model explained 47.8% of the variability in depressive symptoms ($R^2=0.478$).

Direct effects

Child maltreatment had significant positive effects on PHQ-9 scores (severity of depressive symptoms) and STAI-Y trait anxiety scores. However, child maltreatment had no significant effect on LES negative and positive change scores. STAI-Y trait anxiety scores had significant positive effects on LES negative change scores and PHQ-9 scores, but not on LES positive change scores. Negative change scores of LES increased depressive symptoms, but positive change scores of LES did not affect depressive symptoms. Among the subscales of the CATS, the path coefficient from “child maltreatment” to neglect subscale scores was largest.

Indirect effects

Child maltreatment indirectly increased PHQ-9 scores through increasing STAI-Y trait anxiety scores (standardized...
Table 3 Correlation (\(\rho\)) between subscale scores of the CATS, the LES positive and negative scores, and the STAI-Y state and trait scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>CATS Neg</th>
<th>CATS Pun</th>
<th>CATS Sex</th>
<th>CATS total</th>
<th>LES positive</th>
<th>LES negative</th>
<th>STAI-Y state</th>
<th>STAI-Y trait</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CATS Neg</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.309**</td>
<td>0.218**</td>
<td>0.862**</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.148**</td>
<td>0.218**</td>
<td>0.312**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS Pun</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.156**</td>
<td>0.609**</td>
<td>0.262**</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.189**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS Sex</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.208**</td>
<td>0.128*</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.115*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES positive</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.122*</td>
<td>0.128*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES negative</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.101*</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-Y state</td>
<td>0.148**</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.128*</td>
<td>0.128*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-Y trait</td>
<td>0.218**</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(\rho\)=Spearman rank correlation coefficient; *\(P<0.05\), **\(P<0.01\).
Abbreviations: CATS, Child Abuse and Trauma Scale; LES, Life Experiences Survey; Neg, neglect subscale; Pun, punishment subscale; STAI-Y, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y; Sex, sexual abuse subscale.

Child maltreatment indirectly increased negative change scores of the LES through increasing the STAI-Y trait anxiety score (standardized coefficient 0.199, \(P<0.001\)). Child maltreatment indirectly increased negative change scores of the LES through increasing the STAI-Y trait anxiety score (standardized coefficient 0.199, \(P<0.001\)). Furthermore, the STAI-Y trait anxiety score indirectly increased PHQ-9 via a positive effect on negative change scores of the LES (standardized coefficient 0.096, \(P<0.001\)). Furthermore, the STAI-Y trait anxiety score indirectly increased PHQ-9 via a positive effect on negative change scores of the LES (standardized coefficient 0.096, \(P<0.001\)). Finally, child maltreatment indirectly and significantly increased the PHQ-9 summary score (standardized coefficient 0.016, \(P<0.05\)) via a positive effect on the two combined paths of STAI-Y trait anxiety and LES negative change scores. However, no indirect effect via the positive change score of the LES was observed in this model.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating that the experience of child maltreatment indirectly affects depressive symptoms through enhanced trait anxiety, a potential mediator, as well as having a direct effect. In this study, the experience of child maltreatment, trait anxiety, and negative appraisal of life events directly influenced depressive symptoms in 404 volunteer adult subjects from the community. These results suggest that trait anxiety may be a mediator for the effects of child maltreatment on depressive symptoms and the negative appraisal of life events, but not the positive appraisal of life events.

Several studies reported that experiencing child maltreatment, such as physical and sexual abuse, and total adverse childhood experiences increased trait anxiety in university students and patients with anxiety disorder.\(^{27,28}\) Moreover, we reported that childhood parental bonding affected adulthood trait anxiety indirectly through self-esteem; trait anxiety was decreased by high parental care and increased by parental overprotection, respectively.\(^{14}\) The results of earlier studies agree with the results of the present study, indicating a close association between adverse childhood experiences and trait anxiety, which is a characteristic of vulnerability and a risk factor of depression.\(^{16,17,29}\)

Neuroticism, which is a personality trait, has been established as a risk factor for developing major depression. High neuroticism tends to induce the development of depressive episodes in response to stressful life events.\(^5\) Our previous studies suggested that neuroticism is a mediator for the effects of the experience of child maltreatment and quality of parenting on depressive symptoms in adulthood and the negative appraisal of life events.\(^{12,13}\) Neuroticism shares some characteristics with trait anxiety\(^{29}\) and both are moderately and positively associated.\(^{30}\) As in the case of neuroticism, individuals with a high anxiety trait show hyper responsiveness to threatening stimuli, as well as attentional biases that facilitate the detection of threats and aversiveness.\(^{31}\) Negative life event appraisals were significantly associated with trait anxiety in this study and in the original study that developed the LES, whereas positive life event appraisals were not.\(^{20}\) The SEM results of our present study suggest that trait anxiety increases the vulnerability to stress, leading to more severe depressive symptoms.

In the present study, among the subscales of child maltreatment, a major role of neglect on depressive symptoms in adulthood was found compared with sexual abuse and punishment. In the results of multiple regression analysis of PHQ-9 summary scores in this study, neglect on CATS, but not sexual abuse or punishment, was a significant predictor of depressive symptoms. The coefficient of neglect from the latent variable of “child maltreatment” in the SEM was the largest among the three subscales of the CATS, consistent with our previous studies.\(^7,13\) Similarly, a high neglect score on the CATS significantly predicted an MDD diagnosis in the comparison between healthy controls and patients with MDD.\(^9\) Because our findings were obtained from studies using the CATS and Japanese subjects, measurement
invariance should be confirmed. However, several studies using a different abuse questionnaire (the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire) and subjects of different countries also demonstrated that emotional abuse and neglect have a closer association with depressive symptoms than other types of abuse.\textsuperscript{12,33} Hence, neglect is considered to be the most influential factor for depressive symptoms among several types of child maltreatment.

Interestingly, trait anxiety, negative life event appraisal, and their combination may play a mediating role in the effects of the experience of child maltreatment on depressive symptoms. In this study, the experience of child maltreatment showed a significant indirect effect on adulthood depressive symptoms through pathways including increased trait anxiety, increased negative life event appraisals, and both, which might reflect vulnerability to stress. A similar phenomenon was reported for neuroticism.\textsuperscript{13} Consistent with our present and previous studies, other researchers reported that an increased exposure to negative life events in adulthood partly mediates the association between childhood adversities and depression in adulthood.\textsuperscript{34}

The experience of child maltreatment induces long-lived hyperactivity of corticotropin-releasing factor systems or the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and hypofunction of the hippocampus.\textsuperscript{35,36} Interestingly, hyperactivity of the HPA axis is also reported in increased trait anxiety and may be a vulnerability factor for stress-induced depression.\textsuperscript{16,31} Amygdalar activation analyzed by functional MRI is observed in both anxiety disorders and major depression\textsuperscript{37,38} and is increased by the experience of child maltreatment and trait anxiety.\textsuperscript{39,40} These biological changes associated with child maltreatment and increased trait anxiety are suggested to be the neural basis for the onset of depression.

The experience of child maltreatment is an etiological factor for MDD. However, how child maltreatment causes MDD is unknown. Our model suggests that high trait anxiety induced by the experience of child maltreatment may increase sensitivity to stress, leading to the onset of depression. Consistent with this idea, child maltreatment is associated with the comorbidity of anxiety disorders in major depression,\textsuperscript{41} and most anxiety disorders are primary disorders that substantially increase the risk for secondary depression.\textsuperscript{25} Clinically, the psychological and social intervention for reducing trait anxiety as well as reducing experiences of child maltreatment may prevent major depression. This study proposes a clinical suggestion that we should positively evaluate the combination of child maltreatment and trait anxiety in depressive patients.

There are some limitations to this study. The first is that the subjects of this study are volunteer subjects from the community including healthy people, and hence the results may not be generalizable to MDD patients. The second limitation is that this study used self-written questionnaires that rely on the subjects’ memories. The CATS used to measure child maltreatment is a questionnaire in which subjects retrospectively recall experiences in childhood, and hence may be affected by recall bias. The third limitation is that because this study is a cross-sectional study, the causality between the experience of child maltreatment and depression is not clear. Santor et al\textsuperscript{32} showed that a personality trait was relatively stable and largely influenced by the baseline trait, but a small change in a personality trait was caused by depressive symptoms. Therefore, there is the possibility that reverse causality may be established from our data but the reversed effect might be relatively small. The fourth limitation is that 47.4\% of volunteers agreed to participate in this study and provided a complete response, which might lead to selection bias. The fifth limitation is that we did not investigate the association of medications or particular comorbidities.

**Conclusion**

The present study indicates that trait anxiety may play a mediating role in the effects of the experience of child maltreatment on depressive symptoms and the negative appraisal of life events in adulthood. Longitudinal studies are necessary to clarify temporal changes in the associations observed between these variables. These findings suggest the close association between anxiety and depression and suggest that interventions to reduce cases of child maltreatment will decrease the incidence of trait anxiety in adulthood, leading to the prevention of MDD and depressive symptoms.
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