Risankizumab: an anti-IL-23 antibody for the treatment of psoriasis
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Abstract: Risankizumab, a fully human IgG monoclonal antibody inhibitor of IL-23, is a therapeutic agent currently in late stage development for use in the treatment of moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis. It is a biologic agent similar to guselkumab and tildrakizumab which targets IL-23 specifically, and has been primarily developed for use in moderate-to-severe psoriasis. USA-based pharmaceutical company Abbvie submitted it for a Biologics License Application to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in April 2018. Risankizumab is the result of a collaboration between the German company Boehringer Ingelheim and Abbvie, which together are leading the future development and commercialization of risankizumab globally. The results from Phase I to Phase III clinical trials of risankizumab show it is highly effective and its FDA-approval in 2018 is likely. In this article we provide an independent expert opinion on the efficacy and safety of risankizumab in psoriasis based on a full review of the literature.
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Introduction

Risankizumab is a fully human IgG monoclonal antibody that binds with high affinity to the p19 sub-unit of IL-23 (IL-23A). IL-23 has two subunits, p19 and p40. The p19 subunit is specific to IL-23 whereas the p40 subunit is present on IL-12 in addition to IL-23. IL-23 plays a major role in controlling inflammation in peripheral tissues, particularly in type 1-polarized T cell immune responses. This is of particular relevance in psoriasis as it is known to be a T-cell-driven disease, type-1 cells are seen in excess numbers both in the lesional skin and peripheral circulation of psoriasis patients. The pathogenesis of psoriasis is multifactorial with the immune system, environmental factors, and genetics all playing major roles. Variants in the genes encoding the IL-23 receptor and the IL-23 p19 subunit (IL-23A) have been shown to be associated with potential for developing plaque psoriasis. IL-23 functions by triggering the differentiation of TH-17 and TH-22 cells which in turn trigger the inflammatory cascade responsible for the development of psoriatic lesions. TH-17 cells produce IL-17 which causes upregulation of a self-amplifying inflammatory response in keratinocytes within pre-psoriatic skin. IL-17 functions by recruiting leukocytes to psoriatic lesions and through induction of IL-19 and/or IL-36 activates STAT3, resulting in epidermal hyperplasia which results in plaque formation. Thus, by targeting IL-23, risankizumab inhibits the key inflammatory cascade which results in the production of IL-17.

Phase I clinical study

The Phase I double-blind, single-rising-dose, placebo-controlled clinical trial revealed excellent safety and efficacy of risankizumab in the treatment of plaque...
Thirty-nine patients aged between 18–75 years with moderate-to-severe chronic plaque psoriasis for at least 6 months, a body surface area involvement of 10% or greater, a Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) score of 12 or greater, a Static Physician Global Assessment score of moderate or greater, and a body mass index of at least 18.5 but less than 40 were included in the study. Patients were randomized to receive a single dose of intravenous risankizumab, subcutaneous risankizumab or placebo. Twenty-four patients were assigned to the intravenous cohort and 15 to the subcutaneous cohort, thereafter, patients were assigned to one of seven sequential dose cohorts. In the first six cohorts, patients were randomly allocated to receive ascending single doses of risankizumab (0.01, 0.05, 0.25, 1, 3, or 5 mg/kg) or matching placebo intravenously. Three patients per cohort received risankizumab and one received placebo. The seventh patient cohort was randomly allocated to receive one of two parallel doses, 0.25 mg/kg and 1 mg/kg, of risankizumab or matching placebo subcutaneously. Of these, seven patients received 0.25 mg/kg, six patients received 1 mg/kg, and two patients received placebo subcutaneously.

At week 12, 87%, 58%, and 16% of patients receiving risankizumab achieved a reduction in PASI score of 75%, 90%, and 100%, respectively (Figure 1). In contradiction, no patient receiving placebo at week 12 had a reduction in PASI score of greater than 75%. At 24 weeks, among patients receiving risankizumab intravenously or subcutaneously at doses of 0.25 mg/kg and greater (n=25), 84%, 60%, and 36% achieved PASI scores 75, 90, and 100, respectively. Decreases in PASI score were seen as early as week 2 after initiation of treatment. Risankizumab treatment was also associated with reduction in the expression of genes and proteins associated with the IL-17/IL-23 axis. Of interest, the gene expression profile of psoriatic lesions showed an important trend of normalization similar to that of non-lesional skin after treatment.

In terms of safety, 24 weeks after initiation of treatment, 65% of patients (20/31) receiving risankizumab intravenously or subcutaneously had an adverse event compared to 88% of patients (7/8) receiving placebo. Of note, the severity of adverse events did not correlate with the dose of the risankizumab. Most commonly reported adverse events were upper respiratory infections, nasopharyngitis, and headache, side effects classically seen with all biologic agents for psoriasis. Four serious adverse events occurred in patients on risankizumab (alcoholic pancreatitis, ischemic thalamic stroke, transient ischemic attack, and polymyositis), but none were considered to be related to the study drug. Thus, the Phase I trial revealed that a single dose of risankizumab (intravenous or subcutaneous) is well-tolerated by the majority of patients and can effectively target the IL-23 pathway to induce substantial clinical improvements in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis.

Another anti-IL-23 antibody, ustekinumab, binds to the p40 subunit of IL-23 and thereby targets both IL-12 and IL-23. Ustekinumab has been shown to have significant efficacy and safety in the treatment of psoriasis. However, IL-23 is believed to play a more significant and critical role in the
immunopathogenesis of psoriasis than IL-12. A comparison between risankizumab and ustekinumab was performed to test the relative efficacy between selective IL-23 inhibition via the p19 subunit and blockade of both IL-12 and IL-23 via the p40 subunit.12,13

**Phase II clinical study**

In the Phase II clinical comparison trial between risankizumab and ustekinumab, 166 patients with a PASI score of 12 or higher were randomly assigned to receive subcutaneous injections of risankizumab (a single 18 mg dose at week 0 or 90 mg or 180 mg doses at weeks 0, 4, and 16) or ustekinumab (45 or 90 mg based on weight greater or less than 100 kg at weeks 0, 4, and 16).12 At week 12, the percentage of patients with a 90% or greater reduction in PASI score was 77% in the risankizumab group and 40% in the ustekinumab group (Figure 2).12 At week 24, the percentage of patients who achieved at least 75% reduction in PASI score was 53% in the 18 mg risankizumab group, 90% in the 90 mg risankizumab group, and 88% in the 180 mg risankizumab group, as compared with 70% in the ustekinumab group (Figure 2). Papp et al showed that improvements in PASI score were seen as early as week 2 in both the ustekinumab and risankizumab groups, with the onset of activity with risankizumab being faster and the duration of effect longer.12 Histopathologically, after 4 weeks, 54% and 69% of the patients in the 90 mg and 180 mg risankizumab groups, respectively, had assessments graded as indicating “excellent improvement”, as compared with 29% of the patients in the ustekinumab group.12 Patient-reported outcomes in the study also revealed that risankizumab was more effective than ustekinumab in reducing joint pain in patients with psoriatic arthritis as well as improving scalp, palmar/plantar, and fingernail psoriasis, all of which are associated with significant quality-of-life issues in these patients.12 At week 12, 72% of patients treated with risankizumab 90 or 180 mg achieved a Dermatology Life Quality Index score of 0 or 1, compared with 53% treated with ustekinumab.12

This study was not large enough or long enough to adequately assess the safety of risankizumab, but risankizumab was shown to be well-tolerated and to have a safety profile similar to that of ustekinumab with no serious drug-related adverse events and no increase in drug-related events associated with increased dosages.12 Overall, these data suggest that selectively targeting the p19 subunit with risankizumab provides more complete blockade of IL-23 activity and greater efficacy in treating moderate-to-severe psoriasis than blockade of the p40 subunit with ustekinumab.7

Although both the Phase I and Phase II clinical trials of risankizumab have had relatively small sample sizes and short exposure times, the safety data to date have been reassuring. The most common adverse events were mild and not believed to be directly associated with risankizumab treatment. However, because of the lack of large-scale, long-term data thus far, the rate of uncommon adverse events and those events that may develop only after extended therapy are unknown.13 Regarding major adverse cardiac events (MACEs) which have been described with ustekinumab,14 in the Phase I study, cerebrovascular adverse events of ischemic thalamic stroke, and transient ischemic attack were reported in patients with
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**Figure 2: Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) response rates at week 12 in Phase II clinical trial.**

**Note:** Data from Papp et al.13
other pre-existing risk factors. In the Phase II trial, one patient with myocardial infarction and one patient with a cerebrovascular accident (on the day after elective surgery for a pre-existing cerebral aneurysm) were reported. It is well-known that cardiovascular disease in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis has a higher incidence than in patients without psoriasis, so it is quite possible that these MACEs were derived from the underlying disease process and not specifically related to the treatment itself. Additionally, risankizumab is being studied for use in the treatment of Crohn’s disease, where higher therapeutic doses have been used as is the case with ustekinumab treatment for Crohn’s disease. No dose-dependent association with adverse events has been detected to date.

Phase III clinical studies
The Phase III clinical trial IMMhance examined the efficacy and safety of use of risankizumab in the treatment of chronic plaque psoriasis. The findings of the initial 16-week placebo-controlled period of this trial in patients with moderate-to-severe chronic plaque psoriasis revealed that at week 16, PASI 90 was achieved by 73.2% of the treatment group and 2.0% of placebo group (Figure 3). Three malignancies were reported in the risankizumab group and one MACE was reported in the placebo group. All events were considered as unlikely to be related to the study drug. Two Phase III clinical trials, UltIMMA-1 and UltIMMA-2, further investigated the use of risankizumab in this population. Patients were randomized to receive risankizumab 150 mg, ustekinumab 45 or 90 mg (depending on weight) or placebo at weeks 0 and 4. At week 16, the placebo group was switched to risankizumab, which was then administered to each group at weeks 16, 28, and 40. At week 16, in UltIMMA-1, PASI 90 was achieved by 75.3% of patients receiving risankizumab and 4.9% receiving placebo (Figure 3). In UltIMMA-2, these percentages were 74.8% and 2% respectively (Figure 3). Furthermore, at week 52, in UltIMMA-1, PASI 90 was achieved by 81.9%, 44.0%, and 78.4% in the risankizumab, ustekinumab, and cross-over groups respectively. These percentages were 80.6%, 50.5%, and 85.1% in the same groups in UltIMMA-2. In terms of safety, one patient was reported to have latent tuberculosis and one patient had seroconversion without latent disease. There was one sudden cardiac death and one myocardial infarction. All adverse events were considered non-study drug related. There was one death 161 days after the last dose of the study drug in the risankizumab group, a major adverse event considered to fall outside of the treatment-emergent window.

Conclusion
The understanding of the crucial role of IL-17 and specifically IL-23 in the immunopathogenesis of psoriasis has led to a major change in our psoriasis therapeutic arsenal. The increased efficacy of IL-23 agents has made PASI 90 and PASI 100 the preponderant endpoints in clinical trials as compared to the prior PASI 50 and PASI 75 scores. Guselkumab, the initial FDA-approved anti-IL-23 agent has also shown impressive clinical efficacy and no new safety signals to date, with risankizumab showing similar efficacy in clinical trials. Nevertheless, long-term safety of risankizumab as well as other biologic agents in the IL-23 class is yet to be determined.
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**Figure 3** Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) 90 response rates at week 16 in Phase III clinical trial. **Note:** Data from Blauvelt et al and Gordon et al.
Risankizumab is likely to be FDA-approved in 2018. It has all the characteristics to be an important and promising new biologic therapy for the treatment of moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, specifically due to its ability to limit the activity of the crucial IL-23/IL-17 inflammatory cascade.
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