Clinicopathological analysis of PD-L2 expression in colorectal cancer
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Background: (PD-L2), a ligand of programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), is an inhibitory receptor of T cells and activated B cells. Many studies have focused on PD-L1, another ligand of PD-1, and the prognostic significance of PD-L1 has been reported in many tumors. However, the expression of PD-L2 in relation to clinical outcomes has not been fully investigated in cancer patients.

Patients and methods: In this study, we investigated the expression of PD-L2 via immunohistochemistry (IHC) in the pathological specimens of 348 patients treated for colorectal cancer (CRC).

Results: Strong PD-L2 expression was found in the cancer tissues from 41% of the CRC patients who also had a high TNM stage and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) concentration. We also carried out functional studies in vitro, which showed that PD-L2 did not influence the growth of the CRC cell line HCT116, but increased cell invasion.

Conclusion: Collectively, these findings suggest that PD-L2 may be a potential therapeutic target for CRC.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most prevalent cancer in women and the third most common cancer in men, according to WHO.1 Despite recent advances in CRC prognosis, diagnosis, and treatment, there are 1.38 million reported cases of CRC and approximately 700,000 deaths from CRC in 2012 worldwide.2

The immune system plays a pivotal role in both the surveillance and destruction of tumors, and this mechanism has been exploited to produce new treatment options that have garnered much success in some types of tumors. Costimulatory molecules that regulate the immune system have been reported to upregulate or downregulate immune responses. Costimulatory molecules include the B7 protein family members and TNF-α family members, such as CTLA-4, CD28, and CD40.

The B7 family member programmed-death-ligand 2 (PD-L2/B7-DC) was identified in dendritic cells (DCs) in 2001. This molecule is one ligand of programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1),3 an inhibitory receptor of T cells and activated B cells. PD-1 and PD-Ls are key checkpoint molecules in the immune system and mediate interactions between T cells and antigen-presenting cells (APCs) or host cells, helping cancer cells evade host immune surveillance.4 It is commonly accepted that PD-L1 expressed on tumor cells can inhibit the T-cell antitumor response and facilitate cancer development. However, a study done in CRC tissues has shown that the expression of PD-L1 is only positive in a limited percentage (approximately 10%) of tumors,5 which does not explain how CRC cells evade the antitumor immune response in the absence of PD-L1 expression.
PD-L2, the other ligand of PD-1, was found to be moderately or strongly expressed in most tumor cells, suggesting functional relevance to the tumor microenvironment. Several studies have shown that PD-L2 plays an inhibitory role through interacting with the PD-1 receptor.\(^6\,^7\) However, a study suggests that PD-L2 can stimulate T-cell proliferation via a PD-1 receptor-independent mechanism that potentially involves a distinct PD-L2 binding partner.\(^8\)

In this study, we carried out immunohistochemistry (IHC) to investigate the expression pattern of PD-L2 in CRC. Results showed that PD-L2 was highly expressed, and its expression was correlated with TNM stage and the tumor-associated antigen, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). Moreover, we used in vitro assays to investigate the role of PD-L2 in tumor proliferation and invasion. Taken together, these results establish an important role for PD-L2 in cancer progression and suggest an underlying suppression of cancer immune surveillance.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients**

Specimens from 348 patients who had been diagnosed with CRC were examined. Patients who had received preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded. Of these patients, 225 cases were from the Department of General Surgery of Shanghai Renji Hospital, and the other 123 cases were from the Department of General Surgery of Kunshan People Hospital and the Suzhou Municipal Hospital, Jiangsu, China, between January 2009 and December 2010. All 348 of the cases were diagnosed as CRC via H&E staining after surgical resection. Pathological reports of these patients were recorded, and their clinical parameters are shown in Table 1. Survival data were collected through patient follow-up. Prior to commencing the experiments in this study, the approval from the ethics review board of Suzhou Vocational Health Technology College was granted, and written informed consent was obtained from each tissue donor.

**Cell culture and antibodies**

The CRC cell line HCT116 was purchased from the Shanghai Cell Biology Institutes, Chinese Academy of Science (Shanghai, China). Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified incubator supplemented with 5% CO\(_2\). Rabbit anti-human PD-L2 (1:100) monoclonal antibody (ab200377) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). The secondary antibody was purchased from Maixin_bio™ (Fujian, China).

**Construction of tissue microarray (TMA)**

TMAs were constructed. Area-specialized histopathologists identified and marked formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks containing tumor tissues on H&E-stained slides. Replicate (two) 1.6 mm cores from the center and periphery of the tumors were taken and arrayed into a recipient paraffin block using a tissue puncher/arrayer (patent number: 200920350099. 2 P. R. China). Nine-micrometer sections of the tissue array block were cut, deparaffinized, and dehydrated for immunohistochemical staining.

**IHC**

Immunohistochemical staining for PD-L2 was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, antigens were retrieved by EDTA solution. Sections were cooled down and immersed in 0.3% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) solution for 20 minutes to block the endogenous peroxidase activity, and then rinsed in PBS for 5 minutes, blocked with 5% BSA at room temperature for 20 minutes, and incubated with primary antibodies against PD-L2 (1:100 dilution, 19 µg/mL final concentration) at 4°C overnight. A universal biotinylated secondary antibody was developed. Sections were dehydrated, cleared, and mounted.

**Evaluation of immunohistochemical staining**

Sufficient tissues were observed for immunohistochemical evaluation of PD-L2 expression by two independent pathologists who were blinded to the clinicopathological parameters of the CRC patients. A semiquantitative scoring scheme based on the distribution of positive tumor cells and the staining intensity was used to evaluate the expression of PD-L2. The distribution score, which is an estimate of the percentage of positively stained cells, was multiplied by an intensity factor, which ranged from 1 (staining intensity just exceeding background) to 4 (dark brown staining obvious on macroscopic inspection of the slide). At the same time, the intensity ratio of positively stained cells was also evaluated as follows: 0%–10% staining scored 0, 11%–30% staining scored 1, 31%–60% staining scored 2, and 61%–100% staining scored 3. The gene expression score was calculated by multiplying the two scores and dividing by 4. A score of 0–1 was designated as low expression, and a score of 2–3 as high expression. PD-L2 expression in tumor cells was evaluated.

**Cell proliferation assay**

PD-L2 protein used in the in vitro experiment was purchased from R&D Systems, Inc. (Minneapolis, MN, USA; Accession #: Q9BQ51). Proliferation was determined using a
Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) for the last 5 hours of a 24-hour incubation period. In the CCK-8 assay, HCT116 cells were normalized at 5,000 cells/100 µL in 96-well plates. In the PD-L2-Fc protein groups, HCT116 cells were incubated with 0.5–20 mg/mL PD-L2-Fc. After 24 hours of incubation, the cells were analyzed via the CCK-8 assay at 650 nm, as described by the manufacturer’s protocol. Three replicate wells were used to determine each data point, and four different experiments were performed.

### Table 1 The association between PD-L2 expression and clinical pathological characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total N=348</th>
<th>PD-L2 expression (low) N=205</th>
<th>PD-L2 expression (high) N=143</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>142 (40.8)</td>
<td>90 (25.9)</td>
<td>52 (14.9)</td>
<td>1.982</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>206 (59.2)</td>
<td>115 (33.1)</td>
<td>91 (26.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>227 (63.4)</td>
<td>126 (36.2)</td>
<td>101 (29.0)</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>131 (36.6)</td>
<td>79 (22.7)</td>
<td>42 (12.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor site, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>161 (46.3)</td>
<td>101 (29.0)</td>
<td>60 (17.2)</td>
<td>1.927</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>186 (53.4)</td>
<td>104 (29.9)</td>
<td>83 (23.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology grading, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-differentiated</td>
<td>142 (40.8)</td>
<td>78 (22.4)</td>
<td>64 (18.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate differentiated</td>
<td>139 (39.9)</td>
<td>89 (25.6)</td>
<td>50 (14.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor-differentiated</td>
<td>67 (19.3)</td>
<td>38 (10.9)</td>
<td>29 (8.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (TNM), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>74 (21.3)</td>
<td>48 (13.8)</td>
<td>26 (7.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>114 (32.8)</td>
<td>71 (20.4)</td>
<td>43 (12.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>110 (31.6)</td>
<td>66 (19.0)</td>
<td>44 (12.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>50 (14.4)</td>
<td>20 (5.7)</td>
<td>30 (8.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor volume (mm³), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>211 (60.6)</td>
<td>127 (36.5)</td>
<td>84 (24.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>137 (39.4)</td>
<td>78 (22.4)</td>
<td>59 (17.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>160 (46.0)</td>
<td>109 (31.3)</td>
<td>51 (14.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>188 (54.0)</td>
<td>96 (27.6)</td>
<td>92 (26.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>311 (92.0)</td>
<td>190 (56.2)</td>
<td>121 (35.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>27 (8.0)</td>
<td>15 (4.4)</td>
<td>12 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor gross type, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass forming</td>
<td>81 (30.2)</td>
<td>49 (18.3)</td>
<td>32 (11.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>97 (36.3)</td>
<td>62 (23.1)</td>
<td>35 (13.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative</td>
<td>90 (33.6)</td>
<td>21 (7.8)</td>
<td>69 (25.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CEA (ng/mL), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>183 (52.6)</td>
<td>121 (34.8)</td>
<td>62 (17.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>165 (47.4)</td>
<td>84 (24.1)</td>
<td>81 (23.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; PD-L2, programmed-death-1-ligand 2.

**Cell invasion assay**

The in vitro invasion assay was performed using 8 µm pore size Transwell® inserts (#3422, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA). HCT116 cells (10,000) were placed on the top of the Transwell® chamber inserts, and serum (10%) was used as the chemoattractant. Before cell seeding, the transwell chambers were plated with 50 µL Matrigel (diluted 1:5 in serum-free media) and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. Lower compartments contained RPMI 1640 medium with...
10% FBS. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C in a humidified chamber with 5% CO₂, non-invading cells and gel were removed from the upper chamber using cotton-tipped swabs. Cells were fixed with methanol for 30 minutes and stained with crystal violet. Invading cells were counted in three random fields per filter at 200× magnification for triplicate wells. This experiment was conducted in triplicate.

**Results**

**Expression of PD-L2 in CRC tissues**

To assess the diversity of PD-L2 expression in CRC, immunohistochemical analysis was performed, and the results revealed that PD-L2 expression was present in tissues from 273 of the 348 CRC cases (78.45%). Different levels of PD-L2 expression are shown in Figure 1. Through the
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**Figure 1** Representative immunohistochemical staining of PD-L2 expression in human CRC.

**Note:** (A) Negative ×100, (B) negative ×400, (C) weak positive ×100, (D) weak positive ×100, (E) moderate positive ×100, (F) moderate positive ×400, (G) strong positive ×100, and (H) strong positive ×400. Scale bar represents 50 µm.

**Abbreviations:** CRC, colorectal cancer; PD-L2, programmed-death-1-ligand 2.
IHC results, we observed that PD-L2 was predominantly expressed in the membrane and cytoplasm of tumor cells.

Correlation of PD-L2 expression with patient clinical parameters
We analyzed PD-L2 expression in CRC tissues and found that the expression had a negative correlation with lymph node metastasis and tumor stage ($P=0.038$ and 0.029), suggesting that PD-L2 is involved in cancer invasion. PD-L2 expression levels, however, were not correlated with other clinicopathological parameters, such as gender, age, tumor location, tumor size, pathology grading, tumor gross type, and distant metastasis (Table 1).

Correlation of PD-L2 expression with tumor markers
Detection of biomarkers in circulation is a convenient and economical screening method. There are three tumor biomarkers for CRC that are widely used in clinical settings: CEA, carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199), and carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125). In this study, we found that CRC patients with highly expressed PD-L2 had a higher serum CEA concentration (Figure 2).

PD-L2 had no effect on the proliferation of HCT116 cells
To evaluate the role of PD-L2 in CRC, we carried out proliferation assays in vitro using the HCT116 cell line incubated with a PD-L2-Fc fusion protein for 24 hours. Results showed that there was no difference in cellular proliferation between the PD-L2 fusion protein group and the control group (Figure 3). The experiments were duplicated three times.

PD-L2 increased HCT116 cell invasion
To further determine the relationship of PD-L2 with cell invasion in CRC, we performed Transwell® invasion assays to examine the effects of PD-L2 on the invasion of HCT116 cells. We found that the PD-L2-Fc protein significantly increased the transmembrane cell numbers compared with that of the negative control group ($P<0.005$; Figure 4) and this effect was dose-dependent (Figure 3). After 24 hours of culture in Transwell® chambers, the average number of
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**Figure 2** Levels of serum tumor markers in CRC tissues with different PD-L2 expression levels.

**Notes:** (A) A statistical difference CEA levels among the different PD-L2 expression levels. (B) CA-125 levels among the different PD-L2 expression levels. (C) CA-199 levels among the different PD-L2 expression levels.

**Abbreviations:** CA-125, carbohydrate antigen 125; CA-199, carbohydrate antigen 199; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; PD-L2, programmed-death-1-ligand 2.
Figure 3 There was no effect of PD-L2 on cell growth in the CRC cell line.

Note: CCK-8 assays were conducted 24 hours after HCT119 cells were plated.

Abbreviations: CCK-8, Cell Counting Kit-8; CRC, colorectal cancer; PD-L2, programmed-death-ligand 2.

cells passing through the membrane was 243±23.3. However, when the PD-L2-Fc fusion protein (10 µg/mL) was added into the lower chamber, the cell number increased to 598±39.4. These results suggest that PD-L2 is involved in malignant progression and metastasis.

Discussion

PD-L2, a second ligand of PD-1, generally has a lower expression level than PD-L1. PD-L2 was initially restricted to APCs, including macrophages, DCS, mast cells, and some B cells. More recently, however, several groups have shown that PD-L2 expression can be induced in various other immune and non-immune cells. PD-L2 was detected in activated T cells and various solid tumors, such as renal cell carcinoma, bladder carcinoma, melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), head and neck squamous carcinoma, breast cancer, ovarian carcinoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Of the two ligands of PD-1, the majority of studies focused on PD-L1 expression in various tumor tissues and found a significant correlation between PD-L1 expression and patient prognosis after surgery. Less research has been done regarding the clinical significance of PD-L2.

Therefore, we performed IHC to observe PD-L2 expression in CRC tissues and found a high level of expression (85%), which is consistent with the PD-L2 expression level of 85.5% in CRC tissue cells reported by Wang et al. However, some studies found PD-L2 expression to be either negative or weakly positive in a majority of tumor cells, such as in ovarian cancer as reported by Hamanishi et al. In addition, Nomi et al reported that only 27% of the analyzed pancreatic tumors expressed PD-L2.

PD-L2 is a costimulatory molecule that plays an inhibitory role in regulating T cell activation during the immune response. PD-L2 was shown to interact with PD-1 and dramatically inhibits T cell receptor (TCR)-mediated proliferation and cytokine production by CD4+ T cells. Cancer evades host immune surveillance via the utilization of immune checkpoints. These checkpoints include PD-1/PD-L1 (or PD-L2)-mediated interactions between T cells and tumor cells to inhibit their function. The relationship between PD-L2 and tumor prognosis remains controversial. Rozali et al reported that PD-L2-positive esophageal carcinoma patients had a poorer prognosis than PD-L2-negative patients. Jung et al reported that PD-L2 expression was a significant prognostic factor for the overall survival of patients with HCC. In our investigation, the overexpression of PD-L2 was found to be significantly correlated with histological differentiation, TNM stage, and preoperative CEA. Our results support the hypothesis that PD-L2 expression aids tumor cells in escaping immune surveillance. The results were consistent with a paper published in 2017 by Wang et al, which reported that PD-L2 was overexpressed in CRC tissue cells and correlated with poor patient survival. Although the suppression of T-cell responses by PD-L2 has been shown in numerous studies, the specific effects of PD-L2 still seem to vary, potentially due to differences in tissue type and heterogeneity of the immune background. To illuminate the mechanism behind the role of PD-L2 in immune escape, we carried out experiments in vitro. We observed no obvious effect of the PD-L2 fusion protein on proliferation in HCT116 CRC cells in the CCK-8 assays. However, the invasion of HCT116 cells following treatment with PD-L2 was significantly increased, suggesting a correlation between PD-L2 expression and TNM stage in clinical data. It has been reported that there is an alternative, secondary receptor for PD-L2 called RGMb. RGMb is a member of the repulsive guidance molecule (RGM) family, and its expression increases with CRC progression in human patients. RGMb inhibited apoptosis and increased the viability of CRC cells. We speculate that PD-L2 in tumor cells may function through interactions with other receptors such as RGMb. The exact mechanism needs to be further researched.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that PD-L2 was expressed in approximately 85% of the CRC tissues, and this expression was associated with histological differentiation, TNM stage, and preoperative CEA. The in vitro experiments also provided evidence that PD-L2 is involved in tumor cell invasion. Thus, these findings provide comprehensive information and...
PD-L2 expression in CRC

a rationale for PD-L2 playing a pivotal role in malignant progression and metastasis, suggesting PD-L2 as a potential therapeutic target in the treatment of CRC.
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