SALL4 activates TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway to induce EMT and promote gastric cancer metastasis
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Background: Increasing evidence suggests that SALL4 plays oncogenic roles in cancer development and progression. We have previously shown that SALL4 is highly expressed in gastric cancer, and its upregulation is associated with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis. The role of SALL4 in gastric cancer metastasis and the underlying mechanism remain unclear.

Materials and methods: The biological roles of SALL4 in gastric cancer cell mobility, migration, and invasion were investigated by wound healing, transwell migration assay, and Matrigel invasion assay. The effects of SALL4 on epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) in gastric cancer cells were examined by quantitative real-time PCR and Western blot. The downstream target genes of SALL4 were identified by microarray. The regulation of TGF-β1 by SALL4 in gastric cancer cells was analyzed by luciferase reporter assay and chromatin immunoprecipitation assay.

Results: SALL4 knockdown inhibited, while SALL4 overexpression promoted the motility, migration, and invasion abilities of gastric cancer cells in vitro. SALL4 knockdown also suppressed the peritoneal metastasis of gastric cancer cells in nude mice. SALL4 knockdown suppressed, while SALL4 overexpression induced the activation of TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway and triggered EMT in gastric cancer cells. TGF-β1 was identified as a direct target gene of SALL4. The results of chromatin immunoprecipitation study and luciferase reporter assay further confirmed that SALL4 bound to the promoter of TGF-β1 gene and activated its expression. TGF-β1 knockdown reversed SALL4-mediated promotion of gastric cancer cell motility, migration, and invasion, indicating that TGF-β1 acts as a downstream effector of SALL4. Furthermore, the expression of TGF-β1 was found to be closely associated with that of SALL4 in gastric cancer tissues.

Conclusion: SALL4 promotes the metastasis of gastric cancer, at least partly, by directly activating TGF-β1, suggesting that SALL4 may serve as a new target for gastric cancer therapy.
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Introduction
Gastric cancer is the fourth most common malignancy and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.1 Most patients are diagnosed at a late stage with lymph node or distant metastases or with relapse after prior curative surgical therapy, which makes the 5-year survival rate relatively low.2 Peritoneal metastasis is the most frequent metastatic and recurrent site, which has a very poor prognosis. The identification of molecules that play important roles in metastasis and clarification of their mechanisms will provide novel diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets for gastric cancer.

SALL4 is a zinc finger transcription factor that maintains the self-renewal and pluripotency of embryonic stem cells.3 SALL4 expression gradually decreases during...
development and is even absent in most adult tissues. However, the recent findings show that SALL4 is reexpressed in cancer. The increased expression of SALL4 has been reported in acute myeloid leukemia (AML), liver cancer, colon cancer, breast cancer, endometrial cancer, lung cancer, and glioma. Further studies reveal that SALL4 plays important roles in cancer development, progression, and metastasis. For instance, SALL4 is found to be constitutively expressed in AML, in which it inhibits cell apoptosis via interaction with β-catenin. In liver cancer, SALL4 inhibits PTEN expression by recruiting nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex and activates Akt signaling pathway to promote cell proliferation. In endometrial cancer, SALL4 promotes cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and drug resistance via the upregulation of c-Myc. The potent roles of SALL4 in multiple processes of carcinogenesis have made it a novel biomarker for cancer diagnosis and treatment.

We have previously reported the elevated expression of SALL4 in human gastric cancer. SALL4 upregulation was associated with lymph node metastasis and predicted poor prognosis in gastric cancer patients. The functional roles of SALL4 in gastric cancer metastasis and the underlying molecular mechanisms remain unclear. In this study, we reported that SALL4 overexpression promoted, while SALL4 knockdown inhibited the metastatic potential of gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. SALL4 induced epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) in gastric cancer cells through the upregulation of TGF-β1 expression and the subsequent activation of TGF-β1/SMAD signaling pathway. TGF-β1 knockdown abrogated the promoting role of SALL4 in gastric cancer metastasis. Our findings indicate that SALL4 promotes gastric cancer metastasis via direct regulation of TGF-β1, a strong EMT inducer, which represents a new mechanism for the oncogenic roles of SALL4 in cancer.

Materials and methods

Clinical specimens

A total of 19 paired gastric cancer and adjacent noncancerous tissues (5 cm away from the tumor edge) were obtained from the Department of General Surgery, the Affiliated People’s Hospital of Jiangsu University, between April 2015 and September 2016. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients, and this study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of Jiangsu University. All of the tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C for further use. The patients included in this study had not received any preoperative therapies.

Cell culture

Human gastric cancer cell lines MGC-803 and HGC-27 were purchased from the Institutes for Biological Sciences at the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco®, Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All the cells were cultured in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37°C. For TGF-β1 inhibitor experiment, the cells were cultured in the presence or absence of Disitertide (HY-P0118, 50 µg/mL; MedChemExpress, Shanghai, China).

Gene transfection

The cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 2×10^5/well and cultured in 37°C incubator overnight. The over-expressing plasmid and silencing siRNAs (GeneChem, Shanghai, China) were transfected into the cells by using Lipofect™ transfection reagent (Hanbio, Shanghai, China) in a serum-free medium. The cells were changed to a complete medium at 6 hours after transfection and cultured for another 30 hours. The SALL4-targeting shRNA lentivirus was provided by GeneChem. The cells were transfected with lentivirus at a multiplicity of infection of 100 for 24 hours and then selected with puromycin (0.8 µg/mL) for 3 days. Table S1 lists the target sequences of shRNAs and siRNAs.

Luciferase reporter assay

In total, 293 T cells were cotransfected with SALL4-overexpressing plasmid and the luciferase reporter vector containing the promoter region of TGF-β1 as indicated. At 36 hours after transfection, the cells were collected and lysed. The luciferase activity was detected by using the dual luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Microarray analysis

RNA samples from control and SALL4-targeting shRNA-transfected MGC-803 cells (three samples/group) were collected and used for gene expression analysis by using Agilent Human IncRNA microarray v2.0 4×180K (OE Biotech, Shanghai, China).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

The chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was performed in MGC-803 cells by using a commercial kit (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). After cross-linking with 1% formaldehyde at 37°C for 10 minutes, the cells were harvested in sodium dodecyl sulfate lysis buffer, and the DNA was shredded to fragments of
200 bp by sonication. The precleared chromatin was incubated with the antibodies against SALL4 or nonspecific IgG overnight. Protein G Agarose beads were added and incubated at 4°C for 1 hour. After reversing the cross-links, the DNA was isolated and used for PCR. Table S2 shows the specific primers for PCR detection of the responsive element in TGF-β1 gene promoter.

**Cell scratch assay**
The confluent cell monolayers were wounded by scratching with a 10-µL pipette tip and then cultured for 36 hours. Cell migration over the scraped area was photographed at 0 and 36 hours, respectively.

**Transwell migration assay**
The transfected cells were collected and seeded into the upper chamber (8 µm) at a density of 1×10⁵ cells/well (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). The lower chamber was filled with 500 µL culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS; 12 hours later, the cells on the upper surface of the membrane were removed with a cotton swab. Then, the lower cells were fixed with formaldehyde and stained with crystal violet for 30 minutes. The number of migrated cells was counted under a microscope.

**Matrigel invasion assay**
The diluted basement Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was added into each chamber and let to polymerize at 37°C for 30 minutes. The transfected cells were seeded into the upper chamber at a density of 2×10⁵ cells/well (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). The lower chamber was filled with 500 µL culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS. The cells were allowed to invade to the lower membrane for 24 hours. Subsequently, the cells on the upper surface of the membrane were removed with a cotton swab. Then, the lower cells were fixed with formaldehyde and stained with crystal violet for 30 minutes. The number of migrated cells was counted under a microscope.

**Quantitative real-time (qRT) PCR**
Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and reverse-transcribed into cDNA using miScript reverse transcription kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The relative expression of target genes was detected on a Bio-Rad CFX96 qRT-PCR system with the SYBR Green method (β-actin served as an internal control). Table S2 lists the sequences of the primers.

**Western blot analysis**
The cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing 1% protease inhibitors. Equal amounts of proteins were separated on 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes, followed by blocking with 5% nonfat milk for 1 hour. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-E-cadherin (4695S; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), anti-N-cadherin (4370S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Slug (9585S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Vimentin (5741S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Twist (46702S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-SMAD2 (3108S; Cell Signaling Technology), SMAD2 (5399S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-SMAD3 (9520S; Cell Signaling Technology), SMAD3 (9523S; Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-GAPDH (MB001; Bioworld Technology, St. Louis Park, MN, USA). After incubation with the secondary antibodies (Bioworld Technology) at 37°C for 1 hour, the bands were visualized with a chemiluminescent detection system.

**Animal study**
BALB/c nude mice aged 4–6 weeks were purchased from the Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China) and maintained in accordance with the institutional policies. Control or sh-SALL4 MGC-803 cells were collected in PBS and intraperitoneally injected into the mice (2×10⁶ cells/mice, n=5). At 6 weeks after injection, the mice were sacrificed, and the number of metastatic nodules was counted. The protocol was approved by the Animal Use and Care Committee of Jiangsu University.

**Immunofluorescence**
For immunofluorescent staining, the cells seeded on cover slips were fixed and incubated with primary monoclonal antibody against N-cadherin and p-SMAD3 (Cell Signaling Technology) followed by incubation with fluorescence-labeled secondary antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature. The cells were counterstained with Hoechst33342 for 30 seconds. Finally, the cells were photographed under a microscope (DeltaVision OMX SR; GE Healthcare BioSciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA).

**Statistical analysis**
All the results were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using Student’s t-test with GraphPad Prism Version 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
Results
SALL4 gene silencing inhibits, while gene overexpression promotes the migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells

To test the importance of SALL4 in gastric cancer metastasis, we knocked down SALL4 gene expression by lentivirus-mediated shRNA in MGC-803 cells (with a relatively high level of SALL4). The efficiency of gene silencing by SALL4 shRNA was verified by qRT-PCR and Western blot (Figure 1A). Cell scratch assay results showed that SALL4 knockdown reduced the motility of MGC-803 cells (Figure 1B). Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assay results showed that MGC-803 cells in SALL4 knockdown group had lower migration rate and invasion abilities than that in the control group (Figure 1C). On the contrary, SALL4 overexpression by plasmid transfection promoted the migration and invasion abilities of HGC-27 cells (with a relatively low level of SALL4; Figure 1D–F). These data suggest that SALL4 knockdown inhibits, while overexpression promotes the migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells.

SALL4 gene silencing suppresses, while gene overexpression promotes EMT in gastric cancer cells

EMT has been linked to enhanced metastatic potential in gastric cancer cells. To test whether SALL4 promotes gastric cancer cell migration and invasion by inducing EMT, we observed the morphology changes of SALL4-overexpressing

Figure 1 SALL4 knockdown inhibits, while overexpression promotes the migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells.
Notes: (A) The efficiency of SALL4 knockdown was verified by using qRT-PCR and Western blot. (B) Cell scratch assay for the motility of MGC-803 cells with SALL4 gene knockdown. (C) Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assays for the migration and invasion abilities of MGC-803 cells with SALL4 gene knockdown. (D) The efficiency of SALL4 overexpression was verified by using qRT-PCR and Western blot. (E) Cell scratch assay for the motility of SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells. (F) Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assays for the migration and invasion abilities of SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells. **P<0.01.

Abbreviation: qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR.
and SALL4-silencing gastric cancer cells and detected the expression of EMT-related genes and proteins in these cells. As shown in Figure 2A, MGC-803 cells in the control group displayed a mesenchymal-like phenotype, while those in SALL4 knockdown group displayed an epithelial-like phenotype. On the contrary, HGC-27 cells in the control group displayed an epithelial-like phenotype, while those in SALL4 overexpression group displayed a mesenchymal-like phenotype. In addition, SALL4 knockdown increased the expression level of epithelial marker E-cadherin and decreased that of mesenchymal markers N-cadherin and Vimentin in MGC-803 cells (Figure 2B and C). SALL4 knockdown also reduced the expression levels of EMT transcription factors Slug and Twist. However, SALL4 overexpression led to the decreased level of E-cadherin and increased levels of N-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug, and Twist in HGC-27 cells (Figure 2D and E). The results of fluorescent staining further confirmed a decreased expression of N-cadherin in the SALL4 knockout group while an increased expression of N-cadherin in the SALL4 overexpression group compared with the control group (Figure 2F). These findings indicate that SALL4 knockdown suppresses, while overexpression induces EMT in gastric cancer cells.

**TGF-β1 is identified as a downstream target of SALL4**

To demonstrate the mechanism for SALL4-mediated induction of EMT and the promotion of gastric cancer cell migration and invasion, we performed microarray to determine the differentially expressed genes between control and SALL4 knockdown MGC-803 cells. As shown in Figure 3A, 1,539 differentially expressed genes were identified, of which 1,001 genes were upregulated and 538 genes were downregulated. Gene ontology and KEGG pathway analysis results showed that SALL4 was associated with cell adhesion molecules, calcium signaling pathway, and glycolysis (data not shown). In the downregulated genes, we choose TGF-β1 as the potential target for further study as it is a well-known EMT inducer. In consistent with the results of microarray, qRT-PCR and Western blot results confirmed that TGF-β1 expression was decreased in SALL4 knockdown MGC-803 cells (Figure 3B). We confirmed the regulation of TGF-β1 by SALL4 using luciferase reporter and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays. Luciferase reporter assay results showed that SALL4 overexpression increased the luciferase activities of TGF-β1 gene promoter (Figure 3C). ChIP assay results showed that SALL4 could bind to the 540- to −301-bp region of the promoter of TGF-β1 gene (Figure 3D). Finally, we determined the expression of SALL4 and TGF-β1 genes in gastric cancer tissues and found that the expression levels of SALL4 and TGF-β1 were closely associated (Figure 3E). Our results suggest that SALL4 may bind to TGF-β1 gene promoter and transactivate its expression.

**SALL4 gene silencing suppresses, while gene overexpression promotes the activation of TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway**

TGF-β1 induces EMT mainly through SMAD signaling pathway. We next wanted to know the effects of SALL4 on SMAD signaling pathway. qRT-PCR and Western blot results showed that SALL4 knockdown inhibited the expression of TGF-β1 and the phosphorylation of SAMD2 and SMAD3 in MGC-803 cells (Figure 4A and B). By contrast, SALL4 overexpression enhanced the expression of TGF-β1 and the phosphorylation of SAMD2 and SMAD3 in HGC-27 cells (Figure 4C and D). The results of immunofluorescent staining further confirmed the decreased nuclear translocation of p-SMAD3 in SALL4-silencing MGC-803 cells while the increased nuclear translocation of p-SMAD3 in SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells compared with control cells (Figure 4E). These results suggest that SALL4 could control the activation of TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway.

**TGF-β1 inhibition abrogates the promotion of gastric cancer cell migration and invasion by SALL4 overexpression**

To demonstrate the importance of TGF-β1 in the oncogenic roles of SALL4 in gastric cancer, we depleted TGF-β1 expression in SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells by using siRNA. The efficiency of TGF-β1 gene knockdown was shown in Figure 5A and B. Compared with SALL4-overexpressing group, TGF-β1 gene knockdown group displayed reduced abilities of cell motility, migration, and invasion (Figure 5C and E). In addition, we used a TGF-β1 inhibitor (HY-P0118) to block the function of TGF-β1 in SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells. The results of wound healing, transwell migration, and Matrigel invasion assays showed that HY-P0118 treatment remarkably reversed the cell motility, migration, and invasion abilities of SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells (Figure 5D and F). We also found that the induced EMT phenotype and activation of TGF-β1/SMAD signaling pathway in gastric cancer cells by SALL4 overexpression were reversed by TGF-β1 gene knockdown.
Figure 2. SALL4 knockdown suppresses, while overexpression induces EMT in gastric cancer cells.

Notes: (A) The morphology changes in SALL4-depleted MGC-803 cells and SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells. (B, C) The expression of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug, and Twist in SALL4-depleted MGC-803 cells was determined by using qRT-PCR (B) and Western blot (C). (D, E) qRT-PCR (D) and Western blot (E) assays for the expression of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug, and Twist in SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells. (F) Immunofluorescent staining of N-cadherin in SALL4-depleted MGC-803 and SALL4-overexpressing HGC-27 cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR.
SALL4 transactivates TGF-β1 gene to favor gastric cancer metastasis

Figure 5G and H), indicating that SALL4 promotes gastric cancer cell migration and invasion and induces EMT through the upregulation of TGF-β1.

SALL4 knockdown inhibits the peritoneal metastasis of gastric cancer in vivo

To further demonstrate the role of SALL4 in gastric cancer metastasis, we established a peritoneal metastasis model in nude mice. MGC-803 cells with or without SALL4 gene knockdown were injected into the abdominal cavity of nude mice. At 6 weeks after injection, the mice were sacrificed, and the metastatic tumor nodes in the abdomen were carefully examined. As shown in Figure 6A, SALL4 knockdown reduced the number of metastatic tumor nodes in mice. Hematoxylin and eosin staining results showed that there were more hepatic metastases in mice from the control group than that from the SALL4 knockdown group (Figure 6B). qRT-PCR and Western blot results showed that the expression of TGF-β1, p-SMAD2/3, N-cadherin, Vimentin, Slug, and Twist was decreased, while that of E-cadherin was increased in the SALL4 knockdown group (Figure 6C and D). These data suggest that SALL4 promotes gastric cancer metastasis via the activation of TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway and induction of EMT.

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate that SALL4 plays a critical role in gastric cancer metastasis. SALL4 overexpression promoted, while SALL4 knockdown inhibited the metastatic potential of gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo.
Mechanistically, SALL4 bound to the promoter region of TGF-β1 gene and activated its expression, resulting in the activation of TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway and the induction of EMT. We further provided evidence that TGF-β1 knockdown reversed SALL4 overexpression-mediated promotion of gastric cancer cell migration and invasion, suggesting that TGF-β1 acts as a downstream mediator of SALL4’s function in gastric cancer metastasis. Although the involvement of SALL4 in regulating TGF-β pathway has been implicated in AML,6 to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to suggest TGF-β1 as a direct target of SALL4 and to reveal the functional importance of TGF-β1 regulation to the oncogenic roles of SALL4 in cancer.

EMT is a biological process in which cancer cells lose their epithelial features and gain mesenchymal markers, which enables tumor cells to become more migratory and invasive.20 The hallmark of EMT is the decrease in E-cadherin expression, accompanied by an increase in N-cadherin or Vimentin expression. The process of EMT is controlled by transcription factors such as Slug, Snail, ZEB1, and Twist, as
well as certain signaling pathways, including TGF-β/SMAD and Wnt/β-catenin. Therefore, a further exploration of the regulatory mechanism for EMT in cancer metastasis has a great significance. He et al demonstrated that the inhibition of SALL4 reduces tumorigenicity involving EMT via Wnt/β-catenin pathway in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.\(^{21}\) Liu et al suggested that SALL4 acts as an EMT and drug resistance inducer through the regulation of c-Myc in endometrial cancer.\(^{22}\) Itou et al showed that SALL4 suppresses the expression of E-cadherin while it increases the expression of ZEB1, maintaining cell dispersion in basal-like breast cancer.\(^{23}\) They further demonstrated that SALL4 upregulates integrin α6β1 expression to promote cell migration via the activation of focal adhesion dynamics in basal-like breast cancer cells.\(^{24}\) In this study, we showed that SALL4 overexpression increased TGF-β1 expression and activated TGF-β/SMAD signaling, suggesting that SALL4 acts as a potent EMT inducer via the regulation of distinct gene expression and signaling pathway activation.

SALL4 is involved in tumorigenesis, tumor growth, and tumor metastasis and drug resistance through the regulation of various downstream genes. In AML, Bmi-1 is identified as a target gene of SALL4. SALL4 overexpression induces increased levels of histones H3-K4 and H3-K79 methylation in the Bmi-1 gene promoter, which finally activates Bmi-1 expression.\(^{25}\) There is a strong correlation between SALL4...
SALL4 has been shown to interact with mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) and co-occupies the HOXA9 promoter region with MLL in AML cells, leading to enhanced histone activation markers at the HOXA9 promoter region as well as increased HOXA9 expression. Li et al suggested that c-Myc is a key target gene of SALL4 in endometrial cancer, which could partially mediate the roles of SALL4 in metastasis and drug resistance. Kim et al demonstrated that SALL4 recruits ubiquitin E3 ligase CUL4B to destabilize heterochromatin protein 1α, promoting Glut1 expression and glycolysis and inducing drug resistance by enhancing DNA repair. We recently reported that the expression of CD44 and DANCR was controlled by SALL4 in gastric cancer cells to regulate their proliferation, migration, and invasion. We found in this study that the expression of SALL4 and TGF-β1 was positively correlated in gastric cancer tissues. The elevated expression of TGF-β1 has been linked with a worse overall survival in gastric cancer patients. The outcomes of gastric cancer patients with simultaneously high levels of SALL4 and TGF-β1 warrant a further study. Moreover, whether other cofactors are involved in the regulation of TGF-β1 by SALL4 and the epigenetic changes in SALL4 binding site in the TGF-β1 gene promoter need to be investigated in future studies.
Conclusion
In conclusion, our findings show that SALL4 promotes gastric cancer metastasis via the upregulation of TGF-β1 and the activation of TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway, inducing EMT and enhancing migration and invasion abilities in gastric cancer cells. Our study not only reveals a new mechanism for the oncogenic roles of SALL4 in cancer, but also provides evidence for the potential of SALL4 as a diagnostic and therapeutic target for gastric cancer.
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**Supplementary materials**

**Table S1 Sequences of shRNA and siRNA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA</th>
<th>Target sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sh-Ctrl</td>
<td>5’TTCCTCCGGAACGTGCACGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sh-SALL4</td>
<td>5’GACCTATGTAAGGTGTTA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>si-Ctrl</td>
<td>F:5’UUCUGCAACGGUGACAGUTT-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’ACGGGACCGUUCCGAGAAAGTT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>si-TGF-β1</td>
<td>F:5’GCAGAGUACACACGCAUATT-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’UAUGCUGUGUGUACUGCTTT-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2 Sequences of PCR primers for target gene detection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>F:5’TCCGAAACTACCTTCACTCC-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’CATACTGTCTGCTGAT-3’</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALL4</td>
<td>F:5’TGGATGGAACCTGCTTCC-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’GACCGAGCTCACGTGAGA-3’</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>F:5’CACACTGCAAGTGACAT-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’GCAGAAGTTGGCATGTAAG-3’</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-cadherin</td>
<td>F:5’GGCATTTGCAACACTCTCT-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’TGGTCAAGAGTTGTAAG-3’</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-cadherin</td>
<td>F:5’AGTCACGCAACGCTGTCT-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’AGCATTTCACTGACATC-3’</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>F:5’GGGCTGAGAGCTGTAAGAG-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’AGTCAAGACCGGAG-3’</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slug</td>
<td>F:5’GTGGTCTTCAAGAGAC-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’TCCATGCTCTTGCAAGCTC-3’</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist</td>
<td>F:5’ACGGACTGCACTCAGGAAATG-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’GGCACAGGCTTGGAGATG-3’</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1 (ChiP-PCR)</td>
<td>F:5’CATGGAGGATTCTCTCTT-3’&lt;br&gt;R:5’CAACCGGGAAGGGCTTAAAT-3’</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ChiP, Chromatin immunoprecipitation.