Decreased serum club cell secretory protein in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap: a pilot study
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Purpose: Improvement in the diagnosis of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) overlap (ACO), and identification of biomarkers for phenotype recognition will encourage good patient care by providing optimal therapy. We investigated club cell secretory protein (CC-16), a protective and anti-inflammatory mediator, as a new candidate biomarker for diagnosing ACO.

Patients and methods: We performed a multicenter cohort study. A total of 107 patients were divided into three groups—asthma, COPD, and ACO—according to the Spanish guidelines algorithm, and enrolled into the study. Serum CC-16 levels were measured using commercial ELISA kits.

Results: Serum CC-16 levels were the lowest in patients with ACO. Low serum CC-16 levels were a significant marker for the ACO even after adjustment for age, sex, and smoking intensity. Serum CC-16 levels were positively correlated with forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory flow at 25%–75% of FVC, FEV1/FVC, vital capacity, and diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide, and were negatively correlated with smoking amount (pack-years), bronchodilator response, fractional residual capacity, residual volume, and number of exacerbations per year. FEV1, and serum CC-16 levels were significantly lower in patients with frequent exacerbations.

Conclusion: Serum CC-16 has the potential to be a biomarker for ACO diagnosis and also treat frequent exacerbations in patients with chronic inflammatory airway diseases.
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Introduction

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are very significant public health concerns that are responsible for a substantial disease burden worldwide. Asthma and COPD are generally defined as different diseases, but many previous studies have reported that the two may coexist. It is a known fact that one of these conditions may evolve into the other, creating a condition commonly described as an asthma–COPD overlap (ACO). However, there is no universally accepted definition and there are many controversial aspects of ACO that lead to diverse epidemiology and outcomes. The term “ACO” may be refined when new phenotypes and underlying endotypes are identified, but it is a suitable interim solution until the biomarkers for asthma–COPD endotypes are recognized. A better understanding of ACO will encourage good patient care and ensure the provision of optimal therapy. Moreover, to optimize the diagnostic approaches to chronic airway diseases, further improvements of biomarkers for phenotype and endotype recognition are needed.
Club cell secretory protein (CC-16) is one of the many proteins that belong to the family of CC proteins. Club cells have a protective role in the respiratory system: they repair the airway after injury and detoxify harmful substances by secreting anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory proteins. CC-16 serves as an important protective and anti-inflammatory mediator in the lung, and patients deficient in CC-16 are known to progress to COPD. Serum concentrations of CC-16 are decreased in patients with chronic lung damage as a consequence of the destruction of club cells. The level of CC-16 is reported to be low in patients with asthma or COPD, and in smokers. However, the role of CC-16 in ACO has not been fully evaluated. Thus, we investigated serum CC-16 as a new candidate biomarker for the diagnosis of ACO using commercial ELISA kits.

Materials and methods

Study design

This cohort study was designed to find useful biomarkers in chronic airway disease. Patients were enrolled from the registry of chronic airway disease during the period from June 2015 to May 2016. This was a multicenter study and three hospitals were included: Korea University Guro Hospital, Seoul St Mary’s Hospital, and Chonbuk National University Hospital. We included patients aged over 19 years and patients diagnosed with asthma, COPD, or ACO. Inclusion criteria were restricted to patients who had been in a stable state without exacerbation for at least three months prior to study inclusion. We excluded patients with bronchiectasis, sequela from prior tuberculosis infection, interstitial lung disease, and all other diseases that could lead to airflow limitation.

Patients were divided into COPD, asthma, and ACO groups according to patients’ age, smoking history and amount, past medical history, pulmonary function test (PFT) results, and their blood eosinophil counts. The group COPD (n=38) was defined according to the American Thoracic Society and Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease guidelines. The group asthma (n=32) was selected on the basis of the Global Initiative for Asthma Guidelines. The group ACO (n=37) was defined according to algorithms of Spanish COPD guidelines and the Spanish Guidelines for the Management of Asthma. Inclusion criteria for ACO group were as follows: age >35 years, smoking history (≥10 pack-years) or exposure to other inhalation agents, airflow obstruction (confirmed by post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV1]/forced vital capacity [FVC] <70%) with persistent respiratory symptoms, known asthma or a very positive bronchodilator response (BDR ≥400 mL and FEV1 ≥15%), and/or blood eosinophilia (≥300 cells·mm−3). For subgroup analysis of ACO, we subcategorized ACO in patients with “smoking-related obstructive asthma” and “COPD with a very positive BDR and/or blood eosinophilia”. We categorized patients aged >35 years with smoking history (≥10 pack-years) or exposure to other inhalation agents, airflow obstruction with persistent respiratory symptoms, and known asthma as patients with “smoking-related obstructive asthma”. We defined patients aged >35 years with smoking history (≥10 pack-years) or exposure to other inhalation agents, airflow obstruction with persistent respiratory symptoms, and a very positive BDR and/or blood eosinophilia as patients with “COPD with a very positive BDR and/or blood eosinophilia”.

At baseline, information on the following parameters was collected: age, sex, body mass index, past medical history, and smoking history and amount. Modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) dyspnea score, St George Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) score, COPD assessment test score, and/or asthma control test score were also evaluated for symptom scores. For PFT results, we performed spirometry, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLco) and total lung volume. Blood tests including eosinophil count were routinely performed in the overnight, fasted, and medication-free state, on the day of enrolment. Peripheral whole-venous blood at baseline was collected into EDTA tubes, and serum was prepared by centrifugation for 10–15 minutes at 4,500 rpm and stored at −80°C until analyzed. CC-16 was measured using ELISA kits (BioVendor GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

Exacerbation history at one year from enrolment was determined. We defined an exacerbation as a sustained deterioration of acute respiratory symptoms, which required a change in regular medication with an unscheduled hospital visit, beyond stable day-to-day variations. When patients experienced moderate (need treatment with antibiotics and/or corticosteroids) exacerbations more than twice or one or more severe (need hospitalization) exacerbations within one year, we called them “frequent exacerbators”.

The institutional review boards of three hospitals approved this study protocol (Korea University Guro Hospital: KUGH 13246; Seoul St Mary’s Hospital: KC15OIMI0553; and Chonbuk National University Hospital: 2015-01-018-005). This study fulfilled the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients provided written informed consent.

Statistical analyses

We presented data as median and IQR for continuous parameters and compared variables by the Kruskal–Wallis
Results

Patient characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the 107 patients are provided in Table 1. Patients with COPD were older than those with asthma. All patients with COPD and ACO had a smoking history by definition, and 9.4% of the patients with asthma were smokers. Percentage of comorbid allergic rhinitis was significantly lower in patients with COPD, compared to those with asthma or ACO. Patients with COPD presented higher symptom scores than did patients with asthma. Regarding PFTs, BDR in patients with ACO was higher than that in patients with asthma or COPD. Median creatinine clearance rates were similar (100.5 [71.5–120.9] mL/min in asthma group, 95.7 [66.4–123.0] mL/min in COPD group, and 95.7 [66.4–122.9] mL/min in ACO group; P=0.61).

Serum CC-16 levels in chronic airway disease

When we compared serum CC-16 levels among asthma, COPD, and ACO groups, CC-16 levels were the lowest in the ACO group (Figure 1A). The levels of CC-16 did not differ significantly between patients with smoking-related obstructive asthma (n=27) and COPD, with a very positive BDR and/or blood eosinophilia (n=10; Figure 1B).

Multivariate logistic regression showed that decreased CC-16 levels were a significant parameter of ACO even after adjustment for age, sex, and smoking amount (OR: 0.664; 95% CI: 0.525–0.829; P=0.001).

CC-16 levels and parameters

In the total study population, CC-16 levels were positively correlated with FEV₁ (R=0.423; P<0.001), FVC (R=0.192; P=0.047), forced expiratory flow at 25%–75% of FVC

Table 1. Comparison of the baseline characteristics of patients with different chronic inflammatory airway diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asthma n=32</th>
<th>COPD n=38</th>
<th>ACO n=37</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>57.0 (47.0–66.8)</td>
<td>67.0 (61.5–74.5)</td>
<td>64.0 (57.0–71.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, male</strong></td>
<td>12 (37.5%)</td>
<td>37 (97.4%)</td>
<td>33 (89.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>23.2 (21.5–26.9)</td>
<td>22.3 (20.6–23.9)</td>
<td>24.6 (21.3–27.3)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoker</strong></td>
<td>3 (9.4%)</td>
<td>38 (100.0%)</td>
<td>37 (100.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex-smoker</strong></td>
<td>1 (3.1%)</td>
<td>17 (44.7%)</td>
<td>23 (62.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current smoker</strong></td>
<td>2 (6.3%)</td>
<td>21 (55.3%)</td>
<td>14 (37.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking amount (pack-years)</strong></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0–0.0)</td>
<td>40.0 (33.8–54.8)</td>
<td>35.0 (20.0–44.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comorbidities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allergic rhinitis</strong></td>
<td>19 (59.4%)</td>
<td>2 (5.3%)</td>
<td>17 (45.9%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart diseases</strong></td>
<td>12 (37.5%)</td>
<td>16 (42.1%)</td>
<td>16 (43.2%)</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom scores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mMRC</strong></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0–1.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–2.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0–1.0)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAT</strong></td>
<td>14.0 (10.0–22.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (9.5–16.8)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACT</strong></td>
<td>23.0 (21.0–24.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20.0 (14.0–22.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SGRQ</strong></td>
<td>10.5 (5.1–15.0)</td>
<td>16.9 (11.0–32.6)</td>
<td>15.3 (8.1–23.6)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PFTs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV₁ (%)</strong></td>
<td>2.6 (2.0–2.8)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0–2.5)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.6–2.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV₁/FVC (%)</strong></td>
<td>91.0 (78.3–102.8)</td>
<td>66.5 (35.8–76.3)</td>
<td>65.0 (49.0–71.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV₁/FVC (%)</strong></td>
<td>76.0 (71.3–80.8)</td>
<td>54.0 (34.8–58.3)</td>
<td>56.0 (44.5–64.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEF_{25–75} (%)</strong></td>
<td>64.5 (47.0–92.8)</td>
<td>21.5 (10.8–28.5)</td>
<td>27.0 (16.5–37.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDR (ml)</strong></td>
<td>105.0 (32.5–150.0)</td>
<td>50.0 (17.5–142.5)</td>
<td>290.0 (200.0–400.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FRC (%)</strong></td>
<td>107.0 (92.3–123.0)</td>
<td>141.5 (128.8–157.0)</td>
<td>130.0 (110.0–149.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RV (%)</strong></td>
<td>103.5 (86.3–125.8)</td>
<td>142.0 (132.8–155.8)</td>
<td>138.0 (120.5–153.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DL_{CO} (%)</strong></td>
<td>97.0 (90.3–110.8)</td>
<td>69.5 (47.5–98.8)</td>
<td>90.0 (67.5–98.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequent exacerbator (%)</strong></td>
<td>1 (3.1%)</td>
<td>5 (13.2%)</td>
<td>6 (16.2%)</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as the median (IQR) for continuous variables and percentage (number) for categorical variables. *Significantly different between asthma and COPD. †Significantly different between COPD and ACO. ‡Significantly different between asthma and ACO.

Abbreviations: ACO, asthma–COPD overlap; ACT, asthma control test; BDR, bronchodilator response; BMI, body mass index; CAT, COPD assessment test; DL_{CO}, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; FEF_{25–75}, forced expiratory flow at 25%–75% of FVC; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; PFTs, pulmonary function tests; RV, residual volume; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire.
Oh et al (FEF_{25%-75%} (R=0.394; P<0.001), FEV_{1}/FVC (R=0.397; P<0.001), vital capacity (R=0.191; P=0.049), and DL_{CO} (R=0.255; P=0.008), and were negatively correlated with smoking amount (pack-years) (R=-0.258; P=0.007), BDR (R=-0.313; P=0.001), fractional residual capacity (R=-0.204; P=0.035), residual volume (R=-0.301; P=0.002), and number of exacerbations per year (R=-0.229; P=0.018; Figure 2).

CC-16 levels in frequent exacerbators

To evaluate the role of serum CC-16 in exacerbation, we compared the characteristics of patients with frequent exacerbations and those without frequent exacerbations (Table 2). FEV_{1} and CC-16 were significantly lower in frequent exacerbators.

Discussion

This study demonstrated that serum CC-16 levels were significantly lower in patients with ACO than the levels in patients with asthma or COPD. Moreover, CC-16 levels were also lower in patients with frequent exacerbations than the levels in those without frequent exacerbation. Serum CC-16 levels were decreased in patients with a severe smoking habit, severe airflow obstruction, high BDR, and frequent exacerbations. Serum CC-16 is a protective and anti-inflammatory marker, and decreased CC-16 might lead to severe inflammation and poor control of diseases. Thus, the current results support low serum CC-16 levels as an indicator of severe obstruction with airway reversibility and frequent exacerbations, in chronic airway inflammatory diseases.

CC-16 is a homodimeric pneumoprotein and it is mostly generated by nonciliated bronchial epithelial cells. In vitro, ex vivo, and animal studies indicate that CC-16 plays a role in reducing inflammation of the airways and protecting the respiratory tract from oxidative stress. Decreased serum levels of CC-16 have been found in smokers compared to nonsmokers, which might be attributable to smoking-induced club cell toxicity. In COPD, CC-16 in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and serum has been reported to be lower compared to controls. CC-16 deficiency increases smoking-induced pulmonary inflammation.
genomics has shown CC-16 to be related to lung function decline in individuals with COPD. This supports CC-16 as a promising biomarker for its potential role in the pathogenesis of COPD.\(^{22}\) Moreover, reduced CC-16 levels are associated with an accelerated decline in lung function over time, as well as COPD severity and progression.\(^{19,23}\) Similar to previous studies, CC-16 was lower in patients with low FEV\(_1\), DL\(_{CO}\), FVC, and FEV\(_1\)/FVC, which reflects the severity of obstruction and emphysema. This can be explained by the increased destruction of club cells in patients having chronic inflammatory airway diseases with severely impaired lung function.

Interestingly, we also found that CC-16 was significantly lower in frequent exacerbators, and that CC-16 was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Comparison of frequent and nonfrequent exacerbators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequent exacerbators</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking amount (pack-years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1) (% predicted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-16 (pg/mL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as the median (IQR) for continuous variables and percentage (number) for categorical variables.

Abbreviations: CC, club cell secretory protein; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

Figure 2 Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and parameters.
Notes: (A) Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and FEV\(_1\) (R=0.423; \(P<0.001\)); (B) Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and FVC (R=0.192; \(P=0.047\)); (C) Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and FEV\(_1\)/FVC (R=0.397; \(P<0.001\)); (D) Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and DL\(_{CO}\) (R=0.255; \(P=0.008\)); (E) Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and smoking amount (R=−0.258; \(P=0.007\)); (F) Correlation between serum CC-16 levels and BDR (R=−0.313; \(P=0.001\)).

Abbreviations: BDR, bronchodilator response; CC, club cell secretory protein; DL\(_{CO}\), diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.
negatively correlated with the frequency of acute exacerbations. Labonté et al described that increased CC-16 could predict lower risk of subsequent exacerbations during follow-up, while decreased CC-16 could reflect increased exacerbation frequency. 24 CC-16 was negatively correlated with the two-year acute exacerbation frequency, and positively correlated with FEV1, and FEV1/FVC in one study. 25 In line with previous studies, we confirmed that serum CC-16 could be a prognostic factor for exacerbations in chronic inflammatory airway disease.

Lower CC-16 serum levels have been associated not only with impaired lung function, but also with a higher degree of airway responsiveness. 26 In previous asthma studies, CC-16 was significantly lower in patients with asthma than in healthy controls. 27 Patients with long-lasting asthma, a condition that is commonly observed in ACO, have lower levels of serum CC-16 than do those with a history of asthma of less than 10 years. 28 A correlation between lung function (FEV1/FVC) and serum CC-16 levels has been observed in patients with asthma, 29 which was confirmed in our study. Moreover, previous studies have shown that airway hyperresponsiveness is inversely correlated with serum CC-16 levels, 23 and CC-16 is relevant to small-airway hyperresponsiveness in patients with asthma. 10 Likewise, our study revealed that CC-16 was negatively correlated with BDR. The negative correlation of CC-16 with BDR, the smoking amount, and the tendency toward acute exacerbations could explain the significantly decreased serum CC-16 levels observed in ACO. However, decreased CC-16 levels in ACO were not independently affected by the smoking amount, which is a well-known factor contributing to low CC-16. Moreover, even though there were significant differences in terms of sex between three groups, a previous study showed that sex had no effect on serum CC-16 levels. 14 Even after adjusting age, sex, and smoking amount, the decrease in CC-16 levels was a significant parameter of ACO, which indicates CC-16 as a possible candidate biomarker for ACO.

Our study has some limitations. First, BALF concentrations of CC-16 were not evaluated. However, CC-16 could be found both in the airways and circulation. Even though BALF concentrations of CC-16 reflect cellular damage and are greater than those in serum, 11,18 normal serum levels are sufficiently high to be measured using techniques such as the ELISA. Repeated measurements of CC-16 in a subpopulation from a large cohort revealed that serum CC-16 is a stable marker over time. 31 Therefore, serum CC-16 could potentially provide a less-invasive method for assessing airway damage. 12,20 Second, a comparison with normal controls was not done. Although we could not compare the serum CC-16 levels of normal controls, serum CC-16 levels were significantly lower in patients with COPD than in patients with asthma, most of whom were nonsmokers. Moreover, it is well-known that control levels of serum CC-16 are higher than those in patients with COPD or asthma. Thus, it could be inferred that the serum CC-16 levels of ACO might be lower than those of healthy controls. Third, this was not a randomized study but a cohort study; thus, parameters were not repeated. There might have been a selection bias. As this was a pilot study, our findings should be validated by another independent cohort study to confirm CC-16 as a biomarker. Moreover, future studies are warranted to determine the cutoffs and diagnostic accuracy of the biomarker that may be optimal for correctly classifying the three disease groups. Despite these limitations, the strength of our study was that we identified serum CC-16 as a prognostic predictor of chronic inflammatory diseases and as a possible biomarker for ACO.

Conclusion

Serum CC-16 levels were significantly lower in patients with ACO and in those with severe obstruction with high reversibility and frequent exacerbations. Decreased serum CC-16 could possibly distinguish patients with ACO or frequent exacerbators in chronic inflammatory airway diseases.
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