Distribution and drug resistance of pathogenic bacteria in ventilator-associated pneumonia at a local hospital of North-eastern China
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Purpose: To study the distribution of pathogenic bacteria in ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), and epidemiological characteristics of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria.

Patients and methods: Lower respiratory tract secretions from 156 patients with mechanical ventilation were collected using a protective specimen brush (PSB), with quantitative bacterial culture carried out and antibiotic sensitivity measured. ESBLs produced by Gram-negative bacilli were detected using the double disk diffusion method and monitored by plasmid profiles.

Results: Gram-negative bacilli accounted for 78.9% of VAP pathogens, with Acinetobacter baumannii (25%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (19.7%), and Klebsiella pneumoniae (14.5%) as the most common strains. There were 12 Gram-positive strains detected (15.8%); mostly methicillin-resistant. Staphylococcus aureus and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative Staphylococcus. There were also four strains of Candida albicans detected (5.26%). Most Gram-negative bacilli are sensitive to imipenem, but A. baumannii is serious resistant. ESBLs were detected in nine strains of Gram-negative bacilli; mainly produced by K. pneumoniae and Escherichia coli, to different degrees of multiderug resistance. Five strains of K. pneumoniae-producing ESBLs were from the same clonal origin, as confirmed by plasmid restriction endonuclease analysis.

Conclusion: VAP was mainly caused by Gram-negative bacteria, with high antibiotic resistance rates. Plasmids played an important role in the spread of antibiotic resistance among bacteria.
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Introduction

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is defined as pneumonia occurring more than 48 hours after the onset of mechanical ventilation. VAP is a common complication in patients with acute and chronic respiratory failure, mostly with refractory pathogens and high antibiotic resistance. The incidence and mortality of VAP are higher in the intensive care unit (ICU) because of immune deficiency, severe basic diseases, and increased use of artificial airway or mechanical ventilation, which are associated with longer hospital stays and increase health care costs significantly. Hence, knowledge of antibiotic resistance and the characteristics of drug resistance are important for VAP prophylaxis and treatment. Previous studies have found that bacterial plasmid or chromosome-mediated extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) are the major cause of β-lactam antibiotic resistance. Drug selective pressure has resulted in the increasing separation rate of ESBL-producing bacteria from clinical specimens. Hospital
infections caused by ESBL-producing bacteria worldwide are reported with increasing frequency, and the problem of drug resistance caused by ESBLs has attracted increasing attention.\textsuperscript{3,5}

The purpose of this study was to explore the distribution of pathogens associated with VAP, to determine the epidemiological characteristics of ESBL-producing bacteria in hospital, and to guide the rational clinical use of antibiotics, as well as to control effectively the spread of bacterial resistance.

Materials and methods

Study design
This was a retrospective, observational study that was performed using electronic medical record data from patients who were hospitalized at the Emergency Department. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of Shengjing Hospital Affiliated to China Medical University. The requirement for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective design and the fact that all patient data were anonymized and deidentified prior to the analysis.

Patients
Patients above the age of 18 years admitted in the emergency intensive care unit (EICU), requiring intubation and mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours, were considered eligible for inclusion. Exclusion criteria included intubation in other areas of hospital, tracheotomy, or suffering from pneumonia on admission or during first 48 hours of mechanical ventilation. Patients were recruited consecutively and followed until VAP diagnosis, death, or discharge from EICU.

Definition of VAP
VAP was considered in the presence of a new or progressive and persistent (≥48 hours) radiographic infiltrate consolidation, cavitation, or pleural effusion, plus at least two of the following: 1) leucocytosis >10,000 white blood cells/mm\textsuperscript{3} or leucopenia (<4,000 white blood cells/mm\textsuperscript{3}); 2) body temperature ≥38°C or <35°C 3) purulent tracheal secretion or change in character of sputum. Diagnosis was further confirmed by protective specimen brush (PSB) cultures ≥10\textsuperscript{5} CFU/mL as a positive threshold.

Collection and processing of specimens
The samples for microbiological follow-up were collected by PSB. Specimens were inoculated on blood and MacConkey agar plates using the four district scribing method, with the colony growth condition monitored, and the results were identified using the French API system (BioMerieux-Vitek, Hazelwood, MO, USA) after 20 hours culture at 35°C. Bacterial strains used as quality control were Escherichia coli ATCC25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC27853, and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923.

Detection of ESBLs and drug sensitivity test
Determination test of ESBLs was used by double disk diffusion method. Susceptibility to antimicrobial agents was tested by Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method. The results were interpreted according to the recommended 2011 guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, and the strains that were moderately sensitive to drugs were considered drug-resistant in statistical analysis.

Analysis of bacterial plasmid features
Bacterial plasmids were extracted using a Promega Plasmid Extraction Kit (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI, USA). The plasmids were digested with HindIII restriction endonuclease and subjected to electrophoresis on 0.8% agarose gel, using a HindIII restriction enzyme digested λ DNA as the standard.

Data analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative results were expressed as the mean ± standard error. Categorical variables were expressed as counts and percentages which were analyzed using the $\chi^2$. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Distribution of pathogens
One-hundred and fifty-six patients receiving mechanical ventilation in EICU were included in the study from January 2014 to July 2016 at a local hospital in Northeast China, a tertiary care comprehensive hospital with 5,000 beds. During the study 1,365 mechanical ventilation-days were accumulated, and 76 patients (48.7%; 95% CI: 33.8–49.8) were diagnosed with VAP. The crude incidence of VAP was 47.6 episodes per 1,000 ventilator-days. The general data of the 76 patients (whose ages ranged from 18 to 92 years, with a mean age of 59.3 ± 18.0 years) are shown in Table 1. Seventy-six strains were isolated from these patients. There were 60 strains of Gram-negative bacilli (78.9%) isolated from PSB specimens, most commonly Acinetobacter baumannii (25%), P aeruginosa (19.7%), and Klebsiella pneumoniae (14.5%), followed by E. coli (7.86%); 9 ESBL-producing strains were
isolated with a detection rate of 52.9%, which were mainly produced by \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{E. coli}. There were also 12 Gram-positive strains detected (15.8%); mainly methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} (MRSA) and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative \textit{Staphylococcus} (MRCNS). Four strains of \textit{Candida albicans} (5.26%) were also detected (Table 2).

### Drug resistance of pathogenic bacteria

The resistance rates of main Gram-negative bacilli to commonly used antibiotics are listed in Table 3. \textit{A. baumannii} had the highest resistance with 63.2%\% (95\% CI: 41.5–84.6) resistance against imipenem, compared with 33.3\% (95\% CI: 11.8–61.6) for \textit{P. aeruginosa}. \textit{K. pneumoniae} was sensitive to imipenem. Gram-positive bacteria were mainly MRSA and MRCNS, which were 100\% sensitive to vancomycin and teicoplanin.

### Comparison of antibiotic resistance of ESBL-producing and -nonproducing strains

The resistance rates of ESBL-producing strains toward third-generation cephalosporins, such as ceftazidime, cefoperazone, and cefotaxime, were significantly higher than in non-ESBL-producing strains, with resistance rates of 88.9\% (95\% CI: 51.8–99.7), 100\% (95\% CI: 66.4–100), and 100\% (95\% CI: 66.4–100), respectively ($\chi^2=7.137$, 7.969, 5.885, $P<0.05$). The resistance rates of ESBL-producing strains toward ciprofloxacin was significantly higher than for non-ESBL-producing strains (77.8\% [95\% CI: 40–97.2], 12.5\% [95\% CI: 0.3–52.7]) ($\chi^2=7.244$, $P<0.05$). However, the resistance rates of ESBL-producing strains against cefoxitin sodium and piperacillin/tazobactam were relatively low, 22.2\% (95\% CI: 2.8–60) and 11.1\% (95\% CI: 0.3–48.3) respectively. As listed in Table 4, no strains with resistance to imipenem were observed.

### Plasmid restriction endonuclease analysis

The restriction endonuclease digestion results of seven strains of ESBL-producing \textit{K. pneumoniae} showed that five strains had high homology, demonstrated by the same number and length of DNA fragments after digestion. This suggests that ESBL-producing \textit{K. pneumoniae} in the EICU had the same clonal origin. The remaining two strains had different enzyme digestion profiles (Figure 1).

### Discussion

VAP has a high incidence and mortality rate in both domestic and foreign hospitals, resulting in prolonged time in the ICU and increased duration of mechanical ventilation, as well as increased hospitalization cost. Reports showed that the incidence of VAP was 6\%–52\% or (1.6–52.7) cases/1,000 ventilator-days, with a mortality rate of 14\%–50\%. About 6–8 VAPs resulted in prolonged time in the ICU had the same clonal origin. The remaining two strains had different enzyme digestion profiles (Figure 1).
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Table 3 Antibiotic resistance of major Gram-negative bacilli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>A. baumannii (n=19)</th>
<th>P. aeruginosa (n=15)</th>
<th>K. pneumoniae (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazidime</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin/tazobactam sodium</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticarcillin/clavulanic acid</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone/sulbactam</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxin</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Comparison of antibiotic resistance of ESBL-producing and -nonproducing strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>ESBL-producing strains (n=9)</th>
<th>Non-ESBL-producing strains (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1+R (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazidime</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 (88.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxin</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin/tazobactam sodium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticarcillin/clavulanic acid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone/sulbactam</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase; I, intermediate; R, resistant; S, sensitive.

Figure 1 Plasmid restriction endonuclease fingerprinting of seven K. pneumoniae strains producing ESBLs.
Notes: Lanes 1–7, K. pneumoniae strains producing ESBLs; M, λDNA/HindIII marker.
Abbreviation: ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase.

and further improve the successful rescue rate of critically ill patients, has become one of the hot topics in medical science.

The pathogens of VAP are mostly Gram-negative bacilli, and VAP may be caused by colonization in the lower respiratory tract.10 The current study used PSB to monitor the bacteria in the lower respiratory tract secretions of 156 patients with mechanical ventilation. The results showed that Gram-negative bacilli accounted for 78.9% of pathogenic bacteria, followed by Gram-positive cocci (15.8%) and fungi (5.26%). There were many types of Gram-negative bacilli detected, which were different from nonhospital pathogens, with A. baumannii (25%), P. aeruginosa (19.7%), and K. pneumoniae (14.5%) as the most common ones. Chittawatanarat et al11 reported that the three most common VAP Gram-negative bacilli were A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae, and P. aeruginosa. Such results indicated different pathogenic bacteria and drug resistance patterns due to different prophylactic and empirical use of antibiotics in different areas.

A. baumannii is an opportunistic pathogen that is widely distributed in the hospital environment, on human skin, and in the respiratory tract, which can cause serious hospital infection in patients with low immunity. The current study showed that A. baumannii had high resistance to imipenem (63.2%) and cefotaxime (94.7%), while its resistance to cefoperazone/
β-lactamase has the disadvantage of inducing β-lactamase inhibitors. Clavulanic acid among ESBL-producing bacteria. Therefore, the antibacterial effects of compounds containing clavulanic acid are weaker than those of sulbactam or tazobactam. The results of the current study were consistent with such a theory. The resistance rates of ESBL-producing bacteria against sodium ticarcillin/clavulanic acid was as high as 100%, while the rates of resistance against piperacillin/tazobactam sodium and cefoperazone/sulbactam was 11.1% and 44.4%, respectively, with no resistance to imipenem, which can be used in clinical practice. As reported in the literature, plasmid-mediated ESBL production is often associated with other resistance genes, showing multidrug resistance, which was also supported by our results. The resistance rates of ESBL-producing strains against ciprofloxacin was 77.8%, and that of non-ESBL-producing strains was 12.5%, with a significant difference between the two groups.

Seven strains of ESBL-producing K. pneumoniae were detected in the current study. Five of these were collected from patients in the EICU from November 2012 to February 2013, with close time of occurrence, similar resistance profiles, and concentrated trend of the spatial distribution of the same clone strain, suggesting cross-contamination or an unknown common source of contamination existing in the EICU. To verify its homology, plasmid profiles were determined, showing a similar enzyme digestion profile in the five strains, indicating that bacteria with the same origin of clone caused this outbreak in the EICU. There are many reports of hospital infection caused by ESBL-producing bacteria, mostly occurring in the pediatric ward, ICU, and oncology ward. Taking the clinical conditions of the current study into consideration, risk factors that could lead to ESBL-producing bacterial infection include some of the factors that are described in the following. 1) Host factors: The nine patients infected by ESBL-producing bacteria were all old people with an average age of 67.9 years, who suffered degeneration of vital organ function and immunological defense ability complicated with multiple primary diseases (eg, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, tumor, or diabetes). 2) Environmental factors: Tenover and Hughes emphasized that environmental conditions often promote drug resistance gene mutations resulting in the emergence of new phenotypes. 3) Interventional factors: During mechanical ventilation, tracheal intubation disrupts the normal barrier of the epiglottis, weakens the cough reflex and ciliary movement, and reduces the defensive function of the upper respiratory tract. These series of events made the nasopharyngeal bacteria cumulate around the catheter balloon and
leak into the lung tissue with the retained secretion, causing pulmonary infection eventually. At the same time, the lung stores more than one-third of neutrophils outside the bone marrow and also secretes a variety of highly active cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor α and interleukin-β, which facilitate the spread of inflammation.21 4) Antibiotic factors: All patients with ESBL-producing bacterial infection received third-generation cephalosporins before bacterial isolation, especially ceftazidime and cefotaxime, indicating that the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics is an important selection factor for ESBL-producing bacteria. 5) Pathogen factors: ESBL-producing bacteria can be carried by plasmids, which can be transferred and diffused among different strains through conjugation, transformation, and transduction, resulting in widespread antibiotic resistance. In view of the high risk factors of infection caused by ESBL-producing bacteria, the rational use of antibiotics is an important means to fight multidrug-resistant bacteria. ESBL-producing bacteria usually colonize the gastrointestinal tract; therefore, selective decontamination of the digestive tract could be a control measure in these patients.23 Furthermore, improving disinfection and isolation measures, strict aseptic procedures, and barrier nursing are also important methods of reducing hospital infection.

Antibiotics selected for the initial empirical treatment of VAP should cover all possible pathogens, including A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, P. maltophilia, and MRSA, etc, to reduce the mortality of VAP. Most of the patients in this study were empirically treated with combined use of antibiotics. However, some patients failed because the antibiotic spectrum did not cover Staphylococcus, or the broad-spectrum antibiotics were ineffective against high-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Even if the antibiotics were adjusted according to the results of drug susceptibility, the prognosis of some patients remained unchanged. Therefore, monitoring bacterial resistance in VAP patients, timely understanding of bacterial distribution and drug resistance, and establishing the best empirical therapy strategy in this region is necessary in order to slow down the selective pressure of antibiotic resistance to bacteria, delay the emergence of bacterial resistance, and reduce the mortality of VAP. In view of the epidemic trend of multidrug-resistant bacterial infection, strengthening the management of ward environment, isolating patients with ESBL infections from those with no ESBLs infection, standardizing medical and nursing practices, and paying attention to the control of hospital infection links can block the occurrence and spread of drug-resistant bacteria.

**Conclusion**

Gram-negative bacteria were still the most important pathogens in VAP and had high resistance to antibiotics. ESBL-producing strains are mainly expressed by Enterobacteriaceae. Plasmids played an important role in the spread of antibiotic resistance among bacteria.
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