Decreased expression of peroxiredoxin1 inhibits proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of ovarian cancer cell
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Aim: The aim of this study was to explore the expression of peroxiredoxin1 (PRDX1) in epithelial ovarian cancer, analyze the relationship between PRDX1 and clinicopathologic parameters of patients with ovarian cancer, including their prognosis, and describe changes and the mechanisms involved in malignant biologic behavior of ovarian cancer cells when PRDX1 expression is inhibited.

Methods: The expression of PRDX1 was detected immunohistochemically in 15 samples of normal ovarian tissue, 21 benign, 11 borderline, and 101 malignant epithelial ovarian tumors. Changes in ovarian cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis before and after inhibiting PRDX1 expression were assessed by cell function assay. Additionally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of PRDX1 was performed by the Cancer Genome Atlas database. A protein–protein interaction network was then constructed and a pathway function analysis of the genes in the network was conducted.

Results: PRDX1 expression was mainly localized to the cytoplasm, as well as the nucleus of cells. The expression rate of PRDX1 in epithelial ovarian malignant tissues (96.04%) was significantly higher than that in borderline (72.72%) and benign (57.14%) epithelial ovarian tumors, and normal ovarian tissue (20%; all P<0.05). Cox multivariate regression analysis indicated that advanced clinical stage, low tissue differentiation, and high expression of PRDX1 were independent risk factors affecting the prognosis of epithelial ovarian cancer (all P<0.05). Cell function assay verified that the decreased expression of PRDX1 inhibited ovarian cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis. GSEA analysis indicated that PRDX1 was significantly related to the Wnt signaling pathway. Western blot analysis confirmed that PRDX1 could regulate the expression of β-catenin in the Wnt pathway.

Conclusion: Decreased expression of PRDX1 can attenuate cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of ovarian cancer cells. The expression of PRDX1 is related to the prognosis of patients with ovarian cancer and can therefore be used as a biomarker.
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Introduction
Ovarian cancer is one of three malignant tumors of the female genital tract. Due to the absence of early warning symptoms and the lack of effective diagnostics, about 70% of cases are diagnosed only at an advanced stage. Invasion and metastasis are the most common causes of mortality for patients with ovarian cancer and the prognosis is relatively poor.¹,² So, it is of great importance to explore the mechanism(s) behind the
development of ovarian cancer and to identify effective tumor biomarkers in an effort to improve the prognosis of this insidious disease.

Peroxiredoxins (PRDXs), first described in yeast, were found to be widespread in other organisms after follow-up studies. PRDX is a family of redox regulating proteins that eliminate ROS and maintain the stability of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in cells. The mammalian PRDX family is made up of six members, from PRDX1 to PRDX6, which can be divided into three subgroups based on the number of cysteine residues and the catalytic reaction mechanism: typical 2-cysteine PRDX1–4, atypical 2-cysteine PRDX5, and 1-cysteine PRDX6 proteins. PRDX1 contains conserved N-terminal Cys and C-terminal Cys sequences. An antioxidant, PRDX1 regulates cell growth, differentiation, and apoptosis. Within the nucleus, PRDX1 affects bioactivities upon gene regulation by associating with p53 or various transcription factors, and then induces or suppresses cell death. Additionally, PRDX1 in the cytoplasm shows anti-apoptotic potential through interactions with an ROS-dependent signaling pathway. In recent studies, PRDX1 was found to be abnormally expressed in esophageal, lung, and breast tumor cells and was also found to be overexpressed in gynecological malignancies such as ovarian, endometrial, and cervical cancers. However, recent research has mainly focused on the roles of PRDX1 in the evaluation of clinical prognoses. In addition, literature regarding its functional mechanisms, especially its roles in malignant biologic behaviors of ovarian cancer cells, is scarce.

Detecting the expression of PRDX1 in ovarian tumor cells by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and evaluating the biologic behaviors of ovarian malignant tumor cells, before and after PRDX1 transfection, by cell function assays will provide a theoretical basis for the early diagnosis and targeted therapy of ovarian cancer. At the same time, the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of PRDX1 with the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) public database, pathway functional analysis of genes associated with PRDX1 will provide clues for exploring the roles and mechanisms of PRDX1 in the development of ovarian cancer.

Materials and methods

Participants and specimens

Ovarian samples were collected from 148 surgical patients hospitalized at the Department of Gynecology of our hospital from 2008 to 2012. All the patients were informed about the experiments and signed informed consent was obtained. The tissues-associated experiments were approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Shengjing Hospital affiliated to China Medical University. The median ages of patients who supplied samples of malignant, borderline, and benign tumors, as well as normal ovaries were 54 (19–77), 62 (49–74), 58.7 (36–74), and 43 (35–65) years, respectively. With regard to age, a significant statistical difference between groups was not noted (P>0.05). Samples were embedded in paraffin and all pathological sample sections were examined by experienced pathologists. The 148 samples included those from 101 malignant tumors originating from ovarian epithelia (including 55 cases of serous carcinoma, 14 cases of endometrioid carcinoma, four cases of mucinous carcinoma, eight cases of clear cell carcinoma, and 20 poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma), 11 epithelial ovarian borderline tumors, 21 epithelial ovarian benign tumors, and 15 normal ovarian tissues. Of the ovarian cancer samples, 20 were well differentiated, 24 were moderately differentiated, and 57 were poorly differentiated in terms of histological classification. Based on the 2009 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging system, there were 39 cancer cases at stages I–II and 62 cases at stages III–IV. Twenty-nine cases had pelvic and/or para-aortic lymph node metastases, 65 had no metastasis, and seven cases did not undergo lymph node screening before surgery. Patients were not treated with radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or hormone therapy.

All procedures performed in the studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Immunohistochemistry

Each group of ovarian samples was fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and processed as 5 µm continuous sections. Samples were dewaxed with discontinuous concentrations of ethanol, and blocked to inhibit endogenous peroxidase. They were then heated in a microwave to retrieve antigens, cooled to room temperature, and blocked by incubation in goat serum for 30 minutes at 37°C. Samples were incubated in rabbit anti-PRDX1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; 1:1,200) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-coupled goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody at 37°C for 30 minutes, and stained by 3,3′-diaminobenzidine. The cell nucleus was stained blue by hematoxylin. Sections were then dehydrated, cleared by xylene, and mounted. PRDX1 expression was detected by IHC using a streptavidin peroxidase method. PRDX1 expression in liver was used as a positive control. Samples incubated...
with PBS instead of PRDX1 primary antibody were used as a negative control. Positive and negative controls were included for each batch of immunohistochemically stained sections. The experimental procedure was performed by strictly following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Quantification of immunohistochemical staining
An immunohistochemical result was considered positive if brownish yellow staining was found in the cytosol. Staining results were classified as negative, light yellow, brownish yellow, and dark brown according to the staining density and scored 0, 1, 2, or 3, respectively. This is called score 1. Five fields under the microscope at 400× magnification were randomly selected and scored for each section. The average score represented the percentage of positive cells in a field of view under the microscope. All sections were examined and scored 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 if the positive cell percentage was <5%, ≥5% and ≤25%, ≥26% and ≤50%, ≥51% and ≤75%, and >75%, respectively. This was called score 2. Score 3 = score 1 × score 2. The staining result was considered negative (−), weak positive (+), moderate positive (++), and strong positive (+++) if score 3 fell between 0 and 2, 3 and 4, 5 and 8, and 9 and 12, respectively. To control the reading variance, each section was read by two different observers. A third observer arbitrated if the two observers’ reading results were not consistent.

Kaplan–Meier (KM) plotter
KM plotter (http://kmplot.com) has assessed the effect of 54,675 genes on survival using 10,188 cancer samples, including 4,142 breast, 1,648 ovarian, 2,437 lung, and 1,065 gastric cancer patients. Ovarian cancer patients were divided into two groups according to the expression of a certain gene (high expression and low expression). KM plots were used to analyze overall survival in patients with ovarian cancer. The HR with 95% CIs and log-rank P-value were displayed online.

Oncomine database extraction
The Oncomine database is currently the world’s largest oncogene chip database and integrated data mining platform for the purpose of mining cancer gene information. To date, the database has collected 715 gene expression data sets, and 86,733 cancer tissue and normal tissue sample data. The Oncomine database has been applied for differential expression classification for common cancer types, and their respective normal tissues, as well as clinical and pathological analysis.

Enter “PRDX1” in the Oncomine database, “Ovarian Cancer” for cancer type, and set the threshold (P-value <0.05, gene rank=top 10%). After comparing, the expression of PRDX1 in multiple ovarian cancer data sets is obtained; further, “Multi-Cancer Panel Data sets” is selected to obtain differential expression of this gene in various types of cancer tissues.

Analysis of copy number variation
The ovarian cancer copy number variation data were downloaded from cBioPortal (http://www.cbioportal.org/), and the samples were divided into three groups according to copy number deletion (loss), diploid (diploid), and copy number increase (gain). t-test was used to compare the expression levels of PRDX1.

Analysis of methylation level and the expression level of PRDX1
The ovarian cancer methylation data were downloaded from Xena (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/), and the correlation between the methylation level and the expression level of PRDX1 gene was tested by Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Construction of expression vectors, cell culture, and PRDX1 transfection
Human epithelial ovarian cancer cells lines Caov-3 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS. Cells were transfected using liposomes with a vector transfection kit according to the instructions. Three small interfering RNA (siRNA) expression vectors for human PRDX1 were constructed using the vector pSilence. The mRNA target sequences chosen for designing PRDX1-siRNA are GCA CCA UUG CUC AGG AUU ATT for PRDX1-siRNA1, GCU CUG UGG AUG AGA CUU UTT for PRDX1-siRNA2, and CCA GUU CAC UGA CAA ACA UTT for PRDX1-siRNA3. At 48 hours after transfection, the transfection efficiency of PRDX1 was identified by Western blot analyses.

Transfection identification by Western blot
Total protein extracts from ovarian cancer cells were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred overnight onto a methanol-activated polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. After blocking, the membrane was washed with 0.3% Tween-20 in Tris-buffered saline four times, 15 minutes each time, and
incubated with PRDX1 primary antibody (Abcam; rabbit polyclonal, 1:300) overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed again and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Dallas, TX, USA) at room temperature for 1 hour. Western blot results were visualized with ImageJ 1.31 v (Wayne Rasband, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) and normalized to the GAPDH protein level.

Malignant biological behavior testing of cells after PRDX1 transfection

Cell proliferation assay

An MTT assay was used to assess cell proliferation. MTT (50 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL PBS (0.01 mol/L, pH=7.4) to achieve a final concentration at 5 mg/mL, sterilized by filtering, aliquoted, and stored at 4°C in the dark. Each sample was tested in triplicate. Cells were routinely trypsinized and counted, washed twice with PBS, and resuspended in complete culture medium without antibiotics to reach a cell concentration of 1×10⁶/100 µL. A cell suspension (200 µL) was added to each well on a 96-well plate (the plate was exposed to ultraviolet light for 4 hours prior to use) and cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in the incubator for 24, 48, and 72 hours. At the indicated time points, the cell culture medium was removed, and 0.02 mL of a 5 mg/mL MTT solution was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. After the 4-hour incubation, the supernatant was removed and 200 µL dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well. The plate was shaken on a flat shaker for 10 minutes, and then was read at OD₄₉₀. The OD₄₉₀ value for each well=raw OD₄₉₀ for each well-background OD₄₉₀ value (MTT solution without cells). Assays were repeated at least three times independently.

Wound healing assay

Exponentially growing cells were resuspended to a single cell suspension, seeded in wells on a 6-well plate at 1×10⁵ cells/mL, and allowed to grow to 90% confluence. A wound was made in the center of the monolayer of cells using a 200-µL sterilized pipette tip. Cells were washed and cultured in medium without serum for 24 hours. Images of the cells that had migrated into the cell-free wound area were obtained and the migration distance was observed under a microscope. The scratch wound widths were calculated by the relative percentage compared to the untreated control cells.

Transwell assay

The membrane of the upper chamber of a transwell plate was covered with 1:8 diluted 50 mg/L Matrigel solution, air dried at 4°C, and the residual solution removed. For each chamber, 50 µL culture medium containing 10 g/L BSA was added without any serum, and the chambers were kept in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 5 hours until the Matrigel solidified. Cells were trypsinized, centrifuged, washed twice, and resuspended to a single cell suspension with BSA containing culture medium (no serum). The cell concentration was adjusted to 1×10⁶/100 µL. For the upper chamber, 100 µL of cell suspension and 200 µL BSA containing the culture medium (no serum) were added. For the lower chamber, 500 µL culture medium containing 10% FBS was added. The cells in the transwells were incubated for 24 hours and then the Matrigel and cells in the upper chamber were removed. The membrane in the upper chamber was inverted, fixed in methanol for 20 minutes, and stained by Trypan blue for 5 minutes. Five fields were randomly selected under the microscope and the cell number attached to the membrane was counted. An average number of five fields was calculated and used for statistical analysis. Each sample was tested in triplicate, and the experiment was repeated three times independently.

Data collection from TCGA database

Ovarian cancer data were downloaded, prescreened, and 374 tumor samples were included in this study. Samples were sorted according to the expression level of PRDX1, from low to high, and equally aliquoted into four parts. The first 25% of the samples constituted the PRDX1 low expression group. The last 25% of the samples formed the high expression group.

Gene set enrichment analysis

GSEA 3.0 was used to analyze data. The gmt data cluster was downloaded from the Molecular Signatures Database data bank on the GSEA website. Enrichment analysis was performed on the sorted samples using default weighted enrichment statistics. Random assortment times were set to 1,000.

Functional analysis and signaling pathway enrichment analysis

Proteins that interact with PRDX1 were obtained from Gene-Cloud of Biotechnology Information (GCBI; https://www.gcbi.com.cn/gclib/html/index) and the corresponding genes of those proteins were analyzed using DAVID database (https://david.nicarb.gov/). The DAVID database is an essential foundation for the success of any high-throughput gene
function analysis. The functional and pathway enrichment of the proteins encoded by candidate genes were analyzed, and a $P$-value $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Construction of protein interaction network and module screening
Protein–protein interaction network analysis was carried out with the following databases: BioGrid, InWeb_IM, and OmniPath. The resultant network contains the subset of proteins that undergo physical interactions with at least another list member. If the network contained 3–500 proteins, Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE)\textsuperscript{14} was further applied to identify densely connected network components. Biological process and pathway enrichment analysis were identified.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed by SPSS 19.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-squared test and Fisher’s exact test were used to analyze counting data, and $t$-test was used to analyze measurement data. KM and log-rank analyses were used to analyze survival curves. A Cox model was used to analyze relationships between data and patients. $P<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. For GSEA analysis, gene clusters with false discovery rates $<0.25$ and $P<0.05$ were considered as significantly enriched genes.

Results

PRDX1 is significantly upregulated in ovarian cancer and correlates with clinical characteristics
To investigate the clinical values of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer, we performed IHC analysis of 148 ovarian tissue samples, including 15 samples of normal ovarian tissue, 21 benign, 11 borderline, and 101 malignant epithelial ovarian tumors.

The expression of PRDX1 was demonstrated by a brownish yellow color after immunohistochemical staining of tissue sections; it was mainly expressed not only in the cytosol but was also found in the nucleus. PRDX1 expression was significantly upregulated in ovarian cancer tissue compared with normal lung tissue (Figure 1).

The positive and high positive rates of PRDX1 in epithelial ovarian cancer were 96.04% and 84.16%, respectively, which were significantly higher than those in epithelial ovarian borderline tumors (72.72% and 27.27%), benign epithelial ovarian tumors (57.14% and 14.29%), and normal ovarian tissues (20% and 0%) (all $P<0.05$; Table 1). Although these rates were higher in epithelial ovarian borderline tumors than in benign tumors and, in turn, were higher in benign tumors than in normal tissue, a significant difference between any two out of these three groups mentioned above was not found ($P>0.05$ for each comparison; Table 1).

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 1** The expression of PRDX1 in ovarian tissues ($SP \times 200$, central $SP \times 400$).

Notes: (A) Ovarian epithelial malignant tumor. (B) Ovarian epithelial borderline tumor. (C) Ovarian epithelial benign tumor. (D) Normal ovarian tissue. Scale bar: 300 µm.

Abbreviations: PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1; $SP$, scaled pixels.
**PRDX1 protein level can indicate prognosis in ovarian cancer**

The 101 cases of malignant epithelial ovarian tumors were divided into two groups, PRDX1 high (+++/++++) and low expression groups (−/+), on the basis of the IHC results. Statistical analysis revealed that high PRDX1 expression was significantly correlated with increased tumor FIGO stage, lymph node metastasis, and poor differentiation (all \( P<0.05 \); Table 2). In order to visualize the results, we mapped the box plot of correlation of PRDX1 expression with clinico-pathologic parameters (Figure 2). A significant statistical difference was not noted between serous and non-serous subtypes of cancer regarding the expression of PRDX1 (all \( P>0.05 \); Table 2).

These patients were followed up while contact was lost with 18 patients. The remaining 83 patients were followed up until September 30, 2017 (follow-up duration: from 1 to 98 months). Thirty-two patients died during follow-up (38.55%).

Cox regression analysis was used to analyze the impact of the clinical and pathological parameters on the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients. Univariate analysis demonstrated that FIGO stage (HR=6.775, 95% CI=2.061–22.270, \( P=0.002 \)), PRDX1 expression level (HR=7.154, 95% CI=2.171–23.570, \( P=0.001 \)), and lymph node metastasis (HR=2.56, 95% CI=1.202–5.452, \( P=0.015 \)) significantly correlated with patients’ overall survival (Table 3). Forest map was used to visualize the univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses (Figure 3). Data were analyzed by KM analysis and the result was tested by the log-rank test. The 5-year survival time in the PRDX1 high expression group was significantly lower than that of the PRDX1 low expression group (Figure 4A). Stage III–IV patients presented with lower survival time than stage I–II patients (Figure 4B). Patients with lymph node metastasis presented with lower survival time than patients without metastasis (Figure 4C). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that high expression of PRDX1 (HR=10.033, 95% CI=1.956–51.462, \( P=0.006 \)), advanced clinical stage (HR=4.569, 95% CI=1.226–17.031, \( P=0.024 \)), and poor differentiation (HR=3.086, 95% CI=1.012–9.409, \( P=0.048 \)) were independent risk factors for the prognoses of ovarian cancer patients (Table 3).

**Overall survival and expression differences of PRDX1 in different databases**

We found that PRDX1 expression did not have significant differences in the overall survival in TCGA database

---

**Table 1** Expression of PRDX1 in ovarian tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Low (−)</th>
<th>High (+++)</th>
<th>Positive rate (%)</th>
<th>High positive rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>69.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Relationship between PRDX1 expression and clinico-pathologic parameters for malignant ovarian serous tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Low (−)</th>
<th>High (+++)</th>
<th>Positive rate (%)</th>
<th>High positive rate (%)</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>91.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well–moderate</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>89.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>89.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological subtype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>85.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell carcinoma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Patients without lymphadenectomy.

**Abbreviations:** FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1.
containing 424 patients (Figure 5B). In the KM plotter database, the screening criteria are as follows: 1) “Cancer, Ovarian Cancer;” 2) “Gene: PRDX1;” 3) “Survival: OS;” 4) “Follow-up threshold: 60 months;” 5) “Histology: Serous (n=1,232)” Information “Use earlier release of the database: 2017 version;” Allowance “Array quality control: exclude outlier arrays.” Finally, 1,156 cases were included, with 842 patients demonstrating high PRDX1 expression and 314 patients low expression. The KM survival curve showed a significant correlation between the expression level of PRDX1 and prognosis (Figure 5A), log-rank $P = 0.035$, HR $= 1.23$ (1.01–1.48), that is, the higher the PRDX1 expression level, the worse the prognosis. We found that PRDX1 was highly expressed in liver cancer, stomach cancer, lymphoma, bladder cancer, etc through the Oncomine database analysis and was also overexpressed in eight ovarian cancer data sets (Figure 5C and D). However, we did not have access to extract the survival data of PRDX1 in the Oncomine database.

**Correlation of PRDX1 expression with copy number variation and methylation data**

To investigate the high expression of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer, we performed a correlation analysis of copy number and methylation level. There were >100 samples that

### Table 3 Cox regression analysis of overall survival of ovarian epithelial serous tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI of HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;60 vs ≥60 years)</td>
<td>1.454</td>
<td>0.721–2.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage (I–II vs III–IV)</td>
<td>6.775</td>
<td>2.061–22.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRDX1 expression (low vs high)</td>
<td>7.154</td>
<td>2.171–23.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis (yes vs no)</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.202–5.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation (poor vs well–moderate)</td>
<td>1.648</td>
<td>0.760–3.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological subtype (serous vs nonserous)</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>0.604–2.502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** *P < 0.05.

**Abbreviations:** FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1.
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underwent copy number amplification in TCGA ovarian cancer data. Correspondingly, the expression of the samples with copy number amplification also increased, indicating that high expression of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer was partly caused by copy number amplification (Figure 6A). PRDX1 expression and methylation level correlation analysis showed a negative correlation, and the hypomethylation level of PRDX1 resulted in high expression of PRDX1 (Figure 6B).

### Inhibition of PRDX1 expression downregulated ovarian cancer cell proliferation, migration, and metastasis

Knockdown of PRDX1 expression was performed using siRNA. Transfection efficiency was measured with Western blot analyses (Figure 7A). We found that siRNA1 interference to be the most efficient; so it was selected for subsequent biological behavioral experiments. Flow cytometry revealed that the number of G1 phase cells in the transfection group was significantly increased, and the number of S phase cells was decreased (Figure 7B), indicating that G1/S phase retardation had occurred. A wound healing assay indicated that PRDX1 knockdown could decrease the migration ability of Caov-3 cells (Figure 7C). Transwell assays showed that the migration and invasion abilities of PRDX1-siRNA-transfected groups were significantly inhibited (Figure 7D). PRDX1 knockdown markedly decreased the proliferation of Caov-3 cells (Figure 7E).

### PRDX1 influences key proteins to regulate Wnt signaling pathway

To investigate further the pathways that PRDX1 may regulate, gene enrichment analysis of PRDX1 was performed. The results indicated that Wnt signaling pathways-related gene clusters were enriched in PRDX1 high expression samples (Figure 8A). Pathways related to “oxidative phosphorylation” and “pathways in cancer” were also identified as

---

**Table A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Patients (%)</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRDX1 (low vs high)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>7.15 (2.171–23.570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;60 vs ≥60 years)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.721–2.932)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage (I–II vs III–IV)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>6.78 (2.061–22.270)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis (yes vs no)</td>
<td>94 (93.07)</td>
<td>2.56 (1.202–5.452)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation (poor vs well–moderate)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.760–3.572)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological subtype (serous vs nonserous)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.604–2.502)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Patients (%)</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRDX1 (low vs high)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>10.03 (1.956–51.462)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (&lt;60 vs ≥60 years)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.223–1.684)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage (I–II vs III–IV)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>4.57 (1.226–17.031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis (yes vs no)</td>
<td>94 (93.07)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.294–1.845)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation (poor vs well–moderate)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>3.09 (1.012–9.409)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological subtype (serous vs nonserous)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>2.79 (0.794–9.783)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Forest map based on univariate (A) and multivariate (B) Cox regression analyses.

Note: *P* < 0.05.

Abbreviations: FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; PRDX1, peroxiredoxin 1.
significantly altered along with aberrant PRDX1 expression (Figure 8B and C).

The protein expression levels of β-catenin in the Wnt pathway was obviously downregulated after the siRNA transfection, as detected by Western blot. It has been reported that Wnt signaling pathways participate in the process of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and regulate the occurrence and development of cancer. We then examined the expression levels of EMT-related proteins. We found that the expression of vimentin was significantly downregulated, E-cadherin protein expression was significantly upregulated (Figure 8D and E), and the cell morphology changed from spindle to round after transfection (Figure 8F), indicating that inhibition of PRDX1 expression can inhibit the occurrence of EMT.

Function and enrichment analysis
One hundred and one genes associated with PRDX1 were obtained from the web site of the Gene-Cloud of Biotechnology Information (GCBI, https://www.gcbi.com.cn/gclib/html/index) (supplementary table). Based on the DAVID database, biological functions and pathways for those genes were analyzed. The first 30 Gene Ontology (GO) terms of biological function and pathways were visualized by a “ggplot2” R package (Hadley Wickham, New Zealand). It was found that genes were mainly enriched in PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, p53 signaling pathway, pathways in cancer, FoxO signaling pathway, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, cell cycle, and Wnt signaling pathway (Figure 9A). It was also found that the genes were mainly involved in the regulation of G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle, negative regulation of apoptotic process, positive regulation of cell proliferation, and cell cycle arrest biological function (Figure 9B). We have proved that inhibiting the expression of PRDX1 can suppress G1/S phase transition (Figure 7B). Bioinformatics analysis further validates our experimental results.

Construction of protein–protein interaction network and module screening
There were 101 nodes and 541 edges in the protein–protein interaction network (Figure 10). The average clustering coefficient was 0.487; $P<1.0 e^{-16}$. MCODE1 network screened out from the protein–protein interaction network (Figure 11A). The pathway enrichment of genes in MCODE1 were mainly enriched in cell cycle, PI3K/Akt signaling pathways, FoxO signaling pathway, and biological process such as GO:0000086--G2/M transition.
Figure 5 Overall survival and expression differences of PRDX1 in different databases.

Notes: KM curves for overall survival of patients in KM plotter (A) and TCGA (B). Differential expression of PRDX1 in eight ovarian cancer data sets (C) and 11 cancer data sets (D) from Oncomine.

Abbreviations: PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1; KM, Kaplan–Meier; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; TPM, transcripts per million reads.

Figure 6 Correlation analysis of PRDX1 expression with copy number variation (A) and methylation data (B).

Abbreviations: PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads.
Figure 7 Decreased PRDX1 inhibited proliferation, invasion, and metastasis capacities of ovarian cancer cells in vitro. Notes: The expression of PRDX1 after PRDX1 knockdown by different siRNA was evaluated by immunoblot staining in ovarian cancer cell lines Caov-3 (A). Cell cycle of transfected cells was studied using flow cytometry (B). Invasion of PRDX1 knockdown ovarian cancer cells was measured by wound healing (C). Migration of PRDX1 knockdown cells was measured by transwell assay (D). The proliferating capability of transfected cells was evaluated using MTT (E). Quantification of the immunoblot staining results (a), flow cytometry results (b), wound healing assay results (c), and transwell invasion assay results (d). siRNA1, siRNA2, and siRNA3 denote PRDX1-siRNA transfection. NC indicates an empty-plasmid transfected cell line and CA is an untreated cell line. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1; sirna, small interfering RNA.

of mitotic cell cycle, GO:0006605–protein targeting, GO:0042059–negative regulation of EGFR signaling pathway, and GO:0050900–leukocyte migration were involved (Figure 11B and C). A flowchart of the functional enrichment analysis of PRDX1-related genes and construction of protein interaction network is shown in Figure 12.

Discussion

The mortality rate caused by ovarian cancer is the highest of all gynecological tumors, with 70% of cases diagnosed at an advanced stage. Invasion and metastasis are the most common causes for the high mortality. So, it is very important to explore the molecular mechanisms involved in the development of ovarian cancer and to identify effective tumor biomarkers. PRDX1 is a family of antioxidant enzymes that protects cells from oxidative damage by active oxygen. Although previous studies have shown abnormal expression of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer cells, its detailed functions and regulatory mechanisms were not fully understood. Therefore,
it was necessary to thoroughly research the mechanism of action of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer.

Using IHC, we found that the expression of PRDX1 in ovarian tumor tissues was markedly higher than that in borderline and benign epithelial ovarian tumor or normal ovarian tissues (all $P$, 0.05). The expression of PRDX1 was correlated with specific ovarian cancer clinicopathologic features, such as advanced clinical stage, lymph node metastasis, and poor tissue differentiation (all $P$, 0.05), suggesting that PRDX1 overexpression can increase the malignancy of ovarian cancer. The expression level of PRDX1, clinical stage, and lymph node metastasis were found to correlate with prognosis (all $P$, 0.05) by univariate Cox regression analysis. In comparison, Cox multivariate regression analysis indicated that advanced clinical stage, low tissue differentiation, and high expression of PRDX1 were independent risk factors affecting the prognosis of epithelial ovarian cancer ($P$, 0.05). Thus, the expression level of PRDX1 may become an indicator useful in evaluating the prognosis of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer.

So far, only one study has demonstrated that PRDX1 was linked to the prognosis of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. Chung et al studied 195 specimens of epithelial ovarian tumors by immunohistochemical staining and...
Figure 9 Network of enriched terms and top 30 of GO terms and pathway enrichment analysis.

Notes: (A) Bubble pattern of enriched biological function of GO terms in the genes associated with PRDX1. (B) Bubble pattern of enriched pathway in the genes associated with PRDX1. The Y-axis represents the name of the pathway or function, and the X-axis represents the percentage of this node in the whole network. The size of the bubble represents the number of genes in this signaling pathway or the number of genes involved in this function. The color of the bubble indicates the P-value of enrichment. The darker the color, the more significant the result.

Abbreviations: PRDX1, peroxiredoxin1; GO, Gene Ontology.
obtained similar results. The study of Chung et al involved 77 cases of epithelial ovarian cancer, including 43 with serous carcinoma, nine with mucinous adenocarcinoma, 17 with endometrial adenocarcinoma, and eight with clear cell carcinoma. It was found that PRDX1 was highly expressed in ovarian serous carcinoma and was related to patients’ prognoses. However, it was found that the expression level of PRDX1 was not related to clinical stage, tissue differentiation, and lymph node metastasis, presumably due to the lack of adequate malignant tumor specimens. In the Hoskins et al study, the interstitial fluid and ascites of four patients with ovarian serous papillary carcinoma were analyzed by proteomic analysis. Immunoassay analysis was performed on the selected PRDX1. It was found that the expression level of PRDX1 in ovarian serous papillary carcinoma was six times higher than that in normal tissue or benign tumors. This suggested that PRDX1 could be used as a potential diagnostic biomarker for epithelial ovarian cancer.

KM plotter, TCGA, and Oncomine database were combined to verify further the overall survival and expression of PRDX1. However, there was no significant difference between the expression level of PRDX1 and prognosis. Then, more cases were included from the KM plotter database. We found the expression of PRDX1 was significantly associated with the prognosis of patients, which is consistent with our experimental result. At the same time, we found that PRDX1 was highly expressed in multiple tumors and was overexpressed in eight ovarian cancer data sets through the Oncomine database, further validating our IHC results. In order to explore the reasons for the high expression of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer, a correlation analysis of copy number and methylation level was performed using TCGA database. It suggested that 100 samples were amplified by copy number, and the corresponding gene expression was also increased. We speculate that the high expression of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer is partly due to copy number amplification. DNA methylation is one of the important modifications of the genome of eukaryotic cells. It regulates the expression of genes by interacting with transcription factors or by altering chromatin structure, and regulates biological genetic information from epigenetic levels. PRDX1 expression and methylation level correlation analysis showed a negative correlation, and the hypomethylation level of PRDX1 leads to the high expression of PRDX1.

The PRDX family is made up of six members, PRDX1 to PRDX6. PRDX1 and PRDX3 are typical 2-cysteine
molecules. Duan et al found that the inhibition of PRDX3 expression triggered cisplatin-mediated apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells, which may act through suppression of the NF-κB signaling pathway. In a study by Pak et al, overexpression of PRDX6 was demonstrated to reduce the apoptotic effect of cisplatin on human ovarian cancer cells by reducing ROS levels and suppressing the caspase signaling pathway. A study by He et al showed that PRDX1 knockdown increased ROS accumulation and mitogen-activated protein to enhance lapachone-induced apoptosis. At present, the relationship between PRDX1 and the mechanisms involved in the development of ovarian cancer has not been investigated. To explore further the influence of PRDX1 on the biological behaviors of ovarian cancer cells, we analyzed the pathways and functions of genes associated with PRDX1 combined with bioinformatics.

In the present study, cell proliferation, invasion, metastasis, and cell cycle were inhibited after knocking down the expression of PRDX1 in Caov-3 ovarian cancer cells. Subsequently, gene enrichment analysis of PRDX1 based on TCGA database was performed to further investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying the effect of PRDX1 on the proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of ovarian cancer cells. We found that the expression of PRDX1 significantly correlated with the Wnt signaling pathways.

PRDX1 is an antioxidant that regulates cell growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and other functions. In the nucleus, PRDX1 affects bioactivities upon gene regulation by
associating with p53 or various transcription factors including c-Myc, NF-κB, and AR. Studies also indicate that PRDX1 is involved in H₂O₂-induced apoptosis in the nucleus.⁶

It is well known that Wnt signaling pathway participates in the process of EMT and regulates the occurrence and development of cancer, which is mediated by cell proliferation, invasion, and apoptosis.⁹,¹⁰ Ha et al found that knockdown of PRDX1 significantly inhibited TGF-β1-induced EMT and cell migration.²¹ We found that the expression levels of β-catenin in the Wnt pathway were obviously downregulated after shRNA transfection. Meanwhile, the expression of vimentin was significantly downregulated, E-cadherin protein expression was significantly upregulated. These results suggest the potential mechanism of PRDX1 in repressing proliferation and invasion in ovarian cancer by downregulating β-catenin protein. Thus, we have further demonstrated a relationship between PRDX1 and the development of ovarian cancer.

However, the invasion and metastasis of tumor cells is a complex series of pathophysiological processes, accompanied with a complex regulatory network involving multiple molecules. In this study, genes correlated with PRDX1 were obtained from the GCBI protein database, according to literature-based relationships. Function and pathway enrichment analysis showed that genes were mainly enriched in PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, p53 signaling pathway, pathways in cancer, MAPK signaling pathway, FoxO signaling pathway, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, cell cycle, and Wnt signaling pathway. The ubiquitin–proteasome system is the most significant intracellular proteolytic pathway. Target proteins are usually ubiquitinated prior to degradation by the proteasome to participate in the regulation of cell proliferation, apoptosis, and other cell functions. Aberrations in the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway are commonly observed in many cancers.²² Moreover, we found that genes in the MCODE module were enriched in cell cycle, PI3K/Akt signaling pathways, FoxO signaling pathway, and biological processes such as G2/M transition of mitotic cell cycle, protein targeting, and negative regulation of EGFR signaling pathway were involved.

The pathways analyzed in the above-mentioned database were verified. Guo et al found that overexpression of miR-510 inhibited PRDX1 expression, thereby activating the PI3K/Akt pathway and promoting breast cancer cell proliferation.²³ PRDX1 is an upstream effector for JNK and p38 MAPK pathways.²⁴ Turner-Ivey et al discovered that inhibition of PRDX1 expression increased ROS-induced p38 MAPK phosphorylation and promoted H₂O₂-induced breast cancer cell apoptosis.²⁵ Studies also found that PRDX1 modulated the activity of p38 MAPK, which, in turn, promoted pancreatic cancer invasion.²⁶ The biological behaviors of the enriched genes set mainly relate to the positive regulation of cell proliferation, the negative regulation of the apoptotic process, and the regulation of G1/S transition of the mitotic cell cycle, among others, which is consistent with our present experimental results. Together with our finding, there seems to be enough evidence to speculate that PRDX1 may also affect the biological behaviors of ovarian cancer cells with related genes through the above-mentioned pathways to regulate the development of ovarian cancer. Further investigations are needed to focus on the roles of these potential related genes to elucidate the underlying basic mechanisms of PRDX1 in ovarian cancer.

Collectively, we confirmed that PRDX1 is an independent risk factor for the prognosis of ovarian cancer. Downregulated expression of PRDX1 can suppress ovarian cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis. Pathways analysis of the interaction network showed that tumor-related signaling pathways such as Wnt, FoxO, cell cycle, and PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways may be involved. At present, although
several PRDX1-related studies of cancer are available, we were the first to demonstrate that the inhibition of PRDX1 expression can suppress malignant biological behaviors such as proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of ovarian cancer cells in ovarian cancer. In this study, bioinformatics analysis was innovatively combined to explore PRDX1-related mechanisms involved in the occurrence and development of ovarian cancer, thus providing a new direction for the further study of PRDX1.
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