A multivariate investigation into the relationship between pharmaceutical characteristics and patient preferences of bioequivalent ibuprofen tablets
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Background: In Spain the price of all ibuprofen 600 mg tablet generic products is the same due to reimbursement existing rules so for the patient there is not any economic incentive to choose a particular one. Bearing in mind that the quality of generic products should be similar, it could be questioned if differences in patient preferences evaluated as sales could be related to differences on their pharmaceutical properties. The aims of this work were to study the variability on the pharmaceutical characteristics of marketed bioequivalent tablet formulations and its impact on patient preferences.

Methods: Thirty-six batches corresponding to fourteen different generic products were chosen among the best-selling products of the Spanish market in the years 2011 and 2015 and were compared to the reference product. The effect on patient preferences of six variables was studied through a multivariate analysis. The first two variables were marketing characteristics: 1) years in the market and 2) the number of other generic products marketed by the same manufacturer, which could be related to the size and service provided by the manufacturer. The other four variables studied were pharmaceutical tablet properties: 3) mean weight, 4) hardness, 5) disintegration, and 6) dissolution. A multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify the effect on sales of the six variables studied.

Results: The disintegration time was the most significant \((P=0.018)\) factor affecting the sales of ibuprofen tablets which may be related to the onset of action.

Conclusion: The faster the tablet disintegration, the higher its sales. Two possible explanations are suggested: 1) the most specialized ibuprofen tablet manufacturer considers fast disintegration as a key parameter and/or 2) habitual consumers of ibuprofen can detect small differences on the onset of action among different marketed formulations. In this work, all marketed ibuprofen tablets comply with the pharmacopoeia specifications.
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Introduction

A medicinal product is approved by regulatory authorities such as European Medicines Agency (EMA) or Food and Drug Administration (FDA) when it complies the required in vitro and in vivo assays. Generic medicinal products were defined by EMA as “a product which has the same qualitative and quantitative composition in active substances and the same pharmaceutical form as the reference medicinal product, and whose bioequivalence with the reference medicinal product has been demonstrated by appropriate bioavailability studies”.\(^1\) In Spain, the reference product for ibuprofen 600 mg tablet is Neobrufen\(^6\) 600 mg tablet, commercialized by Abbott. All marketed generic tablets of ibuprofen 600 mg have demonstrated its bioequivalence with this reference product.
This bioequivalence has to be proven based on three pharmacokinetic parameters: area under drug concentration vs time curve, maximum drug concentration obtained (C$_{\text{max}}$) and time after administration when the C$_{\text{max}}$ is reached (T$_{\text{max}}$). Amongst these parameters, T$_{\text{max}}$ is the only one directly related to the absorption rate and onset of action. T$_{\text{max}}$ is the pharmacokinetic parameter with the highest in vivo variability, and a statistical evaluation of T$_{\text{max}}$ is generally not required for bioequivalence acceptance. This general rule is not applicable for ibuprofen formulations because the absorption rate is clinically relevant and important for its onset of action. For this reason, T$_{\text{max}}$ has to be also evaluated in the bioequivalence studies for ibuprofen, although bioequivalence has been reported in formulations with significant T$_{\text{max}}$ values differences. In particular, in Spain the median T$_{\text{max}}$ should not differ by more than 30 minutes with that of Neobrufen®, as it is expected to observe T$_{\text{max}}$ in the same or adjacent sampling times. In addition, chiral bioanalytical methods have been recently required to prove bioequivalence of the active S-enantiomer of ibuprofen, but the first ibuprofen generics were authorized with achiral methods. Based on these bioequivalence requirements, significant differences in the onset of action should not be expected among the different ibuprofen generics in the Spanish market. However, some small changes on formulations of marketed products can be sometimes approved by authorities based on simple in vitro assays (eg, in vitro dissolution tests) and without new bioequivalence studies.

Due to its low solubility, ibuprofen has been classified as a class II drug in the Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS). Moreover, Potthast et al proposed ibuprofen as a candidate for BCS bio waivers because it is highly permeable, ie, it is rapidly absorbed as soon as it starts dissolving, which allows the subsequent dissolution of more drug, although its solubility is low at acid pH. Theoretically, if dissolution of ibuprofen is the limiting stage for absorption, in vitro comparative dissolution tests should be able to predict its absorption. The problem is that conventional in vitro dissolution tests at pH 1.2, 4.5 and 6.8, at 50 or 75 rpm, have proven not to be good predictors of the in vivo C$_{\text{max}}$ and/or rate of absorption of ibuprofen.

In Spain, the standard dose for ibuprofen is 600 mg. Due to the high proportion of the active ingredient, the dilution effect from direct compression excipients is limited, being the drug more affected by process parameters. Due to ibuprofen’s low melting point, the drug can melt during compression resulting in stickiness of the melt ibuprofen to the punches. To avoid this, lubricant agents are frequently added, resulting in an increase of the formulation hydrophobicity. Moreover, the mixture of lubricants with ibuprofen can lead to the formation of eutectic mixtures with even lower melting points. Other point to consider is that according to the USP Pharmacopeia the standard dissolution medium used routinely for quality control and batch release of ibuprofen tablets has a pH of 7.2. This pH is perfect for the dissolution of an acid drug such as ibuprofen, but its ability to predict in vivo oral behavior of ibuprofen is doubtful. In fact, the FDA Guidance for In Vitro – In Vivo Correlation (IVIVC) studies recommends not to use media with a pH more than 6.8.

Generics are by definition similar to the reference product, but not the same. Therefore, the small differences that may exist between generics and innovator and also among the different generic products might be detected clinically, eg, the onset of action.

The objective of this work is to investigate the effect on patient preferences evaluated as sales of different marketing characteristics and physicochemical pharmaceutical variables related to the quality of the tablets. The marketing characteristics are as follows: 1) marketing age and 2) number of other generic products provided by the same manufacturer. The pharmaceutical variables are as follows: 1) mean tablet weight, 2) hardness of the tablet, 3) disintegration time and 4) percentage of ibuprofen dissolved after 5 minutes (Q$_1$).

**Material and methods**

**Marketed products**

The following ibuprofen 600 mg film-coated tablet formulations were purchased from Spanish pharmacies: Neobrufen®, Kern Pharma, Cinfá, Teva, Pensa, Normon, Ratiopharm, Mylan, Stada, Alter, Bexal, Sandoz, Aristo, Zentiva, Almus and Apotex. These formulations were codified as A for the reference product and from B to N for the other generic formulations. Details of the batches evaluated and their expiration dates are reported in Tables 1 and 2 corresponding to 2011 and 2015, respectively. The addition of an apostrophe after the letter was used to differentiate formulations from 2015 to 2011. All experimental work was performed before expiration dates of the tablets.

**Sales**

Sales data expressed as number of packages of 40 tablets sold of the different formulations of 600 mg film-coated tablets marketed in Spain were taken from IMS Health Inc in 2011 and 2015.

**Marketing age (years)**

The marketing age of each formulation was evaluated and expressed as the number of years passed after the approval of the formulation by the Spanish Agency for Medicines and
Table 1  Characteristics of the ibuprofen film-coated tablets marketed in 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sales (%)</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Expiration date</th>
<th>Weight* (mg)</th>
<th>Hardness* (N)</th>
<th>Dis* (min)</th>
<th>Q* (%)</th>
<th>MA* (years)</th>
<th>Other generics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>0431PC</td>
<td>03/2014</td>
<td>792.3 (5.7)</td>
<td>130.4 (120.2–145.4)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>61.8 (8.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>3E091</td>
<td>11/2014</td>
<td>969.7 (7.8)</td>
<td>162.4 (144.4–182.4)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>72.2 (8.1)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>JA4821I</td>
<td>12/2013</td>
<td>839.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>185.3 (178.0–192.4)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>42.7 (11.0)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>E071</td>
<td>06/2016</td>
<td>801 (3.9)</td>
<td>200.6 (190.1–205.5)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>13.7 (63.5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>1006922</td>
<td>03/2015</td>
<td>783.2 (5.5)</td>
<td>178.3 (167.3–191.4)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>40.1 (16.9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>E128</td>
<td>10/2013</td>
<td>1,131.7 (11.7)</td>
<td>138.3 (116.2–161.5)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>66.8 (8.3)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>E075</td>
<td>07/2013</td>
<td>799.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>197.9 (190.1–205.5)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>15.7 (58.1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>E160</td>
<td>10/2013</td>
<td>1,101.6 (13.8)</td>
<td>186.9 (177.0–202.8)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>29.8 (10.6)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>H303</td>
<td>06/2015</td>
<td>1,117.9 (10.1)</td>
<td>156.1 (140.7–163.9)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>34.1 (4.1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>G547A</td>
<td>11/2014</td>
<td>1,115.8 (7.8)</td>
<td>201.4 (190.4–204.5)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25.6 (16.9)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>DK2891</td>
<td>04/2015</td>
<td>733.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>143.3 (136.7–147.4)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>70.5 (13.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>11158</td>
<td>12/2012</td>
<td>791.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>140.1 (132.7–146.8)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>68.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Values in parenthesis are SD. **Mean value (minimum and maximum forces). Disintegration time. *Values in parenthesis are the coefficients of variation. Marketing age; time in years after approval.

Number of other generics formulations commercialized by the same company

This number was obtained from the Catálogo de Medicamentos in 2011 and 2015.14,15

Table 2  Characteristics of the ibuprofen film-coated tablets marketed in 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sales (%)</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Expiration date</th>
<th>Weight* (mg)</th>
<th>Hardness* (N)</th>
<th>Dis* (min)</th>
<th>Q* (%)</th>
<th>MA* (years)</th>
<th>Other generics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>65899PC</td>
<td>04/2019</td>
<td>787.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>159.8 (141.4–183.7)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>34.9 (10.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B'</td>
<td>17.65</td>
<td>6015</td>
<td>02/2019</td>
<td>960.7 (11.5)</td>
<td>160.9 (146.8–176.3)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>57.1 (12.0)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>IBPA1559</td>
<td>10/2018</td>
<td>822.3 (10.1)</td>
<td>129.7 (91.0–155.8)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>56.8 (18.2)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K'</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>08076GI</td>
<td>10/2018</td>
<td>776.3 (3.1)</td>
<td>132.6 (121.9–150.8)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>33.6 (23.6)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F'</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>L0M X 1</td>
<td>02/2018</td>
<td>870.0 (5.9)</td>
<td>199.1 (180.0–214.3)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>37.2 (18.2)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>I017813</td>
<td>05/2017</td>
<td>779.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>155.2 (130.0–174.0)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>48.6 (20.0)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L'</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>FX8442</td>
<td>12/2017</td>
<td>774.1 (3.0)</td>
<td>142.1 (134.3–149.8)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>28.0 (19.2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D'</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>K007</td>
<td>11/2019</td>
<td>783.4 (5.8)</td>
<td>165.2 (146.8–188.7)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>46.0 (17.7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E'</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>K0301</td>
<td>01/2019</td>
<td>980.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>222.3 (185.4–250.2)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>92.9 (39.6)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H'</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>I019145</td>
<td>06/2017</td>
<td>785.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>162.4 (150.8–181.5)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>35.0 (19.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J'</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>K327</td>
<td>05/2018</td>
<td>1,110.4 (8.4)</td>
<td>162.7 (151.1–172.0)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>51.8 (6.9)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G'</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>I017158</td>
<td>03/2020</td>
<td>774.4 (4.1)</td>
<td>168.1 (154.8–176.3)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30.4 (31.3)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M'</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>020090</td>
<td>09/2017</td>
<td>774.7 (6.5)</td>
<td>163.1 (150.8–181.0)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>42.2 (21.9)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N'</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>S0N37A</td>
<td>09/2018</td>
<td>763.1 (9.5)</td>
<td>110.0 (89.0–125.3)</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>31.7 (12.3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Values in parenthesis are SD. **Mean value (minimum and maximum forces). Disintegration time. *Values in parenthesis are the coefficients of variation. Marketing age; time in years after approval.

Health Care Products. These data were obtained from the public database CIMA.13

Mean weight
Six tablets of each batch were individually weighted.

Hardness
Resistance to crushing of tablets was tested according to European Pharmacopeia using a Pharma Test PTB 311 (Germany). At least ten tablets from each batch were...
individually evaluated and the mean, minimum and maximum values of the forces in Newtons (N) were reported.

**Disintegration**

Disintegration of the tablets was tested according to test A of the European Pharmacopoeia using a Pharma Test PTZ-1 (Germany). The disintegration was studied in groups of six tablets using purified water at 37°C ± 1°C. Standard discs were placed above the tablets in the tubes, and the time (in minutes) to disintegrate the last tablet was recorded as group’s disintegration time.

**Dissolution**

Dissolution studies were performed with apparatus II (paddle) according to the USP specifications for ibuprofen tablets. The dissolution characteristics of at least 12 tablets of each batch were studied at pH 7.2 in phosphate buffer at 37°C ± 0.5°C. The dissolution tests were performed in an Erweka DT80 (Heusenstamm, Germany) at a rotating speed of 50 rpm. Samples (5 mL) were withdrawn at different sampling times (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45 and 60 minutes) without reposition. Samples were filtered immediately after sampling with a 0.45 µm filter (hydrophilic PVDF Millex-HV, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Ibuprofen was measured by UV spectrophotometry at 266 nm in a Beckman Coulter Du-6 spectrophotometer (Brea, CA, USA). The initial release rate was estimated as the ibuprofen (% of theoretical dose) released during the first 5 minutes (Q₁). This parameter was used to compare differences on the release between the formulations.

**Statistical data analysis**

The statistical data analysis was performed using The Unscrambler® X software (CAMO Software, Oslo, Norway). Seven variables (sales, disintegration time, percentage of dissolution at 5 minutes, tablet weight, hardness, marketing age of the products, number of other ibuprofen generics marketed by the same manufacturer and the pharmaceutical properties of the different ibuprofen products in 2011 and 2015. In vitro differences among the marketed formulations are clearly observed in Tables 1 and 2. All the tablets are white and they have an oblong shape. Figures 1 and 2 show the mean and SD of dissolution results of the products in 2011 and 2015, respectively. According to USP, the specification of dissolution for ibuprofen tablets implies that more than 80% of the dose is released at 60 minutes. All tested formulations complied with the USP specifications. Actually, more than 80% of the ibuprofen was already dissolved after 15 minutes in all studied formulations with only one exception. Figures 1B and 2B clearly show that the differences in drug release occur mainly during those first 15 minutes of the test.

Statistical analysis was performed to understand the relationship among the different variables in 2011 and 2015. The 3D scatter plot showed a clear correlation among sales, disintegration time and Q₅ in both years (Figure 3A). This information was also obtained from the results of PCA analysis (Figure 3B and C). Principal component 1 (PC1) explained 87% of the variability among the different ibuprofen products, while PC2 explained 8%. From the correlation loading graph, it can be inferred that disintegration time and dissolution (Q₅) are opposite along the PC1, indicating that lower disintegration time is related to higher dissolution values. Also, a correlation between disintegration and dissolution vs sales was clearly observed. On the other hand, other parameters related to marketing such as marketing age and number of other ibuprofen generics from the same company in the market did not show a strong correlation with number of sales.

MLR analysis was used to assess the impact of each variable on sales and Q₅ (Figure 4). Interestingly, only the disintegration variable was found to be statistically significant (P=0.018) for sales. The faster the disintegration time, the higher the sales. Other factors did not show statistical significance (P>0.1) with number of sales.

**Discussion**

In 2010, in Spain 69% of the drugs were financially covered by the government and ibuprofen was the fourth more prescribed drug. Ibuprofen 600 mg was the most commonly used self-administered analgesic, even though the 400 mg dose is preferable to avoid side effects.

In a previous work reported by Halme et al, approximately half of the consumers were strongly price sensitive when buying one particular over-the-counter analgesic medicine than other. However, the price of the innovator and all generic ibuprofen tablet formulations is the same in the

**Tables 1 and 2**

The results of Tables 1 and 2 summarize the sales, marketing age of the products, number of other ibuprofen generics marketed by the same manufacturer and the pharmaceutical properties of the different ibuprofen products in 2011 and 2015. In vitro differences among the marketed formulations are clearly observed in Tables 1 and 2. All the tablets are white and they have an oblong shape. Figures 1 and 2 show the mean and SD of dissolution results of the products in 2011 and 2015, respectively. According to USP, the specification of dissolution for ibuprofen tablets implies that more than 80% of the dose is released at 60 minutes. All tested formulations complied with the USP specifications. Actually, more than 80% of the ibuprofen was already dissolved after 15 minutes in all studied formulations with only one exception. Figures 1B and 2B clearly show that the differences in drug release occur mainly during those first 15 minutes of the test.

Statistical analysis was performed to understand the relationship among the different variables in 2011 and 2015. The 3D scatter plot showed a clear correlation among sales, disintegration time and Q₅ in both years (Figure 3A). This information was also obtained from the results of PCA analysis (Figure 3B and C). Principal component 1 (PC1) explained 87% of the variability among the different ibuprofen products, while PC2 explained 8%. From the correlation loading graph, it can be inferred that disintegration time and dissolution (Q₅) are opposite along the PC1, indicating that lower disintegration time is related to higher dissolution values. Also, a correlation between disintegration and dissolution vs sales was clearly observed. On the other hand, other parameters related to marketing such as marketing age and number of other ibuprofen generics from the same company in the market did not show a strong correlation with number of sales.

MLR analysis was used to assess the impact of each variable on sales and Q₅ (Figure 4). Interestingly, only the disintegration variable was found to be statistically significant (P=0.018) for sales. The faster the disintegration time, the higher the sales. Other factors did not show statistical significance (P>0.1) with number of sales.

**Discussion**

In 2010, in Spain 69% of the drugs were financially covered by the government and ibuprofen was the fourth more prescribed drug. Ibuprofen 600 mg was the most commonly used self-administered analgesic, even though the 400 mg dose is preferable to avoid side effects.

In a previous work reported by Halme et al, approximately half of the consumers were strongly price sensitive when buying one particular over-the-counter analgesic medicine than other. However, the price of the innovator and all generic ibuprofen tablet formulations is the same in the
Spanish market. Therefore, ibuprofen sales are not affected by price in Spain. One factor to bear in mind is that pharmacists may sell one brand or another based on promotions and offers from the pharmaceutical companies. Nevertheless, the price of each 40-tablet package is only 1.97 euros. It is reasonable to assume that with such a low price, the marketing promotion and incentives are quite restricted because of the reduced profit left to the pharmaceutical companies. This implies that

**Figure 1** Percentage released of ibuprofen (mean and SD) for 60 minutes (A) and the first 15 minutes (B) from 12 marketed formulations in 2011.
Figure 2 Percentage released of ibuprofen (mean and SD) for 60 minutes (A) and the first 15 minutes (B) from 14 marketed formulations in 2015.

Factors different from marketing promotion might be related to the percentage of market share. Interestingly, the innovator is located at the third position in the sales list in both 2011 and 2015. Considering the ratio between the consumption of generic and the reference 600 mg ibuprofen tablet as an indicator of the quality of the healthcare system, the Spanish market is a mature system\(^{20}\) and data of ibuprofen sales are in accordance with the increased popularity of generics in Spain as reported by Costa-Font et al.\(^{21}\)

Ibuprofen tablets with the highest percentage of sales in Spain were included in this work (IMS, 2011 and 2015); 97% of the overall sales relates to 12 products in 2011 and 91% with 14 products in 2015 indicating a diversification of the generic market. Moreover, these data and the general
Patient preference of bioequivalent ibuprofen tablets

Figure 3 Multivariate analysis of marketing data and pharmaceutical properties from ibuprofen film-coated tablets formulations in 2011 and 2015.
Notes: Raw data included in the analysis are illustrated in Tables 1 and 2. (A) 3D scatter plot comparing sales, disintegration and Q5 from all products of ibuprofen 600 mg film-coated tablets; (B) explained variance after performing principal component analysis (PCA) of the following seven variables: sales, disintegration, Q5, hardness, tablet weight, marketing age (MA in years) and number of other generic products of the same company reported in 2011 and 2015; (C) correlation loading plot obtained after PCA.

Figure 4 Multiple linear regression (MLR) of marketing data and pharmaceutical properties of products of ibuprofen 600 mg film-coated tablets in 2011 and 2015.
Notes: (A) Regression (t-values) of sales prediction; (B) reference vs predicted sales values; (C) regression (t-values) of Q5 prediction; (D) reference vs predicted Q5 values.
decrease of percentage of sales of the same companies marketing both in 2011 and 2015 illustrate how tough is the business competition for this product.

In 2011, 22.18 million packages of ibuprofen 40 tablets were sold in Spain, while in 2015 the sales were 20.86 million packages. Related to the changes in the marketing pattern between 2011 and 2015, four products changed its composition, another one decreased its sales and three new products were incorporated in the top list in sales. Therefore, half of the most sold products in 2015 were different from those in 2011. These data illustrates the dynamic current market. It is important to note that some of the studied products were licensed from an initial same product. So, one point to consider is how different are the studied products. In this work, we have taken them into account as different products because, although they have the same initial quantitative composition, small differences in type of excipient, raw material or manufacturing conditions may have an impact on the pharmaceutical characteristics. Moreover, if they are sold by different companies, the marketing strategies could be different. The qualitative composition of the products was obtained through the publicly online available data listed as technical data from CIMA. Some of the excipients employed in the manufacturing process can have a key role in the in vivo behavior of the product. For instance, the innovator product is the only one that contains sodium lauryl sulfate in its composition.

Differences in product composition can be related to the differences in pharmaceutical properties. Interestingly, the statistical study shows that disintegration is the most important factor related to the sales. One possible explanation about the relevance of disintegration can be attributed to its relationship with in vivo absorption and onset of action. Important differences in the onset of analgesia have been previously reported for different ibuprofen products. The analgesic effect of ibuprofen products has been applied recently to study the impact of brand and generic labeling and the perception of efficacy of ibuprofen generic medicines. Nevertheless, for a BCS class II drug whose absorption is limited by its aqueous solubility, the dissolution variable (Q5) should be also related to the sales even better than disintegration. However, the P-value was far from being significant (P=0.59), which is not surprising as it is known that these dissolution tests conditions of ibuprofen products are not able to predict their in vivo performance. When MLR was applied to study the effect on Q5 of the six variables, an inverse significantly correlation was observed between Q5 and disintegration time (P=0.0006) and hardness (P=0.013). The lower the tablet hardness and the lower the disintegration time, the higher the Q5. MLR model for Q5 exhibited better predictive characteristics (R2=0.73) than sales (R2=0.45).

The utility to predict pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic in vivo characteristics based on in vitro data such as dissolution and disintegration tests are limited. Nevertheless, both disintegration time and Q5 dissolution in vitro parameters are interesting tools for the development of new ibuprofen products. Although since the work of Levy and Hollister disintegration test is not generally considered an appropriate tool for IVIVC studies, it is still a fast quality control tool for immediate-release solid dosage forms especially during tableting process control. Moreover, there is scientific justification for using disintegration testing instead of dissolution testing for some immediate release formulations made from highly water soluble drug substances. Nevertheless, dissolution data on physiological conditions are required for IVIVC studies. The search for a new dissolution test able to predict the in vivo absorption of a low soluble drug such as ibuprofen is a remarkable issue of research. Important new approaches to find biorelevant conditions for the dissolution test of ibuprofen have been published based on more physiology media than the one actually recommended in the USP Pharmacopeia.

Conclusion

For ibuprofen 600 mg tablets with the same price, disintegration time among other factors affects the percentage of sales or market share. Even though all studied ibuprofen products complied with the USP Pharmacopeia specifications, those products with faster disintegration times were correlated to significantly higher sales.
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