Dolutegravir/rilpivirine for the treatment of HIV-1 infection
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Abstract: Much progress has been made in the development of antiretroviral therapies (ARTs) for HIV-1 infection. Beginning a little over a decade ago, single tablet combination regimens (STRs) became available, and subsequently, newer STR formulations with improved safety profiles have emerged. Recently, there is a growing interest in regimen simplification with the primary goal of further reducing long-term toxicities of ART and improving medication adherence. Dolutegravir/rilpivirine (DTG/RPV) was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as the first dual antiretroviral STR for the maintenance therapy of HIV-1 infection. Following an extensive review of all published papers on RPV and DTG, administered alone and in combination, extracted from databases including PubMed, Google scholar, and EMBASE, as well as drug package inserts and conference abstracts and proceedings, this review discusses the chemical properties and composition, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic properties, clinical trial efficacy and safety data, as well as important drug–drug interactions associated with DTG/RPV. An expert opinion section discusses ideal candidates for DTG/RPV in the context of available but limited data and in comparison to currently available and emerging ART alternatives.
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Introduction

More than 37 million people are living with HIV infection worldwide, with about 2 million new infections occurring every year, and, in USA, about 40,000 new infections occur annually, adding to 1.2 million individuals already living with HIV infection.1-3 Significant milestones have occurred in the treatment of HIV infection since the first antiretroviral agents became available. Many antiretroviral medications exist today and, when taken consistently, result in sustained and durable viral suppression.

For over 20 years, the paradigm of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) has been the use of at least three antiretroviral agents with two nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) as the backbone.4,5 In the absence of a cure, the current expectation is lifelong use of cART for HIV disease treatment. However, concern exists regarding long-term toxicities, particularly of NRTIs including their effects on bone mineral density, renal and cardiovascular system toxicities, increased risk for lactic acidosis, and peripheral neuropathy.6-9 Recent antiretroviral therapy (ART) constructs have tried to address these concerns, such as the prodrug tenofovir alafenamide that has less renal and bone toxicities than tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), although it retains some of the other adverse effects (AEs).
Currently, there are over 40 antiretroviral medications grouped into seven different categories according to their mechanism of actions. Antiretroviral regimens for most people living with HIV consist of two NRTIs in combination with a third active drug from one of the following three categories: an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI), a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), or a PI with or without a PK enhancer (booster). The Department of Health and Human Service (DHHS) panel now recommends the use of INSTI-based regimens for initial treatment as evidence shows durable efficacy, limited drug interaction, and toxicity profiles, as well as relative tolerability of these drugs.

In 2017, another advancement in HIV treatment occurred, which was the approval of DTG/RPV by the FDA, and is the first two-drug regimen indicated for chronic maintenance therapy of HIV-1 infection. This new NRTI-sparing regimen represents a well-tolerated treatment option with potential for less long-term toxicity and will be the focus of this review.

**Introduction to compound**

DTG/RPV is a two-drug combination of DTG, an INSTI, and RPV, an HIV-1 NNRTI, and is approved as a regimen for the maintenance treatment of HIV-1 infection for select individuals.

**Chemistry (DTG)**

DTG is a second-generation integrase inhibitor with chiral, nonracemic structure with a molecular weight of 419 g/mol. The chemical name of DTG sodium is sodium (4R,12aS)-9-[(2,4-difluorophenyl)methyl]carbamoyl]-4-methyl-6,8-dioxo-3,4,6,8,12,12a-hexahydro-2H-pyrido[1',2':4,5]pyrazino[2,1-b][1,3]oxazin-7-olate. The empirical formula is C$_{20}$H$_{18}$F$_2$N$_3$NaO$_5$ (Figure 1). This medication is a white light yellow powder that is slightly soluble in water. Each coated tablet of 52.6 mg of DTG sodium is equivalent to 50 mg of DTG.

In addition, the tablet includes D-mannitol, microcrystalline cellulose, povidone K29/32, sodium starch 343 glycolate, and sodium stearyl fumarate as well as iron oxide yellow, lamivudine (GEMINI) effectively maintained viral suppression.11–13 Although not yet approved for clinical use, these present future viable alternative treatment approaches for patients, particularly those who have achieved full virologic suppression on three-drug regimens.14,15

DTG/RPV (Juluca®, GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) is the first-ever US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved dual-drug regimen indicated as maintenance therapy for select HIV-1 infected individuals who are virologically suppressed. Currently, it consists of two medications approved by the FDA for HIV treatment as components of cART – DTG and RPV.16 The potency, safety, and high resistance barrier of DTG and the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of RPV along with the favorable pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles of both agents make them good candidates for co-formulation. This nucleoside (tide)-sparing drug combination represents a new treatment approach for the chronic management of the HIV-1-infected patient population.

**Overview of the market**

In 1987, zidovudine was the first antiretroviral approved by the US FDA for the treatment of HIV-1 infection.17 Thereafter, numerous antiretroviral compounds became available and were subsequently approved as well. Successful combination ART regimens termed highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) began in 1996 with the introduction of protease inhibitors (PIs) to the HIV treatment repertoire (first approved in 1995).18 The PI class of medications proved to be highly effective against HIV, but typically required multiple pills and doses, constituting a high pill burden for patients. Side effects were common, and regimens were often poorly tolerated.18 The introduction of STRs in 2006 (efavirenz [EFV]/TDF and emtricitabine [FTC], Atripla®, Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) was a significant breakthrough and advancement in HIV therapeutics allowing for utilization of one pill taken once daily.19

![Figure 1 Chemical structure of dolutegravir.](image-url)
macrogol/polyethylene glycol (PEG), polyvinyl alcohol-part hydrolyzed, talc, and titanium dioxide.22

**Pharmacodynamics (DTG)**
DTG binds to the two metal cations (Mg²⁺) at the integrase enzyme active site within the preintegration complex. DTG is highly potent when compared to other integrase inhibitors. The in vitro half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) is 1.6 nM (compared to 3.3 and 6 nM for raltegravir [RAL] and elvitegravir [EVI], respectively). DTG dissociates from the integrase–DNA complex significantly slower (mean dissociation constant, 2.7×10⁻⁶ s⁻¹) compared to either RAL or EVI (22×10⁻⁶ s⁻¹ and 71×10⁻⁶ s⁻¹, respectively).24,25 The structure of DTG allows for increased entry farther into the pocket vacated by the displaced viral DNA (vDNA) making closer contacts with vDNA, compared with those made by RAL and EVI. Some studies suggest that DTG may change its position in response to structural changes in the active site of RAL-resistant integrase protein.26

The half maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) of RAL against wild-type (WT) HIV-1 was 4 nM, and viruses carrying Y143R, N155H, and G140S/Q148H mutations caused, respectively, a 50-, 30-, and 400-fold decrease in susceptibility to the drug. However, DTG, which inhibited WT HIV-1 with an EC₅₀ of 1.6 nM, retained a high potency against the Y143R, N155H, and G140S/Q148H mutants, with less than fourfold decrease in activity. Drugs that engage the side chain at places other than the enzymatic active site should not possess cross-resistance with any other INSTIs and therefore present a viable alternative in those patients who have developed resistance to other medications in this class.27

**PKs (DTG)**
Following oral ingestion of DTG, peak plasma concentrations are observed in 2–3 hours. The medication achieves steady state in about 5 days. DTG may be taken with or without food; however, food increased the extent but slowed the rate of absorption of DTG. Low-, moderate-, and high-fat meals increased DTG area under the curve (AUC) (0–∞) by 33%, 41%, and 66%; increased maximum concentration (Cₘₐₓ) by 46%, 52%, and 67%; and prolonged Tₘₐₓ to 3, 4, and 5 hours from 2 hours under fasting conditions, respectively. It is highly protein bound (98.9%), primarily to human plasma proteins.28

DTG accumulates in compartments other than plasma (eg, cerebrospinal fluid); however, the clinical significance of this drug is unknown. DTG is primarily metabolized via UGT1A1 with some contribution from CYP3A with a half-life of 14 hours. After a single oral dose of DTG, 53% of the total oral dose was excreted unchanged in feces. Thirty-one percent of the total oral dose was excreted in urine, represented by an ether glucuronide of DTG (18.9% of total dose), a metabolite formed by oxidation at the benzyl carbon (3.0% of total dose), and its hydrolytic N, de-alkylation product (3.6% of total dose). Renal elimination of unchanged drug was low (<1% of the dose), and no dose adjustment is required in patients with mild, moderate, or severe renal function.28

**Chemistry (RPV)**
RPV is a diarylpyrimidine derivative and considered a second-generation NNRTI. The molecular formula for RPV is C₁₅₂H₁₈N₆ HCl with a molecular weight of 402.88 g/mol. The chemical name for RPV is 4-[[4-[(E)-2-cyanoethenyl]–2,6-dimethylphenyl]amino]-2-pyrimidinyl]amino]benzonitrile monohydrochloride (Figure 2). Each tablet contains the inactive ingredients such as croscarmellose sodium, lactose monohydrate, magnesium stearate, polysorbate 20, povidone K30, and silicified microcrystalline cellulose. The tablet coating contains hypromellose 29,106 mPa.s, lactose monohydrate, PEG 3000, titanium dioxide, and triacetin.29

**Pharmacodynamics (RPV)**
RPV is a diarylpyrimidine NNRTI of HIV-1 and inhibits HIV-1 replication by noncompetitive inhibition of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT). It is considered a second-generation NNRTI and possesses a higher barrier to resistance when compared to previous drugs in this class.29

RPV exhibited activity against laboratory strains of WT HIV-1 in an acutely infected T-cell line with a median EC₅₀ value for HIV-1ₘₖₙ of 0.73 nM (0.27 ng/mL). RPV also demonstrated antiviral activity against a broad panel of HIV-1 group M (subtype A, B, C, D, F, G, and H) primary isolates with EC₅₀ values ranging from 0.07 to 1.01 nM (0.03–0.37 ng/mL) and was less active against group O primary isolates with EC₅₀ values ranging from 2.88 to 8.45 nM (1.06–3.10 ng/mL). However, limited activity in cell culture against HIV-2 with a median EC₅₀ value of 5,220 nM (range, 2,510–10,830 nM; 920–3970 ng/mL) was observed.29

![Figure 2 Chemical structure of RPV hydrochloride.](image)
*Note: Reproduced from Pubchem.20
*Abbreviation: RPV, rilpivirine.*
PKs (RPV)

RPV achieves maximum plasma concentration in 4–5 hours after oral administration. Its absolute bioavailability is unknown; however, exposure to the drug is 40% lower when taken in fasting conditions compared to coadministration with a fatty or normal caloric meal.\(^{31,32}\)

RPV is primarily bound to albumin (99.7%), and its distribution to other compartments of the body (other than plasma) has not been studied. In vitro experiments suggest that it undergoes cytochrome P450 metabolism (CYP3A), and therefore, close attention should be given to other drugs that may affect its elimination.

After a single dose, RPV’s half-life is approximately 50 hours. It is excreted primarily in feces accounting for up to 25% of the administered drug. There is limited or no information regarding the PKs of RPV in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment or in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD). The potential impact of altered PKs of RPV in this patient population is expected not to be clinically significant; however, caution should be exercised in patients with poor renal function, and monitoring of possible side effects is warranted.\(^{31,32}\)

Chemistry of DTG and RPV (in combination)

In each tablet of DTG/RPV, active ingredients include 50 mg of DTG (equivalent to 52.6 mg DTG sodium) and 25 mg of RPV (equivalent to 27.5 mg RPV hydrochloride).\(^{27}\) Inactive ingredients include magnesium stearate, microcrystalline cellulose, silicified microcrystalline cellulose, croscarmellose sodium, sodium starch glycolate, sodium stearyl fumarate, d-mannitol, lactose monohydrate, polysorbate 20, and povidone K29/32 and K30. The film coat of the tablet contains titanium dioxide, macrogol/PEG, part-hydrolyzed polyvinyl alcohol, iron oxide red, iron oxide yellow, and talc, which are also inactive ingredients.

Clinical efficacy

The clinical efficacy of the individual components – DTG and RPV – were demonstrated in multiple Phase III randomized studies, and both are approved by the FDA individually and in combination with two NRTIs for the treatment of HIV-1 infection. DTG was shown to be superior to comparator regimens in both treatment naive and experienced individuals with approval granted for use in both patient groups in 2013. RPV was studied in treatment naive adults but showed higher virologic failure in subjects with viral loads >100,000 copies/mL and CD4-T cell counts <200 cells/μL.\(^{33–35}\) The approval of RPV was granted in 2011 and limited to treatment naive adults with viral load >100,000 and CD4-T cell count >200 cells/μL. Efficacy data for the combined use of DTG and RPV come from the pooled analysis of two large parallel randomized controlled trials and smaller observational studies. Details of these trials are summarized in Table 1.

Phase III trials (SWORD-1 and 2)

The safety and efficacy of DTG/RPV were evaluated in two open-label, parallel-group, multicenter, Phase III randomized, noninferiority studies conducted in 12 countries; designated SWORD-1 and SWORD-2.\(^{36}\) Eligible patients had to be adults (>18 years of age) on stable ART with HIV-1 RNA levels of at least <50 copies/mL for at least 6 months and no known major resistance substitutions to any ARV drug class including NNRTI and INSTIs. Subjects were randomly assigned to receive DTG/RPV once daily or to continue their current three drug regimen ART (current antiretroviral therapy [CAR]). The primary endpoint was proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA lower than 50 copies/mL at week 48.

Secondary outcomes included other efficacy and safety measures. Efficacy was determined by intention-to-treat analysis at week 24 and week 48 and by subgroups stratified by base ART class.

The change in CD4 cell counts and incidence of observed resistance to DTG or RPV and CAR were determined. Safety endpoints included incidence and severity of adverse events and treatment discontinuation. Laboratory abnormalities and changes in renal, bone, and cardiovascular biomarkers, compared to baseline values, were determined at week 48.

A total of 1,339 adults in the combined studies were assessed for eligibility, and of these, 1,028 were randomized: 516 to DTG/RPV arm and 512 to CAR arm. The groups were well matched demographically, with equal numbers of patients on PIs, NNRTIs, and INSTIs. The groups were also equally matched in regard to CD4 count (stratified by patients with <200, 200–350, 350–500, and >500 cells/μL). Prerandomization base ART class was an NNRTI for 54% in both treatment groups, while 26% and 27% were on PIs and 20% and 19% on INSTIs for the DTG/RPV and CAR groups, respectively. TDF and FTC were the most frequently used backbone regimens (DTG/RPV group: 73% TDF and 69% FTC vs CAR group: 70% TDF and 67% FTC).

About equal numbers in each group discontinued treatment or had protocol deviations. Overall, 513 and 511 were included in the intention-to-treat analysis and 457 and 453 subjects were included in the per-protocol analysis for the DTG/RPV and CAR groups, respectively.
In the pooled analysis of the intention-to-treat population with a set noninferiority margin of –8%, 95% of subjects in both treatment arms (486 of 513 in the DTG/RPV vs 485 of 511 in the CAR groups) maintained HIV-1 RNA viral loads less than 50 copies/mL with an adjusted treatment difference of –0.2% (95% CI, –3.0 to 2.5) confirming noninferiority of DTG/RPV. Treatment efficacy was seen across all subgroups based on the baseline third agent class, CD4 count, and subject demographics. Fewer virologic failures were reported in the DTG/RPV group, which was noninferior to CAR (0.5%; 95% CI, –1.4 to 0.5) with a predefined noninferiority margin of 4%.

Resistance testing was performed only in subjects who met virologic withdrawal criteria as defined by two consecutive vial loads between 50 and 200 copies per mL. One subject in the DTG/RPV arm showed resistance substitution K101K/E mixture with no decreased susceptibility to RPV. No integrase inhibitor resistance or decreases in DTG susceptibility were seen. Median CD4 cell counts increased from baseline to week 48 by 28 cells/μL in the DTG/RPV group vs 22 cells/μL in the CAR group.

Compared with CAR, a nonsignificant decrease in serum lipids was seen in the DTG/RPV group. There was no change in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in both groups; bone biomarkers improved significantly in the DTG/RPV group and did not differ from baseline in the CAR group.

**Observational study (TiVEdo)**

In the observational cohort study TiVEdo, ART regimens were switched to DTG plus RPV (as separate components) for 132 subjects and followed for up to 48 weeks. The cohort was composed of 32% females and 10% non-Caucasians and had a mean age of 52 years. Reasons for switching included simplification (53%), toxicity (34.8%), drug interactions (5.3%), persistent low-level viremia (3.03%), nonadherence (2.27%), and virologic failure (1.51%). At baseline, 16 patients had quantifiable detectable virus, 27 had <50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL (below threshold), and 89 had no virus detected (NVD).

Of the 16 subjects with detectable virus, three continued to have detectable viremia (>50 copies/mL) at week 4. However, by week 24, only one patient remained with detectable viremia. The below-threshold group decreased from 27 to 19 (63%) and the NVD increased from 89 to 112 (81%) by week 24. Of the 50 subjects who had a follow-up at 48 weeks, one was off treatment (>75% of time) at study visits and had virologic failure. Four patients remained below threshold and
45 had NVD (90.9%). Mean CD4+ T-cell count increased over time for the entire cohort (721–811 cells/μL).

Among all subjects, six patients had some levels of resistance to RPV at baseline, but this did not result in treatment failure (defined as >50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL). No INSTI mutations were detected at baseline. The regimen was well tolerated with only one patient discontinuing the treatment due to headache and insomnia. In addition, one patient terminated the treatment due to drug interaction between RPV and proton pump inhibitors. Only one patient developed some mutations to NNRTIs due to interruptions in therapy leading to virologic failure. The study found that subjects on DTG/RPV experienced a mean serum creatinine increase by +0.1 mg/dL. This increase was possibly due to the known effect of DTG, which causes decreased tubular secretion of creatinine via inhibition of renal organic cation transporter 2 (OCT 2).38

**Observational study (Dat’AIDS)**

The Dat’AIDS cohort was a retrospective analysis of 152 patients with HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL whose ART regimen was switched to DTG plus RPV (as separate components).39 Subjects were mostly male (66%) with a median age of 55 years and with viral suppression maintained for a median of 10 years. The primary outcome was a mixed analysis of antiviral efficacy based on the loss of virologic and/or therapeutic response at week 24. Loss of virologic control was defined as two measurements within 4 weeks showing HIV-1 RNA >50 copies/mL or one plasma sample with HIV-1 RNA >1,000 copies/mL. Therapeutic failure was defined as discontinuation of study medications for any reason. Before dual therapy, 41% were INSTI naive and 52% overall had experienced virologic failure on a previous ART regimen.

At week 24, 115 of 116 subjects (99.1%) did not meet the definition of virologic failure and 105 of 116 (95%) were without therapeutic failure. At the end of the study, confirmed virologic failure occurred in only three patients (2%). Two of these patients had low-level transient viremia, remained on DTG/RPV, and were found to have WT virus (RNA Sanger sequencing). No resistance testing was done on the third patient who was lost to follow-up.

Overall, 19 patients (13%) stopped dual therapy with 12 subjects discontinuing the treatment due to adverse effects (AEs), mostly neurologic disorders. Others discontinued the treatment for multiple reasons including simplification with change to a single-tablet regimen (n=3), patients’ decision (n=1), virologic failure (n=1), pregnancy (n=1), and drug interaction (n=1). No grade 3 and 4 AEs were observed. There were no significant changes in CD4 count; a median increase in serum creatinine of +11.1±5.4 μmol/L occurred by week 24 of the study compared to baseline values (P-value <0.001).

**Safety and toxicity of DTG/RPV (Phase III trials)**

AEs were reported in 395 (77%) subjects in the DTG/RPV and 364 (71%) subjects in the CAR group (Table 2).36 The most common events were nasopharyngitis, headache, upper respiratory tract infection, diarrhea, and back pain. More participants –17(3%) in the DTG/RPV arm withdrew from the study, compared to three (1%) in the CAR group due to drug-related events. This higher discontinuation rate in the DTG/RPV arm related to drug intolerance (eg, headache and diarrhea) was expected given that patients in the CAR group were enrolled and maintained on a stable regimen. Neuropsychiatric AEs including insomnia, depression, anxiety, and abnormal dreams occurred more commonly in the DTG/RPV group. These AEs often occurred in subjects with a history of anxiety, depression, or insomnia. The majority of overall events were considered grade 1 in 247 (48% in both groups) or grade 2 in 116 (23% in DTG/RPV and 20% in CAR). Three patients did not have a virologic response to DTG/RPV, compared to six in the CAR group.

**Safety and toxicity DTG**

The absorption of DTG is significantly impaired by co-administration with divalent or trivalent cations such as iron.

| Table 2 Selected adverse effects adapted from Phase III trials (week 48) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Adverse effects** | **DTG/RPV** (n=513), n (%) | **CAR** (n=511), n (%) |
| Any adverse effects | 395 (77) | 364 (71) |
| Psychiatric | 61 (12) | 32 (6) |
| Nasopharyngitis | 49 (10) | 50 (10) |
| Headache | 41 (8) | 23 (5) |
| Diarrhea | 32 (6) | 27 (5) |
| **Drug-related adverse effects** | 97 (19) | 9 (2) |
| Headache | 11 (2) | 0 |
| Diarrhea | 8 (2) | 1 (<1) |
| **Serious events** | 27 (5) | 21 (4) |
| Medication related | 4 (1) | 1 (<1) |
| Fatal events | 1 (<1) | 1 (<1) |
| **Events leading to study withdrawal** | 17 (3) | 3 (1) |

**Note:** Date from Llibre et al.36

**Abbreviations:** CAR, current antiretroviral therapy; DTG, dolutegravir; RPV, rilpivirine.
calcium, aluminum, and magnesium. For example, AUC decreases by 39% and by 54% if DTG is given concomitantly with calcium carbonate and ferrous fumarate, respectively. Coadministration of magnesium/aluminum containing antacids decreases AUC and C_{max} by 74% and 72%, respectively. Such effects are minimized if DTG is taken 2 hours before or 6 hours after these agents.16

Drugs that induce CYP 3A4 and transporters (UGT1A1, P-glycoprotein) will reduce DTG serum levels impacting drug efficacy. Such decreases are seen with concomitant administration of rifampin, carbamazepine, or EFV. The PKs of metformin and dofetilide are altered when given with DTG with resultant increased levels of both drugs, therefore, the recommendation for dose limit restrictions with metformin and the contraindication for concurrent use with dofetilide.16

RPV
Given the pH-dependent absorption of RPV, concomitant administration with agents affecting gastric acidity can alter its levels. RPV administration is contraindicated with proton pump inhibitors and should be given spaced in dosing from histamine 2-receptor antagonists and antacids.16

Like DTG, CYP3A4 enzyme inducers including carbamazepine, dexamethasone, hypericum (St. John’s wort), phenobarbital, phenytoin, rifampin, and rifabutin decrease RPV plasma concentration and should generally be avoided. However, when used concurrently with rifabutin, an additional 25 mg of RPV may be administered with fixed dose combination of DTG/RPV for the duration of rifabutin treatment (Table 3).

### Regulatory affairs
DTG/RPV received the US FDA approval on November 21, 2017, for maintenance therapy of HIV-1 infection in adults who are virologically suppressed on a stable antiretroviral regimen for at least 6 months and with no history of treatment failure and no known resistance substitutions to its individual components. On March 22, 2018, DTG/RPV received similar authorization for use by the European Medical Association.40

### Dosing routes
DTG/RPV is given as one oral tablet daily with a meal.

### Conclusion and expert opinion
DTG/RPV represents an effective option for the long-term treatment of HIV-1 infection. Both agents, as have been described in the earlier sections of this article, have favorable profiles including that they are well tolerated, have limited drug interaction potential, and have been shown in clinical trials to achieve and maintain virologic suppression with low rates of treatment failure and treatment-emergent resistance.41–44 Furthermore, the exclusion of the NRTI class of medications is an attractive strategy as it limits known long-term toxicities associated with these agents.

However, as a first-in-class, two-drug ART strategy, providers may be wary of a less than three drug-containing ART regimen for managing their patients. However, the clinical trials data are compelling and should be reassuring for use in selected patients who meet the study eligibility criteria including those with long-term virologic suppression and absence of preexisting resistance mutations to the component

| Table 3 Drugs contraindicated for coadministration with DTG/RPV |
|---|---|---|
| **Drug class** | **Contraindicated drugs in class** | **Clinical comment** |
| Antiarrhythmic | Dofetilide | Potential for increased dofetilide plasma concentrations may lead to serious/life-threatening cardiac events |
| Anticonvulsants | Carbamazepine, Oxcarbazepine, Phenobarbital, Phenytoin | Induction of CYP3A enzyme by anticonvulsants and the use of systemic corticosteroids may result in significant decreases in RPV plasma concentration, resulting in virologic failure |
| Glucocorticoid (systemic) | Dexamethasone (more than a single dose) | |
| Herbal products | St. John’s wort *(Hypericum perforatum)* | |
| Proton pump inhibitors | Esomeprazole, Lansoprazole, Omeprazole, Pantoprazole | RPV requires an acidic medium (low pH) for absorption; therefore, agents that affect gastric pH will impair adequate absorption and possibly cause virologic failure |

**Note:** Data from GSK.27

**Abbreviations:** DTG, dolutegravir; RPV, rilpivirine.
In clinical practice, other ideal candidates for switch to DTG and RPV are those who have developed toxicities related to NRTIs (including those for whom providers desire PI s, or first-generation NNRTIs).

Multiple studies are also supporting that a less than 3 drug drug strategy may be possible for a maintenance phase of therapy.10–15 As these studies have shown, indeed, the integrase inhibitors and some PI s are so potent and have a high barrier to resistance, such that they can result in or maintain full virologic suppression with the addition of a single (other class) antiretroviral agent. Therefore, a three-drug (or two-drug) induction phase and subsequent maintenance therapy with two-drug therapy (or single drug such as with monoclonal antibodies45 in stably virologically suppressed individuals could be the treatment paradigm of the future.

Some clinical concerns around the regimen are justified including recent reports of neuropsychiatric AEs in patients on DTG and also PK interactions with commonly used agents such as divalent cations contained in multivitamins, antacids, and supplements for treating mineral bone disease46 (although dosing can be changed to avoid this), antitubercular agents (an important consideration for tuberculosis [TB] endemic areas), and RPV’s vulnerability to diminished absorption with antacids, which are very commonly used. In addition, with the absence of antivirals (in DTG/RPV combination) with activity against hepatitis B virus (HBV), this regimen may not be an option for those co-infected with HBV.

Although limited data are available, and more long-term studies with more patients are required; the neutral effect on lipids by DTG/RPV following a switch from other cART regimens and the lack of renal and bone toxicity (which has been associated with certain NRTIs) are desirable properties (Table 4). Furthermore, the regimen may be utilized without dose adjustment in patients with renal disease. It is important to mention that although creatinine elevations are noted with DTG, which occurs through its inhibition of renal transporter – OCT 2 – this does not reflect a true decline in renal function.38

Overall, DTG/RPV has ushered in a new era of maintenance therapy for HIV disease and is an effective, well tolerated and with potential for comparatively fewer long-term toxicities compared to NRTI containing regimens.
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### Table 4 Changes in BMD, lipid profile, and GFR with DTG/RPV (at 48 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Biomarkers</th>
<th>Δ BMD</th>
<th>Δ Lipids (HDL/LDL/TG)</th>
<th>eGFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWORD-1 and 2*</td>
<td>T-score ↑ 1.34 hip, 1.46 spine</td>
<td>Nonsignificant ↓</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIvedo*</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dat’AIDS*</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ↑, significant increase; ↓, decrease; ↔, no significant change.

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; DTG, dolutegravir; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; ND, not discussed; RPV, raltegravir; TG, triglyceride.