Preliminary results following the use of a fixed combination of timolol–brimonidine in patients with ocular hypertension and primary open-angle glaucoma
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Purpose: The purpose of this prospective study was to evaluate the efficacy in intraocular pressure (IOP) control and the tolerance of a topically administered fixed combination of timolol–brimonidine in 50 patients with ocular hypertension and primary open-angle glaucoma.

Methods: After determining a baseline IOP, the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine was used twice daily for two months, while IOP, ophthalmic signs, and/or symptoms were monitored.

Results: The mean IOP value was decreased from 23.09 mm Hg (±1.98 SD) to 17.46 mm Hg (±1.47 SD) during the 1st month (paired Student’s t test = 9.88 και p < 0.001), and to 17.51 mm Hg (±1.43 SD) in the 2nd month. Between the 1st and 2nd month, no statistical difference was observed (paired Student’s t test = 0.02 και p > 0.1). In 8% of the patients during the 1st month and 10% of patients in the 2nd month, some ophthalmic signs were observed, while only mild ophthalmic symptoms were reported in 6% and 8% of the patients, respectively.

Conclusions: In conclusion, the fixed combination of timolol–brimonidine has a satisfactory IOP-lowering effect without any serious side effects due to the topical use.
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Introduction
Intraocular pressure (IOP) is the most important prognostic risk factor, associated with the gradual vision loss in glaucoma.1,2 Lowering IOP has been proved to contribute effectively in delaying the development of glaucoma in patients with ocular hypertension, and also in delaying the progression of established glaucoma.3

The medications already in use decrease the IOP effectively, giving the opportunity to achieve the target IOP even with monotherapy. Nevertheless, many patients with glaucoma do not reach target IOPs with only one medication, which makes necessary the introduction of a second or even a third IOP-lowering drug. According to the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study, after five years of IOP-lowering medical treatment, 40% of the patients need at least two drugs for effective IOP control.

Beta-adrenergic antagonists and alpha-adrenergic agonists are two commonly used categories of IOP-lowering medications. Timolol is a nonselective β-blocker, which lowers IOP by decreasing aqueous humor production, and brimonidine is an α-agonist which has a double action by decreasing aqueous humor production and, also, by increasing aqueous humor outflow via the uveoscleral pathway. Various studies demonstrated a greater decrease in IOP with the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine compared with the use of each drug separately.5–8
evaluate the efficacy of timolol–brimonidine in controlling IOP and its tolerance in patients with ocular hypertension and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG).

Methods

Patients

This study evaluates the efficacy and tolerance of the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine in patients with ocular hypertension and POAG. For this purpose a two-month prospective study was conducted at the 1st University Eye Clinic of Athens.

Eligible patients had to have POAG or ocular hypertension and should have no prior antihypertensive medical treatment or only monotherapy. Key exclusion criteria included:

1. Active ocular infection or inflammation
2. Previous sensitivity to timolol or brimonidine
3. Unstable heart disease that could be negatively affected from the use of the β-blocker
4. History of dendritic ulcer, bullous keratopathy, or active corneal ulcer in the past three months
5. Corneal abnormalities that interfere with an accurate IOP measurement (eg, pterygium)
6. Retinal disease (eg, previous retinal detachment, diabetic retinopathy, or any other progressive disease)
7. Uses contact lens
8. Previous intraocular surgery or laser trabeculoplasty
9. Angle closure or secondary glaucoma
10. Disease processes such as blepharitis/chronic conjunctivitis.

The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1996) and in accordance with institutional review board regulations. Each participating investigator received institutional review board approval and obtained written informed consent from patients before initiation of the study.

Intervention

The study was conducted at the following phases. At the beginning, took place a baseline examination in order to classify patients according to the aforementioned criteria, an IOP measurement and a detailed medical history.

Patients who didn’t receive any medication had a baseline IOP measurement.

Patients already on medication at the baseline examination underwent a wash-out period followed by the baseline IOP measurement. Wash-out periods, according to the previous drug, were determined as:

- β-blockers: two weeks
- α-agonists: one week
- prostaglandin analogs: one week
- topical carbonic anhydride inhibitors: one week

The baseline IOP measurement included a measurement in the morning, before 11 AM on two different days of the same week. The mean IOP of these measurements was considered as the baseline IOP and was used for comparison of the results. At the same time a slit lamp and a fundus examination took place.

 Afterwards, the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine was given and the dosage was determined as one drop every 12 hours at 8 AM and 8 PM.

Follow-up study visits were scheduled one and two months after the initiation of therapy. During the follow-up examination, IOP measurement was performed approximately at the same time (11 AM), three hours after drug instillation.

Variables that were evaluated, were ophthalmic signs evaluated under a slit lamp (conjunctival secretions, examination of the conjunctiva and corneal epithelium), and tolerance of the drug (pain, burning, stinging).

Results

Patient ages varied from 39 to 83 years and the mean age was 63.5 years. Fifty patients were recruited: 26 were men and 24 women. Six patients had no prior antihypertensive treatment and 44 were already on medication which is shown in Table 1.

Forty-six patients completed the study. Four patients discontinued treatment for the following reasons: topical adverse events in one patient who developed epithelial damage of the cornea and blurring of vision, and uncontrolled IOP in three patients. Patients who discontinued treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Intraocular pressure-lowering drugs used before initiation of the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine and their percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
<td>No of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medication</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brimonidine</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timolol</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travoprost</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bimatoprost</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorzolamide</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timolol/Dorzolamide</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latanoprost/Timolol</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were included in data analysis with the measurements taken until the time of withdrawal.

Intraocular pressure
In order to verify the wash-out effect in the group of patients with prior antihypertensive treatment, we compared the mean IOP at the baseline examination before the wash-out period (19.61 mmHg ± 1.53 SD) with the mean IOP after this period (22.68 mmHg, ±1.71 SD). The statistical analysis showed a significant difference (paired Student’s t test = 5.86 and p = 0.001).

The baseline mean IOP decreased from 23.09 mmHg (±1.98 SD) to 17.46 mmHg (±1.47 SD) at the 1st month examination. The statistical analysis showed a significant difference (paired Student’s t test = 9.88 and p < 0.001). At the 2nd month examination the mean IOP was 17.51 mmHg (±1.43 SD) and the statistical analysis demonstrated no significant difference between the 1st and 2nd month (paired Student’s t test = 0.02 and p > 0.1). Figure 1 shows the variation of IOP.

Tolerance
The evaluation of the drug tolerance included patient symptoms and slit lamp examination at the baseline examination and at the end of the 1st and 2nd months.

With the slit lamp examination, particular attention was given to the cornea, conjunctiva, and presence of secretions. Among the 50 patients, four in the 1st month (8%) and five in the 2nd month (10%) presented with an ophthalmic sign, which is given in detail in Table 2. We note that certain patients presented with more than one ophthalmic sign.

During the follow-up visits, the patients completed a questionnaire about the appearance of symptoms such as stinging, burning, pain and their classification as mild, moderate, and serious. None of the 50 patients mentioned any moderate or serious symptom during the follow-up visits. Three patients at the end of the 1st month (6%) and four patients at the end of the 2nd month (8%) mentioned mild symptoms (Table 3). It should be mentioned that no patient reported pain at the end of the 2nd month.

Discussion
This study showed that there is a statistically significant difference of the IOP before and after the initiation of the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine in our group of patients, not related to their prior antihypertensive treatment. The mean baseline IOP decreased from 23.09 mmHg (±1.98 SD) to 17.46 mmHg (±1.47 SD) at the end of the 1st month. There have been similar results of the IOP-lowering effect in other studies investigating the effect of the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine vs brimonidine alone, vs a β-blocker, or vs the fixed combination dorzolamide–timolol. Our study agrees with all these relevant studies on the fact that the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine twice daily offers a better control of IOP in cases of ocular hypertension and POAG when compared with a monotherapy. An important factor contributing to the more efficient IOP control with this fixed combination could be that the dosage, twice daily, results in better patient compliance. This would be more difficult with the use of two different eyedrops, each one of them given twice daily.

In addition, only four patients at the 1st month (8%) and five at the 2nd (10%) presented with an ophthalmic sign and none had a moderate or serious symptom during the follow-up visits. In contrast, the incidence of adverse events is higher with the use of timolol and brimonidine separately and often

Table 2 Number of eyes that presented the specific sign at the 1st and/or 2nd month, with no prior involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ophthalmic signs</th>
<th>1st month</th>
<th>2nd month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival secretions</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctiva</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal epithelial disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>5**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *One patient had three signs and one patient had two signs; **Two patients had two signs.

Table 3 Eyes that presented with symptoms at 1st and 2nd month, but were not present at the baseline examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Stinging</th>
<th>Burning</th>
<th>Pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st month</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd month</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
leads to discontinuation of treatment.\textsuperscript{5,6} Conclusively, we postulate a significant safety limit with the use of the fixed combination timolol–brimonidine and, also, a low incidence of adverse events. This is in accordance with the current literature and recent studies.\textsuperscript{5,6,11}

**Conclusions**

The fixed combination timolol–brimonidine is administered twice daily and exhibits a significant IOP-lowering effect compared with any previous antihypertensive treatment. Also, its topical use isn’t associated with any serious side effects which results in high safety and better patient compliance with this specific antihypertensive treatment.
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