TGFβ signaling supports survival and metastasis of endometrial cancer cells
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Abstract: The association of mutation of the transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) type II receptor (RII) with microsatellite instability revealed a significant molecular mechanism of tumorigenesis and tumor progression in gastrointestinal carcinomas with DNA replication error. However, mutation of RII is rare in other types of carcinomas with microsatellite instability including endometrial adenocarcinoma suggesting that TGFβ receptor signaling may be necessary for tumor progression. To test this hypothesis, we abrogated TGFβ signaling with ectopic expression of a dominant-negative RII (DNRII) in human endometrial carcinoma HEC-1-A cells with microsatellite instability. Our study showed that over-expression of DNRII blocked the TGFβ signaling, inhibited anchorage-dependent and -independent growth, and stimulated apoptosis in vitro. Interestingly, the expression of DNRII expression showed little effect on tumor growth of subcutaneously inoculated cells in vivo. On the other hand, the DNRII cells showed more epithelial features whereas the control cells showed more mesenchymal features suggesting a reversal of autocrine TGFβ-induced epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT). Consistent with these findings, DNRII cells were much less migratory and invasive in vitro and metastatic in vivo than the control cells. Therefore, an intact TGFβ signaling pathway appears necessary for the metastatic phenotypes of this carcinoma model.
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Introduction
Transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) superfamily cytokines regulate diverse biological functions including cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, survival and apoptosis. TGFβ exerts its effect by binding to a heteromeric complex of transmembrane serine/threonine kinase, the type I (RI) and type II (RII) receptors. Following ligand binding to the RI/RII complex, TGFβ type I receptor phosphorylates Smad2 and Smad3, the so called receptor-regulated Smads (R-Smads). Phosphorylated R-Smads form heteromeric complex with Smad4 and translocate into the nucleus to regulate TGFβ-responsive gene transcription. Multiple Smad-independent pathways have also been implicated including MAPK pathways, PI3K pathways, and RhoA.

The role of TGFβ in tumor biology is complex because it can act as both a tumor suppressor and a tumor promoter. TGFβ elicits the tumor suppressor activity by potently inhibit the proliferation of epithelial, endothelial and haematopoietic cells. However, as tumors evolve, they often evade the TGFβ growth inhibition due to mutational inactivation or dysregulated expression of various components of the TGFβ signaling pathways or cell cycle regulatory network. Several studies demonstrated that abrogation of TGFβ signaling with the expression of a dominant-negative type II receptor (DNRII) in various tumor cells can impair their motility and tumorigenicity, prevent epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and reduce their metastatic potential, suggesting that autocrine TGFβ signaling may promote tumor progression.
In contrast, DNRII expression increased the skin and prostate cancer metastasis. Clinical studies also showed the contradicting data regarding the patient outcome and loss of RII in tumor cells. All these studies suggest the effect of TGFβ RII or the effect of autocrine TGFβ activity is cell/tissue or stage specific.

Endometrial cancer is the fourth leading cancer and the eighth most common cause of cancer death in women in the United States. In 2008, an estimated 40,100 women in the United States are expected to be diagnosed with endometrial cancer, and an estimated 7,470 women are expected to die of the disease. Most deaths of the cancer are caused by metastases that are resistant to conventional therapies. Therefore, it is important to study the molecular mechanism of endometrial carcinoma metastasis in order to gain insight into better therapies. Endometrial cancer HEC-1-A cell line is TGFβ-sensitive, yet it is defective in the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes hPMS2 and hMSH6. MMR-gene deficient cells are susceptible to progressive accumulation of alterations at microsatellite loci, known as microsatellite instability or RER+ (replication error). Because human TGFβ RII coding sequence contains a microsatellite sequence, it has been shown to be mutated in colon and gastric cancer cells with defective DNA MMR and microsatellite instability. However, mutation of human TGFβ RII was found to be rare in endometrial cancer. As a RER+ endometrial cancer cell line, HEC-1-A has an operational TGFβ-signaling pathway. Because RII mutation is significantly correlated with longer survival in colon cancer patients, we hypothesized that TGFβ receptor signaling may contribute to the malignant properties of HEC-1-A cells. Our study demonstrates that abrogation of TGFβ receptor signaling with the expression of either a dominant negative RII (DNRII) or a DNRII-EGFP fusion protein in HEC-1-A cells induced apoptosis and reduced invasive and metastatic potential of the cells suggesting that autocrine TGFβ signaling promotes cell survival and tumor progression.

Materials and methods

Cell line and cell culture

Human endometrial carcinoma cell line HEC-1-A was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured in McCoy 5A medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), pyruvate, vitamins, amino acids, and antibiotics as previously described. One pair of HEC-1-A cells was infected with control pLPCX retrovirus vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) or pLPCX-DNRII, which expresses a dominant negative RII without the intracellular kinase domain as previously reported. The stably transfected cells were named as control and DNRII respectively. Another pair of HEC-1-A cells was subsequently infected with the retrovirus vector pLPCX-EGFP, which expresses the enhanced green fluorescence protein, or pLPCX-DNRII-EGFP, which expresses a fusion protein of the DNRII and EGFP. The stably transfected cells were named as control-EGFP and DNRII-EGFP, respectively. It should be noted that the two paired cell lines were produced at different times and were not used in various experiments at the same time. Therefore, even though the data from the two paired cell lines are presented together in the Result section, the data from the control and control-EGFP cannot be compared due to different assay conditions. Working cultures were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2.

Western blot and antibodies

The cells were rinsed two times with ice-cold PBS and lysed in cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1%Nonidet P-40) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (EDTA protease inhibitors, 1 mM NaVO3 and 1 mM NaF; Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Equal amounts of protein were separated on SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Corp., Louisville, CO, USA). Blots were blocked in TBST (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20) containing 5% nonfat powder milk. The membrane was then incubated with primary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C. Antibodies used were phospho-Smad2 (Ser 465/467) (Upstate Biotechnology, Waltham, MA, USA), total Smad2/3 (BD Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY, USA), PTEN (Oncogene, Cambridge, MA, USA), phospho-Erk, phospho-p38 and phospho-Akt (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). After three washes with TBST, the membrane was incubated with HRP-linked secondary antibody (1:3000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) for 1 h at room temperature and washed again. Bound complexes were detected using chemiluminescence procedures according to the manufacturer’s instructions (NEN Life Science Products, Boston, MA, USA).

Transient transfection and luciferase assay

To determine the transcription activation by autocrine TGFβ activity mediated by Smad3 and Smad4, we measured a TGFβ-responsive promoter activity using...
pSBE4-Luc construct. The promoter activity is reported by luciferase activity. Cells were plated in 12-well plates and co-transfected with the pSBE4-Luc (0.5 µg) and a β-galactosidase expression plasmid (0.1 µg) after 24 h using Fugene6 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two hours later, the cells were treated with or without TGFβ3 (1.0 ng/ml). TGFβ3 was used in the study due to its availability in our lab. It was shown to induce the same cellular responses as TGFβ in HEC-1-A cells. After another 24 h, cells were lysed and the activities of luciferase and β-galactosidase were assayed as described previously.25 Luciferase activity was normalized to β-galactosidase activity and expressed as relative luciferase activity.

Anchorage-dependent growth assays
To study the effect of blockade of autocrine TGFβ signaling on cell proliferation on plastic, two growth assays were performed. In the first assay, control-EGFP and DNRII-EGFP were plated in 96-well culture plates at 1,000 cells/well and treated with different concentrations of TGFβ3. Relative cell number in each well was determined five days after plating with MTT assay as described previously.26 In the second assay, cells were plated in 96-well plates at 1,000 cells/well and treated with or without 1.0 ng/ml TGFβ3. Relative cell number was determined with the MTT assay every 48 h after plating till day 7.

Soft agarose assay
To determine the effect of blockade of autocrine TGFβ signaling on the ability of the cell to grow anchorage-independently in a semisolid medium, soft agarose assays were performed as described previously.26 Briefly, 3 × 10⁵ cells were suspended in 1 ml of 0.4% low melting point agarose (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) dissolved in the regular culture medium and plated on the top of a 1 ml underlayer of 0.8% agarose in the same medium in six-well culture plates. After four weeks of incubation in the humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C, the cell colonies were visualized by staining with 1 ml of p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet staining (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA).

Cell death detection ELISA
DNA fragmentation was measured using the Cell Death Detection ELISA kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) which is based on detection of cytoplasmic histone-associated-DNA-fragments (mono- and oligonucleosomes). The assay was performed as described before.27

Tumorigenicity study
Exponentially growing cultures of HEC-1-A control and DNRII were inoculated subcutaneously in both sides of the rear flank of five-week-old female athymic nude mice (Harlan Sprague Dawley, Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA) at 2 × 10⁶ cells per site. When the growth of tumors was observed, each xenograft size was monitored twice a week by externally measuring tumors in two dimensions using a caliper. Xenograft volume (V) was determined by the following equation: \[ V = (L \times W^2) \times 0.5, \] where L is the length and W is the width of a xenograft. The use of nude mice for the study was approved by our Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Immuno-cytochemical staining
Cells were grown on the cover slips in 24-well plate till 80% confluence. After the cells were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, permeablized in 0.1% Triton X-100 and blocked with 1.0% bovine serum albumin, the cells were incubated with an anti-vimentin (Sigma) or anti-ε-cadherin (BD Bioscience) antibody for 1 h at room temperature. After wash, the cells were incubated with fluorescent dye-tagged secondary antibody (Alexa Fluo 594; Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in the dark for 1 h at room temperature. After wash, the stained cells were covered with a drop of mounting medium and a cover slip, sealed with nail polish and examined with a confocal fluorescence microscope.

Cell migration and invasion assay
Cell migration and invasion was determined by using the modified two-chamber migration assay (8 µm pore size; BD Biosciences) or invasion assay (membrane coated with a layer of Matrigel extracellular matrix proteins) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were seeded in serum-free medium into the upper chamber and migrated/invaded toward the bottom chamber containing a 10% FBS medium with or without 1.0 ng/ml TGFβ3 for 22 hr. Cells in the upper chamber were carefully removed using cotton buds and cells at the bottom of the membrane were fixed and stained with HEMA3 Stain Set (Fisher Scientific Company, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Quantification was performed by counting the stained cells on the entire membrane.

In vivo metastasis assay
We performed an experimental lung metastasis assay because HEC-1-A cells do not metastasize to lung from subcutaneous tumors in the nude mice and lung is a common metastatic site in patients with advanced and recurrent endometrial...
carcinoma. Exponentially growing HEC-1-A control-EGFP and DNRII-EGFP cells were injected into tail-vein of five-week-old female athymic nude mice (Harlan Sprague Dawley, Inc.) at 200,000 cells per mouse. Nine weeks later, animals were euthanized, and lungs were removed during autopsy for the detection of metastatic colonies by two methods. First, the EGFP-expressing green metastatic cancer cell colonies were identified and counted using a Nikon fluorescence microscope (TE-200; Nikon Corp., Melville, NY, USA) with a 20× objective lens (200× magnification). Then, the lung tissues were fixed in Bouin’s solution (Sigma), and the metastatic nodules on the surface of the lungs were identified and counted with the aid of a magnifier.

Statistical analysis
Student t-tests were used to determine the difference between control and experimental data.29

Results
Expression of DNRII attenuated TGFβ signaling in HEC-1-A cell
Human endometrial cancer cell line HEC-1-A is TGFβ sensitive. In this study, a dominant-negative RII (DNRII) without the cytoplasmic kinase domain was first transfected into HEC-1-A cells for the determination of the role of TGFβ signaling in their proliferation and apoptosis. Later, a EGFP or a DNRII-EGFP fusion protein was also ectopically expressed in HEC-1-A cells so that metastatic cells can be tracked in vivo. The expression of DNRII or DNRII-EGFP was detected with Western immunoblotting as shown in Figure 1A. It should be noted that because the two paired cell lines were not produced and used at the same time for various experiments, the data from the control and control-EGFP cannot be compared due to variations in assay conditions although the data from the two paired cell lines are presented in the same figure. To determine whether the expression of DNRII or DNRII-EGFP abrogated TGFβ signaling, we first compared the effect of TGFβ to induce the phosphorylation of Smad2 (P-Smad2) in the control and DNRII cells. Figure 1B shows that the expression of both DNRII and DNRII-EGFP attenuated TGFβ-induced P-Smad2 although the relative reduction of P-Smad2 was more dramatic in DNRII-EGFP cells than in DNRII cells. This is likely in part due to the lower expression level of DNRII than DNRII-EGFP as shown in Figure 1A. Consistently, the blockade of Smad phosphorylation also led to attenuated stimulation of a TGFβ-responsive promoter activity by TGFβ in the both DNRII and DNRII-EGFP expressing cells (Figures 1C, 1D). It is worth noting that in both pairs of HEC-1-A cells, the basal luciferase activity in control cells was significantly (p < 0.05) higher than that in DNRII cells indicating that the DNRII can antagonize exogenous and endogenous/autocrine TGFβ activity in HEC-1-A cells.

Autocrine TGFβ activity supported the growth of HEC-1-A cell
The growth of HEC-1-A cells was moderately inhibited by TGFβ in a dose-dependent manner by 23% at the highest TGFβ concentration (Figure 2A) and in a time-dependent
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**Figure 1** Effects of DNRII expression on TGFβ signaling in HEC-1-A cells. A) Expression of DNRII or DNRII-EGFP were detected with Western immunoblotting. GAPDH levels were used to indicate equal sample loading. B) Exponentially growing cultures of the indicated cells were treated with 1.0 ng/ml TGFβ3 for different time periods as indicated. Cell lysates were collected for measuring the levels of phosphorylated Smad2 (P-Smad2) and total Smad2/3 with Western immunoblotting as described in the Materials and methods. (C and D) The indicated cells were plated in 12-well plates and transiently transfected with pSBE4-Luc and β-gal constructs with Fugene6. The transfected cells were treated with or without 1.0 ng/ml TGFβ3. The activities of luciferase and β-gal were measured 24 h later. Luciferase activity was normalized to β-gal activity and expressed as relative luciferase unit (RLU). The results plotted represent the means ± SEM from triplicate transfections. **Notes:** *Denotes significant (P < 0.05) difference between control and its respective DNRII in the absence of exogenous TGFβ3.
manner by about 40% on day 7 (Figure 2B). Abrogation of TGFβ signaling by the expression of DNRII-EGFP abolished the growth inhibitory activity of exogenous TGFβ as shown in Figures 2A and 2B. Interestingly, DNRII-EGFP cells appeared to grow somewhat slower than control-EGFP cells. The slower growth rate of DNRII-EGFP cells was manifested when cells were plated on soft agarose. The control-EGFP cells formed larger and more colonies than the DNRII-EGFP cells did when suspended in soft agarose (Figure 2C). These results suggest that autocrine TGFβ appears to support both anchorage-dependent and anchorage-independent growth of the HEC-1-A cell.

Abrogation of autocrine TGFβ signaling induced apoptosis in HEC-1-A cell

The paradoxical observation that both exogenous TGFβ treatment and abrogation of autocrine TGFβ signaling inhibited the growth of HEC-1-A cells made us to determine whether autocrine TGFβ signaling is necessary for the cell survival as we observed in other model systems.25,27 Using the Cell Death Detection ELISA Assay, we found that both DNRII and DNRII-EGFP cells were significantly more apoptotic than their respective controls (Figures 3A, 3B). The increased cell death in the DNRII cells was associated with a decreased level of phosphorylated/activated Erk and Akt (Figure 3C), which are known to be activated by TGFβ signaling.2 This is consistent with our previous reports and those by others that autocrine TGFβ-induced activation of Erk and Akt is necessary for supporting cell survival.25,27,30 On the other hand, the levels of phosphorylated p38 and PTEN were not affected in this model system even though they have been shown to be regulated by TGFβ in other model systems27,31 indicating the specificity of the altered levels of phosphorylated Erk and Akt by the blockade of TGFβ signaling.
signalings. Taken together, these data indicate a biphasic action of TGFβ signaling in the regulation of the survival and proliferation of HEC-1-A cells.

DNRII expression had no effect on tumorigenicity in vivo

Because the expression of DNRII was able to suppress the growth and induce apoptosis in vitro, we next tested whether DNRII expression affected the in vivo tumorigenicity of the HEC-1-A cell. Exponentially growing cells of the control and DNRII cells were inoculated subcutaneously in both sides of the rear flank of athymic nude mice. Tumor size was monitored and measured externally with a caliper. All inoculated sites developed tumors in both groups. Furthermore, tumors formed by both control and DNRII cells showed a similar growth rate as shown in Figure 4. The experiment was repeated with the control-EGFP and DNRII-EGFP cells and the same results were obtained (data not shown). Thus, blockade of TGFβ signaling had no effect on tumor incidence and tumor growth rate in this model system.

DNRII expression suppressed EMT, migration, and invasion of HEC-1-A cell

Epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) is believed to contribute to cancer progression. TGFβ is known to stimulate EMT. The HEC-1-A control cells showed a low expression of E-cadherin and high expression of vimentin indicating the cells had undergone EMT (Figure 5). Interestingly, these phenotypes were reversed by the expression of DNRII suggesting that the EMT was induced by autocrine TGFβ signaling (Figure 5). Because EMT is associated with increased cell migration and invasiveness, we next examined whether the expression of DNRII attenuated the migratory and invasive potential of HEC-1-A cells. Using a modified Boyden chamber assay, we found that blockade of autocrine TGFβ signaling significantly inhibited motility of both DNRII (Figure 6A) and DNRII-EGFP (Figure 6B) cells in comparison with their respective controls. Blockade of TGFβ signaling also attenuated the invasive potential of the cells through Matrigel as shown in Figures 6C and 6D. The expression of DNRII also abrogated the stimulation of migration and invasion by exogenous TGFβ (Figures 6A–6C).

Effect of blockade of TGFβ signaling on the metastasis potential of HEC-1-A cell in vivo

The inhibition of EMT, migration, and invasion by the abrogation of TGFβ signaling suggested to us that DNRII-expressing cells might be less metastatic than the control cells. Because spontaneous distance metastasis was not observed in the mice bearing subcutaneous HEC-1-A tumors, the control-EGFP and DNRII-EGFP cells were injected intravenously through tail vein into athymic nude mice. This experimental lung metastasis assay is particularly relevant because TGFβ signaling in mammary tumor cells has been shown to promote extravasation in lung and lung metastasis is observed in endometrial cancer patients. Metastasis to lung was quantified by counting green fluorescence foci in whole lungs with a fluorescence inverted microscope as well as the number of metastatic nodules on the surface of lungs.
This experimental metastasis assay showed that three out of five animals had lung metastasis in the control-EGFP group, while two out of five animals in DNRII-EGFP group had lung metastasis. More strikingly, for the mice with lung metastasis, the metastasis colonies were much larger (Figure 7A) and metastasis. More strikingly, for the mice with lung metastasis, the metastasis colonies were much larger (Figure 7A) and metastasis. More strikingly, for the mice with lung metastasis, the metastasis colonies were much larger (Figure 7A) and metastasis. More strikingly, for the mice with lung metastasis, the metastasis colonies were much larger (Figure 7A) and metastasis. More strikingly, for the mice with lung metastasis, the metastasis colonies were much larger (Figure 7A) and metastasis. More strikingly, for the mice with lung metastasis, the A representative example is shown in panel D. Quantification was performed by counting the stained cells on each membrane. Values are means ± SME from three chambers.

**Discussion**

Mutational inactivation of TGFβ RII has been frequently observed in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma (HNPCC) cells with a defective DNA mismatch repair system and microsatellite instability. Paradoxically, RII mutation is significantly rare in endometrial carcinoma with microsatellite instability in HNPCC patients. Interestingly, RII expression was found to be positively, whereas the expression of Smad2 and Samd4 was found to be negatively, associated with the depth of myometrial invasion in endometrial cancer. On the other hand, reduced expression of TGFβ target genes was observed in rat endometrial adenocarcinoma suggesting an attenuation of TGFβ signaling during the development of the adenocarcinoma. Because the TGFβ target genes are mostly regulated via Samd pathway, their reduced expression in the rat endometrial adenocarcinoma could be due to the reduced expression of Smad proteins as observed in human endometrial carcinoma. On the other hand, TGFβ binding to its receptors is known to also activate non-Samd pathways such as Ras/MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways. Thus, the attenuation of Smad pathway may enhance the tumor-promoting activity of TGFβ through the activation of Ras/MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways. Although TGFβ signaling has been shown to play different, sometimes opposite, role in the regulation of tumor progression in different model systems, how these different effects are played out by the intracellular pathways downstream from its receptors remains to be clarified. In this study, we investigated the role of TGFβ signaling in the regulation of growth and metastasis of the human endometrial carcinoma HEC-1-A cells by targeting its signaling at the receptor level. Ectopic expression of both DNRII or DNRII-EGFP was shown effective in abrogating TGFβ signaling. Blockade of autocrine TGFβ signaling induced apoptosis and inhibited cell migration, invasion and metastatic potential. Although it is not known whether Smad proteins that mediate TGFβ signaling is down-regulated or not in HEC-1-A cells, our results suggest that in contrast to gastrointestinal tumors, TGFβ receptor signaling appears to be retained for the survival and progression of certain endometrial cancer cells such as HEC-1-A.

The tumor suppressive activity of TGFβ pathway is mainly attributed to its inhibition of cell cycle progression from G1 to S phase. Although treatment of HEC-1-A cells with exogenous TGFβ was shown to moderately inhibit cell proliferation, blockage of TGFβ signaling did not enhance cell proliferation, but induced cell apoptosis. This was apparently due to the reduced activity of both Erk and Akt pathways as both pathways have been shown previously to mediate autocrine TGFβ-induced cell survival. These observations suggest a biphasic action of TGFβ signaling in which high levels of TGFβ signaling can inhibit cell proliferation while very low signaling activity can induce cell death. Thus, while the growth potential is reduced in the DNRII cell due to increased apoptosis, whether the control cell grows at a faster, the same, or slower rate than the DNRII cell appears dependent on the concentration of extracellular TGFβ as illustrated in Figure 2A. This may explain why the control and DNRII cells formed similar size of tumors when transplanted in vivo even though the DNRII cells were
more apoptotic \textit{in vitro}, because tumorigenesis is invariably associated with increased levels of active TGF\(\beta\) isoforms in the tumor microenvironment. The increased TGF\(\beta\) signaling may inhibit the growth of control cell-formed tumors, but not the growth of DNRII cell-formed tumors resulting in a similar growth rate between the control and DNRII cell-formed tumors. On the other hand, the DNRII cell-formed tumors may be restrained by increased cell death resulting in the similar growth rate as the control tumors. Alternatively, the reason that the increased apoptosis in the DNRII cells \textit{in vitro} did not translate into slower tumor growth \textit{in vivo} may be due to gain of cell survival signal from tumor stromal cells \textit{in vivo}.

Previous studies with TGF\(\beta\) inhibitors such as soluble receptors and RI kinase inhibitors showed that systemic administration of these inhibitors had limited to no effect on the growth of orthotopic tumors in mouse mammary glands, but significantly inhibited pulmonary and skeletal metastasis.\cite{39,41} These observations are consistent with the result that blockade of TGF\(\beta\) signaling showed little effect on the tumorigenicity of HEC-1-A cells and suggest that the tumor-promoting activity of TGF\(\beta\) mainly resides in the support of metastasis, which results from increased cell migration, invasion, and survival.

Although many signaling pathways have been implicated in the regulation of cell migration and invasion, TGF\(\beta\) is known to be a major modulator of tumor cell migration\cite{1} and invasion,\cite{52} partly due to its promotion of EMT.\cite{43} It is believed that during cancer progression, EMT was associated specifically with tumor invasiveness and metastasis.\cite{64} EMT can be stabilized by autocrine TGF\(\beta\) signaling.\cite{45} Both \textit{in vitro} experiments with DNRII cell lines\cite{9,46} and \textit{in vivo} experiments with DNRII xenograft tumors\cite{10} demonstrated that DNRII prevents TGF\(\beta\)-mediated EMT. Conversely, treatment with TGF\(\beta\) rendered Ras-transformed epithelial cells fibroblastoid and invasive, and producing more endogenous TGF\(\beta\), leading to autocrine maintenance of the invasive phenotype and recruitment of additional cells to become fibroblastoid and invasive.\cite{57} A more recent report showed that DNRII expression abrogated TGF\(\beta\) mediated EMT in a transgenic mouse model.\cite{48} Consistent with these reports, our study showed that DNRII expression reversed the EMT in HEC-1-A cells. In addition, DNRII expression suppressed the cell migration and invasiveness.

The stimulation of cell migration by TGF\(\beta\) has been shown to be mediated by both Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways including MAP kinase and Akt pathways.\cite{3,7,49,50} Thus, the reduced levels of activated Erk and Akt in our study are consistent with the reduced cell migration and invasiveness. Approximately, 90\% of colon cancers with microsatellite instability have inactivating mutations of RII.\cite{51} RII mutation is significantly correlated with favorable chemotherapy treatment outcome and longer patient survival in colon cancer patients suggesting that complete loss of RII in carcinomas may limit systemic metastases.\cite{19} Our results from the experimental metastasis assay also support this proposition. These observations point to the potential utility of TGF\(\beta\)/receptor inhibitors for the treatment of certain advanced endometrial carcinomas as this strategy has been implicated as a potential novel treatment modality in late stage of other carcinomas.\cite{52}

\section*{Acknowledgments}

This work was supported in part by NIH grants R01CA075253 and R01CA079683. The authors thank the imaging core facility at their institution for taking the pictures of the
immunofluorescently stained cells. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


