Celecoxib suppresses cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma cell migration via inhibition of SDF1-induced endocytosis of CXCR4
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Background: Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (CSCC), the main type of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC), contributes to 20-30% of the overall number of NMSC cases. Some CSCCs are observed to have metastatic potential induced by solar ultra violet (UV) radiation. Celecoxib, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, has been largely associated with prevention of many cancer types. However, the relationship between celecoxib and CSCC cell migration has yet to be determined.

Methods: To determine the association between celecoxib and CSCC, we performed a series of studies in human samples and in vitro models to assess the influence of celecoxib in CSCC cell migration.

Results: In the present study, we found that celecoxib suppresses CSCC cell migration via inhibition of SDF1-induced endocytosis of CXCR4. In addition, ERK/AKT signaling pathways were found to play a key role in this biological process.

Conclusion: Our study provides promising evidence that celecoxib could serve as a potential preventative agent for the metastasis of CSCC cells.
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Introduction
Nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC) is the most prevalent human cancer and affects more than 3 million people worldwide. Cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma (CSCC), the main type of NMSC, contributes to 20%–30% of the overall number of NMSC cases. Previous studies have shown that incidence of CSCC is increasing by 10%–12% per year around the world.¹ A retrospective analysis has reported that about 4% of CSCC cases have poor prognosis, due to metastasis and local recurrence.² It has been well established that there is a direct correlation between solar ultraviolet (UV) radiation and the metastasis of CSCC.³ The increased incidence and morbidity rates of CSCC have generated great interest in conducting further research on the relationship between UV radiation and CSCC metastasis.

SDF1, also known as CXCL12, is a member of the chemokine subfamily and a specific ligand for CXCR4 and CXCR7.⁴ It is known that SDF1 binds to CXCR4 and regulates directional invasion of many types of cancer cells to certain organs, such as the lymph nodes, lungs, liver, and bone marrow, all of which express high levels of SDF1. Constitutive endocytosis is implicated in the regulation of CXCR4 membrane expression and is also associated with cell migration.⁵ Furthermore, deletion of the carboxyl terminal domain of CXCR4 abrogates SDF1-induced endocytosis.⁶ Therefore, the SDF1–CXCR4 axis is critical in the metastasis of various types of tumor cells.
Celecoxib, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, has drawn much attention, due to its preventive role in many cancers, including colon, prostate, and breast cancers. In the development of CSCC, UV radiation is the main factor and causes skin-cell damage associated with Cox2 and prostaglandins, which can be inhibited by these nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. However, there have been no consistent conclusions in epidemiological studies or clinical research on the relationships between celecoxib and CSCC, especially the mechanisms by which celecoxib affects metastatic CSCC.

To investigate the association between celecoxib and CSCC further, we performed a series of studies in human samples and in vitro models to assess the influence of celecoxib in CSCC-cell migration. Moreover, we identified the mechanisms and intracellular signaling cascade underlying the protective role of celecoxib in CSCC. Herein, our results indicate that celecoxib suppresses CSCC-cell migration via inhibition of SDF1-induced endocytosis of CXCR4. In addition, ERK–Akt signaling pathways play a key role in this biological process. Our study provides promising evidence that celecoxib could be a potential preventive agent in the metastasis of CSCC cells.

**Materials and methods**

### Chemicals and antibodies

MDC, AMD3100, celecoxib, and an anti-CXCR4 antibody were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Additional antibodies used in these experiments included anti-SDF1 (1:1,000, #3530), anti-β-actin (1:5,000, #3700) from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Anti-β-actin (1:5,000, #3700) from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Anti-β-actin (1:1,000, #9272), anti-pAkt Ser473 (1:1,000, #4060), and anti-pERK1/2 (1:250) antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA). Recombinant human SDF1 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and reconstituted to 100 µg/mL in sterile PBS containing 0.1% BSA.

### Immunohistochemistry

Normal human-tissue and cancer-tissue sections were obtained from the Department of Dermatology at the First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University with institutional review board approval. Immunohistochemical staining was performed as described previously.

### Cell culture and cell-viability assay

The CSCC cell lines A431 and SCL1 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin–glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C. Cytotoxicity of celecoxib was tested by Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) assay. In brief, A431 cells (2x10⁵/well) were plated in each well of a 96-well plate with 100 µL medium. After culture with different concentrations of celecoxib for 12 hours, cell viability was assessed using CCK8 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. UV-radiation procedures have been described previously.

### Western blotting

Methods have been described previously. In brief, after each treatment, whole-cell lysates were prepared by sonication in Celllytic MT buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) with protease/phosphatase inhibitors (Cell Signaling Technology) and cleared by centrifugation. Samples consisting of 40 µg proteins were resolved on a denaturing 4%–20% SDS-PAGE gel (Bio-Rad) and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes by electroblotting.

### Quantitative real-time PCR

Cells were collected and total RNA extracted from 10⁶ cells using Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and treatment with an RNasea clean-up kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was then reverse-transcribed and the resulting cDNA quantified via quantitative real-time (qRT) PCR with SYBR green (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qRT-PCR results were calculated and normalized to GAPDH, an internal control, using the ∆∆Ct method.

### Chemotaxis assay

A431 cells were harvested, washed with PBS twice, and resuspended in FBS-free DMEM. Prepared cells (10⁶/200 µL/well) were plated in the upper chambers of transwell inserts (8 µm pores; Corning) and the lower chambers filled with different treatment solutions. Following a 12-hour incubation period, inserts were removed from the plate. Cells that had migrated were stained with Hema 3 fixative and solutions according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Adherent cells were then counted under microscopy.

### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

After different treatments, supernatants were centrifuged and collected. SDF1 levels in culture supernatants were measured
with an SDF1 ELISA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Optical absorbance was measured at 450 nm in a multimode microplate reader (BioTek) at room temperature. SDF1 levels were calculated using the standard curve from the experiment.

**Endocytosis assay of chemokine receptor CXCR4**

In a kinetic assay of cell-surface expression of CXCR4, A431 cells were collected at different time points, where the reaction was stopped with an ice-cold PBS wash. To assess receptor endocytosis, cells were stained with the CXCR4 antibody and analyzed with flow cytometry (FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) using CellQuest software.

**Statistical analysis**

All results were analyzed using unpaired Student’s *t*-tests and expressed as mean ± SEM of at least three separate experiments. *P*-values shown in figure legends or graphs were calculated using Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Results**

**SDF1 expression upregulated in human CSCC, and UV radiation stimulated SDF1 release and increased SDF1 expression in CSCC cells**

SDF1 is known as a key regulator in cancer-cell migration. To assess SDF1 expression in CSCC, we performed immunohistochemistry on normal human skin and CSCC samples. We found that SDF1 expression was significantly upregulated in CSCC samples (Figure 1A). To determine whether UV radiation altered SDF1 release and expression in CSCC cells, SCL1 and A431 cells were challenged with UVB at different intensities (0, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 30 mJ/cm²) and subjected to quantitative analyses. Western blot analysis revealed that protein levels of SDF1 were robustly increased by UVB radiation in CSCC cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1B and C). To verify SDF1 release by UVB radiation in CSCC cells, SCL1 and A431 cells were challenged with UVB at different intensities (0, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 30 mJ/cm²) and subjected to quantitative analyses. Subsequent analysis by qRT-PCR in both cell lines showed that UVB radiation led to an increase in SDF1 expression (Figure 1F and G). Taken together, these results show that UV radiation alters SDF1 release and increases the expression of SDF1 in CSCC cells.

**SDF1-induced cell migration in CSCC cells mediated by CXCR4 endocytosis**

To define the effect of SDF1 in CSCC-cell migration and its underlying mechanism further, cell migration was examined by chemotaxis assay in response to SDF1 with/without MDC or AMD3100. As expected, SDF1 largely induced CSCC-cell migration in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A and B). MDC, an endocytosis inhibitor, significantly attenuated the cell migration induced by SDF1 (Figure 2A and C). Similar results were found in the group with cotreatment of AMD310, a CXCR4 inhibitor (Figure 2A and D). In addition, we examined the effect of CCX771, a specific inhibitor of CXCR7, on cell migration induced by SDF1, but did not observe a significant difference when compared to the control group (data not shown). To verify whether SDF1 affected endocytosis of CXCR4 in CSCC cells further, we challenged A431 cells with SDF1 at different doses and assessed CXCR4 expression on the plasma membrane. SDF1 notably induced CXCR4 endocytosis in CSCC cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3A and B). These results suggest that CXCR4 endocytosis is the key regulator in CSCC-cell migration induced by SDF1.

**Celecoxib abrogated SDF1-induced CSCC-cell migration by CXCR4-endocytosis inhibition**

SDF1 was observed to increase CSCC-cell migration via CXCR4 endocytosis. We first tested the effect of celecoxib on CSCC-cell viability. CCK8-assay results demonstrated that celecoxib did not affect the viability of CSCC cells in 12 hours (Figure 2F). To address the relative role of celecoxib in this process further, we challenged A431 cells with SDF1 at different doses with celecoxib. Celecoxib robustly abrogated the CSCC-cell migration induced by SDF1 (Figure 2A and E). In addition, we observed that CXCR4 endocytosis was suppressed by cotreatment with celecoxib, indicating the inhibitory influence of celecoxib on SDF1-induced endocytosis of CXCR4 (Figure 3C and D).

**Celecoxib inhibited SDF1-induced endocytosis of CXCR4 via ERK–Akt signaling pathways in CSCC cells**

Our aforementioned results demonstrated that SDF1-induced CSCC-cell migration was regulated by CXCR4 endocytosis. In addition, recent studies have implicated ERK- and Akt-signaling pathways as key factors in cancer-cell migration.18,19 To delineate underlying mechanisms and signaling pathways mediating SDF1-induced cell
migration further, we examined whether SDF1 induced cell migration via ERK–Akt pathways. A431 and SCL1 cells were pretreated with MDC, a CXCR4-neutralizing antibody, or AMD3100 prior to SDF1 challenge. After treatment, cells were collected and subjected to Western blot analysis. Upon treatment of SDF1, both ERK and Akt pathways were activated. Moreover, this activation was abrogated in response to treatment with MDC, 

Figure 1 Ultraviolet (UV) radiation induced SDF1 secretion and increased the expression of SDF1 in cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma (CSCC) cells. 
Notes: (A) Immunohistochemical analysis of SDF1 in normal skin (NS) and CSCC samples (n=5). CSCC cell lines (A431 and SCL1) were exposed to UV radiation at indicated doses and cultured in complete medium for an additional 12 hours (Magnification: ×40; scale bar: 100 µm). Cell lysates (B, C) and supernatants (D, E) were collected and subjected to Western blot analysis and ELISA for SDF1 expression and release, respectively. mRNA levels of SDF1 (F, G) were assessed by reverse-transcription PCR. Results expressed as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. ****p < 0.0001 compared to control groups by unpaired Student’s t-tests.
Figure 2 Celecoxib suppressed SDF1-induced cell migration in squamous-cell carcinoma (CSCC) cells.

Notes: CSCC cells (A431) were pretreated with MDC (10 µM) or AMD3100 (100 nM) for 1 hour prior to SDF1 challenge at indicated doses for 12 hours. A431 cells were also challenged with SDF1 at different doses with celecoxib (100 µM). Cell migration was examined with Boyden assays. (A) Representative figures of migrated cells in each group at different conditions (Magnification: ×40; scale bar: 100 µm). (B) Quantification of migrated cells showed that SDF1 induced cell migration in a dose-dependent manner. MDC, AMD3100, and celecoxib (C–E) abrogated the effect of SDF1 in CSCC-cell migration. (F) Effects of celecoxib on the viability of A431 cells. A431 cells were treated with different concentrations of celecoxib as indicated, and cell viability was measured with CCK8 assays after 12 hour. Results expressed as mean ± SEM/microscopic field (n=3). **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 compared to SDF1 groups by unpaired Student’s t-tests.

Abbreviation: ns, not significant.

CXCR4-neutralizing antibody, or AMD3100 (Figure 4A and B). CSCC cells were also treated with SDF1 in the presence or absence of celecoxib for 24 hours. Similar effects were observed when cells were cotreated with celecoxib (Figure 4C and D). These results revealed that ERK–Akt pathways played a critical role in the inhibitory effect of celecoxib in SDF1-induced CSCC-cell migration and CXCR4 endocytosis.
Discussion
Most fatalities caused by CSCC are related to its local recurrence and metastatic characteristics. Much effort has been devoted to identifying novel chemicals that may help protect against and prevent CSCC metastasis. The present study aimed to investigate whether celecoxib is a potential preventive agent of metastasis in CSCC cells and to identify the underlying mechanisms.

SDF1 is well known for its biological actions in cell migration, proliferation, and survival in a variety of cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma and prostate cancer. CXCR4, a chemokine receptor of SDF1, is overexpressed in several cancers and plays an important role in cell migration and tumor metastasis. The SDF1–CXCR4 axis has been reported to regulate the migration and localization of melanoblasts in mouse hair follicles. Our present study found that SDF1 expression was significantly upregulated in human CSCC and UV radiation induced a robust secretion of SDF1 in CSCC cells and also elevated protein levels of SDF1 in these cells. In addition, the effects of UV-induced SDF1 on the migration of CSCC cells were examined. Results demonstrated that migrated cells were significantly increased when treated with SDF1, and this effect was largely attenuated by treatment with a CXCR4 inhibitor and endocytosis inhibitor. Most importantly, celecoxib inhibited the cell migration induced by SDF1 via suppression of the endocytosis of CXCR4 in CSCC cells.

Celecoxib, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory Cox2 inhibitor, has been indicated in a phase II trial potentially to reduce the number of tumors in certain skin cancers. However, the clinical therapy of celecoxib for skin cancer is limited by concerns about adverse events observed in the gastrointestinal system, cardiovascular system, kidneys, and liver. COX2 is the main enzyme critical in the conversion of arachidonic acid to PGE,

Figure 3 Celecoxib repressed SDF1-induced endocytosis of CXCR4 in cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma (CSCC) cells.
Notes: CSCC cells (a431) were challenged with SDF1 at different doses with or without celecoxib (100 µM) and expression of CXCR4 on plasma membrane was determined by flow cytometry. (A) Representative images of flow-cytometry analysis show that SDF1 induced CXCR4 endocytosis in CSCC cells after 1 minute. (B) SDF1 induced CXCR4 endocytosis in a dose-dependent manner. (C) Data represent percentage of CXCR4 expression on plasma membrane under different treatments. (D) Celecoxib repressed SDF1-induced reduction of CXCR4 in CSCC cells. Results expressed as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.001, ***P<0.0001 compared to control group by unpaired Student’s t-tests.
Figure 4 Celecoxib inhibited SDF1-induced activation of Akt–ERK signaling pathways.

Notes: Cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma (CSCC) cells (A431 and SCL1) were pretreated with MDC (10 µM), CXCR4-neutralizing antibody, or AMD3100 (100 nM) for 1 hour prior to SDF1 (100 ng/mL) challenge for 24 hours. At the end of treatment, activation of pERK, pAkt, Akt, and β-actin was analyzed by Western blot (A, B). CSCC cells (A431 and SCL1) were treated with SDF1 (100 ng/mL) with or without celecoxib (100 µM) for 24 hours (C, D). Levels of pERK and pAkt were quantified and normalized to β-actin and Akt, respectively. Results shown as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared to SDF1 group by unpaired Student’s t-tests.
celecoxib in metastatic CSCCs and the mechanisms underlying this effect remain poorly understood. Herein, we tested the relevance of celecoxib in the regulation of SDF1-induced cell migration and endocytosis of CXCR4. Moreover, we demonstrated that SDF1-induced cell migration of CSCC cells was mediated by the activation of ERK–Akt pathways, which was largely attenuated by cotreatment with celecoxib. These findings are in accordance with the fact that the ERK-signaling pathway is essential in cell proliferation, cell survival, and cell migration.

In conclusion, our results show that SDF1 is notably upregulated in human CSCC and that UV radiation increases the secretion and protein levels of SDF1 in CSCC cells. Additionally, celecoxib attenuates SDF1-induced cell migration of CSCC cells by inhibiting endocytosis of CXCR4 via ERK–Akt signaling pathways (Figure 5). Here, we have uncovered a crucial role of celecoxib in cell migration in CSCC, suggesting its potential value as a novel therapeutic of CSCC.
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