Impact of thoracic epidural sympathetic block on cardiac repolarization
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Purpose: The interval from the peak to the end of the T wave (Tp–Te) on electrocardiography is considered a marker of ventricular arrhythmias. A previous study suggested that right stellate ganglion block prolonged QT and QT dispersion (QTD). We investigated the effect of thoracic epidural sympathetic block with 1% mepivacaine on QT, QTD, Tp–Te, and Tp–Te/QT by using computerized measurement.

Patients and methods: After obtaining the approval of the ethics committee of Dokkyo Medical University Hospital, 23 patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II who were scheduled to undergo thoracic surgery were enrolled. An epidural catheter was inserted at the Th4–5 or 5–6 level and then used for injection of 7 mL of 1% mepivacaine. Changes in RR interval, QT, corrected QT (QTc), QTD, QTc dispersion (QTcD), Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, and Tp–Te/QTc before and after epidural injection were assessed by computerized measurement. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA.

Results: Systolic blood pressure was consistently suppressed 10–15 minutes after injection (baseline: 136±10 mmHg, 11 minutes: 113±12 mmHg, 12 minutes: 112±13 mmHg, 13 minutes: 112±12 mmHg, 14 minutes: 108±17 mmHg, 15 minutes: 111±14 mmHg; P<0.05). However, RR interval, QT, QTc, QTD, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, and Tp–Te/QTc were not changed after epidural block.

Conclusion: Thoracic epidural injection of 1% mepivacaine did not alter QT, QTc, QTD, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, or Tp–Te/QTc. These results emphasize the safety of thoracic epidural sympathetic block with 1% mepivacaine for patients compared with right stellate ganglion block, in terms of cardiac repolarization.
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Introduction
Thoracic epidural block is widely used for chronic pain syndromes such as neuropathic pain or postoperative pain after thoracotomy. A previous work revealed that right stellate ganglion block (SGB), which produces a cervicothoracic sympathetic block, significantly prolonged QT and QT dispersion (QTD), compared with left SGB. In contrast, left SGB shortened QT and QTc interval; thus, it is used for the treatment of idiopathic long QT syndrome. Notably, QTD, defined as the difference between the maximal and minimal QT interval on a 12-lead surface electrocardiogram (ECG), reflects the regional heterogeneity of ventricular repolarization and has been established as a reliable parameter to predict cardiovascular events. Thus, right SGB affects ventricular repolarization, which may lead to life-threatening arrhythmias. Although the effects of SGB on QT and QTD are well established, the effects of thoracic epidural...
sympathetic nerve block on cardiac repolarization remain uncertain.

Prolongation of the interval between the peak and end of the T wave (T peak–T end: Tp–Te), which may reflect the transmural dispersion of ventricular repolarization (TDR), has also been associated with ventricular arrhythmias. The Tp–Te/QT ratio is also known as a crucial marker of TDR. A previous study reported that epidural anesthesia, induced by 0.5% bupivacaine (an anesthetic concentration), reduced the QT interval and Tp–Te (a marker of TDR). Although the effect on QT dispersion and Tp–Te during thoracic epidural block by an anesthetic dose of bupivacaine has been established, the effect of thoracic block by local anesthetics, which block the sympathetic nerve, has not been elucidated.

To determine the influence of thoracic epidural sympathetic block on cardiac repolarization, we prospectively evaluated the QTd, Tp–Te, and Tp–Te/QT before and after epidural injection of 1% mepivacaine.

Patients and methods
Twenty-three patients, aged 20–60 years, with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II who were scheduled to undergo thoracic surgery were included. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Dokkyo Medical University, and all patients provided written informed consent. The study was registered at the UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (registration number: UMIN000029180). Patients with cardiovascular, respiratory, metabolic, and cerebrovascular diseases, as well as those with ECG abnormalities, were excluded from this study. No patient received antiarrhythmic drugs with a possible risk of QT prolongation. No premedication was given to any of the patients.

One day prior to the operation, each patient underwent installation of a thoracic epidural catheter. Standard 12-lead ECGs (FDX-4521L; Fukuda Denshi Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) and noninvasive arterial blood pressure were monitored. Patients were placed in a sitting position on the table and they underwent insertion of catheter with a Tuohy needle at Th4/5 or 5/6. The catheter was advanced 5 cm in the cephalad direction. After an aspiration test for blood and cerebrospinal fluid, a test dose with 3 mL of 1% mepivacaine was injected through the catheter. The patient was observed in the supine position for 10 minutes after the test dose; subsequently, 7 mL of 1% mepivacaine was injected through the catheter. The anesthetic area was assessed using cold sensation to determine which dermatome levels were covered. Simultaneously, the laterality of the anesthetic area was assessed. The anesthetic level was assessed. Measurement of RR interval, QT interval, QTc interval, QTd, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, and Tp–Te/QTc was performed before the epidural injection (baseline), as well as at 0 minutes (T0), 1 minute (T1), 3 minutes (T3), 5 minutes (T5), 10 minutes (T10), 15 minutes (T15), 20 minutes (T20), 25 minutes (T25), and 30 minutes (T30) after the epidural injection. From the ECG, consecutive beat-to-beat data were digitally recorded at a sampling rate of 2 msec. QT intervals were measured by using QTD-1™ (Fukuda Denshi Co. Ltd), which detected the onset of the Q wave and the end of the T wave. This technique determines the onset of the Q wave as the intersection of a threshold level with the differential of the Q wave and the end of the T wave as the intersection of a threshold level with the differential of the T wave. The software used for the differential threshold measurement technique has been previously described in detail. QT intervals were measured in all 12-lead ECGs and corrected by using Bazett’s formula (QTc=QT/RR). QTD was defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum average QT interval in 12-lead ECG. Similarly, QTcD was defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum average QTc interval. Tp–Te was determined from the peak of the T wave to the end of the T wave in lead II. The average value of data derived from three successive beats for each lead was used for analysis. Leads in which the end of the T wave could not be clearly detected were excluded from this study. The RR interval, sBP, dBP, QT, QTc, QTd, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, and Tp–Te/QTc were analyzed.

Statistical analyses
Based on a previous study, a sample size of 17 subjects was considered adequate to detect a difference of 20 msec in QTd, compared with baseline, at a power of 80%, α=0.05. Data are expressed as mean±SD. Changes in sBP, dBP, RR interval, QT, QTc, QTd, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, and Tp–Te/QTc were analyzed by using one-way ANOVA. When a significant overall effect was detected, Dunnett’s post hoc test was conducted. In all analyses, the probability to detect a difference was set at the 5% level (P<0.05).

Results
Table 1 shows the patient characteristics. Twenty-three patients were enrolled in this study. Nine patients received medication for hypertension (eplerenone or amlopidine) and one patient received medication for diabetes mellitus (voglibose and metformin). No complications were observed in the present study. No abnormalities were found in the levels of preoperative serum sodium, potassium, and calcium.
Table 2 shows the variables in all measurement values throughout the observation. No patients exhibited abnormalities of RR, sBP, dBP, QT, QTc, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, or Tp–Te/QTe at baseline (RR: 876±99 msec, QT: 380±28 msec, QTc: 408±26 msec, QTcD: 33±15 msec, Tp–Te: 71±9, Tp–Te/QT: 0.187±0.017, Tp–Te/QTe: 0.176±0.021). There was no significant change in RR interval after epidural injection of 1% mepivacaine. In contrast, sBP significantly decreased at T10 and T15, compared with baseline, after epidural injection (baseline: 125±14 mmHg, T10: 113±13 mmHg, and T15: 111±14 mmHg, respectively; *P<0.05). In contrast, there was no significant difference in dBP. There were no changes in QT, QTc, QTcD, Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, or Tp–Te/QTe during the study.

**Discussion**

A previous study demonstrated the reduction of QTD and Tp–Te by thoracic epidural anesthesia with 0.5% bupivacaine due to the blockade of sympathetic fibers innervating the heart. The present study revealed the safety of thoracic epidural sympathetic block by 1% mepivacaine on cardiac repolarization, compared with right SGB, which was previously described.

QTD has been known as a marker of ventricular arrhythmias. A previous study demonstrated that QTcD was increased in patients with arrhythmogenic QT prolongation, such as Romano Ward syndrome or Jervell and Lange–Nielsen syndrome, compared to patients with QT prolongation by sotalol. Moreover, QT dispersion and QTc dispersion were significantly prolonged in acute myocardial infarction patients with ventricular fibrillation compared to patients with unstable angina. These results suggest the efficacy of QTcD to predict the fatal ventricular arrhythmia or sudden cardiac death.

### Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>17/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>161±8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>60.1±11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.0±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mEq/L)</td>
<td>139.7±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mEq/L)</td>
<td>4.3±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>9.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as mean±SD. **Abbreviation:** BMI, body mass index.

### Table 2 Variables in measurement values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>T10</th>
<th>T15</th>
<th>T20</th>
<th>T25</th>
<th>T30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>121±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
<td>119±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
<td>72±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc (msec)</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
<td>408±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcD (msec)</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
<td>33±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT–Te (msec)</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
<td>71±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT–Te/QTc</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
<td>0.187±0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT–Te/QTe</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
<td>0.176±0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data are presented as mean±SD. *P<0.05 vs baseline values. **Abbreviation:** QTcD, corrected QT dispersion; QTc, corrected QT; Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT.
Thoracic epidural sympathetic block is an option for outpatients to improve intractable pain or upper limb blood flow. Similarly, SGB is commonly used for pain relief or disturbances in blood flow. A previous report suggested that right SGB significantly increased QTD, compared with left SGB. This difference may be caused by the laterality of the distribution of sympathetic nerves. Notably, sympathetic fibers from the right stellate ganglion mainly distribute to the anterior walls of the ventricles, whereas those from the left stellate ganglion distribute to the posterior walls. Rogers et al reported that sympathetic accelerator fibers are more prominent on the right ganglion than on the left ganglion. It has been demonstrated that autonomic innervation to the sinus node is predominantly from the right-side stellate ganglion; furthermore, right-side SGB attenuated both sympathetic and parasympathetic activities. These findings imply that the right stellate ganglion may assume a key role in homogenization of ventricular repolarization and maintenance of cardiac electric stability, compared with the left stellate ganglion. Therefore, right-side SGB may prolong QT dispersion, which is an index of heterogeneity in ventricular repolarization. Thus, right SGB should be carefully selected as a treatment, especially in patients with cardiovascular disease.

Previous studies suggested that thoracic epidural anesthesia has no effect on cardiac function. Regarding QT interval, Owczuk et al reported that thoracic epidural anesthesia induced by a long-acting agent, 0.5% bupivacaine (a maximum concentration for epidural anesthesia), significantly shortened the QT interval and Tp–Te by blocking preganglionic sympathetic fibers (Th1–Th4) that innervate the heart. In patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy, thoracic epidural block by local anesthetics, which blocks cardiac fibers, compared with 0.5% bupivacaine. Therefore, 1% mepivacaine did not predominantly block sympathetic nerve systems described by QTD or Tp–Te could be easily changed by psychological factors under such conditions.

In our study, cardiac function was not evaluated using echocardiography. Our primary endpoint was the change of cardiac repolarization, which is a marker of ventricular arrhythmia. However, a detailed assessment of hemodynamic changes with echocardiography is essential to assure the safety of epidural block in each patient.

In conclusion, our results show that thoracic epidural sympathetic block by 1% mepivacaine did not affect Tp–Te, Tp–Te/QT, or Tp–Te/QTc, which is a precursor for fatal ventricular arrhythmias. Therefore, thoracic sympathetic block by 1% mepivacaine is a safer option, compared with right SGB, in terms of cardiac repolarization.
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