Vascular effects of rapid-acting insulin analogs in the diabetic patient: a review
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Abstract: The insulin analogs lispro, aspart, and glulisine are the only commercially available rapid-acting insulins to treat diabetes. We review the evidence for treating hyperglycemia, using insulin, and specifically using rapid-acting analogs in diabetic individuals, on the prevention of vascular events. We review the beneficial effects of insulin on the vascular system, which include vasodilation and anti-inflammatory actions. The effects of treating hyperglycemia and intensive blood glucose control on vascular outcomes are reviewed.
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Introduction
The epidemic of diabetes is worsening. The Centers for Disease Control estimates that over 23 million Americans suffer from diabetes, with an incidence of over 1.4 million new cases of diabetes every year.1 As the prevalence of diabetes increases, there is greater concern for the vascular complications that accompany diabetes. Insulin remains the most potent medication available to treat diabetes, and arguably to prevent diabetic complications. Some advocate using insulin much sooner in the course of diabetes.2 On the other hand, others believe that insulin may actually be harmful in obese type 2 diabetic subjects because it increases body fat, which may exacerbate insulin resistance.3,4 This review explores the interplay between insulin and the vascular system with special emphasis on the rapid-acting insulin analogs. Because of the heterogeneity of the literature, this paper will refer to vascular events defined very loosely, including peripheral events, cardiovascular events, cerebrovascular events, and death due to vascular causes.

Is insulin harmful?
The relationship between insulin and vascular events is debatable. One study has associated higher serum insulin levels as an independent predictor of cardiovascular events. The Helsinki Policeman study was a retrospective study of 22-year mortality data of 970 non-diabetic men without coronary artery disease. The authors found an increase in cardiovascular mortality among subjects with higher serum insulin levels.5 A larger study, the Paris Protection Study, followed 7246 non-diabetic men without coronary artery disease for an average of 63 months and concluded that higher fasting plasma insulin levels were an independent risk factor for the development of coronary artery disease.6

Although these studies may suggest that elevated circulating insulin is a direct cause of vascular disease, this does not seem to be true. Subjects with insulin producing neoplasms do not have an increase in clinically overt atherosclerotic disease.7 This suggests that factors other than hyperinsulinemia are responsible for an increased risk of vascular disease. Insulin resistance is often associated with hypertension, lipid abnormalities, and obesity, all of which are thought to contribute much more than
hyperinsulinemia to the development of vascular disease.\textsuperscript{8} In fact, some have proposed that insulin resistance is another symptom associated with the metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease, rather than the root cause of cardiovascular disease. Cardiologists performing a population study on 322 healthy adults have described an “insulin gradient.”\textsuperscript{9} These researchers noted a direct correlation between body weight and blood pressure and insulin levels. The heavier their subjects were, the higher the blood pressure and insulin level. Thus, the higher cardiovascular rates seen with higher insulin levels may be caused by an increase in other risk factors such as hypertension, rather than insulin itself.

Such a relationship is often ignored in articles that claim an association between insulin resistance and cardiovascular events. For example, in the Veteran Affairs-HDL Intervention Trial (VA-HIT), the authors concluded that “the occurrence of a new cardiovascular event was dependent on … the presence or absence of insulin resistance.”\textsuperscript{10} However, it is interesting to note that the group with insulin resistance had an average body mass index of over 31 while those without insulin resistance had an average body mass index of only 27.\textsuperscript{10} Furthermore, there was no reporting of blood pressure values. Although it is possible that higher levels of insulin increased the rate of cardiovascular events in this population, it is equally plausible than other factors such as hypertension were responsible.

This then begs the question of whether hypertension is a byproduct of hyperinsulinemia. Although no unequivocal data to answer this question exist, multiple experiments in dogs have shown this not to be the case. There were no pressor effects noted in normal dogs infused with insulin, or in dogs with a 70% reduction in kidney mass on a high salt diet.\textsuperscript{11} Interestingly, chronic hyperinsulinemia actually caused a reduction in total peripheral vascular resistance as well as arterial pressure.\textsuperscript{11} This decrease in vascular resistance disappeared when the dogs were made obese via a high-fat diet.\textsuperscript{11} This series of experiments suggests that in dogs, hyperinsulinemia does not cause hypertension. Whether or not this translates into humans remains to be seen. However, a cross-sectional relational study found obesity to be an independent risk factor for left ventricular hypertrophy, but not insulin resistance or fasting insulin levels.\textsuperscript{12} These data seem to suggest that obesity and its complications are responsible for cardiovascular risk, not merely high insulin levels.

Although there is a theoretical concern of a mitogenic effect of insulin analogs, this concern appears limited to the long-acting insulin glargine.\textsuperscript{13} Glargine appears to be a more potent stimulus of DNA synthesis in human osteosarcoma cell lines than the native insulin molecule; the rapid-acting analogs appear to be equivalent to regular insulin.\textsuperscript{14} Some have postulated that mitogenic potency is related to the half-life of the receptor–ligand binding complex, which would explain why the rapid-acting analogs do not appear to have as much theoretical mitogenic effect as the long-acting analog glargine.\textsuperscript{15}

### Does intensive diabetes therapy with insulin improve vascular events?

Recently, there have been several large prospective studies examining the relationship between the treatment of hyperglycemia and vascular complications. The first major study to associate a decrease in vascular events with glycemic control was the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS). This prospective observational study included 4585 type 2 diabetic subjects. It concluded that each 1% reduction in mean HbA\textsubscript{1c} was associated with a risk reduction of 21% for deaths related to diabetes, 14% for myocardial infarction, and 37% of microvascular complications.\textsuperscript{16}

These patients were followed for another 10 years without diabetic treatment manipulation by the researchers. Despite the difference in HbA\textsubscript{1c} disappearing after one year, the group intensively treated initially with insulin or sulfonylurea still had a 24% risk reduction for microvascular disease, a 15% in risk reduction for myocardial infarction, and a 13% reduction for death from any cause.\textsuperscript{17} Because patients initially treated with metformin also had risk reductions, the authors of this study concluded that this legacy effect was not the result of insulin, but rather a possible reduction in advanced glycation end products from the initial intensive treatment of hyperglycemia.\textsuperscript{18}

The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) and the subsequent Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) illustrated that intensive insulin therapy in type 1 diabetic patients reduced the major diabetic complications of neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy.\textsuperscript{19} Intensive insulin therapy was associated with less cardiovascular disease as evidenced by decreased intima-media thickness and lower coronary artery calcium accumulation.\textsuperscript{20} Intensive insulin treatment also decreased the risk of any cardiovascular disease by 42% and the risk of non-fatal stroke, myocardial infarction, or cardiovascular death by 57% in type 1 diabetic subjects.\textsuperscript{21}

Intensive insulin treatment was also shown to be beneficial to those with the worst vascular disease. Diabetic patients who suffered an acute myocardial infarction had an absolute reduction in mortality of 11% when treated with intensive insulin therapy.\textsuperscript{22}
Other studies have been less convincing. The recent Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease (ADVANCE) trial was aimed to address the effect of intensive glucose control on vascular outcomes in subjects with type 2 diabetes. The researchers randomly assigned 11,140 type 2 diabetic patients to standard glucose control or intensive glucose control using to a HbA1c of 6.5% or less. Although the first line drug was a sulfonylurea, 40.5% of subjects in the intensive arm and 24.1% of subjects in the standard arm ended up on insulin. After a median of 5 years of follow-up, the researchers found that intensive glucose control led to a reduction in nephropathy (4.1 versus 5.2%), but had no effect on retinopathy, major macrovascular events, or death from cardiovascular causes.

The Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial also attempted to address the relationship between vascular events and glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes. One distinguishing feature of this trial is that all subjects had to have either established cardiovascular disease or additional cardiovascular risk factors. Therefore, it was aimed for secondary instead of primary prevention of vascular disease. 10,251 subjects were randomly assigned to intensive therapy (HbA1c < 6%) or standard therapy (HbA1c 7.0%–7.9%). This portion of the study (a blood pressure arm is still ongoing) was discontinued after a mean of 3.5 years of follow up due to an increase in total mortality (257) in the intensive group as opposed to the standard group (203). Interestingly, the number of events in the primary outcome (non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke, or death from cardiovascular cause) was actually lower in the intensive group (352) as compared to the standard group (371). This calls into debate whether the increase in deaths was due to vascular complications, or if the increase would have panned out had the trial not ended up on insulin. After a median of 5 years of follow-up, the researchers found that intensive glucose control led to a reduction in nephropathy (4.1 versus 5.2%), but had no effect on retinopathy, major macrovascular events, or death from cardiovascular causes.

A third trial, the Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial, also examined the effects of intensive blood glucose control on cardiovascular events. The intensive goal in this trial was defined by a goal HbA1c of < 7.5% and a standard arm goal of HbA1c < 8.3%, while controlling lipids and blood pressure in both arms. This trial was conducted at 20 different VA centers with 1791 patients with a mean follow-up of 6.25 years. In this study, there was no significant reduction in cardiovascular events in the intensive arm.

Thus, the effect of aggressive control of blood glucose on cardiovascular events remains debatable. UKPDS and DCCT/EDIC have shown that tight control in younger patients in recently diagnosed disease was beneficial, whereas ADVANCE, ACCORD, and VADT have shown that in older patients with long-standing disease the benefits of tight glucose control are not as convincing. Diabetes control may very well have different effects on different cohorts.

How might insulin reduce vascular events?

Insulin’s beneficial effects on the vascular system are likely due to actions on the endothelium (see Table 1). Insulin has been shown to be a potent vasodilator by causing an increased production of nitric oxide. Insulin induces the expression of nitric oxide synthetase, which converts arginine to nitric oxide. Separate experiments then illustrated that nitric oxide levels increase in a dose dependent manner in human vein endothelial cells as well as human aortic endothelial cells. These observations were translated in humans when researchers infused insulin into normal subjects’ arteries, and found that blood flow increases in a dose dependent manner with insulin.

However, in type 2 diabetic as well as non-diabetic obese patients, the vasodilatory effect of insulin is blunted. Whether this decrease in vasodilation is due to insulin resistance or if insulin resistance is a byproduct of a decrease in skeletal muscle perfusion and insulin-mediated glucose uptake remains to be seen.

In addition to effects on vascular tone, diabetes also has an inflammatory component, characterized by endothelial dysfunction and elevated adhesion molecules. Insulin helps to counteract this inflammation, by suppressing the expression of adhesion molecules, allowing monocytes to couple with endothelial cells, releasing monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1). This in turn attracts more monocytes which then intermingle with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, forming foam cells. Insulin also reverses the increase in the adhesion molecule e-selectin seen in type 2 diabetic subjects.

Along with suppressing MCP-1 and e-selectin, insulin also suppresses nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB). NF-kB is a central figure in inflammation, responsible for the production of cytokines, enzymes, and adhesion molecules. When human aortic endothelial cells were incubated with insulin, intranuclear NF-kB binding activity and MCP-1
mRNA expression were both suppressed. This decrease in MCP-1 was replicated in vivo in obese subjects, in whom the translocation of NF-kB was inhibited by insulin infusion. Insulin also decreases NADPH oxidase, plasma tissue factor, and matrix metalloproteinase.

Are the effects of insulin a class effect?

It is unclear if the effects of insulin are unique to a certain formulation or are class effects that extend to the rapid-acting insulin analogs. Table 1 summarizes the experimental evidence of the various formulations of short-acting insulin.

Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspart (Novolog®)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of onset 5–15 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak action 40–50 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of action 3–5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference from regular insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced from <em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline replaced with aspartic acid at B28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower postprandial glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects on vascular system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No changes in fasting lipid profile, apolipoproteins, fibrinogen, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, E-selectin, or homocysteine when compared to regular insulin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Time values assume subcutaneous bolus injection.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of rapid-acting insulin analogs with regular insulin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of onset 30–60 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak action 50–120 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of action 5–8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects on vascular system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved outcomes in diabetic subjects with decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intima-media thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cardiovascular risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk of non-fatal stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk of myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk of cardiovascular death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mortality post-acute myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasodilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nitric oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adhesion molecules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• E-selectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MCP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NF-kB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NADPH oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plasma tissue factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Matrix metalloproteinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• C-reactive protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Serum amyloid A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lispro (Humalog®)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of onset 5–15 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak action 30–90 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of action 3–5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference from regular insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced from <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine and proline at positions 28 and 29 transposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower postprandial glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects on vascular system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved postprandial blood flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glulisine (Apidra®)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of onset 5–15 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak action 34–91 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of action 55–149 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference from regular insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced from <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparaginase at B3 replaced with lysine and lysine at B29 replaced with glutamic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower postprandial glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects on vascular system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved blood flow postprandially</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
There are some data to show that lispro is beneficial to the vascular system. Specifically, lispro improves microvascular blood flow in postprandial type 1 diabetic patients. In this study, 20 non-diabetic patients and 20 diabetic patients had their skin microvascular blood flow measured by laser Doppler flux every 30 minutes after a standardized test meal. The researchers found that microvascular blood flow was impaired in type 1 diabetic patients given regular insulin, but when given insulin lispro, the microvascular blood flow improved to mimic the non-diabetic subject. This is thought to be due to the treatment of acute hyperglycemia, which stimulates the production of free radicals and augments thrombin formation.44 Hyperglycemia is also thought to create an increase in adhesion molecules and endothelin, while decreasing levels of nitric oxide.45 Because lispro has a faster onset of action than regular insulin, it reduces these postprandial excursions better, and is more likely to counteract the increase in adhesion molecules and decrease in nitric oxide.46

Similar to lispro, glulisine (Apidra®, Sanofi-Aventis) has also been shown to have beneficial effects on surro-gate vascular markers. Glulisine is a rapid-acting insulin homologous with regular human insulin save for the amino acid asparagine at position B3 replaced with lysine and the homologous with regular human insulin save for the amino

There are some data to show that lispro is beneficial to the vascular system. Specifically, lispro improves microvascular blood flow in postprandial type 1 diabetic patients.44 In this study, 20 non-diabetic patients and 20 diabetic patients had their skin microvascular blood flow measured by laser Doppler flux every 30 minutes after a standardized test meal. The researchers found that microvascular blood flow was impaired in type 1 diabetic patients given regular insulin, but when given insulin lispro, the microvascular blood flow improved to mimic the non-diabetic subject. This is thought to be due to the treatment of acute hyperglycemia, which stimulates the production of free radicals and augments thrombin formation.44 Hyperglycemia is also thought to create an increase in adhesion molecules and endothelin, while decreasing levels of nitric oxide.45 Because lispro has a faster onset of action than regular insulin, it reduces these postprandial excursions better, and is more likely to counteract the increase in adhesion molecules and decrease in nitric oxide.46

Similar to lispro, glulisine (Apidra®, Sanofi-Aventis) has also been shown to have beneficial effects on surro-gate vascular markers. Glulisine is a rapid-acting insulin homologous with regular human insulin save for the amino acid asparagine at position B3 replaced with lysine and the lysine at position B29 substituted with glutamic acid.47 This analog is produced by recombinant DNA using Escherichia coli, and it is employed to lower blood glucose in a similar fashion as lispro. In a microvascular blood flow study similar to the one described above using lispro and regular insulin, glulisine was compared to regular insulin in 15 type 2 diabetic subjects after a liquid meal challenge. Serial laser Doppler fluxometry was then performed. Like the results in the lispro study, glulisine-treated subjects had higher postprandial insulin levels, lower glucose excursions, and higher microvascular blood flow.48 Again, without any head to head comparison studies, it is impossible to say that the short-acting insulin analogs are equal. Yet, the fact that both glulisine and lispro had similar effects in a similarly designed study suggests a class effect benefit from treating postprandial glucose excursions.

Although a similar study using laser fluxometry has not been published using aspart (NovoLog®, Novo Nordisk Inc.), there have been other studies examining the effect of aspart on vascular risk factors. Aspart is similar in composition to regular human insulin, save for the substitution of the amino acid proline with aspartic acid in position B28.49 It is produced using recombinant DNA technology using Saccharomyces cerevisiae (baker’s yeast) and is used to treat hyperglycemia in a similar fashion as lispro and glulisine. Despite the similar function and onset of action, the data on aspart’s effects on the vascular system are not as clear as with the other rapid-acting analogs. Twenty-one patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes were given neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin and pre-prandial regular insulin or aspart for 6 weeks.50 At the end of the study, although there was no statistical difference in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), the aspart group had a significantly lower postprandial (90 minutes after a meal) average glucose (7.9 mmol/L versus 9.3 mmol/L).50 Despite this decrease in glucose excursions, there were no statistical differences in markers of vascular risk (fasting lipid profile, apolipoproteins, fibrinogen, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, E-selectin, or homocysteine). However, this study has several limitations to preclude the conclusion that treating postprandial glucose excursions has no effect on vascular risk. This study’s conclusion may reflect a lack of power, brief study duration, or even a difference between the effects of distinct insulin analogs on vascular risk.

**Does treatment of postprandial glucose help?**

The rapid-acting insulins’ superiority lies in their ability to treat postprandial glucose and excursions. Is such an ability clinically relevant? Fortunately, several studies highlight how postprandial excursions and high glucose variability affect the vascular system. One such study involved type 1 diabetic patients who underwent myocardial perfusion studies while either on a euglycemic or hyperglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp. The myocardial perfusion reserve was significantly decreased when subjects were hyperglycemic.51

Just as chronic diabetes is associated with inflammation, acute hyperglycemia has also been shown to cause an increase in proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1 beta, and IL-8, which leads to vascular inflammation.52 This increase in cytokines was counteracted by insulin infusion and a return to normoglycemia.52

By mitigating hyperglycemia with a faster onset of action than regular insulin, some have argued that rapid-acting insulin may have favorable cardiovascular effects.53,54 One argument is that using premixed lispro combinations lower postprandial glucose better than regular insulin combinations, and therefore may reduce CVD risk.54 When lispro was added to bedtime NPH insulin and compared to twice-daily NPH in type 2 diabetic subjects, the lispro-treated group experienced lower postprandial glucose, lower HbA1c, lower triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), and higher high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL).55 The authors surmised that this favorable milieu might have
a positive cardiovascular effect. In addition, a recent study
was performed comparing the long-acting insulin detemir
(Levemir®, Novo Nordisk Inc.) to the rapid-acting aspart. It
found that patients using a prandial bolus of insulin aspart had a
lower HbA_{1c} than those treated with basal detemir. These
two studies would suggest that the postprandial excursions
can drive overall blood glucose control, and that by treating
this variability, vascular events could be mitigated. Reduc-
ing glycemic variability could also lessen glycemic events
due to fewer episodes of hypoglycemia. A recent study of
100 type 1 diabetic patients followed for 11 years found a
strong correlation between glycemic variability and hypo-
glycemic unawareness. It is possible that using insulin analogs
could reduce glycemic variability and hypoglycemic epis-
isodes, whereby overall glucose control and vascular events
are improved. However, this theory can only be answered
with a prospective long-term study with an extremely large
sample size using rapid-acting insulin.

On the other hand, specifically targeting postprandial
glucose may not have a clinically significant vascular effect.
The hyperglycemia and its effect after acute myocardial
infarction on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with
type 2 diabetes mellitus (HEART2D) attempted to random-
ize 1355 subjects with type 2 diabetes and acute myocardial
infarction to either pre-meal insulin lispro with basal NPH
insulin, (with a target 2-hour postprandial blood glucose
of <135 mg/dL) or basal insulin only (NPH insulin twice
daily, insulin glargine once daily, or pre-mixed human insulin
[70% NPH/30% regular] twice daily) targeting fasting. Although both groups ended up with similar HbA_{1c}
values (7.6%), and the lispro-treated group had statistically fewer
postprandial excursions, there was no significant difference in
cardiovascular events between the two groups and the study
was stopped for “futility.” These data would suggest that
glycemic variability does not increase cardiovascular events,
although the sample size of the study was relatively small
and it experienced a high drop out rate.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the UKPDS has shown that treating diabetes
to a goal HbA_{1c} <7% will decrease some vascular events.
Intensively treating diabetes to <6% is debatable and dif-
ficult given present treatment modalities. Individualized
goals should be designed between physician and patient. In
meeting these goals, insulin has been shown to be beneficial
by not only by treating hyperglycemia, but also by provid-
ing vasodilatory and anti-inflammatory effects. Although
not proven, these effects likely extend to the rapid-acting
analog. With its faster onset of action and lower postprandial
glucose excursions, rapid-acting insulin appears superior to
regular insulin, and could possibly reduce vascular events
further.
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