Profile of netarsudil ophthalmic solution and its potential in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma: evidence to date
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Abstract: Netarsudil ophthalmic solution is a novel topical intraocular pressure (IOP)-lowering agent that has recently been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of ocular hypertension and open-angle glaucoma. Its unique pharmacology allows for IOP lowering as a result of direct reduction in trabecular outflow resistance in addition to a decrease in episcleral venous pressure and aqueous humor production. The efficacy of netarsudil has been shown in animal studies and human clinical trials. It has been shown to be noninferior to the therapy with topical timolol in individuals with baseline IOP <25 mmHg. Importantly, netarsudil has been shown to reduce IOP to the same degree, regardless of baseline levels. There are no known systemic safety issues associated with netarsudil. The most common local adverse effects relate to conjunctival hyperemia. The once-daily dosing schedule is advantageous for individuals who have difficulties with medication adherence. Further studies of a combination of netarsudil and latanoprost agents are currently underway.
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Introduction
Glaucoma continues to be a leading cause of irreversible blindness currently affecting over 60 million people worldwide. The glaucoma population is estimated to increase to over 110 million by 2040, augmenting the need for new approaches to glaucoma therapy. Epidemiological studies have shown that the risk of open-angle glaucoma progression increases with a higher intraocular pressure (IOP) at an estimated 12% increase in risk for every 1 mmHg increase in IOP. Medical intervention that lowers IOP is currently the first-line therapy for preventing progression of optic nerve damage and subsequent vision loss.

IOP is regulated by a balance between the production of aqueous humor by the ciliary processes and the rate of aqueous outflow to venous circulation through the uveoscleral outflow pathway and trabecular meshwork (TM) pathways. The majority of the aqueous humor is filtered through the TM pathway, also known as the conventional pathway, which consists of the TM, juxtacanalicular tissue (JCT), and Schlemm’s canal (SC). Although the pathophysiology of glaucomatous degeneration is not completely understood, a resistance to aqueous outflow through the TM is thought to cause an increase in IOP and eventually lead to optic nerve damage.
lower IOP instead of targeting the diseased TM pathway directly.5,7 Medications that increase the uveoscleral outflow include the prostaglandin analogs and alpha agonists, and medications that decrease the aqueous humor production include beta blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, and alpha agonists.7 Pilocarpine is a unique miotic glaucoma drug that works to increase aqueous humor outflow but does not directly target the TM. Rather, this agent induces contraction of the ciliary muscle in order to expand the TM and decrease outflow resistance.8 The present issue with the current medical options is that necessary IOP reductions cannot be achieved with monotherapy alone in many patient cases.9

Netarsudil (AR-13324) is a novel topical ocular hypotensive glaucoma medication recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in December 2017.10 This review aims to assess the current research concerning this new medication and its potential to treat open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension.

**Netarsudil mechanism of action**

ROCK is a naturally occurring serine/threonine protein kinase that serves to promote assembly of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions within the TM. Netarsudil is a potent ROCK inhibitor that is an amino isoquinoline amide that also inhibits the norepinephrine transporter (NET). The agent is formulated with 2,4-dimethyl benzoate to improve bioavailability (Figure 1).11 Netarsudil has been shown to have three novel mechanisms of action that contribute to lowering IOP. Primarily, the agent functions to lower IOP directly through the relaxation of the TM and contraction of ciliary muscle, leading to an increase in aqueous outflow through the conventional pathway.5,12,13 ROCK1 and ROCK2 are present in higher amounts in the TM when compared to the ciliary muscle of human eyes; therefore, the main effect of IOP regulation is thought to be through this effect on the TM.12,14 In a study by Wang et al,15 monkey eyes dosed with 0.04% netarsudil had significantly increased trabecular outflow facility by 53% when compared to contralateral vehicle-treated or baseline eyes (0.90±0.11 vs 0.58±0.07 μL/min/mmHg at baseline, \(P<0.05\)). Netarsudil additionally reduces IOP by decreasing episcleral venous pressure and decreasing the production of aqueous humor.15,16

**Efficacy in animal studies**

Netarsudil has been shown to be effective in IOP reduction in early phase animal studies. Lin et al9 determined a dose-dependent relationship when using netarsudil, in which netarsudil 0.04% demonstrated a significantly greater IOP reduction compared to doses of 0.005% in rabbits only and 0.01% and 0.02% in rabbits and monkeys (\(P<0.05\)). The study also showed that netarsudil 0.04% demonstrated a significantly greater reduction in IOP when compared to an earlier stage ROCK-selective compound (AR-12286 0.5% Ophthalmic Solution) with maximal IOP reductions on Day 3 of therapy of 8.1±0.7 and 7.5±1.1 mmHg in rabbits and monkeys, respectively (\(P<0.01\) for both).8 In another study done by Li et al17 using 0.04% netarsudil, an average IOP reduction of 5.2 mmHg was observed when compared to the contralateral untreated eye in mice.

**Review of clinical results**

The efficacy of netarsudil has been compared to both timolol and latanoprost in clinical trials. In two double-masked, randomized clinical trials called Rho Kinase Elevated IOP Treatment Trial 1 (ROCKET-1) and Rho Kinase Elevated IOP Treatment Trial 1 (ROCKET-2), Serle et al18 observed clinically relevant and statistically significant IOP reductions in netarsudil 0.02% solution dosed once daily at night (QHS) when compared to timolol twice a day (BID) in patients with a maximum baseline IOP<25 mmHg (Table 1). The two Phase III FDA-registration trials enrolled 1,167 patients, with the ROCKET-2 trial enlisting an additional study arm randomized to BID dosing of netarsudil. After an appropriate washout of preexisting IOP-lowering medications, patients were randomized using a computer-generated method. Unmedicated IOP was required to be between 20 and 27 mmHg for study inclusion. Eyes with secondary open-angle glaucomas or occludable anterior chamber angles were excluded from the study. Netarsudil noninferiority to timolol was prospectively determined to be met if the upper limit

---

**Figure 1** Netarsudil chemical structure depicting the free base and dimesylate to improve bioavailability.

of the two-sided CI around the difference in IOP lowering was within 1.5 mmHg at 8:00 AM, 10:00 AM, and 4:00 PM time points at week 2, week 6, and month 3 visits post randomization. The treatment arm randomized to netarsudil 0.02% QHS experienced a clinically relevant decrease in IOP at 8 AM, 10 AM, and 4 PM time points on the 3-month visit. Using a post hoc end point (for the ROCKET-1 trial) in patients with baseline IOP <25 mmHg, netarsudil met noninferiority criteria when compared to timolol at the 8 AM (18.22 vs 17.91 mmHg, respectively; two-sided 95% CI=±1.02 mmHg), 10 AM (17.34 vs 17.43 mmHg, respectively; two-sided 95% CI=±0.63 mmHg), and 4 PM (17.02 vs 17.37 mmHg, respectively; two-sided 95% CI=±0.34 mmHg) time points. However, netarsudil 0.02% QHS did not meet the non-inferiority criteria when compared to timolol in the ROCKET-1 trial when the baseline IOP was set at <27 mmHg in primary analysis based on an IOP-lowering difference >1.5 mmHg at the 8 AM time point at week 6 (19.35 vs 18.24 mmHg, respectively; two-sided 95% CI=±1.80 mmHg) and the 8 AM (19.81 vs 18.47 mmHg, respectively; 95% CI=±2.03 mmHg) and 10 AM (18.92 vs 17.96 mmHg, respectively; 95% CI=±1.66 mmHg) time points at month 3. Serle et al19 hypothesized that perhaps patients selected for this unmedicated baseline IOP did not maintain the observed baseline outside of the day of enrollment and instead maintained higher unmedicated baseline IOPs.

When compared to latanoprost, a study performed by Bacharach et al19 showed that 0.01% netarsudil, 0.02% netarsudil, and latanoprost patient groups experienced clinically relevant IOP reductions of 5.5, 5.7, and 6.8 mmHg, respectively, from an unmedicated baseline (P<0.001). Despite these reductions, both 0.01% and 0.02% netarsudil once again did not meet the predetermined noninferiority criteria, this time against latanoprost.19 However, Bacharach et al19 did observe that netarsudil had similar efficacy to latanoprost when observed in a prespecified subgroup of patients with a baseline IOP <26 mmHg.

In these studies, the importance of baseline IOP when determining the noninferiority of netarsudil should not be overlooked. In both the timolol and latanoprost studies, baseline IOP dictated whether a significant IOP reduction when using netarsudil was comparable to that of the other medication in that study.18,19

### Adverse effects

The most frequently reported adverse effect in netarsudil studies was the presence of conjunctival hyperemia.19–21 This side effect is likely due to ROCK-mediated inhibition of calcium sensitization, resulting in the relaxation of blood vessel smooth muscle on the conjunctiva.13,22 The conjunctival hyperemia was mainly asymptomatic but was seen at higher rates in netarsudil when compared to other medications. In the ROCKET-1 and ROCKET-2 trials, timolol conjunctival hyperemia had an incidence of 8%–10% compared to netarsudil QHS at 50%–53% and netarsudil BID at 59%.18 Bacharach et al19 also observed the conjunctival hyperemia at a similar rate of 52% and 57% for netarsudil 0.01% and 0.02% patients, respectively, vs the latanoprost group that had an incidence of 16% (P<0.001). However, the study of Bacharach et al19 did note that the frequency of conjunctival hyperemia decreased for netarsudil throughout the study period of 28 days. Additional longitudinal studies would be necessary in order to observe whether this decline in hyperemia continues to fade with time.

The next most frequent adverse effect observed was conjunctival hemorrhage. The ROCKET-1 and ROCKET-2 trials observed a 13.5%–15% incidence in netarsudil QHS, 17% incidence in netarsudil BID, and 0%–0.5% incidence in timolol patients.18 Conjunctival hemorrhage was also observed in 5% of the combined netarsudil 0.01% and 0.02% groups in the study of Bacharach et al.19 PG324 0.01% and 0.02%, a solution composed of both netarsudil and latanoprost, also exhibited conjunctival hemorrhage when latanoprost alone did not.20

### Table 1 Efficacy results with netarsudil 0.02% daily dosing in clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical trial</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Mean baseline IOP (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean 3-month IOP (8 AM)</th>
<th>Noninferiority to timolol 0.5% twice daily?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROCKET-1†</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>23.42</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCKET-1†</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>18.22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCKET-2†</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>22.54</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Serle, et al.8,9 ROCKET-1, primary efficacy population with baseline IOP <27 mmHg. ROCKET-1, per-protocol population with post hoc end point and baseline IOP <25 mmHg. ROCKET-2, primary efficacy population with baseline IOP <25 mmHg.

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; ROCKET-1, Rho Kinase Elevated IOP Treatment Trial 1; ROCKET-2, Rho Kinase Elevated IOP Treatment Trial 2.
Additional less prominent adverse effects were observed, including mild corneal staining, headaches, blurry vision, instillation site pain/erythema, and eyelid erythema.\textsuperscript{18,20,21} No studies noted clinically significant netarsudil-related systemic adverse events.\textsuperscript{18,20,21} However, in the Lewis et al study,\textsuperscript{20} there was a greater number of discontinuations in patients taking netarsudil 0.02% BID likely due to the conjunctival hyperemia. Because more prominent hyperemia was observed during the day in netarsudil 0.02% BID patients, Serle et al\textsuperscript{19} suggested that QHS dosing would decrease the likelihood of symptoms during waking hours.

**Potential non-IOP lowering effects**

Netarsudil is regarded for its IOP-lowering effects; however, previous animal studies on other ROCK inhibitors, such as fasudil and ripasudil, have demonstrated potential benefits of agents in this class.\textsuperscript{23-24} These potential benefits include neuroprotection of the optic nerve head (ONH), improved ophthalmic perfusion, mitigation of inflammation, prevention of scarring post glaucoma filtration procedures, and promotion of corneal healing.\textsuperscript{21}

Sugiyama et al\textsuperscript{24} assessed ocular blood flow at the ONH after treatment with fasudil. Responses to the agent were evaluated in rabbits with normal vascular flow in comparison to rabbits with vasoconstriction due to pretreatment with endothelin-1 and NG-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester.\textsuperscript{24} Subjects in the latter group showed improvement in ONH vascular flow upon treatment with fasudil. ROCK inhibitors function to relax vascular smooth muscle through either desensitization of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} receptors in contractile proteins or by induction of functional changes in Rho kinases.\textsuperscript{23,24} Enhanced blood flow to the ONH protects against its degeneration, attenuating the risk of optic neuropathy in patients with glaucoma.\textsuperscript{24}

Furthermore, ROCK inhibitors may confer neuroprotection by hindering the effects of RhoA and ROCKII that normally cause retinal ganglia damage via NMDA activation.\textsuperscript{25} Kitaoka et al\textsuperscript{25} reported these aforementioned findings in their study that involved administration of fasudil on a rat model they developed. In another study led by Sagawa et al,\textsuperscript{26} retinal axonal regeneration was demonstrated following administration of ROCK inhibitor Y-39983 injections at the site of optic nerve crush injury; treatment was effective up to a month following the initial damage. Moreover, there is evidence that ROCK inhibitors modify oxidative stress through downregulation of ROS production, protecting against disruption of the TM and maintaining a patent outflow pathway for the aqueous humor.\textsuperscript{27} Fujimoto et al\textsuperscript{27} identified these antioxidative effects at the level of the TM following the administration of ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 to monkey subjects. Overall, these therapeutic advantages have been primarily demonstrated in animal models.\textsuperscript{23-27} Further research exploring the spectrum of ROCK inhibitor functions in human populations is warranted.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, these non-IOP-lowering benefits are subjected to evaluation in netarsudil, in particular given its novelty.

**Clinical implications**

Inconsistent or poor glaucoma medication adherence is a risk factor for glaucoma progression, and medication compliance has been shown to be inversely related to the frequency of required dose per day.\textsuperscript{28,29} With a longer duration of action and once-daily dosing schedule, netarsudil may decrease risk of medication nonadherence. Furthermore, netarsudil’s novel mechanism of action may allow for IOP-lowering efficacy in patients with suboptimal response to other available agents.\textsuperscript{30}

In clinical trials, netarsudil application resulted in no observed serious systemic effects, but symptoms of conjunctival hyperemia may still potentially cause patient dissatisfaction.\textsuperscript{19,21} Netarsudil 0.02% BID led to a 30% discontinuation rate due to adverse events in the ROCKET-2 trial.\textsuperscript{19} However, only two of 147 patients taking netarsudil 0.01% and 0.02% QD required discontinuation due to adverse events in the study conducted by Bacharach et al.\textsuperscript{19} Bacharach et al also observed a difference in the patient-reported redness with nighttime application of netarsudil vs the biomicroscopic observations at the clinic the next day.\textsuperscript{19} This implies that netarsudil symptoms decrease with time, and application at night allows patients to experience the worst of the symptoms while asleep. The study of Bacharach et al\textsuperscript{19} was only 28 days long, but a decrease in the incidence of conjunctival hyperemia was also observed throughout the length of the study. Long-term results should be observed, but there is also potential for a decrease in frequency of conjunctival hyperemia with continued use of netarsudil.

**Potential advantages for normal-tension glaucoma patients**

Netarsudil has potential use for normotensive patients managing glaucoma progression. The study of Wang et al\textsuperscript{29} on normotensive monkeys exhibited a 24% decrease in IOP with 0.04% netarsudil administration when compared to contralateral vehicle-treated eyes. The mechanism of action was linked to a significant ($P<0.05$) increase in baseline outflow facility and decrease in aqueous flow rate with administration.
of netarsudil. In additional studies, netarsudil maintained efficacy of IOP reduction, regardless of baseline IOP. Latanoprost, used as a comparison medication in the study of Bacharach et al, more effectively lowered IOP in patients with a higher IOP baseline. In a Phase I study performed by Levy et al, normotensive patients with baseline IOPs between 14 and 20 mmHg showed significant reductions over 8 days of 0.02% netarsudil use. These studies suggest that IOP reduction via netarsudil does not depend on the baseline IOP as it does for other glaucoma medications. Therefore, in patients who require an IOP below normal in order to stunt glaucoma progression, netarsudil may be an effective treatment option.

**Potential advantages for management of steroid-induced glaucomas**

Steroid-induced glaucoma is an iatrogenic disease process that parallels open-angle glaucoma and may be managed with a ROCK inhibitor. The mechanism behind this aforementioned type of glaucoma is through the noncanonical Wnt pathway that is coupled with ROR2/RhoA/ROCK. Yuan et al determined that administration of dexamethasone activates this pathway, promoting cross-linking of actin networks and causing architectural changes to the cytoskeleton of the TM. This ultimately leads to obstruction in the outflow of aqueous humor and elevation of IOP, which is a salient factor in the pathogenesis of glaucoma. Given netarsudil’s key function of ROCK inhibition, it may be a viable treatment option for steroid-induced glaucomas.

**Potential for netarsudil and latanoprost combination**

There is also potential for a combination agent composed of netarsudil and latanoprost, currently referred to as PG324. In early studies, PG324 0.02% resulted in a favorable mean IOP difference ranging from 1.6 to 3.2 mmHg vs latanoprost and 1.7–3.4 mmHg vs AR-13324. As discussed earlier, mild asymptomatic conjunctival hyperemia was observed with application of PG324 0.01%, PG324 0.02%, latanoprost, and netarsudil groups at an incidence of 41%, 40%, 14%, and 40%, respectively, suggesting that these symptoms do not increase in frequency when using the combination of medications.

**Conclusion**

Netarsudil has a unique mechanism of action via increased trabecular outflow facility as well as decreased episcleral venous pressure and production of aqueous humor, which may allow for application of the medication in patient populations that have traditionally been more difficult to treat. However, though netarsudil has exhibited a significant reduction in IOP regardless of baseline, it has still failed the noninferiority tests when compared to both timolol and latanoprost. Therefore, it is unlikely to become a first-line medication at this time. Netarsudil does have a notable adverse effect of conjunctival hyperemia, although this side effect may potentially be managed through administration once in the evening before bedtime and may fade in intensity with time. Overall, netarsudil may be especially beneficial to patients suffering from normotensive or steroid-induced glaucoma.
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