Development of a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention for young South African women
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Purpose: To develop a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention for young South African women at risk of HIV.

Patients and methods: Guided by the ADAPT-ITT framework for intervention development, we selected four focus groups (n=26) to assess the service needs and preferences of trauma-exposed young women (aged 18–25 years) who engage in substance use. We used findings to develop a needs-based and contextually appropriate intervention. In addition, four focus groups (n=30) were selected to explore potential service users’ views of this intervention and recommendations for improving acceptability and appeal. Expert stakeholders were also consulted.

Results: Young women described therapeutic, self-care, and social support needs for coping with traumatic experiences and reducing substance- and sexual-related risks for HIV and further trauma. To address these needs, we expanded the Women’s Health Co-Operative (WHC; an evidence-based HIV prevention program) to include trauma-related psychoeducation; cognitive-behavioral strategies for coping with the emotional impact of trauma, stress, and substance use craving; social support strategies; and self-care components to help young women create a life with purpose. This novel and expanded six-session group-based intervention is called the trauma-informed WHC. Focus group participants and expert stakeholders viewed the trauma-informed WHC as highly relevant and acceptable. They provided recommendations for modifications to the intervention structure and reformatting of intervention materials to enhance the intervention’s appeal and the feasibility of reaching and retaining young women in the program.

Conclusion: Engaging women as potential service users in the process of developing a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention helped identify service needs not commonly addressed in trauma-informed substance use interventions but critical for recovery and local relevance. It also enhanced the acceptability and appeal of the intervention. While potentially acceptable, the trauma-informed WHC requires feasibility testing before establishing its efficacy in a larger trial.
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Introduction

Substance use and exposure to physical and sexual trauma are highly prevalent, intersecting risks for HIV acquisition among young South African women.1–3 For example, national studies have shown that 17%–45% of young women report alcohol use, of which 23%–36% engage in heavy episodic drinking.4 Studies in South Africa and elsewhere have shown that substances are frequently used to cope with traumatic experiences by helping women avoid or reduce the negative emotions and symptoms associated with trauma.5–7 Unfortunately, this maladaptive coping response is associated
with sexual risk behavior for HIV, such as inconsistent condom use. Substance use also increases young women’s risk of further exposure to physical and sexual trauma, and consequently HIV, as substance use often places women in high-risk environments for assault and because it often exacerbates conflict in relationships.

For South Africa to reach its ambitious goal of 63% reduction in new HIV infections by 2022, it needs innovative HIV prevention programs that address these intersecting risks for young women among whom new infections are concentrated. In South Africa, about 17.4% of young women (20–24 years of age) are living with HIV, and adolescent girls and young women (AGYW) make up 37% of all new HIV infections. Although global and national guidelines for the prevention of HIV infection among AGYW recommend the provision of integrated mental health, substance use, and HIV prevention services, these integrated programs remain scarce. Recent national campaigns to reduce HIV incidence among AGYW (such as the DREAMS initiative and the She Conquers campaign) have limited focus on the combination of substance use, sexual risk, and past trauma exposure.

As women with experiences of trauma respond more poorly to substance use and sexual risk reduction interventions that are not trauma-informed than women without these experiences, we expanded the Women’s Health Co-Operative (WHC), an evidence-based, women-focused HIV prevention program, to include a focus on trauma and substance use coping. Evidence that trauma-informed substance use interventions significantly reduce trauma-exposed women’s substance use, trauma symptoms, and psychological distress guided the choice of this approach.

The WHC is a two-session intervention, adapted for use in South Africa, which addresses the intersecting HIV risks of substance use, gender-based violence, and sexual risk behavior. It has shown efficacy for reducing women’s substance use and sexual risks for HIV across multiple settings and age groups. It provides women with information on how to reduce substance use risks for interpersonal trauma and HIV, and behavioral skills training for sexual protection, communication for safer sex and conflict resolution. Women develop a personalized action plan that identifies goals for behavior change, strategies to support these goals, and referrals to substance use, sexual health, and other services. However, no adaptations in South Africa have addressed the additional needs for women with past and current trauma.

This study describes the expansion of the WHC into a new trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention (trauma-informed WHC). As young women’s initiation and engagement in health services is influenced by whether they perceive a program to be responsive to their needs and preferences, we used the ADAPT-ITT framework, a rigorous process for adapting evidence-based HIV interventions, to ensure that young women’s service needs and preferences were incorporated into the intervention design.

The framework specifies eight steps for adapting interventions to new priorities. The first step involves the assessment of service users’ needs, which guides decision-making about the modifications that are needed (step two). The third step involves intervention adaptation and initial administration of the program to potential service users to identify where the intervention requires revision to improve its relevance and acceptability. Thereafter, intervention production occurs in which further adaptations are made (step four) and this revised intervention is reviewed by topical experts (step five). Steps six through eight involve integrating all feedback into a final version of the intervention, training staff to deliver the intervention, and feasibility testing of the intervention.

This paper reviews the process of developing the trauma-informed WHC. Specific aims are to describe 1) young women’s needs and preferences for a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention and 2) their perceptions of the acceptability of the intervention and recommendations for further modification.

Methods
Between August 2015 and February 2016, we used the first six ADAPT-ITT steps to expand the WHC into a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention (see Table 1 for an overview of the intervention development phases) in preparation for the training and feasibility testing (the final ADAPT-ITT steps). The South African Medical Research Council and RTI International provided ethical approval for this study.

Participants and procedures
To assess young women’s intervention needs (step 1), we conducted four focus group discussions (FGDs) with 26 women recruited from two low-income Cape Town communities with high levels of sexual and physical violence, and substance use. Two groups comprised Black African women (six and eight participants, respectively) and two comprised Colored (of mixed race ancestry) women (with seven and five participants, respectively). The communities
from which we recruited participants are ethnically distinct from each other, with one comprised predominantly Black African isiXhosa-speaking individuals, and the other comprised predominantly Colored, Afrikaans-speaking individuals. These community and language differences were the main reasons for distinguishing groups along ethnic lines. Field staff approached potential participants in community settings frequented by young women, described the study, and requested verbal consent for eligibility screening. Women were eligible if they were between 18 and 25 years of age, lived in the target communities, and reported lifetime sexual or physical abuse, the use of at least two substances weekly for the past 3 months, condom-less sex in the past 3 months, and willingness to participate in group discussions. Given the pervasiveness of regular, heavy episodic drinking in this region,⁴ we recruited women who used at least two substances to ensure that the sample included women who used illicit drugs. Of the 44 women screened, 9 were ineligible (21%). The 35 eligible women who were interested in study participation were invited to an FGD, of which 26 (73%) came. Alcohol, cannabis, and methamphetamine were the most commonly reported substances used by eligible women – this is keeping with patterns of substance use in this region.¹⁴,²⁵ Most women reported multiple exposures to sexual and physical abuse, predominantly by family members during childhood, but intimate partner violence was also commonly reported. The nine eligible women who did not participate in the group had initially agreed to participate but were not locatable in the community due to their transient living circumstances. Prior to FGDs, women were rescreened to confirm their eligibility, and written informed consent for participation was obtained. Two experienced PhD-level qualitative researchers, both with extensive experience in group dynamics and intervention adaptation, facilitated the discussions. Field staff took notes and assisted with translations into local languages if required. Facilitators used a semi-structured discussion guide comprising open-ended questions and probes that were used to elicit participants’ opinions about trauma- and substance use-related service needs and preferences for these services as well as barriers and facilitators to women’s use of available services. The discussion guide evolved with each group discussion as participants provided new information that raised additional questions for the research team. Questions included, “How is substance use related to experiences of violence and abuse?”, “To what extent do young women need help in coping with experiences of abuse and violence?”, “If we were to develop a counselling programme for women who have had experiences of abuse and who use substances, what would you like this program to look like?”, among others. FGDs took place in a private room at an established study site and lasted up to 2 hours. Discussions were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Participants were provided with refreshments, a grocery voucher for their time, referrals to substance use and trauma services, and transport. We used findings from this phase to guide decisions about the expansion of the WHC (step 2).

Next, we showed the first version of the intervention to 30 women in four FGDs (two groups of eight Black African women and two groups of seven Colored women) and elicited their responses to this intervention (step 3). We used the same recruitment procedures and eligibility criteria described earlier. Of the 39 women screened, only 1 was ineligible (3%). The 38 eligible women who were interested in study participation were invited to an FGD, of which only 30 (79%) came. Facilitators used a semi-structured discussion guide to elicit participants’ views about the acceptability of the intervention and its most salient aspects, components that required modification, and recommendations for improvement. Questions included, “What are your thoughts about

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Intervention modification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1: Assessment</td>
<td>Four focus groups (n=26) with young women to assess service needs and preferences.</td>
<td>Original WHC as foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2: Decisions</td>
<td>Used assessment findings to inform decisions about intervention development. Involved in the synthesis of materials from other studies and development of new materials for this population.</td>
<td>Version 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3: Administration</td>
<td>Demonstrate the new trauma-informed WHC in four focus groups of young women (n=30).</td>
<td>Version 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4: Production</td>
<td>Use findings to modify intervention materials and structure, producing next version of the intervention.</td>
<td>Version 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps 5 and 6: Topical experts and integration</td>
<td>Obtain CAB stakeholder feedback. Use findings to further revise intervention and intervention implementation plans.</td>
<td>Version 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CAB, community advisory board; WHC, Women’s Health Co-Operative.
the proposed will work in this community?”, “How well do you think the intervention intervention will work in this community?”, “How interested do you think young women like yourself would be to participate in this program?”, among others. All groups were facilitated and recorded using the same procedures as those described earlier. We used the feedback from these groups to refine the intervention (step 4).

We presented the refined intervention to our community advisory board (CAB) and asked members, as topical experts, to comment on necessary modifications. Active since 2005, this CAB includes representatives from nongovernmental organizations, community-based organizations, government, academic institutions, and communities where the intervention will be tested once the adaptation process is complete. These topical experts were knowledgeable and experienced in the provision of substance use treatment services for women; the provision of HIV prevention, treatment and care in primary care settings; gender-based violence prevention; psychological treatments for substance use disorders; psychological treatments for trauma and post-traumatic stress disorder; and community development. Their feedback was used to make final adjustments to the intervention materials (step 5). Finally, we integrated all findings and feedback into a final iteration of the intervention (step 6) ready for staff training and initial feasibility testing (steps 7 and 8, respectively).

Analysis

We used the framework approach23 to analyze FGD data. This five-stage approach allows themes to be explored in relation to research questions and for new themes to emerge from the data. The first three stages, “familiarization” with the data, “identification of a thematic framework”, and “indexing” (or coding) of data are similar to other forms of qualitative analysis. The fourth stage, “charting”, involves retrieving the coded data and creating summaries of each interviewee’s talk on each theme. The final stage, “mapping and interpretation” of data, involves the research team using the charts to interpret the entire data set and connect with research objectives. These stages are interconnected, allowing the researchers to move back and forth across the data until a coherent account emerges. Unlike other approaches to qualitative analysis, these stages give visibility to the analysis process. Ensuring data analysis is explicitly described enhances the credibility of the findings.

More specifically, two PhD-level study staff with considerable experience in qualitative analysis read the transcripts, identified emerging themes, and developed a coding frame (codebook), which was discussed and agreed upon as a team. After agreement was reached, they used NVivo version 11 to independently code the transcripts, meeting regularly to compare notes and resolve coding differences. Differences in coding were resolved by discussion with the first author being available to resolve discrepancies when consensus could not be reached by discussion. This third person was not needed to break coding ties. Inter-coder reliability was good, with a Kappa score of 0.87.

Results

Assessment of intervention needs and preferences

Three themes emerged from each of the FGDs, regardless of the ethnic background of the participants. The first theme describes how participants use substances to cope with the emotional impact of past trauma and to avoid current problems in their lives. The second theme describes participants’ desire for change and factors that may hinder change. The third theme describes participants’ preferences for the content, format, and structure of a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction program. The sections that follow are organized according to these themes, with some examples of participants’ responses to illustrate these themes.

Substance use coping for past trauma and current problems

All participants spoke about unresolved experiences of physical and sexual abuse. They feared “not being taken seriously” so they “just sit with it and don’t tell anybody”. Although some knew of trauma counseling programs, they thought these services were not helpful. Many women spoke of using substances to cope with shame, embarrassment, and other emotions arising from these experiences. They described using substances to “feel nothing”, “comfort” themselves, or “forget” the trauma:

“I started using drugs after I was raped. I use drugs just to forget, have some fun and pretend like that never happened.” [FG 1]

“Drugs takes away that embarrassed feeling … you feel like it comforts you.” [FG 4]

Most participants acknowledged that this coping mechanism introduced many other problems to their lives. Women in all groups spoke of young women “selling their bodies” for substances, reflecting that “you do what you need to do to get drugs”. As one woman commented...
“If they don’t have money, they go and sleep with those guys to get the drug. So it spreads HIV.” [FG 2]

Similarly, there was consensus that substance use increased women’s risk of further trauma. Participants commented how men “take advantage of women when they are drunk or drugged and have sex with us” and how substance use fueled violence in relationships:

“She drinks (alcohol) and her boyfriend uses drugs. Whenever he comes back in the house they fight … there is always violence. When they are sober they don’t know any fights.” [FG 2]

Despite appreciating that substance use provided only a temporary solution to their problems, participants described feeling compelled to continue using substances as they lacked alternative mechanisms for coping with the emotional impact of trauma:

“Later the problems are still there. From the start, it just goes back to the same thing over and over.” [FG 3]

“Sometimes you want to stop all this drinking and drugs, you want to focus on life, but still you keep on thinking about those things … that you were abused. It comes back. It stops you from going forward.” [FG 1]

For these women, substance use was also their main strategy for dealing with current stressors, problems, and “frustration”:

“If my daily problems get too big or too many, then I go do drugs.” [FG 4]

“They have problems at home and they don’t know how to fix them, so they do drugs to escape.” [FG 1]

Regardless of their background, they all wanted tools to help them with “new ways of coping” with everyday problems and conflict in their lives.

Desire for a different life and barriers to change

In all the groups, discussions reflected participants’ desire for a different life and “really wanting to be helped” and wanting to “be a better person”:

“It is hard to talk about these issues – but we want to feel relief … why should I keep it inside. If I talk it out it is out of my system.” [FG 2]

Although participants reported being “tired of using drug to cope and tired of doing things that you were doing to get smoke (drugs)”, they described not knowing “how to stop.” Fear that their lives would be unmanageable if they did not use substances was a barrier to change. Participants were particularly concerned about managing substance-related cravings:

“Sometimes the cravings make it difficult to stop. That one packet makes you feel for more.” [FG 3]

Participants identified a lack of emotional support as a barrier to change. There was consensus in the groups that family and community support for change was rare. Most reflected that their families “had turned their backs” on them:

“When I need support, they don’t give me time. I need support because I don’t know how I am going to stop this thing.” [FG 4]

“The support you need is that someone to talk to. Someone to call you and to support you to stop what you are doing … that someone who is reminding me ‘hey how about you stop, don’t you want to change your life.’” [FG 1]

Preferences for intervention content, format, and structure

When asked about their preferences for a trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention, most participants said they wanted an intensive half-day program that included multiple appointments each week, noting that this would “hold us and support us”:

“Everyday, because we are sitting around doing nothing. Maybe we get in there at eight o’clock to maybe to one o’clock get out because we have children too.” [FG 2]

In addition to therapeutic content, participants asked for program content to help them reach their life goals and create a meaningful life. For many, these goals included “being a better mother” and “taking care of my family” and “being able to provide”. As such, they requested assistance with parenting, budgeting, and employment skills. They also wished to learn more about exercise and nutrition to help them take care of themselves and their families. In terms of the intervention format, they asked for the inclusion of “activities” and opportunities for skills rehearsal and not just a didactic approach to content delivery:

“It would help when you go to a program, you don’t sit and talk all day. Like get up and do something.” [FG 3]

In addition, participants agreed that the intervention should be group-based rather than individual-based. Although they recognized that some young women may initially find it
“hard to open up” in a group, they thought that this approach could lead to women supporting each other more:

“If we have group sessions, we will keep supporting each other … that’s where a person will feel free.” [FG 4]

Several participants thought community-based case management activities, or “home visits”, could also help young women feel supported. They thought that these home visits would help women “know all these people care for me”.

Adaptation decisions

Based on these findings, we retained the WHC’s core content addressing substance use and its intersection with violence and HIV risk, HIV prevention strategies (including condom mastery and sexual negotiation skills), and violence prevention strategies (including conflict resolution skills). We integrated content on common coping responses to trauma and ways of managing these responses in relation to sex risk that was used in a previous adaptation of the WHC that focused on trauma among women incarcerated in US prisons.28 We also added new cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) material on identifying and managing emotional and other triggers for substance use through mindfulness practice, thought stopping techniques, and other emotional regulation and coping strategies. We included these new components as they have been shown to reduce trauma-related internal triggers for substance use.29 These new components were accompanied by skills rehearsal activities.

In addition to this therapeutic content, we incorporated modules on nutrition, exercise, budgeting, and parenting into the program. The nutrition material, used by a previous study,23 taught women about the basic food groups, healthy food preparation, and how to develop a menu on a limited budget. The intervention also included information on exercise which we expanded to include more information on the benefits of exercise for coping with stress and emotions, demonstrations, and opportunities for women to practice these activities. The parenting module and job skills content was adapted from a previous iteration of the WHC with pregnant women31 and included information on parenting styles, effective discipline, building a resume, seeking employment, and interview skills.

These additions expanded the WHC from 4 modules delivered over 2 sessions into 12 modules delivered over 6 sessions. We designed the program to be delivered in groups and included case management activities to increase women’s support for change.

Initial administration

Two themes emerged from the FGDs after the initial demonstration of the intervention. The first theme referred to the acceptability of the intervention content and the second theme referred to recommendations for program delivery.

Acceptability of the intervention

Across the groups, participants agreed that the intervention content was acceptable, commenting that it is “good because it’s going to help us” and “give us a beginning for a good future.”

The participants thought the program content was sufficiently comprehensive and “enough” to address their needs. They did not want any program content to be removed, remarking that all the modules were potentially beneficial:

“We need all this information to stop the wrong things.” [FG 1]

Participants did, however, recommend rearranging the intervention modules to ensure that the components they considered key (substance use coping, trauma, and sexual safety) were presented early in the program:

“And I like the part of resolving the trauma. That’s the thing that makes us like smoke (drugs). You should bring it (the session) up to the second day because it is the right thing to talk about.” [FG 3]

Participants also asked for a participant information booklet that summarized the key content of each session. They thought that would help them “remember” the program content and increase impact:

“And you can practice it (skills) at home because now you have some ideas and something to show you how if you can’t remember.” [FG 4]

Recommendations for program format and structure

The participants had clear views on when the intervention should be delivered to reach and engage young women. They recommended offering the program on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Fridays. They thought women would be inattentive on Mondays, as they might be recovering from the weekend’s substance use. In contrast, they thought program contact on a Friday would help avoid substance use over the weekend:

“On Mondays no … you’re coming from the weekend and you are babelas (hungover) … your brain is not working.” [FG 2]
When asked about the duration of the modules, generally participants thought the duration was acceptable, provided that the content was exciting enough to keep women engaged and they were given sufficient breaks:

“It mustn’t be boring, it must have fun things to do.” [FG 4]

Participants also commented on the formatting of the intervention materials. All the groups preferred materials in English but thought the language should be simplified to reach young women with varying levels of education:

“Not that big word English. Simplify the language ... everyone would then understand.” [FG 3]

They did, however, like the home visits and the group format, noting that this format may help young women “unite for change” and could lead to the development of peer support networks in their communities.

**Production**

Based on participants’ suggestions, we reformatted the intervention to include more breaks to help women remain attentive. We also reordered the modules so that the most critical information (substance use, coping with trauma, and sexual safety) is covered in the first week of the program. In addition, we refined the intervention materials to enhance their appeal and comprehensibility. These modifications included simplifying the language used, reducing the amount of text, and adding more visuals. Additional skills practice activities were also added to each module to keep women engaged and encourage mastery of new skills. For example, we added audio clips of mindfulness meditation exercises that women practiced in the groups. Based on participants’ recommendations for handouts, the project team developed a participant handbook that summarized the core content of each module, included activities for skills rehearsal at home, and summarized their personal action plan and goals for change. Together, these modifications produced the second version of the trauma-informed WHC.

**Topical experts’ commentary and integration of feedback**

Next, we asked CAB members (and other trauma and substance use service providers) to review the second version of the intervention, the participant handbook, and comment on potential implementation concerns such as intervention delivery agents and referral pathways for linking young women to further services if needed. Based on their suggestions, we expanded our community referral and resource guide to include more substance use and trauma service providers. Topical experts also recommended the use of registered psychological counselors, trained in trauma debriefing, for intervention delivery and the provision of regular debriefing to prevent burnout. We used these recommendations to develop a counselor training and supervision plan. Experts also suggested some minor revisions to enhance the appeal of the intervention materials. All this feedback was integrated into a third version of the trauma-informed WHC that is ready for feasibility testing. Table 2 presents an overview of the components of this final version of the intervention and their origin.

**Discussion**

Although global guidelines for the prevention of HIV infection among AGYW recommend the provision of integrated trauma, substance use, and sexual risk reduction services,11 these comprehensive programs are rare. This paper describes the systematic development of a novel trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention tailored to the service needs and preferences of young South African women.

In this formative study, participants expressed many unmet mental health and substance use needs and desired change. In keeping with other studies,5,18,32 young women doubted the utility of available trauma and substance use services. Yet, they were willing to attend new trauma-informed substance use programs if they were compatible with their service needs. Trauma-exposed young women described a range of unmet informational, therapeutic, self-care, and social support needs that they wished to have addressed by new programs. They requested a better understanding of women’s emotional and behavioral responses to trauma and alternative strategies to substance use coping. Specific therapeutic needs were related to managing emotional triggers for substance use, coping with stress, conflict resolution, and sexual safety and violence prevention. They also identified social support and self-care needs that they considered key to building a life with purpose and maintaining change. For these young women, a purposeful life was one in which they could provide and care for their children. This is congruent with African cultural values that emphasize the importance of children.33 Addressing these social support and self-care needs may be particularly important in this context, given evidence that limited social support and a lack of purpose affect young South African women’s motivation for change.
Table 2 Overview of the trauma-informed WHC program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Session 1. Module 1. Where are you in your life:  
  - Risk vs power  
  - Substance use and the value of treatment  
  - The importance of social support: Sister to Sister  
  - Sisterhood pledge | Session 2. Module 3. Coping with trauma:  
  - Types of trauma  
  - Responses to trauma  
  - Substance use coping  
  - More adaptive coping strategies (practice)  
  - Social support  | Session 3. Module 5. Sex, STIs, and HIV:  
  - Substance use, violence, and sexual risk  
  - Men’s and women’s bodies  
  - HIV and STIs  
  - Knowing your HIV status  |
| Session 1. Module 2. Self-care for recovery:  
  - What is self-care?  
  - Exercise for recovery  
  - Assessing your own exercise habits | Session 2. Module 4. Mindfulness for coping:  
  - What is mindfulness  
  - Help with bad feelings  
  - Techniques  
  - Practice | Session 3. Module 6. Sexual safety:  
  - Male and female condoms  
  - Sexual negotiation  
  - A substance use, sexual risk and violence safety plan |
| Session 4. Module 7. Caring for others:  
  - How substance use and trauma impacts caring for others  
  - Effective parenting styles  
  - Parenting and discipline tips  
  - The importance of social support  | Session 5. Module 9. Self-care through exercise:  
  - Types of exercise  
  - Demonstration and practice  
  - Plans for exercise  
  - Social support for exercise  | Session 6. Module 11. Violence prevention:  
  - Substance use, violence, and conflict  
  - Conflict resolution skills  
  - Violence prevention strategies  
  - Social support for violence prevention  |
| Session 4. Module 8. Nurturing yourself:  
  - Value of nutrition for recovery  
  - What is good nutrition  
  - Reviewing eating habits  
  - Principles of healthy eating | Session 5. Module 10. Nutrition on a budget:  
  - Healthy eating on a budget – some tips  
  - Shopping wisely  
  - Working in a budget  
  - Reading food labels | Session 6. Module 12. Moving on:  
  - CV development  
  - Job interview skills  
  - Future plans  
  - Continued care support |
| Session 5. Module 12. Moving on:  
  - Personalized action plan and case management | | |

Notes: *WHC; †New material; ‡WHC incarcerated women; §HOOP study.

Abbreviations: STIs, sexually transmitted infections; WHC, Women’s Health Co-Operative.

and service utilization. There is also emerging evidence that a meaningful life is associated with better post-trauma recovery. Trauma-exposed women may face additional obstacles to changing their substance use that were not evident in these FGDs. Future studies should explore the full range of possible barriers to change to ensure that interventions target these factors.

Together, this information guided the development of a contextually appropriate trauma-informed substance use and sexual risk reduction intervention tailored to young women’s therapeutic, self-care, and social support needs. For the therapeutic content, we retained the core elements of the WHC known to be effective for reducing sexual risk behavior, while adding trauma-related psychoeducation and CBT strategies for identifying emotional triggers for substance use, emotional regulation, adaptive coping and problem-solving, and managing cravings. These are common elements of evidence-based, trauma-informed substance use treatments. To address self-care needs, we added parenting, budgeting, nutrition, exercise, and employment modules that have been used in other South African studies. To address social support needs, we designed this as a group-based intervention, with the hope that groups would evolve into peer support networks, as has happened elsewhere. This intervention is novel in that it comprehensively addresses young women’s service needs. We are not aware of any other trauma-informed substance use interventions that augment therapeutic content with self-care strategies to support the creation of purpose.

Findings suggest that this novel intervention is probably acceptable to young trauma-exposed South African women who use substances. Feedback about the intervention was positive and helped guide modifications to the intervention structure and format for optimizing acceptability and feasibility of implementation. Despite our concerns about intervention length, young women wanted to retain all the modules as they viewed the content as highly relevant to their needs. However, they recommended some changes to the structure of the intervention and formatting of the intervention materials to enhance its appeal and the likelihood of keeping young women engaged in the program. CAB stakeholders made similar suggestions for intervention refinements. In addition, they suggested ways of facilitating intervention delivery and young women’s linkage to continuing care. Together, these findings highlight the value of a participatory approach to intervention development. This method allowed us to incorporate the views and preferences of potential service users and local stakeholders’ guidance into the design of the intervention, improving the acceptability and feasibility of the intervention in the local context.

There are two main limitations to this formative study. First, as the sample was small and limited to two communities,
participants may not have been representative of all trauma-exposed young women who use substances in the Western Cape. However, young women’s service needs were in line with the global literature on trauma-informed substance abuse treatment. In addition, young women’s suggestions for refining the structure and format of the intervention were congruent with CAB stakeholders’ opinions. These factors enhance our confidence in the relevance of these findings. Second, due to the qualitative and exploratory nature of this study, we were unable to assess level of treatment need and barriers to treatment attendance in this population. We plan to address these questions in a feasibility test of the trauma-WHC which will assess the demand for the program, its uptake, and barriers to program completion.

Conclusion
A systematic participatory approach was used to develop a trauma-informed comprehensive HIV prevention intervention for young trauma-exposed South African women who use substances. While we incorporated essential components from the WHC and other studies to adapt this intervention, it is unique as it synthesizes these components and new material into a more encompassing program for young South African women. Engaging potential service users in this process helped us capture service needs that are not commonly addressed in trauma-informed substance use interventions but are critical for recovery and contextually important. It also enhanced the acceptability and appeal of the intervention, which may facilitate efforts to reach and engage young women in this program. As the final step in the development process, this novel intervention requires feasibility testing before its efficacy can be examined. If shown to be feasible and efficacious, this intervention may reduce risk of HIV among this highly vulnerable yet underserved population.
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