Detection of a new reaction by-product in BDDE cross-linked autoclaved hyaluronic acid hydrogels by LC–MS analysis

Background: Hyaluronic acid (HA), a naturally occurring polysaccharide, is used in the production of dermal fillers for esthetic purposes. As it has a few days of half-life in human tissues, HA-based dermal filler is chemically modified to increase its lifetime in the body. The most common modification used in commercial HA-based filler is the cross-linking of HA chains using 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether (BDDE) as cross-linking agent. Residual, or unreacted, BDDE is considered nontoxic when it is <2 parts per million (ppm); therefore, the quantification of residual BDDE in the final dermal filler is mandatory to ensure the safety of the patients.

Materials and methods: The present study describes the detection and characterization of one by-product of the cross-linking reaction between BDDE and HA in alkaline conditions by combining both liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy (LC–MS).

Results: After different analyses, it was found that the alkaline conditions and the high temperatures employed to sterilize the HA–BDDE hydrogel promote the formation of this new by-product, a “propene glicol-like” compound. LC–MS analysis confirmed that this by-product have the same monoisotopic mass as that of BDDE, a different retention time (tR), and also a different UV absorbance (λ=200 nm) pattern. Unlike BDDE, it was observed in the LC–MS analysis that this by-product had a higher detection at 200 nm in the same assay conditions.

Conclusion: These results suggest that this new compound does not have an epoxide on its structure. The discussion is open to assess the risk of this new by-product found in the production of HA–BDDE hydrogels (HA dermal fillers) for commercial purposes.
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Introduction

Hyaluronic acid (HA)-based fillers are the most common and popular dermal fillers used for esthetic purpose.1 Such dermal fillers are hydrogels, usually composed of >95% of water and 0.5–3% of HA which gives them a gel-like structure.2 HA is a polysaccharide, which is one of the major constituents of the extracellular matrix in vertebrates. It is composed of a repeating disaccharide unit of (1,4)-glucuronic acid-β(1,3)-N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc) linked by glycosidic bonds. This disaccharide pattern is identical among all living organisms. This property makes HA a highly biocompatible molecule in comparison with certain protein-based fillers (eg, collagen), which can exhibit amino acid sequence specificities that maybe recognized by the immune system of the patient.

The major limitation of HA when used as dermal filler is its rapid turnover within the tissues, which is due to the presence of a specific family of enzymes referred to as...
hyaluronidases. To date, several chemical modifications in the HA structure have been described to increase the half-life of HA within tissues. Most of these modifications try to reduce the access of the hyaluronidases to the polysaccharide polymer by cross-linking the HA chains. Thus, the cross-linked HA hydrogels yield more resistant products to enzymatic degradation than the native HA owing to the formation of bridges, and intermolecular covalent bonds between the HA structure and the cross-linking agent.

To date, the chemical cross-linking agents employed to produce cross-linked HA include methacrylamide, hydrazide, carbodiimide, divinyl sulfone, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether (BDDE), and poly (ethylene glycol) diglycidyl ether. BDDE is by far the most commonly employed cross-linking agent nowadays. Although these types of hydrogels have been demonstrated to be safe for decades, the cross-linking agents used are reactive agents that may be cytotoxic and, in certain cases, mutagenic. Owing to this, their residual presence in the final hydrogel must be highly monitored, and in the case of BDDE, its residual concentration is thought to be safe when it is <2 parts per million (ppm). Several methods allow to detect low residual BDDE concentrations, the degree of cross-linking, and the substitution positions in HA hydrogels, such as gas chromatography, size exclusion chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (MS), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) fluorimetry methodologies, and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled to diode array. The present study describes the detection and characterization of one by-product within the final cross-linked HA hydrogel produced by the reaction between BDDE and HA in alkaline conditions combining both HPLC and liquid chromatography–mass spectroscopy (LC–MS analysis). Since the toxicity of this by-product of BDDE is unknown, we propose that its residual quantification should be determined in a similar manner than the one usually performed for BDDE in the final product.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

The sodium salt of HA (Shiseido Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was obtained with a molecular weight of ~1,368,000 Da (Laurent method) and intrinsic viscosity of 2.20 m²/kg. For the cross-linking reaction, BDDE (≥95%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phosphate-buffered saline pH 7.4 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. All solvents employed in the LC–MS analysis, acetonitrile, and water, were purchased of HPLC grade quality. Formic acid (98%) was purchased as reagent grade.

**Equipment**

**LC–MS**

All experiments were carried out on a UPLC Acquity system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and interfaced to an API 3000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA).

**Methods**

**Synthesis of cross-linked HA hydrogel**

The synthesis of the cross-linked HA hydrogel was initiated by adding 198 mg of BDDE in a 10% (w/w) sodium hyaluronate (NaHA) solution in the presence of 1% of a base (sodium hydroxide, NaOH). The final BDDE concentration in the reaction mixture was 9.9 mg/mL (0.049 mM). Then, the reaction mixture was mixed and homogenized thoroughly, allowing it to proceed for 4 hours at 45°C. The reaction pH was maintained at ~12.

After this time, the reaction mixture was washed with water and the final HA–BDDE hydrogel was filtered and diluted with PBS buffer in order to reach a HA concentration ranging from 10 to 25 mg/mL with a final pH of 7.4. To sterilize the produced cross-linked HA hydrogels, all of them have been autoclaved (120°C for 20 minutes). The purified BDDE–HA hydrogels were stored at 4°C until analysis.

**Sample preparation for LC–MS analysis of BDDE**

For the analysis of the BDDE present in the cross-linked HA products, 240 mg of sample was weighed and introduced in a centrifric (Microcon®; Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA; of 0.5 mL volume) and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature. A total of 20 μL of the pulled down liquid were collected and analyzed.

For the analysis of the BDDE standard (Sigma-Aldrich Co) in basic conditions (1%, 0.1%, and 0.01% NaOH), the liquid sample was diluted at 1:10, 1:100, or up to 1:1,000,000 if necessary, with MilliQ deionized water and analyzed.

In the case of the starting material employed in the cross-linking reaction (HA 2%, H₂O, 1% NaOH, and 0.049 mM BDDE), 1 mL of each sample prepared from these raw materials was analyzed with the same analytical conditions. In order to establish the specificity of the peaks that appeared in the ionogram, 10 μL of 100 parts per billion (ppb) BDDE standard solution (Sigma-Aldrich Co) was added to 20 μL of sample. In this case, the final concentration of standard in each sample was 37 ppb.
Preparation of the BDDE standard curve
First, a BDDE stock solution was prepared at a concentration of 11,000 mg/L (11,000 ppm) by diluting 10 µL of standard BDDE (Sigma-Aldrich Co) with 990 µL of MilliQ water (density 1.1 g/mL). From this solution, a BDDE solution of 110 µg/L (110 ppb) was prepared as an intermediate standard dilution. Then, the intermediate BDDE standard dilution (110 ppb) was employed to prepare the standard curve by diluting several times this intermediate dilution to reach the desired concentration of 75, 50, 25, 10, and 1 ppb. As observed in Figure 1, the BDDE standard curve from 1.1 to 110 ppb was found to have a good linearity ($R^2 > 0.99$). Standard curves were repeated in four independent experiments.

Identification and quantitation of BDDE by LC–MS
To identify and quantify the BDDE present in the cross-linked HA and the BDDE standard in the basic solution, an LC–MS analysis was employed.

The chromatographic separation was achieved on a LUNA 2.5 µm C18(2)-HST column (50×2.0 mm²; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), which was maintained at room temperature (25°C) during the analysis. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (solvent A) and water with 0.1% formic acid (solvent B). Mobile phase was eluted in gradient elution mode, and the gradient was as follows: 0 minute, 2% A; 1 minute, 2% A; 6 minutes, 98% A; 7 minutes, 98% A; 7.1 minutes, 2% A; and, 10 minutes, 2% A. The run time was 10 minutes, and the sample injection volume was 20 µL. The retention time for BDDE was ~3.48 minutes (ranging from 3.43 to 4.14 minutes depending on the experiment). The mobile phase was pumped at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min for LC–MS analysis.

For the BDDE analysis and quantitation by MS, the UPLC system (Waters) was coupled to the API 3000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX) equipped with an electrospray ionization source and analyzed in positive ion mode (ESI+).

From the ion fragmentation analysis carried out on BDDE, it was determined that the fragment having the greatest intensity was the one corresponding to 129.1 Da (Figure 6). Therefore, the quantification was performed in multiple ion monitoring mode (MIM), and the mass transition (mass-to-charge ratio [m/z]) for BDDE were 203.3/129.1 Da. It was also employed full scan (FS) mode and product ion scan (PIS) mode for the LC–MS analysis.

Figure 1 Standard calibration curve of BDDE obtained by LC–MS analysis, where a good correlation ($R^2 > 0.99$) was observed.

Abbreviations: BDDE, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether; LC–MS, liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy.
In order to verify the specificity of the method, a blank sample (initial mobile phase) was analyzed. No signal was detected in the blank sample for the mass transition 203.3/129.1 Da. Regarding the repeatability of the experiment, 10 injections of the standard at 55 ppb (middle of the calibration curve) were analyzed resulting in a residual standard deviation (RSD) <5% (data not shown).

**Results**

**Analysis and quantification of BDDE in cross-linked HA hydrogels**

The content of residual BDDE was quantified in eight different autoclaved BDDE cross-linked HA hydrogels corresponding to four independent experiments. As described in the “Materials and methods” section, quantification was assessed by the mean of a regression curve of the standard dilutions of BDDE, which corresponds to a unique peak detected at the mass transition for BDDE of 203.3/129.1 Da with a retention time ranging from 3.43 to 4.14 minutes. An example of the chromatogram for the 10 ppb BDDE reference standard is shown in Figure 2. Residual BDDE content of the eight different hydrogels is summarized in Table 1. The value ranged from 1 to 2.46 ppb. The residual BDDE concentrations in the samples were, therefore, acceptable (<2 ppm) for human use.

Surprisingly, the analysis of the LC–MS ion chromatogram revealed the presence of an extra peak at a shorter retention time ranging from 2.73 to 3.29 minutes depending on the experiment for all autoclaved cross-linked HA hydrogel samples analyzed. For example, Figure 3 shows the ion chromatogram of one cross-linked HA sample, where one extra peak appeared at a different retention time of ~2.71 minutes. The observed relative retention time (RRT) between the new observed peak and the one from the BDDE was found to be 0.79 (Table 1). Since we know that the new observed peak is less retained in the C18 chromatographic column employed in the LC–MS analysis, the new peak could correspond to a more polar compound than BDDE.

**Analysis of the starting material employed**

In order to discard the possibility that the new peak observed could be a contaminant initially present in the raw materials used, these raw materials were also individually subjected

---

**Figure 2** Ion chromatogram for the 10 ppb BDDE reference standard (Sigma-Aldrich Co) obtained by LC–MS analysis for the MS (m/z) transition 203.30/129.10 Da (in positive MRM mode).

**Abbreviations:** BDDE, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether; LC–MS, liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; MS, mass; m/z, mass-to-charge ratio.
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The starting materials analyzed include water, a water solution of 2% NaHA, 1% NaOH in aqueous solution, and BDDE at the same concentration used in the cross-link reaction. The ion chromatograms of the starting materials employed did not present any compound or peak with a retention time corresponding with the new peak observed. This fact discards the idea that not only the starting material could present any compound or substance that may interfere with the analytical procedure but also there is no sign of any possible cross-contamination with other laboratory products. The concentration values obtained after the LC–MS analysis regarding BDDE and the new peak are shown in Table 2 (samples 1–4) and in the ion chromatograms from Figure 4.

Table 1 LC–MS analysis results for the mass transition 203.30/129.10 Da (in positive MRM mode)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cross-linked HA content in mg/mL</th>
<th>BDDE peak ppb</th>
<th>BDDE peak standard tR in minutes</th>
<th>New peak (mg/mL) tR in minutes</th>
<th>The observed RRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Samples 1–8 are autoclaved BDDE cross-linked HA hydrogels. Residual BDDE quantity in the hydrogels and BDDE retention times peak are also reported. Finally, the presence of a new peak with different retention time is also reported.

Abbreviations: BDDE, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether; HA, hyaluronic acid; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; tR, retention time; LC–MS, liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy; RRT, relative retention time.

Analysis of the BDDE in the presence and absence of NaOH

Conditions that lead to the formation of the new peak were investigated. In order to study how the reaction conditions employed to produce the cross-linked HA hydrogel affect
Table 2 LC–MS analysis results for the mass transition 203.30/129.10 Da (in positive MRM mode)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample identity</th>
<th>New peak (mg/mL)</th>
<th>BDDE peak (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HA at 2%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1% NaOH in H₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BDDE in H₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Samples 1–4 correspond to the raw materials used to produce the autoclaved BDDE cross-linked HA hydrogels. These samples were not autoclaved.

Abbreviations: BDDE, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether; HA, hyaluronic acid; LC–MS, liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring.

In all autoclaved samples, BDDE concentration was greatly reduced (up to 16.6 folds) (samples 5–8, Table 2) with or without NaOH. This decrease in BDDE concentration maybe explained by the fact that at high temperatures, water can act as a base (nucleophile) opening the epoxide ring of BDDE forming a 1,2-diol compound, which would not have the same monoisotopic mass than the BDDE and therefore would not be detected by the LC–MS for the mass transition 203.30/129.10 Da.

Finally, these experiments suggest that the creation of the new peak is dependent on the presence of BDDE, NaOH, and the autoclaving process but is independent of the HA.

Mass characterization of the new peak

The new peak found at ~2.71 minutes of retention time was then characterized by LC–MS. For this purpose, BDDE (9.9 mg/mL) was incubated in the aqueous solution of 1% NaOH and autoclaved. In Table 4, the characteristics of the new peak is compared to the known reference peak of BDDE (retention time about 3.47 minutes). From the analysis of the ion fragmentation analysis carried out for both peaks, it can be concluded that the peak at 2.72 minutes of retention time shows the same fragments as that of the BDDE peak, but with differences in their intensity (Figure 6). A more intense peak was observed after fragmentation at a mass of 147 Da for the peak corresponding to the 2.72 minutes...
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At the BDDE concentrations employed in this assay (9.9 mg/mL), a different absorbance pattern in the ultraviolet spectra was also observed (UV, λ=200 nm) after their chromatographic separation (Figure 7). The peak presenting the retention time of 2.71 minutes is still visible at 200 nm detection while the BDDE peak cannot be observed in the chromatogram at the same conditions.

### Table 3 LC–MS analysis results for the mass transition 203.30/129.10 Da (in positive MRM mode)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample identity</th>
<th>New peak (mg/mL)</th>
<th>BDDE peak (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BDDE in H₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BDDE in 1% NaOH aqueous solution</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BDDE in 0.1% NaOH aqueous solution</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BDDE in 0.01% NaOH aqueous solution</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BDDE in H₂O autoclaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BDDE in 1% NaOH aqueous solution autoclaved</td>
<td>5.47×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.12×10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BDDE in 0.1% NaOH aqueous solution autoclaved</td>
<td>0.98×10⁻³</td>
<td>1.98×10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BDDE in 0.01% NaOH aqueous solution autoclaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Samples 1–4 were not autoclaved, whereas samples 5–8 were autoclaved.

**Abbreviations:** BDDE, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether; LC–MS, liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring.

### Table 4 Results of the characterization of the new peak with a retention time of ~2.71 minutes and the BDDE peak found with a retention time of 3.47 minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>New peak m/z 203.3 Da</th>
<th>BDDE peak m/z 203.3 Da</th>
<th>Retention time</th>
<th>Most intense fragments</th>
<th>Absorbance at 200 nm</th>
<th>MRM most intense peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147.0&gt;73.2&gt;130.7</td>
<td>128.9&gt;72.8&gt;146.9</td>
<td>2.71 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>147.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** To obtain these results, LC–MS and HPLC analyses (MRM and PIS) were performed for both peaks. For the HPLC analysis, an UV detection at 200 nm of wavelength was employed.

**Abbreviations:** BDDE, 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether; LC–MS, liquid chromatography and mass spectroscopy; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; PIS, product ion scan; UV, ultraviolet.

### Discussion

The concentration of the residual BDDE after LC–MS quantification was observed to be <2 ppm in all of the cross-linked HA hydrogel produced, but a new unknown peak appeared in the analysis. This new peak does not correspond with the BDDE standard which was also analyzed for the same mass transition (MRM transition 203.30/129.10 Da) performed in positive MRM mode. Normally, other analytical methodologies like chromatography are used as limit tests in order to detect BDDE in hydrogels, but with a maximum limit of detection (LOD) a little lower than 2 ppm. On the other hand, NMR and MS were employed so far to characterize the degree of cross-linking and/or the modification of HA in fragments of the saccharide units of the cross-linked HA product. The purpose of these techniques was never quantifying the residual BDDE detection at such lower concentrations as we described (LOD of our LC–MS method =10 ppb) in this article.

The results of this study suggest that the basic reaction conditions of the reaction for the synthesis of the cross-linked HA hydrogel (in the presence of 1% NaOH) followed by autoclaving procedures could lead to the formation of a
BDDE by-product that can be detected at a different retention time in the ion chromatograms that were analyzed. The new peak observed was identified in the ion chromatogram with an RRT of 0.79 relative to the BDDE peak standard.

When analyzing the starting materials including BDDE, water, NaOH solution at 1%, and NaHA solution at 2% independently, the possible presence of any contaminant in the samples that could be responsible for the detection of the new peak was discarded.

The studies in basic conditions (NaOH) demonstrated that this new peak was also observed in the absence of HA and appeared exclusively when autoclaving process was performed (120°C for 20 minutes). The appearance of this new peak was favored by the increasing concentration of NaOH in the BDDE solution. On the contrary, when the BDDE samples lacking the presence of the base (NaOH) were autoclaved, the new peak was never observed in the analyses.

The decrease of BDDE owing to autoclaving in aqueous media (water) and in the absence of a base is explained by the fact that water can act as a nucleophile at high temperatures opening the epoxide ring and forming a 1,2-diol-based product. Because of the mass transitions analyzed by LC–MS (203.20/129.10 and 203.3/147.00 Da), the possibility of detecting the 1,2-diol-based compound was not possible.

The mass studies demonstrated that this new peak corresponded with a compound with the same monoisotopic mass than the BDDE reference (202.120514 Da), but with a more polar nature owing to its shorter retention time compared with BDDE in the LC–MS studied conditions. The RRT found for this peak was 0.79 relative to BDDE for the mass transition 203.20/129.10 Da (positive MRM mode). These new peaks have been demonstrated to have absorbance in the UV spectra (λ=200 nm). The fact that this possible BDDE by-product has a higher detection at 200 nm suggests that the new compound would be neither a BDDE isomer (enantiomer) nor any other compound having an oxirane (an epoxide group) in its structure.

On the other hand, the parental ion fragmentation with a mass of 203.20 Da fragmentation for BDDE and the new suspected compound formed presented a similar fragmentation pattern than BDDE but differed in their intensity. However, different higher intense peaks were observed with a m/z of 129.1 and 147.0 Da for BDDE and the new peak, respectively.

Once the possibility of being a BDDE enantiomer has been discarded and knowing that the 1,2-diol product formed by the epoxide ring opening was not the purpose of our analyses, a proposed explanation could be the formation of one of the tautomers shown in Figure 8. These proposed compounds would exactly have the same analyzed monoisotopic mass as...
the BDDE cross-linker, without differing in mass from the same parental ion of 203.20 Da of BDDE.

The reaction proposed for the formation of these tautomers would be a reaction catalyzed in the basic media employed in the cross-linking reaction and favored by the high temperature treatment for sterilization of dermal fillers. This reaction would lead to the irreversible loss of the epoxy ring from its structure. However, the reaction mechanism that led to this by-product has not been elucidated yet, but it is possible that the base may act as a catalyst at high temperatures, existing in an equilibrium at pH 7.4 (the final pH of the HA hydrogels produced) between these three forms presented in Figure 8, where the most favorable tautomer will prevail between them.

**Conclusion**

Our study demonstrated the formation of a by-product in the cross-linking reaction of BDDE with HA to form cross-linked HA hydrogels (HA–BDDE) which is formed in the presence of a base following autoclaving procedure.

As the toxicity of this by-product of BDDE is unknown, we propose that its residual quantification should be determined in a similar manner as that usually performed for BDDE in the final product. Since autoclaving procedures are usually employed for the sterilization of dermal fillers, we believe that this detected product should be taken into account.
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