Complex calculation or quick glance? Mean platelet volume – new predictive marker for pulmonary embolism

Anna Lipinska
Anna Ledakowicz-Polak
Grzegorz Krauza
Katarzyna Przybylak
Marzenna Zielinska

Intensive Cardiac Therapy Clinic,
Department of Interventional
Cardiology and Electrophysiology,
Central Clinical Hospital, Lodz, Poland

Background: Wells and Geneva scores are widely used in the assessment of pretest probability of pulmonary embolism (PE).

Objective: The objective of this study was to examine the hypothesis that mean platelet volume (MPV) may better predict PE than the clinical prediction rules.

Methods: A study was performed among patients with PE. Baseline characteristics and complete blood counts including MPV were prospectively recorded upon admission. To assess clinical probability in patients with PE risk, we used Wells and Geneva scores.

Results: Data records of 136 patients (males: 44%) with median age of 66 years (interquartile range [IQR] 57.5–78.0) diagnosed with PE at the Intensive Cardiac Therapy Clinic in Lodz (Poland) were analyzed. Baseline characteristics indicate that patients suffered from arterial hypertension (65%), obesity (32%), and diabetes mellitus (24%). Furthermore, they reported active smoking (21%), prolonged immobilization (20%), major surgery (21%), pregnancy (4%), and oral contraceptives (9%). Patients presented with various symptoms. The MPV, plateletcrit, and D-dimer values on admission were respectively as follows: 10.71 (IQR 3.29–13.67), 0.2 (IQR 0.15–0.24), and 9.23 (IQR 8.5–9.85). The study revealed that Wells score correlated significantly with an elevated MPV value ($P < 0.05$) per contra to Geneva score ($P > 0.05$). According to our results, there is a lack of coherence between Wells and Geneva scores ($P > 0.05$). Finally, we determined that the optimum MPV level cutoff point for PE on admission with reference to the original Wells score is 9.6 fL.

Conclusion: MPV may be considered useful as an adjunctive or independent predictive marker for PE used in lieu of clinical prediction rules.

Keywords: pulmonary embolism, mean platelet volume, Wells score, Geneva score

Introduction

Over the past years, pulmonary embolism (PE) has been consistently associated with a high mortality rate of 15%–20%, and it consequently places a heavy burden on economics in multiple countries.¹ Despite a great progress in PE management in the past few decades, there is still room for a predictive evaluation improvement. Both European and US guidelines recommend more aggressive treatment for high-risk patients for early mortality.² Therefore, searching for new predictive markers for PE is essential.

The clinical manifestation of PE is often ambiguous; therefore, clinical physicians should particularly pay attention to suspected PE and use validated scores to assess pretest probability in patients with PE risk. The diagnostic algorithm should always be preceded by one of the following clinical scoring systems: original and modified

Correspondence: Anna Lipinska
Intensive Cardiac Therapy Clinic,
Department of Interventional Cardiology
and Electrophysiology, Central Clinical
Hospital, Pomorska 251, 92-213 Lodz,
Poland
Tel +48 60 422 3001
Email a.lipinska.a@gmail.com

Table 1 Clinical prediction rules for PE

Items	Clinical decision rule points	
	Original version	Simplified version
Wells rule		
• Previous PE or DVT	1.5	1
• Heart rate ≥ 100 bpm	1.5	1
• Surgery or immobilization within the past 4 weeks	1.5	1
• Hemoptysis	1	1
• Active cancer	1	1
• Clinical signs of DVT	1	1
• Alternative diagnosis less likely than PE	1	1
Clinical probability – two-level score		
PE unlikely	0–4	0–1
PE likely	≥ 5	≥ 2
Revised Geneva score		
• Previous PE or DVT	3	1
• Heart rate		
◦ 75–94 bpm	3	1
◦ ≥ 95 bpm	5	2
• Surgery or fracture within the past month	2	1
• Hemoptysis	2	1
• Active cancer	2	1
• Unilateral lower limb pain	3	1
• Pain on lower limb deep venous palpation and unilateral edema	4	1
• Age >65 years	1	1
Clinical probability – two-level score		
PE unlikely	0–5	0–2
PE likely	≥ 6	≥ 3

Abbreviations: DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; bpm, beats per minute.

Wells scoring systems or revised Geneva score (Table 1).² The Wells score is the most widely used clinical prediction rule to suspect PE and is considered the most accurate one.^{3,4} However, we should bear in mind that both the scores are based on various clinical variables, each with different points assigned, and therefore miscalculations may occur frequently.

On account of a growing need for the additional predictive markers for PE, an interest in platelet indices has aroused, particularly with regard to mean platelet volume (MPV). It may better reflect the platelet function when compared to the platelet count itself. Elevation of MPV may indicate increase in platelet production and activation. Furthermore, larger platelets are younger, contain more granules, and

have a greater thrombogenic potential. They produce more prothrombotic substances such as thromboxane A₂, serotonin, b-thromboglobulin, p-selectin, and glycoprotein IIIa, which cumulatively can hyperactivate platelets and subsequently accelerate their turnover.^{5,6} Prior studies proved the association between increased MPV level and coronary artery disease (CAD) risk factors (eg, smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia), renal failure, and acute myocardial infarction.^{7–9} The best solution would be to discover the ideal predictive marker for PE. Undoubtedly, MPV is not specific for diagnosing PE; however, it may be useful in the initial estimation of PE risk.

Literature evidence lacks the MPV predictive value in PE. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine whether MPV may be used as an equivalent of clinical prediction rules or rather an adjunctive predictive marker for PE. We believe that it may be a great chance for patients suffering from PE to improve survival rate. Perhaps, MPV may become an easy and fast predictive tool which in the future may be a part of the standard protocol for patients with PE.

Methods

Study design and population

A single-center, prospective study was based on the 136 consecutive patients with PE. To enroll the patients in our study, the diagnosis of PE had to be confirmed with computerized tomography angiography (CTA) which is considered a noninvasive gold standard in PE detection. Patients were hospitalized at the Intensive Cardiac Therapy Clinic in Lodz, Poland. Due to the fact that patients suffering from PE may present with various symptoms, we decided not to establish any other stringent selection criteria.

All blood samples were collected at admission in standardized dipotassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes and tested within 2 hours to minimize variations due to sample aging. The blood counts were determined using automated hematology analyzers (analyzer XN 1000; Sysmex, Kobe, Japan).

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Bioethics Committee of Medical University of Lodz. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients before participating in the study.

Definitions and analyses

PE refers to the most serious clinical manifestation of deep venous thromboembolism (DVT) and is defined as an obstruction of a pulmonary artery or one of its branches. Pressure overload may lead to right ventricular (RV) failure which is considered the primary cause of death in PE.²

Wells and Geneva scores are the clinical prediction rules frequently used for a PE clinical probability assessment. Both the scores are calculated from points assigned to each of the criteria and allow physicians to delineate level of PE risk.²

Baseline characteristics such as gender, age, main symptoms, and risk factors but also complete blood counts including MPV and D-dimer were prospectively recorded upon admission and subsequently collected in a dedicated database. For the pretest probability assessment of PE, we used dichotomized Wells and Geneva scores. PE diagnosis was considered likely in case of original Wells score ≥ 5 , modified Wells score ≥ 2 , and revised Geneva score ≥ 3 . We evaluated MPV and D-dimer values in all patients and correlated them with PE risk according to Wells or Geneva scores. Furthermore, we checked the coherence between Wells score (original and modified) and revised Geneva score. Additionally, we determined the best MPV value cutoff point for high-risk PE.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were summarized as frequencies with percentage. Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the normal distribution of variables. Non-parametric statistics were used when variables had other than normal distribution. Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, whereas those with other than normal distribution were expressed as medians with interquartile range (IQR). Correlations were assessed by using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Differences between continuous variables were compared by using Mann–Whitney *U* test, whereas differences between categorical variables were compared by chi-squared test with Yates's correction for continuity. To assess the suitability of MPV values in PE probability estimation, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed.

All statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA 12.5 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients were admitted via PE protocol to Intensive Cardiac Therapy Clinic in Lodz, Poland. After considering the inclusion criterion, which is a positive CTA scan, we established a PE diagnosis and enrolled 136 patients in our study. Based on the pretest probability assessment of PE, complete blood counts, and D-dimer, we focused on the correlation of MPV value with PE risk evaluated previously using Wells and Geneva scores.

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of patients with PE

Variable	Participants (n=136)
Age, years	66 (58–78)
Age > 40 years	127 (93)
Female sex	76 (56)
Arterial hypertension	88 (65)
Diabetes mellitus	32 (24)
Obesity	44 (32)
Current smoking	29 (21)
Injury	19 (14)
Recent major surgery	28 (21)
Prolonged immobilization	27 (20)
Prior stroke	12 (9)
Cancer	14 (10)
Cancer at diagnosis	4 (3)
Pregnancy	3 (2)
Oral contraceptives use	7 (5)

Note: Data are expressed as median (interquartile range) or number (%).

Abbreviation: PE, pulmonary embolism.

Table 2 presents the baseline characteristics. Our study included 76 women (56%) and 60 men (44%) with median age of 66 years (IQR 57.5–78.0). Patients suffered from arterial hypertension (65%) and diabetes mellitus (24%) and one-third were found to be obese (32%). Furthermore, patients reported active smoking (21%), prolonged immobilization (20%), and recent major surgery (21%). Pregnancy and oral contraceptives use were confirmed in 4% and 9% of women, respectively.

Patients with confirmed PE developed various symptoms. The most common were fatigue (73%), dyspnea (60%), chest pain (44%), and syncope (24%); however, cough (17%), pleuric pain (3%), and hemoptysis (2%) were observed as well (Table 3).

As anticipated, patients presenting with PE had an elevated D-dimer value on admission with a median of 10.71 (IQR 3.29–13.67). Moreover, we observed an increase in

Table 3 Symptoms at admission of patients with PE

Symptom	Participants (n=136)
Dyspnea	82 (60)
Cough	23 (17)
Chest pain	60 (44)
Pleuric pain	4 (3)
Hemoptysis	3 (2)
Fatigue	99 (73)
Syncope	33 (24)

Note: Data are expressed as number (%).

Abbreviation: PE, pulmonary embolism.

Table 4 Clinical variables

Variable	Median	IQR	Minimum	Maximum
Platelet count, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$	220	159–257	30	522
MPV (fL)	9.23	8.5–9.85	7.4	12.1
PDW (fL)	16.17	14.3–17.8	10	23
PCT (%)	0.197	0.15–0.24	0.00	0.43
D-dimer ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	6.28	3.29–13.67	0.33	101
INR	1.12	1.04–1.22	0.92	4.25
Troponin (ng/L)	45	21.1–97.5	3	555
WBC ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	9.2	7.09–12.3	0.8	24.8
CRP (mg/L)	25.2	10.5–61.4	0.5	384.2
pCO ₂ (mmHg)	32.15	28.6–37.4	3.9	73
pO ₂ (mmHg)	58.5	50.1–69.2	16.8	165
pH	7.45	7.4–7.5	0.4	7.66
HR (bpm)	100	81–111	45	150

Abbreviations: bpm, beats per minute; CRP, C-reactive protein; HR, heart rate; INR, international normalized ratio; IQR, interquartile range; MPV, mean platelet volume; pCO₂, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PCT, plateletcrit; PDW, platelet distribution width; pO₂, partial pressure of oxygen; WBC, white blood cells.

MPV values (9.23, IQR 8.5–9.85), whereas the plateletcrit was normal (0.2, IQR 0.15–0.24) (Table 4).

In our investigation, we not only determined the relationship between the original and modified Wells score and MPV value but also explored the relationship between revised Geneva score and MPV value. The study reveals that both the versions of Wells score correlated significantly with an elevated MPV value ($P < 0.05$) per contra to Geneva score (Figure 1A–C).

The distribution analysis of the individual values of clinical prediction rules used to suspect PE showed that the distribution varied substantially depending on the clinical scoring system. Our results plausibly indicated lack of coherence between clinical prediction rules (Figure 2A–C).

As for the MPV value with reference to the original Wells score, ROC curve analysis showed that the area under the ROC curve of the MPV value was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.632–0.828, $P < 0.00$) and the optimal cutoff point value was 9.6 fL (sensitivity: 69.2%; specificity: 71.8%; Youden's index: 0.41) (Figure 3).

Discussion

Little is known about the importance of MPV in patients suffering from PE. To the author's best knowledge, this is the first study investigating MPV correlation with scoring systems used to predict PE. Our in-depth analysis of patients suffering from PE unequivocally suggests that MPV may be considered a predictive marker of PE. MPV was demonstrated to be significantly correlated with Wells score which is acknowledged to be currently the most reliable

clinical prediction rule for PE. Although the Wells score appears to be simple and easy to obtain, physicians are overwhelmed with various information and may struggle to remember another important score in the face of high stress and difficult triage decisions at the emergency department. Furthermore, a complete medical history may not always be taken on account of a frequently confirmed severe health condition of a patient. Therefore, we suggest using MPV in lieu of scoring systems or at least consider MPV a red flag to suspect PE. It may be a great chance to improve the survival and even raise the quality of life among patients presenting with PE.

Among cardiovascular diseases, PE is the third most common cause of death.¹⁰ According to the European guidelines, PE should be suspected when the patients present with the following symptoms: dyspnea, chest pain, pre-syncope or syncope, and/or hemoptysis.² Its clinical manifestation is rather non-specific. Patients may either develop mild symptoms with normal vital signs or experience a life-threatening hemodynamic instability. Delay in PE diagnosis may result in disability and death, even among the hemodynamically stable patients. On account of the fact that PE may escape prompt diagnosis, we still seek an effective method to predict it and urgently provide the patients with an appropriate therapy. PE is considered to be very often misdiagnosed and discovered incidentally at autopsy.^{11,12}

Planquette et al¹³ conducted a study among 155 general practitioners who had been in practice for more than 20 years (76%) to evaluate their state of knowledge of the PE diagnostic algorithm. Disappointingly, the study revealed

Figure 1 Correlation between mean platelet volume value and (A) Wells rule (original version), (B) Wells rule (simplified version), and (C) revised Geneva score (original version).

Abbreviation: MPV, mean platelet volume.

that only 55% of GPs were aware of clinical probability scores for PE.¹³ Although the predictive value of Wells score is considered good, assessment of seven parameters is clinically difficult. MPV is a simple and cost-effective measurement and can be easily achieved even before patient is presented to the emergency department. We hypothesize that its implementation into a clinical practice may consequently contribute to further diagnostic accuracy improvement.

On account of MPV widespread availability, it is an attractive index for research. Recently we observe a growing interest in MPV. Current publications indicate that MPV has many potential applications and its increase is associated with arterial and venous diseases such as myocardial infarction or venous thromboembolism.^{14,15} Moreover, an elevated MPV value was found in various diseases, for example, infective endocarditis, rheumatoid arthritis, and gestational

diabetes.^{16,17} Gawlita et al pointed out that in patients with stable CAD, an elevated MPV correlates with the severity of disease, whereas in myocardial infarction, high value of MPV may be a hallmark of increased in-hospital and long-term mortality.¹⁸

Several publications indicate that MPV may be used for mortality prediction among PE patients. According to Kostrubiec et al,¹⁹ MPV is not only a significant predictor of increased early death in PE but is also associated with RV dysfunction and myocardial injury. MPV >10.9 fL on admission was considered a predictor of 30-day mortality, especially 7-day mortality.¹⁹ Another study highlights the correlation between increased values of MPV and RV dysfunction and clinical severity in PE. The MPV cutoff value in the prediction of RV dysfunction was 7.85 fL.²⁰ Akgüllü et al suggested using a new model that is superior to the simplified PE severity

Figure 2 The distribution of (A) Wells scores (original version), (B) Wells scores (simplified version), and (C) revised Geneva scores (original version) in patients with pulmonary embolism.

Figure 3 Receiver operating characteristic curve and the optimal cutoff point of MPV (9.6 fL) for pulmonary embolism at admission with reference to the original Wells score (area under curve: 0.73; sensitivity: 69.2%; specificity: 71.8%; Youden's index: 0.41).

Abbreviation: MPV, mean platelet volume.

index. It includes troponin I, creatinine, MPV, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, QTcd, and Pd. The MPV cutoff value in the prediction of early death in PE was >9.7 fL.²¹

Tajarennmuang et al²² reported that the sequential monitoring of changes in MPV may be more significant than a single measurement. They showed that the gradual increase of MPV during hospitalization was related to an increased in-hospital mortality.²² Furthermore, there are a few medical investigations proving that increasing MPV after admission was observed in the nonsurvivors group.^{23–26} Other studies indicated a remarkable correlation between an elevated MPV and short-term mortality.^{23,24} Sezgi et al concordantly demonstrated that among nonsurvivors, MPV value on admission was lower than that at the time of discharge, whereas it was reported to be decreasing in the survivors group.²⁷ Biino et al discovered that the longer the time since arterial thrombosis, the lower the MPV level.²⁸ Interestingly, Sevuk et al investigated the value of MPV and platelet distribution width (PDW) to predict the occurrence of PE in patients

presenting with DVT. They found that MPV and PDW values were significantly higher among patients suffering from DVT and concomitant PE compared with DVT patients. Also, they demonstrated that MPV and PDW were independent risk factors for PE in patients with DVT.²⁹ We look forward to larger studies that concern especially patients with PE.

We hypothesize that, even if not independently, an elevated MPV value may be an important element of clinical prediction rules. Huang et al suggested that the combined application of MPV might enhance the value of D-dimer used to exclude PE. D-dimer is a widely used biomarker, however, on account of its poor specificity its role is limited.³⁰

The clinical prediction rules for PE do not include any of the laboratory parameters. Assuming D-dimer value is unambiguous, it would be, without a doubt, already incorporated in one of the scoring systems for PE. However, an increased D-dimer neither confirms a PE diagnosis nor defines the extent of the disease. On the other hand, thus far the MPV-based prediction for PE has not been practiced, even if an elevated MPV correlates significantly with Wells score. Therefore, we encourage to regard MPV as an independent or at least adjunctive predictive marker for PE that, for instance, may be combined with widely used scoring system such as Wells score.

Study limitations

Our study had certain limitations. There were multiple conditions that may have affected the platelet volume.²² Furthermore, the sample size was relatively small, but in spite of everything, to our knowledge this is the first study investigating MPV correlation with scoring systems used to assess the clinical probability of PE. Moreover, MPV measurement may have been associated with technical problems. Finally, the widespread usefulness of MPV was limited since the MPV cutoff value has not been established yet.

Conclusion

In our study, we established a positive correlation between an elevated MPV and increased PE risk according to the Wells score and determined the best MPV value cutoff point for PE. MPV is easy to obtain, inexpensive, and reliable parameter. Despite the limited evidence, we suggest considering MPV either an adjunctive or an independent predictive marker for PE used in lieu of clinical prediction rules. Further larger studies are necessary to confirm our finding. Moreover, in future studies, it would be valuable to assess the correlation between MPV value and other predictive markers for PE to subsequently enhance a diagnostic accuracy. We hypothesize

that combining MPV and D-dimer with scoring systems for PE might be highly beneficial.

Acknowledgment

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Goldhaber SZ, Visani L, de Rosa M. Acute pulmonary embolism: clinical outcomes in the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPER). *Lancet*. 1999;353(9162):1386–1389.
- Konstantinides SV, Torbicki A, Agnelli G. ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(43):3033–3073.
- Penaloza A, Melot C, Motte S. Comparison of the Wells score with the simplified revised Geneva score for assessing pretest probability of pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Res*. 2011;127(2):81–84.
- Wong DD, Ramaseshan G, Mendelson RM. Comparison of the Wells and Revised Geneva Scores for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: an Australian experience. *Intern Med J*. 2011;41(3):258–263.
- Thompson CB, Jakubowski JA, Quinn PG, Deykin D, Valeri CR. Platelet size as a determinant of platelet function. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1983;101(2):205–213.
- Thompson CB, Eaton KA, Princiotta SM, Rushin CA, Valeri CR. Size dependent platelet subpopulations: relationship of platelet volume to ultrastructure, enzymatic activity, and function. *Br J Haematol*. 1982;50(3):509–519.
- Gasparyan AY, Ayyavazyan L, Mikhailidis DP, Kitas GD. Mean platelet volume: a link between thrombosis and inflammation? *Curr Pharm Des*. 2011;17(1):47–58.
- Uçar H, Gür M, Koyunsever NY, et al. Mean platelet volume is independently associated with renal dysfunction in stable coronary artery disease. *Platelets*. 2014;25(4):274–278.
- Kiliçli-Camur N, Demirtunç R, Konuralp C, Eskiser A, Başaran Y. Could mean platelet volume be a predictive marker for acute myocardial infarction? *Med Sci Monit*. 2005;11(8):CR387–CR392.
- Goldhaber SZ, Bounameaux H. Pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9828):1835–1846.
- Sweet PH, Armstrong T, Chen J, Masliah E, Witucki P. Fatal pulmonary embolism update: 10 years of autopsy experience at an academic medical center. *JRSM Short Rep*. 2013;4(9):2042533313489824.
- McDonald C, Hernandez M, Gofman Y, Suchecki S, Schreier W. The five most common misdiagnoses: a meta-analysis of autopsy and malpractice data. *The Internet Journal of Family Practice*. 2008;7(2).
- Planquette B, Maurice D, Peron J, et al. Knowledge of the diagnostic algorithm for pulmonary embolism in primary care. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2015;26(1):18–22.
- Chu SG, Becker RC, Berger PB, et al. Mean platelet volume as a predictor of cardiovascular risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2010;8(1):148–156.
- Jensvoll H, Blix K, Brækkan SK, Hansen JB. Platelet count measured prior to cancer development is a risk factor for future symptomatic venous thromboembolism: the Tromsø Study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e92011.
- Yazici S, Yazici M, Erer B, et al. The platelet indices in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: mean platelet volume reflects disease activity. *Platelets*. 2010;21(2):122–125.
- Bozkurt N, Yilmaz E, Biri A, Taner Z, Himmetoğlu O. The mean platelet volume in gestational diabetes. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2006;22(1):51–54.

18. Gawlita M, Wasilewski J, Osadnik T, Reguła R, Bujak K, Gonera M. Mean platelet volume and platelet-large cell ratio as prognostic factors for coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction. *Folia Cardiol.* 2016;10:418–422.
19. Kostrubiec M, Łabyk A, Pedowska-Włoszek J, et al. Mean platelet volume predicts early death in acute pulmonary embolism. *Heart.* 2010;96(6):460–465.
20. Yordan T, Meric M, Kati C, Celenk Y, Atici AG. Mean platelet volume and mean platelet volume/platelet count ratio in risk stratification of pulmonary embolism. *Medicina.* 2016;52(2):110–115.
21. Akgüllü Ç, Ömürlü İK, Eryılmaz U, et al. Predictors of early death in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2015;33(2):214–221.
22. Tajareernmuang P, Phrommintikul A, Limsukon A, Pothirat C, Chittawatanarat K. The Role of Mean Platelet Volume as a Predictor of Mortality in Critically Ill Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Crit Care Res Pract.* 2016;2016:4370834.
23. Becchi C, Al Malyan M, Fabbri LP, Marsili M, Boddi V, Boncinelli S. Mean platelet volume trend in sepsis: is it a useful parameter? *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2006;72(9):749–756.
24. Kitazawa T, Yoshino Y, Tatsuno K, Ota Y, Yotsuyanagi H. Changes in the mean platelet volume levels after bloodstream infection have prognostic value. *Intern Med.* 2013;52(13):1487–1493.
25. Zampieri FG, Ranzani OT, Sabatoski V, et al. An increase in mean platelet volume after admission is associated with higher mortality in critically ill patients. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2014;4:20.
26. Kim CH, Kim SJ, Lee MJ, et al. An increase in mean platelet volume from baseline is associated with mortality in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(3):e0119437.
27. Sezgi C, Taylan M, Kaya H, et al. Alterations in platelet count and mean platelet volume as predictors of patient outcome in the respiratory intensive care unit. *Clin Respir J.* 2015;9(4):403–408.
28. Biino G, Portas L, Murgia F, et al. A population-based study of an Italian genetic isolate reveals that mean platelet volume is not a risk factor for thrombosis. *Thromb Res.* 2012;129(4):e8–e13.
29. Sevik U, Bahadır MV, Altındag R. Value of serial platelet indices measurements for the prediction of pulmonary embolism in patients with deep venous thrombosis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2015;11:1243–1249.
30. Huang J, Chen Y, Cai Z, Chen P. Diagnostic value of platelet indexes for pulmonary embolism. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2015;33(8):1093–1094.

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Publish your work in this journal

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies in all therapeutic areas, outcomes, safety, and programs for the effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, CAS,

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/therapeutics-and-clinical-risk-management-journal>

Dovepress

EMBASE, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.