The biological evaluation of fusidic acid and its hydrogenation derivative as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory agents

Background: Fusidic acid (FA) (WU-FA-00) is the only commercially available antimicrobial from the fusidane family that has a narrow spectrum of activity against Gram-positive bacteria. The hydrogenation derivative (WU-FA-01) of FA was prepared and both compounds were examined against a panel of six bacterial strains. In addition, their anti-inflammatory properties were evaluated using a 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)-induced mouse ear edema model.

Methods: Herein, the hydrogenation derivative (WU-FA-01) of FA was prepared and both compounds were examined against a panel of six bacterial strains. In addition, their anti-inflammatory properties were evaluated using a 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)-induced mouse ear edema model.

Results: The results of the antimicrobial assay revealed that both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 displayed a high level of antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive strains. Moreover, killing kinetic studies were performed and the results were in accordance with the minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration results. We also demonstrated that the topical application of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 effectively decreased TPA-induced ear edema in a dose-dependent manner. This inhibitory effect was associated with the inhibition of TPA-induced upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β, TNF-α, and COX-2. WU-FA-01 significantly suppressed the expression levels of p65, IκB-α, and p-IκB-α in the TPA-induced mouse ear model.

Conclusion: Overall, our results showed that WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 not only had effective antimicrobial activities in vitro, especially to the Gram-positive bacteria, but also possessed strong anti-inflammatory effects in vivo. These results provide a scientific basis for developing FA derivatives as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory agents.
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Introduction

Over the past few decades, the appreciation of the key role of inflammation in disease diagnosis, prevention, and treatment has burgeoned. Inflammation has been defined as a complex biological response of vascular tissues to different types of harmful stimuli, such as damaged cells, irritants, and pathogens. Inflammation has also been linked to the release of proinflammatory cytokines, such as MAPK, p65, and I-κB kinase (IKK) activate proinflammatory transcription factors including NF-κB, activator protein 1 (AP-1), and cAMP response element-binding protein. These transcription factors are critically involved in the expression of various proinflammatory genes, including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), all of which could be a sign of many diseases. Therefore, inflammation is a biological response, wherein the organism attempts to remove the injurious stimuli and initiate...
the healing process for the tissue; thus, it could be regarded as a protective effect.4

Currently, steroids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are proverbially used as effective therapeutic anti-inflammatory agents in clinical applications.4 Despite the widespread use of anti-inflammatory drugs, there may be some residual risks of inflammation and the side effects of their long-term oral administration,16 especially in infectious diseases, in which patients suffer from not only the inflammatory responses but also the pathogenic microorganism infections.17–19

Fusidic acid (FA) (WU-FA-00) (Figure 1), which has a steroid-like scaffold structurally and is derived from the fungus Fusidium coccineum, is the only marketed antibiotic from the fusidane family. Sodium fusidate, the sodium salt of FA, was primarily introduced into practice as an anti-staphylococcal therapy in 1962.20–22 However, FA has a narrow spectrum of biological activity against some anaerobic Gram-negative organisms and most Gram-positive bacteria, especially the staphylococci, including the methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and coagulase-negative staphylococci.23–25 Although some antimicrobial activity and reasonable anti-inflammatory effects have been discovered,26,27 there is no in-depth study of FA and its derivatives as potential anti-inflammatory agents. Therefore, the therapeutic efficacy of FA and its derivatives as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory agents should be explored.

In the present study, the in vitro antimicrobial activities of FA and its hydrogenation derivative (WU-FA-01) were investigated against six bacterial strains, including five Gram-positive bacterial strains and one Gram-negative bacteria strain. In parallel, TPA was used as an inducer to explore both compounds’ inhibitory activity on skin inflammation in a mouse ear edema model.28–30 Moreover, immunohistochemical analysis was introduced to reveal their inhibitory effects on the expression of TPA-induced TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2 in mouse ears. Furthermore, the anti-inflammatory mechanisms of FA and its hydrogenation derivative were also discussed to gain insight into their effects. Accordingly, FA is already a licensed antimicrobial with well-described anti-inflammatory properties. Its 24,25-dihydrofusidic acid derivative could be developed as antimicrobial with well anti-inflammatory agents.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

FA was purchased from Macklin Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), with over 98% purity. TPA was ordered from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). TPA, FA, and its derivative were dissolved in acetone to produce the desired concentrations of each compound. TNF-α and IL-1β antibodies were purchased from Bioss Biotechnology Co. (Beijing, China) and Beyotime Biotechnology Co. (Beijing, China). The silica gel (200–300 mesh) used in the column chromatography was supplied by Inno-chem Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). All other reagents and solvents were purchased from Adamas Reagent Ltd. (Shanghai, China) or other commercial suppliers in their analytically or chemically pure forms and used without purification. All of the compounds used in this study were diluted in acetone or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to get a stock solution for further dilution to obtain the final concentration. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel F_254 plates (0.25 mm; Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA); the starting material and the product were detected by either viewing under UV light or treating with an ethanolic solution of p-anisaldehyde spray followed by heating. Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) and Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) were purchased from Guangdong Huankai Microbial Sci. & Tech. Co., Ltd. (Guangdong, China). The antimicrobial activity was determined by using a Multimode Plate Reader (Infinite 200, TECAN, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China).

Preparation of WU-FA-01

A 100 mL glassware was flamed-dried and allowed to cool in a desiccator before use. FA (1.0 g, 1.94 mmol) was
dissolved in 50 mL of ethanol. About 5% of palladium on calcium carbonate (0.1 g, 0.19 mmol) was added to the reaction. Moreover, the reaction mixture was subjected to a vacuum-nitrogen purge and left to stir under a hydrogen atmosphere for 3 hours. TLC was eluted in the mixture of ethyl acetate:petroleum ether = 1:2 (v:v) and stained in p-anisaldehyde. Rf value of the starting material was 0.14 and the product was 0.17. Then, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with ethyl acetate. The solvent was removed under vacuum to obtain a white solid (yield: >98%).

Microorganisms and culture conditions
Six bacterial strains were used for the bioassays, including three Gram-positive species, S. aureus (ATCC 6538), S. aureus subsp. aureus (ATCC 29213), S. epidermidis (ATCC 12228), Listeria monocytogenes (ATCC 19115), and Streptococcus pneumoniae (ATCC 49619), and one Gram-negative species, Escherichia coli (CMCC 44102). All bacteria were maintained on MHA, and the cultures were stored at 4°C and subcultured every week.

Agar disk diffusion method
The antimicrobial activity of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 was determined according to the standard agar disk diffusion method with a slight modification.33–35 A 0.5 McFarland (1×10^8–1×10^6 CFU/mL) concentration of the bacterial suspension was uniformly inoculated onto MHA solidified in 120 mm Petri dishes. Once the dishes were prepared, 6 mm diameter discs of filter paper containing 5 µL of the examined compound, which had been diluted 10 times with DMSO, were pressed gently against the surface of the agar. Discs containing WU-FA-00 were used as the positive control, while DMSO was used as the negative control. The dishes were incubated in a constant temperature incubator at 37°C for 24 hours. The inhibition zone (IZ) diameter was measured by a vernier caliper. All the experiments were performed in triplicate.

Broth microdilution method
The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were determined by a microdilution method in 96-microwell plates according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), with a slight modification.36–37 A dilution series of the test compounds were obtained with DMSO as the solvent by twofold serial dilution. The final concentrations of the test compound were 1–400 µg/mL. Each well received 5 µL of a specific concentration of the compound and 195 µL of MHB inoculated with the test microorganism (1.5×10^3 CFU/mL); the final concentration of the test compound reached 0.025–10 µg/mL. WU-FA-00 and DMSO were treated as a positive control and a negative control, respectively. The microplates were incubated in a bacteriological oven for 24 hours at 37°C, and the drug susceptibility results were monitored by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm using a Multimode Plate Reader (Infinite 200). The lowest concentration without visible growth was defined as the MIC.

The MBCs were determined based on the MIC results:38,39 serial sub-cultivation of a 5 µL of aliquot near the MIC in microtiter plates containing 195 µL of MHB per well and incubation for 24 hours at 37°C. The lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent that killed at least 99.9% of the starting inoculum was defined as the MBC end point, which was determined as the lowest concentration with no visible growth by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm using a Multimode Plate Reader (Infinite 200). All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Killing kinetic studies
The killing kinetic assay on the Gram-positive strains,36,40,41 including S. aureus (ATCC 6538), S. aureus subsp. aureus (ATCC 29213), and S. epidermidis (ATCC 12228), was performed against WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 in 96-microwell plates, and six different concentrations (0, 0.025, 0.1, 0.3125, 0.625, and 1.25 µg/mL) of each compound were tested. The microplates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C, and the growth of bacteria was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm using a Multimode Plate Reader (Infinite 200) every 1 hour.

Animals, diets, and treatments
Female Kunming mice (Guangdong, China) are the most productive and used mice in China, which are from Swiss mice; mice weighing approximately 22–25 g were used in the TPA-induced in vivo model. All animals were supplied by the Experimental Animal Center of Guangdong Province. They were maintained at 25±1°C with standard mouse chow diet and tap water ad libitum and were kept on a regular light–dark cycle with 50% relative humidity. All the animal experiments were performed according to the Ethical Regulations on Animal Research of Southern Medical University (approval documents: SCXK/20130002).
TPA-induced skin inflammation in mouse

The mice were divided into nine groups: each group consisted of six mice, including a blank group, a TPA group, a dexamethasone group, and six groups for WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01. In the mouse ear edema model, 20 µL of acetone vehicle was topically applied to the right ear and 20 µL of the treatment compounds at three different concentrations, which were dissolved in acetone, were used 5 minutes later after 20 µL of TPA (0.125 µg/mL in acetone) was previously applied to induce the inflammation model. Dexamethasone at a concentration of 2,500 µg/mL (6.37 µmol/mL) in acetone was used as the positive control. Then, all of the mice were maintained at a standard condition and sacrificed 6 hours after TPA treatment. Two ear punches (9 mm in diameter) from the right and left ears were then harvested immediately and weighted; the left ear was used for comparison. All experiments were carried out in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines, which were approved by the Southern Medical University (approval documents: SCXK/20130002).

Histological appearance of mouse ears

The right ear punches were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, decalified in EDTA buffer, subjected to a series progression of dehydration and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 9 mm were cut by using a microtome and were mounted on colorfrost microslides (VWR Scientific, Edmonton, AB, Canada). The sections were dried overnight and stained with H&E in accordance with the classical methods of histology. Images of the sections representing each treatment group were observed under a microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) to evaluate the damage of ear tissue.

Scoring the expression of biomarkers

Each histological type of lesion in the TPA-induced ear model was scored independently by two experienced investigators who were not aware of the identity of the specimens (×200). The staining intensity was scored as follows: 0, no staining; 1+, faint; 2+, moderate; and 3+, strong. 1+, 2+, and 3+ were recorded as 1, 2, and 3 points, respectively. The staining extent was graded as follows: 0, no staining; 1+, ≤25% of positive cells; 2+, 26–50% of positive cells; and 3+, ≥51% of positive cells.

Immunohistochemical detection of TNF-α, IL-1β, COX-2, p65, IκB-α, and p-IκB-α expressions

The ear punch tissues were fixed in formalin, and paraffinized sections of 5 µm thickness were incubated with 1.2% H₂O₂ in PBS to quench the endogenous peroxidase activity in order to minimize the nonspecific staining. Then, the sections were washed three times (5 minutes each) with 1 equal of TBST (0.05% Tween-20). Subsequently, the primary antibody of a proliferating cell nuclear antigen was diluted 100 times, applied to each section, and left overnight at 4°C. The sections were washed with PBS and incubated with a biotin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase antibody (1:200) for 1 hour at room temperature. Finally, peroxidase was detected using the 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride reaction, which produced a brown label in the epidermal tissue. The cells that stained positive for TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2 were counted in the section of the mouse ear using the Image-Pro Plus (Version 6.0) software. The results were expressed as the number of stained cells. Immunohistochemical analysis of p65, IκB-α, and p-IκB-α was also conducted to gain insight into the signaling pathway of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 in the TPA-induced mouse ear edema model.

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as the mean ± standard error (SE) or SD. Statistical comparisons among groups were performed using the Dunnett’s multiple test. Statistical significance was defined by a P-value of <0.05.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

To obtain the hydrogenation derivative of FA, structural modifications (according to a previous study) were made at the double bond position of C-24 and C-25. The synthetic route is shown in Scheme 1. The 24,25-dihydrofusidic acid (WU-FA-01) was prepared by palladium-catalyzed hydrogenation in quantitative yielding. Its structure was confirmed by high-resolution mass spectrometry, CHNS-O elemental analyzer, 1H NMR, and 13C NMR, and it was in accordance with the previous research.

Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 was tested against six microorganisms, including reference strains consisting of Gram-negative bacteria and Gram-positive bacteria. All bacterial strains were cultured in MHA at 37°C overnight.

Agar disk diffusion method

The results of the antimicrobial activity of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 against six different microorganisms are summarized in Table 1. Two different concentrations were examined...
in this method. The sizes of the IZ indicated that the tested compounds with Gram-positive bacterial strains were larger than those with Gram-negative strains, and both compounds showed dose dependence. The IZ diameter was in the range of 10.37±1.23–24.22±1.66 mm for Gram-positive strains. However, both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 showed no inhibitory effect against the Gram-negative strains. Furthermore, the screening of the antimicrobial potential of the two compounds revealed that reducing the double bond to a single bond at positions C-24 and C-25 could retain their antimicrobial activities, specifically against the Gram-positive strains.

**Table 1** Antibacterial activity of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 expressed in the inhibition zone (mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>WU-FA-00</th>
<th>WU-FA-01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concentration (μg/mL)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dosage (μg)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterium</strong></td>
<td><strong>Inhibition zone (mm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.09±0.37</td>
<td>20.60±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ATCC 6538)</td>
<td>21.46±0.36</td>
<td>18.75±0.44</td>
<td>19.93±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus</em></td>
<td>ATCC 29213</td>
<td>24.22±1.66</td>
<td>20.04±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em></td>
<td>11.63±1.33</td>
<td>10.44±0.82</td>
<td>11.49±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ATCC 12228)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.22±0.79</td>
<td>7.37±1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ATCC 19115)</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ATCC 49619)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CMCC 44102)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

**Abbreviation:** ND, not detected.
presence or absence of bacterial growth. Each sample concentration was tested four times against each microorganism. WU-FA-00, the parent compound, was employed as a positive control against bacterial growth. The final concentration of DMSO in the 96-plate well had no effect on the bacterial growth.

WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01, the two tested compounds, were found to be active against the microorganisms studied, especially the Gram-positive bacteria. The MIC and MBC values of the two compounds were determined according to the results of the microdilution method (Table 2). The results suggested that WU-FA-01 (MIC = 0.1–0.625 µg/mL, MBC = 0.2–1.25 µg/mL) showed activity similar to its parent compound WU-FA-00 (MIC = 0.1–0.625 µg/mL, MBC = 0.3125–1.250 µg/mL) and indicated that the double bond at C-24 and C-25 positions in WU-FA-00 structure has little effect on its antibacterial activity. In contrast, both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 were more effective against Gram-positive strains of *Staphylococcus* than the Gram-negative strains, and this result is in accordance with the previous agar disk diffusion studies and implied that WU-FA-01 could be developed as an active antibacterial agent.

**Table 2** Antibacterial activities of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 expressed in MIC and MBC (µg/mL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>WU-FA-00</th>
<th>WU-FA-01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIC µg/mL</td>
<td>MBC µg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive bacteria</td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em> (ATCC 6538)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em> subsp. aureus (ATCC 29213)</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em> (ATCC 12228)</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em> (ATCC 19115)</td>
<td>&gt;1.25</td>
<td>&gt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Streptococcus pneumoniae</em> (ATCC 49619)</td>
<td>&gt;1.25</td>
<td>&gt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> (CMCC 44102)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: MIC (µg/mL), ie, the lowest concentration of the compound that completely inhibits the growth of bacteria. MBC (µg/mL), ie, the lowest concentration of the compound that completely kills the bacteria.

Abbreviations: MBC, minimum bacterial concentration; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; ND, not detected.

Inhibitory effects of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 on TPA-induced edema in a mouse ear model

A TPA-induced ear edema mouse model was utilized to evaluate the in vivo anti-inflammatory activities of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01. It has been reported that TPA, which was normally adopted in this investigation model, is a well-known promoter of skin inflammation. The average weight of the ear punches is an important indicator that reflects the degree of skin edema when compared with the vehicle control group. As shown in Figure 3, the weight of mouse ear punches was significantly increased to 160.90% after 6 hours when 20 µL of TPA (0.125 µg/mL in acetone) was topically applied compared to the acetone-treated control group. Topical application of 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-00 after TPA treatment modestly inhibited TPA-induced ear edema by 39.04, 73.46, and 83.83%, respectively, compared with the TPA group. However, 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-01 significantly decreased the TPA-induced ear edema by 48.16, 113.97, and 137.32%, respectively, in a dose-dependent manner.
Fusidic acid and its derivative as di-functional agents

Figure 2: Time–kill curves for the three Gram-positive strains.
Notes: Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538) (A and D), Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 12228) (B and E), and S. aureus subsp. aureus (ATCC 29213) (C and F), exposed to four different concentrations of WU-Fa-00 (A–C) and WU-Fa-01 (D–F) according to their respective MICs (n=4).
Abbreviation: MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

Figure 3: Inhibition effects of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 on TPa-induced edema in mouse ears.
Notes: The right ears of all animals (n=6) were topically treated with 20 μL of acetone (vehicle control) or WU-Fa-00/WU-Fa-01 (2, 4, or 8 mg/ml)/Dexamethasone (2.5 mg/mL) in 20 µL of acetone after the application of TPA (2 mg/mL) in 20 μL of acetone. The mice were sacrificed 6 hours after the TPA treatment. Both ear punches (9 mm in diameter) were immediately taken, and then, they were weighted and measured. The data from each group are expressed as mean ± SD. Compared to the TPA-induced model group (n=6), *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 (Dunnett’s multiple comparison test). The minus symbols mean not present, the plus symbols mean present.
Abbreviation: TPA, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate.

Furthermore, the compound WU-FA-01 had a similar effect on the positive control when it was used at a dose of 4,000 µg/mL (7.71 µmol/mL) with an inhibition rate of 113.97%, whereas dexamethasone had an inhibition rate of 134.13% at a dose of 2,500 µg/mL (6.37 µmol/mL).

There is no significant difference between the two fusidane compounds from the statistical comparison (Figure 3); all right ear punches were adopted as a standard to evaluate the increase in the average weight and thickness of ear punches. This result also suggested that WU-FA-01 had stronger...
protective effects than WU-FA-00 against TPA-induced skin inflammation.

**Inhibitory effects of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 on the histological appearance of mouse ears**

To investigate the role of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 in the histological appearance of a TPA-induced mouse ear model, both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 were evaluated by transdermal application. In this model, the right ears of each group of mice were pretreated with 20 µL of TPA (0.125 µg/mL in acetone), while the controls were topically adopted with acetone. The treatment compounds (20 µL) at three different concentrations were dissolved in acetone and used 5 minutes later. Dexamethasone was used as a positive control at a concentration of 2,500 µg/mL (6.37 µmol/mL) in acetone, which is more comparable to the dosage of two tested fusidane compounds. After the ear tissues had been stained with H&E stain, as shown in Figure 4, the histological appearances of the ear sections indicated that the ears treated with acetone alone appeared normal in the epidermal layer without any obvious lesion. However, the TPA alone group displayed significant swelling, which was consistent with the results of the ear thickness and the ear punch weight (Figure 3). Moreover, the topical application of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 could effectively suppress signs of the inflammatory response, such as epidermal hyperplasia and dense dermal leukocyte infiltration.

**Inhibition of TPA-induced expression of TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2**

To gain insight into the molecular mechanisms by which WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 suppressed TPA-induced skin inflammation, we examined the effects of both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 on the expression levels of proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2, in mouse ears using immunohistochemical analysis. As shown in Figure 5, the expression level of proinflammatory cytokines at red arrows (TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2) were dramatically elevated 6 hours after topical stimulation with TPA, which was apparently downregulated in a dose-dependent manner by treatment with WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01. However,

Figure 4 H&E staining for histological changes in TPA-induced mouse ears treated with an acetone control, TPA, WU-FA-00, and WU-FA-01 at different concentrations.

Notes: The data from each group are expressed as mean ± SD. Compared to the TPA-induced model group (n=6), *P<0.05, **P<0.01 (Dunnett’s multiple comparison test). Magnification 200×. The arrows indicate the lesion or swelling or epidermal hyperplasia or dense dermal leukocyte infiltration of the ear tissues.

Abbreviation: TPA, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate.
Figure 5 Effects of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 treatment on proinflammatory cytokines’ levels of TNF-α (A), IL-1β (B), and COX-2 (C) in a mouse ear model.

Notes: Mouse ears treated with acetone, TPA, WU-FA-00, and WU-FA-01 at different concentrations were analyzed by immunohistochemical staining. The data are shown as mean ± SD. Compared to the TPA-induced model group (n=6), *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 (Dunnett’s multiple comparison test). Magnification 200×.

Abbreviation: TPA, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate.
the proinflammatory cytokine levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2 between the treated groups and the control group in the TPA-induced mouse ear model were increased 20.37-, 31.47-, and 3.16-fold. First, 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-00 retarded TPA-induced overexpression of TNF-α by 5.1, 52.9, and 80.7%, while 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-01 retarded TPA-induced overexpression of TNF-α by 20.5, 56.5, and 82.5% relative to the TPA group, respectively (Figure 5A). Second, WU-FA-00 at 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL greatly reduced the overexpression of IL-1β by 36.0, 59.8, and 86.1%, while 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-01 greatly reduced the overexpression of IL-1β by 35.9, 65.6, and 86.6%, respectively (Figure 5B). Third, 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-00 retarded TPA-induced overexpression of COX-2 by 8.3, 26.7, and 45.8%, while 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 µg/mL of WU-FA-01 retarded TPA-induced overexpression of COX-2 by 12.1, 31.6, and 56.6%, compared to the TPA group, respectively (Figure 5C). Therefore, the above results indicate that WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 could markedly suppress the overexpression of proinflammatory cytokines, which was in accordance with the previous results of ear weight and ear thickness (Figure 3) and histological changes (Figure 4) in this TPA-induced ear model.

Inhibition of TPA-induced expression of p65, IκB-α, and p-IκB-α

The activation of NF-κB is significant for the regulation of TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2 overexpression in the TPA-induced inflammatory model. Therefore, whether WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 could affect the NF-κB signaling pathway was determined by immunohistochemical analysis. As illustrated in Figure 6A by red arrows, the results revealed that p65 was markedly suppressed by the treatment of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01, in which both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 were more active at a concentration of 8,000 µg/mL. Moreover, the results confirmed that the transcriptional activity was markedly upregulated in the TPA-induced model but was inhibited by WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 at 8,000 µg/mL.

The signaling pathway of IKK is involved in the induction of proinflammatory cytokines via the modulation of NF-κB. Thus, it is necessary to gain insights into the IκB-α/p-IκB-α pathway in this TPA-induced model. From the immunohistochemical analysis in Figure 6B and C at red arrows, the levels of IκB-α and p-IκB-α in the TPA group were significantly increased. However, they could be suppressed by WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 in a dose-dependent manner, especially at a higher concentration of 8,000 µg/mL. IκB-α deletion, however, has no effect on NF-κB activation by most agents. Which kinase induces the phosphorylation of p65 is controversial, but protein kinase A, casein kinase II, IKK-α, and IKK-β have been implicated. The phosphorylation of p65 has been shown to be required for the TNF-induced transcriptional activity of NF-κB. These results imply that both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 might block the activation of NF-κB through interfering with p65 and IκB-α/p-IκB-α to inhibit the expression of the TPA-induced proinflammatory cytokines of TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2.

Conclusion

Both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 not only possessed excellent in vitro antimicrobial activities for Gram-positive Staphylococcus strains but also exhibited effective inhibition effects in the TPA-induced mouse ear model. Thus, both WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01 could be considered as inhibitors of inflammation induced by bacterial infection. Moreover, it is possible that inflammation is frequently triggered by bacterial infection. The inhibitory effect of WU-FA-01 against microorganisms and TPA-induced skin inflammation is similar to its parent compound. The inhibitory effect of both compounds was associated with the suppression of TPA-stimulated proinflammatory cytokines of TNF-α, IL-1β, and COX-2. This study provides a further understanding of the anti-inflammatory properties of WU-FA-00 and WU-FA-01. Therefore, the results of this study implied that FA and the dihydro analog could be developed as dysfunctional agents, which possess both antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory activities.
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