Effects of prostaglandin analog therapy on the ocular surface of glaucoma patients
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Purpose: To quantify changes in tear break-up time (TBUT), corneal staining and ocular surface disease index (OSDI) in glaucoma patients after switching therapy from latanoprost with 0.02% benzalkonium chloride (BAK) to travoprost with sofZia™.

Methods: Prospective consecutive case series evaluating patients before and 8 weeks after switching from latanoprost with BAK to travoprost with sofZia™ in patients with baseline TBUT less than 6 seconds.

Results: Forty eyes of 20 consecutive patients using latanoprost with BAK were switched to travoprost with sofZia™. Mean TBUT prior to starting travoprost was 2.02 ± 0.71 seconds and increased to 6.34 ± 1.31 seconds 8 weeks after the switch (p < 0.001). Mean inferior corneal staining scores decreased from 2.40 ± 0.87 to 1.38 ± 0.59 (p < 0.001). Mean OSDI scores decreased from 26.31 ± 8.25 to 16.56 ± 6.19 (p < 0.001).

Discussion: This report focuses on the status of the ocular surface, as documented by TBUT, corneal staining and OSDI, in patients switched from latanoprost with BAK to travoprost without BAK. The switch resulted in a statistically significant increase in TBUT and decreases in corneal staining and OSDI in patients with low baseline TBUT values.

Conclusion: BAK, a common preservative for glaucoma drops, may increase OSD by disrupting the tear film and increasing conjunctival inflammation. In this study, a change from a BAK-preserved prostaglandin analog (PGA) to a non-BAK-preserved PGA resulted in a measurable improvement of TBUT, corneal staining and OSDI in patients with low baseline TBUT values.
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agents, such as sofZia™ (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas, USA) that contains borate, zinc and sorbitol. Oxidizing agents may lead to less cell damage than detergent preservatives such as BAK.

This study focuses on the effects of topical antiglaucoma medications in patients with pre-existing ocular surface disease. We aim to quantify changes in tear break-up time (TBUT), corneal staining and the ocular surface disease index (OSDI) after switching from latanoprost with 0.02% BAK to travoprost with sofZia™.

**Materials and methods**

This was a prospective, open label, single-center study involving 40 eyes of twenty consecutive patients presenting to a tertiary care glaucoma practice. The study design was approved by the University of Colorado Internal Review Board. Each patient had been taking latanoprost monotherapy for at least 12 months prior to enrollment. All patients had a baseline tear break-up time (TBUT) of less than 6 seconds. Patients were instructed to avoid adding other topical drops during the study period. Baseline measurements of TBUT, inferior corneal staining and ocular surface disease index (OSDI) were obtained for each enrollee between 7 and 10 AM and in the same examination room at each visit.

TBUT was obtained by placing 5 μL of 2% preservative-free sodium fluorescein (NaFl) to the inferior fornix using a fixed volume micropipette. To thoroughly mix the NaFl with the tear film, the subject was instructed to blink three times. The slit lamp was set at a magnification of 16 × using cobalt blue illumination and a stopwatch was used to time the occurrence of the first break in the fluorescein stained tear film (Figure 1A and B). The timer was started immediately after the last blink and stopped at the first break in fluorescein. This was measured three consecutive times and an average of these measurements was used to calculate the final TBUT. At the 8-week follow-up, each TBUT was obtained by the same observer (MYK) using the same method as described above. Inferior corneal staining was measured on a scale of 0 to 4 (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe, 4 = confluent) at baseline and final follow-up visits. The ocular surface disease index questionnaire was given to the participants at both the initial visit as well as the eight-week follow-up. We used Student’s t-test to compare data between the two visits.

**Results**

All 20 patients (40 eyes) enrolled in the study who completed the final evaluation were included in data analysis (Figure 2A and B, Table 1). One patient did not return at the 8-week mark and was excluded from analysis. The patients ranged in age from 42 to 78 years, 16 were Caucasian and 4 African American, 12 were male and all were diagnosed with open angle glaucoma. Prior to starting travoprost, the mean TBUT was 2.02 ± 0.71 seconds for the 40 eyes studied. This increased to 6.34 ± 1.31 seconds 8 weeks after the switch (p < 0.001). Inferior corneal
staining decreased from $2.40 \pm 0.87$ to $1.38 \pm 0.59$ ($p < 0.001$). The OSDI initially measured $26.31 \pm 8.25$ which is within the moderate category. Eight weeks after switching to travoprost, the OSDI fell into the mild category: $16.56 \pm 6.19$ ($p < 0.001$) in this same population of 20 patients. There was no statistically significant difference in intraocular pressure (IOP) levels at baseline ($14.05 \pm 2.78$ mmHg) and after the switch to travoprost with sofZia™ ($13.95 \pm 3.04$, $p = 0.88$).

**Discussion**

BAK is the most commonly used ophthalmic preservative. Multiple studies have delineated the deleterious effects of BAK on conjunctival epithelium, corneal epithelium and corneal stroma.\(^4,9-20\) The microscopic changes seen in patients taking BAK include an increase of pro-inflammatory cytokines, lymphocyte infiltration, fibroblast proliferation, corneal microvilli loss and goblet cell loss in the conjunctival epithelium. In addition, these ocular surface changes may decrease the success rate of glaucoma surgery.\(^21\) It is also not surprising that changes to the tear film and increase in inflammation result in a worsening of symptoms in patients with preexisting dry eye who are also treated with preserved topical glaucoma drops.\(^14-16\)

Yee et al\(^22\) found that there was a marked reduction in toxicity when exposing immortalized human corneal epithelial cells to travoprost with sofZia™ compared to latanoprost with BAK. Kahook et al\(^19\) described similar findings in both corneal and conjunctival changes in New Zealand white rabbits. Their results concluded that an increase in inflammation, as measured by lymphocytic infiltration, was found in tissue exposed to latanoprost with BAK as compared to travoprost.
with SofZia. Also, corneal epithelial damage was statistically higher in the latanoprost with BAK group compared to the travoprost with SofZia™ group.

The ocular surface disease index, was reported by Schiffman et al23 as both a valid and reliable means to quantify dry eye syndrome. Using this questionnaire, our study found a statistically significant improvement of OSDI in patients switched from latanoprost with BAK to travoprost with SofZia™. Patients who have less ocular irritation may be more likely to be adherent to their medication regimen. This could lead to more effective lowering of IOP and resultant decrease of glaucomatous vision loss. We also found a statistically significant improvement in tear break-up time (TBUT) after patients were switched to travoprost with SofZia™. For patients with an already reduced TBUT, this change appears to correlate to a significant improvement in dry eye symptoms as reflected by the improvement in OSDI.

While maintaining antimicrobial activity, studies have shown SofZia™ to have less deleterious effects than BAK on ocular epithelium in both in vitro and in vivo studies. In addition, when comparing travoprost with BAK (Travatan®; Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas, USA) to travoprost with SofZia™ (Travatan Z®; Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas, USA), the IOP-lowering efficacy was found to be identical. Thus, it appears that BAK is not required for either safety or efficacy of travoprost as an ocular hypotensive agent.

Although the results of both the OSDI and TBUT show a statistically significant improvement when switching from latanoprost with BAK to travoprost with SofZia™, we focused our study only on patients with measurably decreased TBUT at baseline, thus limiting the generalization of our results. Also, the number of patients enrolled is low and we included both eyes of each patient in our analysis. Finally, all participants lived in the low humidity environment of Denver or surrounding suburbs making generalizations to other geographic areas difficult to make.

The results of this study suggest that a change from a BAK-preserved prostaglandin analog to a prostaglandin analog preserved with SofZia™ could improve TBUT, corneal staining and OSDI score while maintaining similar IOP-lowering efficacy. These findings cannot be extrapolated to other BAK-preserved medications owing to the limited nature of this open-label pilot study. Further prospective and randomized studies are needed to better understand and confirm the effect of BAK on the ocular surface of glaucoma patients and to quantify possible effects on patient compliance and overall disease progression.
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### Table 1 Baseline and follow-up results after switching from latanoprost with BAK to travoprost with SofZia™

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.87</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>14.05 ± 2.78</td>
<td>13.95 ± 3.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BAK, benzalkonium chloride; IOP, intraocular pressure.
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