

## Short wave–automated perimetry (SWAP) versus optical coherence tomography in early detection of glaucoma [Corrigendum]

Zaky AG, Yassin AT, El Sayid SH. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2016;10:1819–1824.

Page 1819, Abstract, Patients and methods, line 1, “In this randomized controlled, consecutive, prospective study” should read “In this non-randomized, controlled cross-sectional study”.

Page 1820, left column, Patients and methods, line 1, “This consecutive prospective study” should read “This non-randomized, cross-sectional study”.

Page 1820, left column, Patients and Methods, Exclusion criteria section, line 5, “pachymetry  $>480\ \mu\text{m}$  or  $<540\ \mu\text{m}$ ” should read “pachymetry  $<480\ \mu\text{m}$  or  $>540\ \mu\text{m}$ ”.

Page 1821, left column, Results section, line 13, “RNFL thickness measured by OCT was outside normal limits in at least 1 hour in 7 eyes” should read “RNFL thickness measured by OCT was outside normal limits in 1 hour in 7 eyes”.

Page 1821, right column, Results section, line 12, “RNFL thickness measured by OCT was outside normal limits in at least 1 hour in 9 eyes” should read “RNFL thickness measured by OCT was outside normal limits in 1 hour in 9 eyes”.

Page 1821, Table 1 is incorrect, the correct Table is shown below:

**Table 1** Demographic features among three groups

Variable	Case group	Suspect group	Control group	F test	P-value
Age					
Mean $\pm$ SD	55.32 $\pm$ 9.22	43.7 $\pm$ 12.92	44.95 $\pm$ 9.76	18.09	0.001
Sex, n (%)					
Male	10 (33.3)	10 (33.3)	5 (50.0)	FET=37.93	0.001
Female	20 (66.7)	20 (66.7)	5 (50.0)	FET=37.93	0.001

**Abbreviation:** FET, Fisher’s exact test.