Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis, update on molecular pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment
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Abstract: Circulating antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCAs) are the central pathogenic mechanism for a group of systemic and renal syndromes called the ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV). The nomenclature has changed from eponymous labeling to granulomatosis with polyangiitis, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, and microscopic polyangiitis. These syndromes predominantly affect the pulmonary and renal systems. We also review the molecular pathology behind ANCAs and associated antigens and infections. Various clinical presentations, the multiple target organs affected, and diagnostic challenges involved in identifying these diseases are discussed. Treatment updates are also provided with regard to new studies and the now standard use of anti-CD-20 monoclonal antibodies as first-line therapy in all but the most aggressive presentations of this disease. Maintenance regimens and monitoring strategies for relapse of vasculitis and associated systemic complications are discussed.
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Introduction
Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) is the common thread in various diseases known as ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV). They are generally one of two immunofluorescence patterns: peri-nuclear ANCA (P-ANCA) or cytoplasmic ANCA (C-ANCA). The two main antigens that these antibodies are directed against are proteinase 3 (anti-PR3) and myeloperoxidase (anti-MPO), with the anti-PR3 generally being associated with C-ANCA and the anti-MPO being associated with P-ANCA.

Classically, these syndromes were named eponymously. C-ANCA syndromes were named Wegener’s granulomatosis, but this has been replaced in the lexicon with granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA). P-ANCA syndromes were named Churg–Strauss disease which also known as eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA), whereas microscopic polyangiitis has remained microscopic polyangiitis (MPA).

Associations and epidemiology
GPA is a C-ANCA pattern AAV with the vast majority of antibodies associated as anti-PR3. However, a group of these cases (especially in Asian countries) are associated with P-ANCA rather than C-ANCA. It has generally been described as an idiopathic syndrome that tends to occur in elderly patients, and it has a cyclic pattern of occurrence, suggesting the influence of infection in triggering GPA. There have also been various studies correlating malignancy and GPA. ANCAs can also sometimes coexist with cryoglobulins
and anti-glomerular basement membrane (anti-GBM) antibodies. Hepatitis C has also been associated with GPA, as well as MPA albeit rarely. Some medications have also been noted to induce AAV, and propylthiouracil (PTU) has been reported as a drug linked to both PR3 and MPO ANCA seropositivity (MPO-ANCA being more common). However, only small percentage of these patients develops clinical vasculitis.

EGPA is an idiopathic allergic syndrome that is primarily associated with immunogenic antigen exposure (vaccinations, infections, medications, and foreign body exposures). MPA is generally a small vessel vasculitis that is generally idiopathic or infection related; it is pathologically related to polyarteritis nodosa (PAN), which is associated with hepatitis B. MPA is not as strongly related to hepatitis B as PAN, but cases of association with hepatitis C have also been reported.

Molecular pathology

Some progress in understanding how GPA occurs has been gleaned from understanding the role of toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) in the pathology of autoimmunity. The activation of this receptor has been previously implicated in immune complex glomerular disease and glomerulopathy due to viral infection and direct toxicity. Targets of ANCA are typically intracellular proteins in granulocytes, and they include proteinase 3, myeloperoxidase, elastase, cathepsin G, lactoferrin, and lysozyme. The P-ANCA and C-ANCA patterns correspond to anti-MPO and anti-PR3 with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity (97% and 80%, respectively). Atypical ANCA patterns do exist, and they are noted in the literature as x-ANCA.

New studies have also found a link between neutrophils and the antibody-mediated nature of AAV. Hewins et al suggested that IL-18 is upregulated in renal cells in patients with AAV. This likely suggests a role for this interleukin to prime neutrophils and initiate the autoimmune cascade that leads to the usually observed often necrotizing glomerulonephritis.

Clinical presentation

AAV have traditionally been called pulmonary–renal syndromes due to their predilection for causing pulmonary hemorrhage and hematuria due to nephritic inflammation due to necrotizing crescentic glomerulonephritis. Sinus disease and otitis media in adults are also common features of GPA. EGPA tends to present with peripheral eosinophilia, asthma, and dermatologic manifestations. MPA, however, tends to be associated with only small vessel vasculitis (hence the name microscopic polyangiitis).

There are, however, copious examples of unusual presentations of AAV. Examples of cardiac arrhythmias and myocarditis have been reported as part of GPA syndromes. Epidemiological studies also showed a higher incidence of cardiac abnormalities in patients with AAV. Other case reports have noted gastrointestinal disease associated with AAV, including AAV mimicking surgical abdominal disease and inflammatory bowel disease.

The most severe presenting signs indicating a higher acuity vasculitis with increased risk of mortality include the findings of pulmonary hemorrhage and dialysis requiring acute kidney injury on presentation. These findings are indicators of severe vasculitis that has a high risk for morbidity and mortality in GPA. Findings of a rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis picture regardless of the level of proteinuria should prompt an emergent biopsy and aggressive cytotoxic therapy if it is safe to use. The finding of anti-GBM antibody often augurs a poor outcome in AAV. The higher level of antibody, particularly anti-PR3, also seems to point to a poor outcome.

Prompt diagnosis is usually made by urinary examination for sediments including red cell casts, a trend of inexorably worsening serum creatinine, finding of unexplained proteinuria, along with the correct clinical picture and accompanying serologies of AAV. The clinical criteria for the diagnosis of the three AAV discussed in this review, GPA, EGPA, and MPA, are listed in Table 1.

Treatment regimens

Traditional management of AAV had been the prompt use of pulse dose intravenous (IV) cyclophosphamide in patients who presented with hematuria and the usual nephritic picture of GPA. Older strategies such as use of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) have fallen out of favor. The toxicities of cyclophosphamide therapy, including leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, hemorrhagic cystitis, infection, and malignancy, were magnified usually in the older group of patients who are prone to AAV. In 2010, Stone et al presented the findings of the Rituximab for ANCA-associated vasculitis (RAVE) trial, showing that rituximab treatment is not inferior to daily oral or IV pulse cyclophosphamide. The typical dosing of rituximab is 0.375 g/m² given weekly for 4 weeks, along with high-dose steroids to be tapered. The toxicity of steroid therapy will be discussed later.

It was thought that the more severe rapidly progressive forms of AAV especially GPA remain best treated with cyclophosphamide, pulse dose steroids, and plasmapheresis. This same aggressive approach is recommended in patients with pulmonary hemorrhage and patients who present with dialysis-requiring AKI as first presentation of their AAV,
particularly GPA.9,14 However, the results from the PEXIVA trial offer a different conclusion. In this study, there was no statistically significant difference in composite outcome of ESRD and death in patients receiving plasmapheresis versus the non-plasmapheresis group and also high-dose steroids versus reduced dose steroids. These emerging data from this important study are going to significantly impact the treatment guidelines in near future.25

Cyclophosphamide dosing usually begins at 0.7 g/m² of body surface area (BSA) and can be increased up to 1 g/m² of BSA) with the goal of obtaining remission, alternatively it can be dosed at 15 mg/kg as in the RAVE trial.26 Usually, the regimen is given once a month for 6 months, with leukopenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, hemorrhagic cystitis, and infection usually being the dose-limiting side effects.26 It has been previously established that oral cyclophosphamide and IV cyclophosphamide together did not have a statistically significant positive impact on the induction of remission.28,29 This is usually accomplished with cotrimoxazole double strength three times a week. Alternative PJP prophylaxis can be accomplished with atovaquone 1,500 mg daily (risk of hemolysis) and dapsone 100 mg daily (risk of exacerbation of G6PD deficiency and hemolysis). Antihistamine blockers and proton pump inhibitors are usually also used to prevent stress ulcers, although new findings prompt concern with long-term proton pump inhibitor use.30,31 calcium and vitamin D are also usually given to prevent osteoporosis.

A new C5 inhibitor called avacopan has been postulated to interrupt C5a signaling (with its attendant function as a chemotactic agent and anaphylatoxin). Avacopan has been found to have extremely high rates of response when given concomitantly with standard rituximab or cyclophosphamide for induction of remission. This allows this agent to be given as a steroid-sparing agent, which may decrease metabolic, bone-related, ulcer-related events in patients who are poorly tolerant to high-dose steroids.32,33

Table 1
Clinical criteria for GPA, E-GPA, and MPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of AAV</th>
<th>Clinical criteria</th>
<th>Associations</th>
<th>PR3 (C-ANCA)</th>
<th>MPO (P-ANCA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>EU-LAR: nose bleeds, nasal polyps, hearing loss or reduction, cartilaginous involvement, conjunctival injection, eye pain, C-ANCA or PR3+</td>
<td>Malignancy, idiopathic, sinus infections, hematuria, hemoptysis due to pulmonary hemorrhage, and rarely hepatitis C infection</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-GPA</td>
<td>Asthma, eosinophilia of &gt;10% in peripheral blood, paranasal sinusitis, pulmonary infiltrates, extravascular eosinophils, and mono or polyneuropathy</td>
<td>Eosinophilia, asthma, pneumonia, and neuropathy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>Vasculitis, glomerulonephritis, and P-ANCA (anti-MPO) predominant</td>
<td>Hepatitis B is implicated with PAN, the large vessel vasculitis form of MPA, PAN causes aneurysms and renal artery stenosis, and MPA is a form that causes glomerular disease</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *What is represented here is the most common association between ANCA and vasculitis type. However, it is important to keep in mind that often there may be different associations.

Abbreviations: AAV, ANCA-associated vasculitis; C-ANCA, cytoplasmic antinuclear cytoplasmic antibody; E-GPA, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis; EU-LAR, European League Against Rheumatism; GPA, granulomatosis with polyangiitis; MPA, microscopic polyangiitis; P-ANCA, perinuclear antinuclear cytoplasmic antibody; PR3, proteinase 3.

In milder AAV, azathioprine can be used as an agent to allow induction of remission in mild non-organ-threatening disease, but most trials suggest its use as an agent for the maintenance of remission.34 Many other studies support using methotrexate in establishing remission if the vasculitis is not severe or rapidly progressive, although typically this agent is more often used in the maintenance of remission in AAV.14,27,35 Liver function tests must be monitored closely in patients on methotrexate, and risk of systemic toxicity increases with renal dysfunction. Table 2 describes the available regimens for induction of remission in AAV (with dosing) depending on the type of AAV and clinical presentation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug/procedure</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmapheresis</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Bleeding and low fibrinogen</td>
<td>Fibrinogen level</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Days–weeks</td>
<td>Removal of antibody</td>
<td>Severe renal failure and pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>0.7–1 g/m² (IV) (15 mg/kg in RAVE trial)</td>
<td>Leukopenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, infection, and malignancy</td>
<td>Blood count, renal function</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>6 months/cycle</td>
<td>Cytotoxic</td>
<td>Severe renal failure and pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>0.375 g/m² BSA</td>
<td>PML and infection</td>
<td>Blood count</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>B cell depletion</td>
<td>First-line therapy in non-rapidly progressive disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avacopan</td>
<td>30 mg BID</td>
<td>Worsening vasculitis and infection</td>
<td>Blood count</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Months</td>
<td>Adjunctive</td>
<td>Replaces high-dose glucocorticoids or steroid sparing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>2 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Blood count</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>12–18 months</td>
<td>Immunosuppressive</td>
<td>In mild AAV only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>20–25 mg orally per week</td>
<td>Liver dysfunction, infection, and bone marrow suppression</td>
<td>Blood count, liver function, and renal function</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>12–18 months</td>
<td>Immunosuppressive</td>
<td>In mild AAV only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-dose corticosteroids</td>
<td>1 g IV × 3 days, 1 mg/kg with taper</td>
<td>Ulcers, infections, osteoporosis, metabolic alkalosis, and hypokalemia</td>
<td>Blood count and renal function</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Tapered over months, low dose for 1–2 years</td>
<td>Adjunctive</td>
<td>Can be replaced by avacopan (see above)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide and rituximab</td>
<td>As above, 2 cycles of cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Infection, bone marrow suppression, and rituximab risks</td>
<td>Blood count and renal function</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>Synergistic?</td>
<td>No difference between rituximab and combination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AAV, ANCA-associated vasculitis; ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; BID, twice a day; BSA, body surface area; IV, intravenous; PML, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; RAVE, rituximab for ANCA-associated vasculitis.
Maintenance regimens

The choices for the maintenance of remission, usually defined as normalization or stabilization of renal function, and decrease in proteinuria to <0.5 g/day are similar to choices offered for induction of remission. The rituximab versus azathioprine as therapy for the maintenance of remission for antineutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis (RITAZAREM) showed that ongoing rituximab is also an even more effective option versus the established use of azathioprine for the maintenance of remission.34,40 Interim rituximab, given every 4 months, actually proved to be effective in refractory cases of AAV for the maintenance of an established remission.36

The superiority of azathioprine over mycophenolate mofetil has been demonstrated earlier. This was during the International Mycophenolate Mofetil Protocol to Reduce Outbreaks of Vasculitides (IMPROVE) study that failed to show mycophenolate as superior to azathioprine in remission maintenance in AAV.37 In selected patients, for whom malignancy may be a concern, mycophenolate may still be an effective and safe option for the maintenance of remission.3

The use of methotrexate was discussed earlier and presents another popular option for remission maintenance.14,27,35 It is important to note that proteinuria control was inferior with the use of methotrexate compared to that of cyclophosphamide.39 It is also important to note that both methotrexate and azathioprine had a 30% risk of relapse in 2 years and a similar risk of systemic adverse events.39 A summary of available agents and dosing for the maintenance of remission is shown in Table 1.

Monitoring for possible relapse

Relapse can be detected clinically through clinical signs (prodrome), hematuria, proteinuria, and serologically usually in the form of recurrent or persistent serological antibody markers for AAV. Importantly, the risk for relapse is about 30% for oral agents used for the maintenance of remission (such as azathioprine and methotrexate).39 The efficacy of rituximab allows for even better odds of sustained remission,34,40 although at a downside of cost, risk (PML, infection), and need for an infusion center to deliver the drug every 4–6 months. Serial ANCA titers, especially if rising and if correlated with worsening renal function, are very concerning for a possible relapse. However, the results must be carefully interpreted since it seems that systemic, non-renal relapse is not associated with increased titers.41 Post-transplantation, 17% of the patients on calcineurins may experience an AAV.42 Patients who have Alport’s syndrome may experience an ANCA-like syndrome due to the formation of autoimmune antibodies against glomerular basement membrane proteins (Collagen alpha 4 a3,4 subunit).43

Long-term monitoring for toxicity of patients who received immunosuppression

In addition to the toxicity of corticosteroids, it is important to pay close attention to the possibility of developing JC virus-induced progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy if they have been exposed to rituximab and any strong immunosuppressive agents (ie, calcineurins and cyclophosphamide). This condition should be suspected in case the patients develop any neurological abnormalities. Diagnosis can be made with brain MRI, CSF PCR, and ultimately, brain biopsy. The treatment is a clinical challenge since there is no effective antiviral therapy available. One suggested strategy is to decrease the immunosuppressive dose and maintain the treatment with steroids.44 In patients who have received cyclophosphamide, short term monitoring for hemorrhagic cystitis is recommended.45 In long term monitoring, these patients are at increased risk for malignancies, particularly transitional cell cancer of the bladder.

Conclusion

AAVs are a heterogeneous group of severe conditions with a range of clinical presentations and complications. Early detection and treatment can lead to significantly better outcomes, especially preserving kidney function and avoiding renal replacement therapy. We reviewed the three most common types of AAVs along with pathology and treatment strategies.

Table 3 Therapies available for maintenance of remission in ANCA-associated vasculitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug/procedure</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>15 mg/kg every 4–6 months</td>
<td>4–6 months</td>
<td>1–2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>1 g every 4 months</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>1–2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>2 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>12–18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>20–25 mg orally per week</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>12–18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-dose corticosteroids</td>
<td>1 mg/kg with taper</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1–2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolate mofetil</td>
<td>1 g oral BID</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1–2 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; BID, twice a day.
Despite severe adverse effects associated with the treatment, immunosuppressive therapies remain the best option in decreasing the complications and improving the outcomes.
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