Integrated analysis reveals key genes with prognostic value in lung adenocarcinoma

Ying-Jian Song1
Juan Tan2
Xin-Huai Gao1
Li-Xin Wang1

1Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Affiliated Huaian No. 1 People’s Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Huaian, Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China; 2Department of Gerontology, The Affiliated Huaian No. 1 People’s Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Huaian, Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China

Background: Lung cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors. Despite advances in lung cancer therapies, prognosis of non-small-cell lung cancer is still unfavorable. The aim of this study was to identify the prognostic value of key genes in lung tumorigenesis.

Methods: Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were screened out by GEO2R from three Gene Expression Omnibus cohorts. Common DEGs were selected for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis and Gene Ontology enrichment analysis. Protein–protein interaction networks were constructed by the STRING database and visualized by Cytoscape software. Hub genes, filtered from the CytoHubba, were validated using the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis database, and their genomic alterations were identified by performing the cBioportal. Finally, overall survival analysis of hub genes was performed using Kaplan–Meier Plotter.

Results: From three datasets, 169 DEGs (70 upregulated and 99 downregulated) were identified. Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analyses showed that upregulated DEGs were significantly enriched in cell cycle, p53 pathway, and extracellular matrix–receptor interactions; the downregulated DEGs were significantly enriched in PPAR pathway and tyrosine metabolism. The protein–protein interaction network consisted of 71 nodes and 305 edges, including 49 upregulated and 22 downregulated genes. The hub genes, including AURKB, BUB1B, KIF2C, HMMR, CENPF, and CENPU, were overexpressed compared with the normal group by Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis analysis, and associated with reduced overall survival in lung cancer patients. In the genomic alterations analysis, two hotspot mutations (S2021C/F and E314K/V) were identified in Pfam protein domains.

Conclusion: DEGs, including AURKB, BUB1B, KIF2C, HMMR, CENPF, and CENPU, might be potential biomarkers for the prognosis and treatment of lung adenocarcinoma.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death in men and the second leading cause in women worldwide; it is also the most common cause of cancer death in both men and women in the United States.1 Lung cancer is classified as small-cell or non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) for the purposes of treatment. NSCLC constitutes about 85% of all lung cancers, and lung adenocarcinoma (LAC) is the most diagnosed histological subtype of NSCLC, followed by squamous cell carcinoma. The high incidence of lung cancer is due to tobacco smoking, indoor air pollution,2 outdoor pollution,3 genetic alterations,4 and other factors. The genetic alterations associated with
lung cancer include epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) fusion or rearrangement,\(^5,6\) and other aberrations. Targeted therapy, such as tyrosine-kinase inhibitors can suppress the kinase activity of oncogenic EGFR and ALK proteins.\(^7\) Despite advances in chemotherapy, radiation therapy, surgery, and targeted therapy for lung cancer, the 5-year survival rates for NSCLC are only 21%.\(^8\) Therefore, it is important to investigate molecular mechanisms and biomarkers in LAC to develop more effective diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.

Through the rapid development of molecular biology and bioinformatics, such as microarray technology – a high-throughput platform for gene expression analysis – we can now explore differentially expressed genes (DEGs) involved in tumorigenesis. For example, through a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis, Shi et al\(^9\) identified that CDCA2 was dramatically upregulated in LAC tissues, where it promotes proliferation and predicts poor prognosis.\(^9\) Zhang et al\(^10\) demonstrated that HOXA11–AS overexpression was associated with lung cancer development and progression also using an integrated bioinformatics analysis. Li et al\(^11\) found that CD44 overexpression was associated with the occurrence and migration of NSCLC using a combined bioinformatics technology. Despite the recent advances in targeted therapies for lung cancer, including agents targeting EGFR, VEGF, and ALK, organometallics and antimetotics are still largely used for treatment.\(^12\) Thus, more work is needed to discover the underlying molecular mechanisms in lung cancer.

In this study, we identified key genes in LAC by combining bioinformatics analyses. DEGs, Gene Ontology (GO) terms, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways associated with lung cancer were investigated. Subsequently, the expression of key genes related to lung cancer was validated. Furthermore, survival analyses correlated with the expression of the key genes were carried out. We investigated the potential candidate biomarkers for their utility in diagnosis, prognosis, and drug targeting in LAC.

**Materials and methods**

**Microarray data**

Three gene expression profiles GSE18842, GSE21933, and GSE89039 were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) database. GSE18842 included 46 NSCLC tissue samples and 45 paired nontumor samples. GSE21933 consisted of 21 NSCLC tissue samples and 21 corresponding normal samples. GSE89039 comprised eight LAC tissues samples and eight corresponding nontumor samples.

**DEG screening**

GEO2R (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/) is an interactive web tool that compares two groups of samples under the same experimental conditions and can analyze almost any GEO series.\(^13\) This tool uses established Bioconductor R packages to analyze GEO data. In this study, GEO2R was used to screen DEGs between lung cancer and normal tissue samples. An adjusted \(P\)-value (adj. \(P\) ) <0.05 and \(|\log FC|\) >2 were set as the cut-off criteria. Common DEGs from the three datasets were selected for functional annotation.

**Functional and pathway enrichment analysis**

The GO (http://www.geneontology.org) database includes three categories: biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF).\(^14\) The KEGG (http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/) database collects genomic, chemical, and systematic functional information.\(^15\) The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) provides a set of functional annotation tools to analyze the biological roles of genes.\(^16\) In this study, GO terms and KEGG pathways were analyzed using the DAVID online tool with the enrichment threshold of \(P<0.05\).

**PPI network construction and analysis of modules**

The STRING database (http://string-db.org/) provides a significant association of protein–protein interactions (PPIs).\(^17\) Cytoscape is used for the visual exploration of interaction networks.\(^18\) In this study, DEG PPI networks were analyzed by the STRING database and subsequently visualized using Cytoscape. A combined score >0.9 was set as the cut-off criterion. A novel Cytoscape plugin CytoHubba\(^19\) was used to identify the hub genes by finding the intersection of the top 30 genes from 12 topological analysis methods. Then, Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) was performed to screen modules of PPI networks with degree cut-off =2, node score cut-off =0.2, k-core =2, and max depth =100. The functional enrichment analysis of genes in the module was performed using DAVID. A \(P\)-value <0.05 was set as the cut-off criterion.
Validation of hub genes

The Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) database (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/) is a web-based tool to deliver fast and customizable functionalities based on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and GTEx data. In this study, we used the GEPIA database to validate the expression of hub genes identified in the PPI network and module, and analyze the association of their expression levels with LAC TNM stage. We selected \( P < 0.05 \) and fold change \( > 2 \) as a threshold.

Exploring cancer genomics data by cBioportal

The cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://cbioportal.org) provides a resource for visualization and analyzing multi-dimensional cancer genomics data. In the present study, alteration frequencies of hub genes were performed based on Mutation and DNA copy-number alterations in four selected lung cancer subtypes (Pan-Lung Cancer-TCGA, Nat Genet 2016; Lung Adenocarcinoma-TCGA, Provisional; Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma-TCGA, Provisional; Small-Cell Lung Cancer, U Cologne, Nature 2015).

Survival analysis of DEGs

A Kaplan–Meier plotter (www.kmplot.com) was used to assess the effect of 54,675 genes on survival using 10,461 samples, including 5,143 breast, 1,816 ovarian, 2,437 lung, and 1,065 gastric cancer patients. We further investigated whether the overexpression of hub genes was associated with overall survival using the Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank test. The HR with 95% CI and log-rank \( P \)-value were calculated. We selected \( P < 0.05 \) as a threshold.

**Results**

**DEG identification**

A total of 2,623 DEGs were identified from the GSE18842, GSE21993 and GSE89039 datasets. Among them, 169 genes presented identical expression trends in all three datasets (Figure 1), including 70 upregulated genes and 99 downregulated genes in lung cancer tissues compared with normal tissues. A heat map demonstrated the significant differential distribution of the DEGs using data profile GSE18842 as a reference (Figure 2).

**Functional and pathway enrichment analysis**

To further understand the function and mechanism of the identified DEGs, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed using DAVID (Table 1). The upregulated genes were mainly associated with the BP terms mitotic nuclear division, cell division, chromosome segregation, and DNA replication, while the downregulated genes were mainly enriched in cell adhesion, inflammatory response, and immune response. Additionally, CC analysis showed that the upregulated genes were associated with chromosome, midbody, nucleoplasm, and spindle microtubule, and the downregulated genes were mainly found in the extracellular region, proteinaceous extracellular matrix, integral component of plasma membrane, membrane raft, and extracellular space.
Moreover, for upregulated genes, MF terms contained ATP binding, protein binding, microtubule motor activity, protein kinase activity, and protein serine/threonine kinase activity, while the downregulated genes were relevant to heparin binding, flavin adenine dinucleotide binding, integrin binding, carbohydrate binding, and ion channel binding. DEGs, such as AURKB, KIF2C, BUB1B, and CENPU, were discovered in the GO term analysis.

Furthermore, three KEGG pathways were significantly correlated with upregulated genes, including cell cycle, p53 pathway, and extracellular matrix (ECM)–receptor interaction, while two pathways were significantly related to the downregulated genes: PPAR pathway and tyrosine metabolism. Cell cycle-related genes, such as CCNB1, CDK1, and BUB1B, were identified in this KEGG analysis. DEGs including COL11A1, SPP1, and HMMR were identified in the ECM–receptor interaction pathway.

The DEG PPI network consisted of 71 nodes and 305 edges, including 49 upregulated genes and 22 downregulated genes (Figure 3A). A total of 12 hub genes were selected by the intersection of the top 30 genes from 12 algorithms using CytoHubba, including AURKB, KIF2C, NDC80, BUB1B,

Table 1 Functional and pathway enrichment analysis of upregulated and downregulated genes in lung cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upregulated</td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 00071057–mitotic nuclear division</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.51 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 00513001–cell division</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.40 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 00070359–chromosome segregation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.88 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 00070623–sister chromatid cohesion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.14 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 0006260–DNA replication</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.19 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0000775–chromosome, centromeric region</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.32 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0030496–midbody</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.58 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0007777–condensed chromosome kinetochore</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.14 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0005654–nucleoplasm</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.78 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0005876–spindle microtubule</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.36 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 005524–ATP binding</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.75 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 005515–protein binding</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.38 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0003777–microtubule motor activity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.62 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0004672–protein kinase activity</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.45 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0004674–protein serine/threonine kinase activity</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.17 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KEGG_PATHWAY hsa01100:cell cycle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.38 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KEGG_PATHWAY hsa04115:p53 signaling pathway</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.39 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KEGG_PATHWAY hsa04512:ECM–receptor interaction</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.79 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downregulated</td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 0007155–cell adhesion</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.17 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 0006954–inflammatory response</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.29 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 0006955–immune response</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 0016525–negative regulation of angiogenesis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_BP_DIRECT 0050727–regulation of inflammatory response</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0005576–extracellular region</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.82 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0005578–proteinaceous extracellular matrix</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.82 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0005887–integral component of plasma membrane</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.53 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0045121–membrane raft</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.53 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_CC_DIRECT 0005615–extracellular space</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.22 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0008201–heparin binding</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.21 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0005660–flavin adenine dinucleotide binding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.35 × 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0005178–integrin binding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.31 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0030246–carbohydrate binding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.35 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOTERM_MF_DIRECT 0044325–ion channel binding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.60 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KEGG_PATHWAY hsa03230:PPAR signaling pathway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.14 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KEGG_PATHWAY hsa03350:tyrosine metabolism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.81 × 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: If there were more than five terms enriched in this category, top five terms were selected according to P-value. Count: the number of enriched genes in each term.

Abbreviations: BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; GO, Gene Ontology; Kegg, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; MF, molecular function.
CDCA8, NUSAP1, CENPF, CENPU, MELK, CDKN3, PBK, and HMMR. A significant module was obtained from the DEG PPI network using MCODE, including 19 nodes and 143 edges (Figure 3B). Functional and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses revealed that genes in this module were mainly associated with cell cycle and p53 pathway (Figure 4). Furthermore, we found that AURKB, KIF2C, BUB1B, HMMR, CENPF, and CENPU were involved in the GO, KEGG, and module analyses.

Validation of hub genes
Hub genes were further validated using the GEPIA database. GEPIA provides box plots, violin plots based on pathological stages, dot plots, and matrix plots in the “Expression DIY” tab. Consistent with the GEO analysis, GEPIA box plots of key gene expression levels showed that six hub genes were overexpressed in lung cancer samples compared with normal tissues (Figure 5). In addition, GEPIA violin plots of gene expression by pathological stages based on the TCGA clinical annotation revealed their high expression levels significantly associated with advanced TNM stage (P-value <0.05) (Figure 6).

Genomic alterations of hub genes
We used cBioportal tool to explore the specific alterations of hub genes in four selected lung cancer datasets with 1,662 samples. From the OncoPrint, percentages of alterations in AURKB, KIF2C, BUB1B, HMMR, CENPF, and CENPU genes among lung cancer ranged from 1.3% to 9% in individual genes (AURKB, 1.3%; KIF2C, 2.1%; BUB1B, 3%; HMMR, 1.9%; CENPF, 9%; CENPU, 4%) (Figure 7). In addition, cancer type summary analysis showed that the ratio of alteration of six genes varied from 5.45% to 21.24%, with lowest to highest level as small-cell lung cancer, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and LAC in four lung cancer datasets (Figure 8A). Further, the graphical summary of mutations showed that there were 113 CENPF nonsynonymous mutations in lung cancer samples, and 3 of them were S2021C/F in the CENPF domain (Figure 8B). There were six CENPU nonsynonymous mutations in lung cancer samples, two of them being E314K/V in the CENPU domain (Figure 8C).

Survival analysis of DEGs
Finally, overall survival analysis of hub genes was performed using the Kaplan–Meier plotter online tool. The results showed that high AURKB mRNA expression (HR = 1.84 [1.62–2.1], log-rank P<1×10−16) was associated with worse overall survival for lung cancer patients, as were KIF2C (HR = 1.78 [1.57–2.03], log-rank P<1×10−16), BUB1B (HR = 1.71 [1.5–1.94], log-rank P=2.2×10−16), HMMR (HR = 1.44 [1.27–1.64], log-rank P=1.5×10−08), CENPF (HR = 1.57 [1.38–1.78], log-rank P=3.4×10−12), and CENPU (HR = 1.86 [1.58–2.21], log-rank P=1.5×10−13) (Figure 9).
Discussion
In this study, we identified significant DEGs between LAC and normal samples. Furthermore, we performed a series of bioinformatics analysis to screen key genes and pathways.

A total of 169 DEGs were found, consisting of 70 upregulated genes and 99 downregulated genes. The upregulated genes were mainly enriched in cell cycle, p53 pathway, and ECM–receptor interaction, which are closely related to cancer, while the downregulated genes were mainly associated with PP AR pathway and tyrosine metabolism. Among these DEGs, the top 12 hub genes selected in the PPI network were all overexpressed. Functional and pathway enrichment analyses revealed that the 19 genes in the significant module were mainly enriched in cell cycle, progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation, p53 pathway, and oocyte meiosis.

Based on these findings, DEGs including AURKB, KIF2C, BUB1B, HMMR, CENPF, and CENPU were identified in these functions. These genes were also hub nodes in PPI networks. Then, we found the expression of six key genes in LAC were higher than in control group, and their high expression levels were significantly associated with advanced TNM stage using the GEPIA database. Furthermore, we applied cBioPortal web recourse to explore the genomic alterations of hub genes in lung cancer cases from TCGA databases. We found hub genes mutation frequencies were the highest in LAC. CENPF and CENPU have higher alteration frequency of 4% and 9%, respectively, compared with other hub genes in lung cancer samples. Two hotspot mutations (S2021C/F and E314K/V) were identified in Pfam protein domains, illustrating that these may be potential targets for lung cancer.

Finally, survival analysis of these six key genes showed that these genes were significantly associated with worse overall survival in lung cancer patients. Thus, these DEGs and their related functions may be involved in the development and progression of lung cancer.

Aurora Kinase B (AURKB) is a critical regulator of mitosis that belongs to a new family of serine/threonine kinases. Aurora B provides catalytic activity to the chromosome passenger complex. Overexpression of an inactive form of Aurora B results in multinucleation and polyploidy.
Figure 5: GEPIA boxed plots of key genes (A) CENPF; (B) KIF2C; (C) AURKB; (D) BUB1B; (E) CENPU; (F) HMMR in human LAC and normal lungs.

Notes: *P* < 0.05.

Abbreviations: GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma.

Figure 6: GEPIA violin plots based on pathological stages (A) CENPF; (B) KIF2C; (C) AURKB; (D) BUB1B; (E) CENPU; (F) HMMR in human LAC.

Abbreviations: GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma.
For personal use only.

Figure 7 Matrix heatmap shows genomic alterations of hub genes in four selected lung datasets (Pan-Lung Cancer-TCGA, Nat Genet 2016; Lung Adenocarcinoma-TCGA, Provisional; Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma-TCGA, Provisional; Small Cell Lung Cancer, U Cologne, Nature 2015). Notes: Each row represents a gene, and each column represents a tumor sample. Red bars indicate gene amplifications, blue bars are deep deletion, green squares are missense mutation, and red bars indicate no alterations. Downloaded from cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://cbioportal.org).20,60


Figure 8 The alteration frequencies of hub genes across four different cancer studies.

Abbreviations: CENPF, Centromere Protein; CENPU, Centromere Protein U; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.

and tetraploidy has been shown to increase the frequency of chromosomal alterations and promote tumorigenesis of p53-deficient cells. In this study, we show that AURKB upregulation was associated with poor prognosis in lung cancer. Consistently, previous studies have identified an association between AURKB expression and lung cancer progression. Hayama et al23 demonstrated that AURKB overexpression promotes lung carcinogenesis and increases invasiveness in vivo. Additionally, Takeshita et al reported that AURKB overexpression was correlated with aneuploidy and poor prognosis in NSCLC.24 Another recent study showed that AURKB was an important KRAS target in lung cancer, suggesting that AURKB inhibition could be a novel approach for KRAS-driven lung cancer therapy.25 Moreover, Al-Khafaji et al26 revealed that AURKB activity is an important modulator of taxane response in NSCLC cells. Therefore, we speculate that AURKB may play an important role in the progression of lung cancer as a regulator of mitotic spindle assembly, and thus may be a potential therapeutic target for lung cancer.

Kinesin Family Member 2C (KIF2C) also known as mitotic centromere associated kinesin, is a member of the kinesin family of microtubule motor proteins that stimulates microtubule depolymerization and ensures proper chromosome segregation during mitosis.27 The abnormal expression of KIF2C is associated with abnormal mitosis, chromosomal aberrations, and malignant transformation. Therefore, the deregulation of KIF2C expression can contribute to cancer development and progression. Previous studies have suggested that KIF2C overexpression is associated with tumor progression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma,28 breast cancer,29 colorectal cancer,30,31 gastric cancer,32 and glioma.33 However, there is little data for KIF2C in lung cancer. Bidkhor et al34 reported that KIF2C overexpression is connected with tumor progression in LAC using an integrated genome-scale coexpression network. In this study, we found that KIF2C was involved in the GO BP terms mitotic nuclear division, cell division, and sister chromatid cohesion. It is evident that cell cycle regulatory factors play an important role in cancer development and progression.
role in cancer development. Uncontrolled cell proliferation is common to patients with different types of cancer. KIF2C overexpression was significantly associated with poor prognosis in lung cancer patients. These observations suggest that KIF2C may be a cell cycle regulatory factor with therapeutic potential for lung cancer treatment.

BUB1 Mitotic Checkpoint Serine/Threonine Kinase B (BUB1B) is a key component of the spindle assembly checkpoint that is required for accurately segregating chromosomes based on its function in the mitotic checkpoint and the establishment of proper microtubule–kinetochore attachments. The function of BUB1B in mitosis includes activation, maintenance, and silencing the spindle assembly checkpoint as well as regulating chromosome–spindle attachment, and it is also required for controlling mitotic timing. Aberrant expression or mutations of BUB1B can cause aneuploidy. Several studies have revealed that BUB1B overexpression is associated with the progression and recurrence of bladder cancer, gastric cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, and other malignancies. There is currently no clear evidence for the relationship between BUB1B and lung cancer. Chen et al reported that BUB1B overexpression is associated with disease progression and poor survival in human LAC patients from TCGA project. In this study, we found that BUB1B was enriched in cell cycle pathway. Cell cycle dysregulation underlies the aberrant cell proliferation that characterizes cancer, and the loss of cell cycle checkpoint control promotes genetic instability. BUB1B was highly expressed in lung cancer and markedly correlated with poor prognosis in accordance with previous findings. Thus, our findings suggest that BUB1B may be a promising diagnostic and therapeutic target in lung cancer.

Hyaluronan Mediated Motility Receptor (HMMR) also known as RHAMM and IHABP, is a multifunctional protein with both intracellular and extracellular roles in cell motility and proliferation. Previous studies have indicated that HMMR expression was elevated in most human cancers, and is linked to aggressive disease and poor clinical outcomes in breast cancer, prostate cancer, bladder cancer, acute myeloid leukemia, gastric cancer, and cervical cancer. Recently, no clear evidence for the relationship between BUB1B and lung cancer. Chen et al reported that BUB1B overexpression is associated with disease progression and poor survival in human LAC patients from TCGA project. In this study, we found that BUB1B was enriched in cell cycle pathway. Cell cycle dysregulation underlies the aberrant cell proliferation that characterizes cancer, and the loss of cell cycle checkpoint control promotes genetic instability. BUB1B was highly expressed in lung cancer and markedly correlated with poor prognosis in accordance with previous findings. Thus, our findings suggest that BUB1B may be a promising diagnostic and therapeutic target in lung cancer.
Wang et al reported that RHAMM expression correlates with poor prognosis and metastasis in NSCLC; Stevens et al revealed upregulated HMMR potentiates lung and brain metastatic outgrowths through coopting inflammatory ECM components in dissemination-competent LAC cells. Consistent with previous studies, we found that HMMR overexpression was significantly associated with poor clinical outcomes. Additionally, HMMR was enriched in the ECM–receptor interaction pathway. This evidence suggested that HMMR may play an important role in lung cancer progression.

Centromere Protein F (CENPF) is a member of the centromere protein family and plays an important role in critical chromosomal segregation processes. CENPF is dynamically expressed throughout the cell cycle. Accumulating evidence has shown that CENPF overexpression is associated with cancer progression and prognosis in prostate cancer, breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Andriani et al reported that lung cancers with both FHIT and p53 inactivation displayed high levels of CENPF expression. However, the oncogenic role and clinical significance of CENPF in lung cancer have not been adequately explored. In this study, we found that CENPF was associated with the GO BP term mitotic nuclear division, cell division, chromosome segregation, and sister chromatid cohesion. Furthermore, we demonstrated that CENPF was highly expressed in lung cancer and significantly related to poor clinical outcomes, suggesting a potential role in tumorigenesis.

Centromere Protein U (CENPU), also known as MLF1IP, is a transcription suppressor that is also required for proper chromosome segregation. CENPU plays an important role in assembly of kinetochore proteins, mitotic progression, and chromosome segregation. Studies have revealed that CENPU upregulation is correlated with progression and prognosis in luminal breast cancer, familial colorectal cancer, and bladder cancer. Additionally, CENPU promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation and colony formation and significantly inhibits apoptosis; however, no clear change in CENPU expression has been identified. Moreover, no data are available regarding the oncogenic role and clinical significance of CENPU in lung cancer. In this study, we found that CENPU was associated with the GO BP term sister chromatid cohesion, and CENPU overexpression was significantly associated with poor prognosis in lung cancer. These observations suggested that CENPU abnormalities may contribute to the risk of developing lung cancer.

Conclusion

Compared with previous studies, this study identified several novel genes with prognostic value involved in LAC, such as KIF2C, CENPF and CENPU. These genes could potentially be used in the molecular diagnosis or treatment of LAC. Nevertheless, further investigations are required to establish the mechanisms of these genes in LAC.
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