Frailty and health care use among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes: a population-based study
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Purpose: The aims of this study were to investigate the prevalence of frailty and its relationship with health care use among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes.

Methods: We analyzed data from a nationally representative sample of people aged 65 years and above (n=3,203) participating in the 2013 National Health Interview Survey in Taiwan. A total of 719 participants had a history of self-reported physician-diagnosed diabetes. The presence of frailty was determined based on the Fatigue, Resistance, Ambulation, Illness, and Loss of weight (FRAIL) scale proposed by the International Association of Nutrition and Aging. FRAIL scores range from 0 to 5 and are categorized as frail (3–5), pre-frail (1–2), and robust (0). Participants were asked whether they had been hospitalized or had visited an emergency department in the past year.

Results: Among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes, 9.4% of participants were frail and 35.3% were pre-frail. After adjustment for other factors, being frail was significantly associated with hospitalization during the past year (OR =5.31, 95% CI =1.87–15.10), whereas being pre-frail was not associated with hospitalization. Both being pre-frail and frail were significantly associated with emergency department visits during the past year (OR =2.64, 95% CI =1.35–5.17 and OR =4.05, 95% CI =1.31–12.49, respectively) after adjustment for other factors.

Conclusion: Our results highlight the high prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older adults with diabetes. Furthermore, being frail is associated with a greater burden of hospitalizations and emergency department visits.
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Introduction

Despite the varying definitions of frailty used in the literature, studies have consistently demonstrated a high prevalence of frailty in older adults with diabetes.1–3 Frailty is characterized by deterioration in multiple organ systems, along with declining cardiopulmonary reserve and loss of executive function.4 Frailty is associated with higher mortality risk among middle-aged and older adults with diabetes.5,6 These findings highlight the importance of early identification of frailty for health care planning and preventing poor outcomes in older people with diabetes. In Taiwan, ~25% of adults aged 65 years and older have diabetes,7 and diabetes has been the fourth or fifth leading cause of death since 2002. However, to date the frailty profile and associated health care use among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes in this population have not yet been adequately characterized.

Licci and Malmstrom used the Fatigue, Resistance, Ambulation, Illness, and Loss of weight (FRAIL) scale proposed by the International Academy on Nutrition and Aging...
in an observational study of 198 outpatients with diabetes aged 50–90 years. They found that 28.8% of participants with diabetes were classified as frail and that these participants had a higher likelihood of hospitalization over a 6-month period than non-frail participants with diabetes. Li et al also used the FRAIL scale in a pilot study of 146 inpatients aged 60 years or older with type 2 diabetes and found that 15.1% of participants were classified as frail at hospital admission, and that 40.9% of those with frailty had three or more hospitalizations within 1 year after discharge. This highlights that being frail is common and often under-recognized in older people with diabetes. However, both these studies have been conducted on clinical populations, and there is a lack of studies investigating health care use in frail, pre-frail, and non-frail participants in a population-based sample of community-dwelling older adults with diabetes. Understanding the relationship between frailty and health care use among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes has important implications for resource allocation and implementation of strategies for prevention and management of frailty in this population.

In view of these considerations, we analyzed data from a national sample of older adults aged 65 years or older who participated in the 2013 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) in Taiwan. The aims of the present study were twofold. First, we investigated the prevalence of frailty among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes. Second, we investigated the rates of all-cause hospital admission and emergency department visits during the past year among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes by frailty status. We hypothesized that among older adults with diabetes, those with frailty would have greater odds of hospital admission and emergency department visits compared to robust participants.

**Methods**

**Study population**

The present study includes participants from the 2013 NHIS in Taiwan. This survey used a complex multistage stratified systematic sampling design that is described in detail on the NHIS website (http://nhis.nhri.org.tw/) and in a previous publication. The survey obtained ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board of the National Health Research Institutes. All study participants provided signed written informed consent. The original sample comprised 23,296 participants (response rate 75.2%), including 3,203 individuals aged 65 years and older. Of these, a total of 719 individuals with a self-reported physician-confirmed diagnosis of diabetes were eligible for analysis in this study.

**Assessment of frailty**

In this study, frailty was measured using the FRAIL scale proposed by the International Academy on Nutrition and Aging. FRAIL includes five criteria: fatigue, resistance, ambulation, illnesses, and loss of weight. Fatigue was assessed by asking how much of the time participants felt tired in the past 1 week. Responses of “all” or “most of the time” were given a score of 1. Resistance was assessed by asking participants whether they have difficulty climbing ten steps, and ambulation was assessed by asking participants whether they have difficulty walking 400 m. Responses of “some difficulty”, “much difficulty”, or “unable to carry out” received a score of 1. Illnesses were assessed by asking participants if a medical professional had ever told them that they had any of the following illnesses: hypertension, cancer, diabetes, chronic lung disease, heart disease, asthma, arthritis, stroke, and kidney disease. A report of five or more illnesses received a score of 1. In the FRAIL scale, weight loss of more than 5% scores 1 point. However, there was no equivalent variable available from the 2013 NHIS database. Thus, in this study, loss of weight was assessed by body mass index (BMI was calculated as weight [kg] divided by height squared [m²]), and participants scored 1 point if their BMI was less than 18.5 kg/m². FRAIL scores ranged from 0 to 5. Participants with scores ranging from 3 to 5 were defined as frail, 1–2 as pre-frail, and 0 as robust. The FRAIL scale has been validated in previous studies. In Hong Kong, Woo et al found that the FRAIL scale was comparable to other existing screening tools in predicting mortality and physical limitation in the Chinese population.

**Demographic characteristics and assessment of falls, activities of daily living (ADL), and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) disability**

Basic demographic information such as age, sex, years of education, and marital status was obtained from the questionnaires. Participants with diabetes were also asked about their age at diagnosis and the use of insulin. Participants were categorized as having fallen if they self-reported at least one fall during the previous year. Participants were asked to report their ability to perform six ADL including eating, bathing, dressing, using the toilet, getting in or out of bed, and walking across a small room, and five IADL including preparing meals, grocery shopping, using the telephone, taking medications, and managing money. Participants were asked whether they could carry out these ADL and IADL activities with no difficulty, some difficulty, much difficulty,
or were unable to carry them out. Limitation in each task was
dichotomized as no difficulty or some difficulty vs much
difficulty or complete inability. Disability for each scale was
defined as reporting limitations in one or more tasks in the
respective scale.

Assessment of health care use
The dependent variables were respondents’ self-reported
hospital admission or emergency department visit in the
previous year before the interview. Hospital admissions and
emergency department visits were assessed as dichotomous
variables (any or none).

Statistical analysis
We used the Pearson’s chi-squared test to examine factors
associated with frailty status among older adults with
diabetes. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to
examine the association of frailty status and other factors
with hospital admission and emergency department visits
and for estimation of ORs and 95% CIs. To account for the
complex sampling design, all analyses were carried out using
SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA)-callable SUDAAN
(RTI, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA). Sampling weights
were applied to make the sample representative of the whole
Taiwanese population.

Results
Table 1 presents the characteristics of older adults with
diabetes by frailty status. Among older adults with diabe-
tes, 9.4% were categorized as frail and 35.3% as pre-frail.
Older adults with diabetes who were also frail were more
likely to be older, unmarried, live alone, have a lower level
of education, have a longer duration of diabetes, be using
insulin, have fallen during the last year, and report ADL
and IADL disability.

Table 2 presents the rates and OR and 95% CI for par-
ticipants with diabetes for hospitalization and emergency
department visits during the past year. After adjustment for
other factors, compared to participants with diabetes who
were robust, participants with diabetes who were pre-frail
had an OR for hospital admission during the past year of
1.90 (95% CI =0.91–3.96) and had an OR for emergency
department visits of 2.64 (95% CI =1.35–5.17). In addition,
compared to robust participants those with diabetes who were
frail had an OR for hospital admission during the past year
of 5.31 (95% CI =1.87–15.10) and had an OR for emergency
department visits of 4.05 (95% CI =1.31–12.49).

Discussion
Our results confirm that there is high prevalence of frailty
among community-dwelling older adults with diabetes. In
addition, in older adults with diabetes, the rates of hospital
admission during the last year for participants who were frail
(55.0%) or pre-frail (33.1%) were significantly higher than
those of robust participants (15.4%). Similarly, the proportion
of participants who had at least one emergency department
visit during the past year was 45.2% among frail individuals,
36.2% among pre-frail individuals, and 16.8% among robust
participants. Among older adults with diabetes, after adjust-
ment for other factors, frailty was associated with a greater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Frail</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>719 (100)</td>
<td>383 (55.4)</td>
<td>270 (35.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% female)</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>61.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 years</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–6 years</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7+ years</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (% married or living with partner)</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes (≥10 years, %)</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of insulin (% yes)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallen during past year (% yes)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL disability (% yes)</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL disability (% yes)</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Categorical variables were compared using Pearson’s chi-squared test and shown as percentages.
Abbreviations: ADL, activities of daily living; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living.
Table 2 Rates, ORs, and 95% CIs for hospitalization and emergency department visits during the past year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frailty Status</th>
<th>Robust (N=383)</th>
<th>Pre-frail (N=270)</th>
<th>Frail (N=66)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (%)</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>2.72 (1.55–4.77)</td>
<td>6.71 (2.92–15.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>2.14 (1.10–4.17)</td>
<td>6.36 (2.49–16.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted OR</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.90 (0.91–3.96)</td>
<td>5.31 (1.87–15.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED visits</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>2.80 (1.66–4.72)</td>
<td>4.08 (1.83–9.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>3.27 (1.76–6.06)</td>
<td>5.71 (2.18–14.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted OR</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>2.64 (1.35–5.17)</td>
<td>4.05 (1.31–12.49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Adjusted for age, sex, education, and marital status. †Adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, duration of diabetes, use of insulin, falls, ADL disability, and IADL disability.

Abbreviations: ADL, activities of daily living; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; ED, emergency department.

Our finding that being frail or pre-frail is common in older adults with diabetes is consistent with previous studies. The major strength of our study is that we investigated the prevalence of frailty in a population-based national sample of older adults with diabetes. We found that 9.4% and 35.3% of Taiwanese older adults aged 65 years and above with diabetes were frail and pre-frail, respectively. One pilot study on a sample of 146 inpatients aged 60 years and older with type 2 diabetes in China also used the FRAIL scale and found a prevalence of frailty of 15.1% and pre-frailty of 37.7%. Another similar small study of a sample of 198 outpatients aged 50 years and above with diabetes in the USA found a prevalence of frailty of 28.8% and pre-frailty of 38.9% also using the FRAIL scale.

Our data showed that participants with diabetes who were frail were more likely to be older, have a longer duration of diabetes, be using insulin, have fallen during the past year, and report ADL and IADL disability (Table 1). Most previous research agrees that these attributes are important risk factors for hospitalization and emergency department visits among people with diabetes. Our results extend past research by demonstrating that the OR for hospital admission and emergency department visits in frail compared to robust participants did not greatly alter after adjustment for other factors (Table 2). This suggests that frailty itself is independently associated with hospitalization and emergency department visits among older adults with diabetes.

Our study has some limitations, and the results should be interpreted with caution. First, we did not have data on glycated hemoglobin, severity of diabetes, and diabetes complications. These factors may be associated with both health care use and frailty and may have confounded our results. Second, blood pressure and cognitive function are important prognostic factors in frail older adults with diabetes. However, in our study, only self-reported hypertension (confirmed by a medical professional) was available as we did not measure blood pressure. Information regarding self-reported dementia (confirmed by a medical profession) was available in our study and 29 of our participants had self-reported dementia, of whom 7 were frail and 20 were pre-frail. However, as presence of dementia would likely impact on a participant’s ability to complete our survey, it is possible that participants with dementia were under-represented in our study. Therefore, we may have underestimated the prevalence of cognitive impairment in older adults with diabetes. Third, due to the cross-sectional study design, causal relationships between frailty and health care use cannot be established. There could be a bidirectional connection between frailty and health care use in this population. Many inpatients do not return to their premorbid level of function at discharge and acquire new geriatric syndromes during hospitalization, leading to further functional decline and the development of frailty after hospitalization. However, prospective studies have also found that being frail is associated with a greater likelihood of hospitalization in older people with diabetes. Our findings could also reflect poorer health status that is linked to both frailty and increased use of health services.

Previous studies have found that diabetes-specific attributes, including hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and diabetes complications, are associated with hospitalization and emergency department visits. These attributes are also associated with frailty in older adults with diabetes. In a review of the incidence and the consequences of hypoglycemia, Abdelhafiz et al found that hypoglycemia...
was less recognized in older adults with diabetes and was also associated with reduced physical and cognitive function leading to frailty.\textsuperscript{20} In an analysis of data from the Adult Changes in Thought study, Zaslawsj et al found a U-shape association between glucose levels and frailty in adults aged 65 years and older with diabetes.\textsuperscript{22} Prior research also suggests an association between hyperglycemia and frailty among older women with diabetes.\textsuperscript{23} Li et al conducted a pilot study using the FRAIL scale to predict outcomes in older adults with type 2 diabetes and found that frail status was associated with diabetic nephropathy.\textsuperscript{10} These observations provide a possible explanation for our finding of an association between frailty and increased odds of hospitalization and emergency department visits among older adults with diabetes.

We consider our findings to have important practical implications. The major strengths of using the FRAIL scale are that FRAIL includes biological factors, functional deficits, and accumulated illness, and is relatively simple and easy to use. Our results show that the FRAIL scale can identify older adults with diabetes who have higher odds of hospitalization and emergency department visits in the absence of laboratory and clinical indicators and in a relatively inexpensive manner. Our data further suggest that health care professionals should particularly target interventions aimed at improving frailty status toward older adults with diabetes who are older, less educated, unmarried, live alone, have longer duration of diabetes, use insulin, have fallen during the past year, and report ADL and IADL disability (Table 1). We also hope our findings will stimulate further efforts to design and implement specific interventions aimed at preventing frailty in patients with diabetes after hospitalization or emergency department visits. Further investigation is needed to explore the underlying causes of hospitalization and emergency department visits in older adults with diabetes with frailty and whether such individuals could benefit from interventions to reduce health care use.

Conclusion
In summary, our results contribute to the literature by providing new data on the prevalence of frailty as defined by the FRAIL scale among a population-based sample of community-dwelling older adults with diabetes. Our results highlight the high prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older adults with diabetes. Moreover, among older adults with diabetes, being frail was associated with higher odds of hospitalization and emergency department visits during the past year, after taking into account other risk factors.
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