Nanoparticles in tissue engineering: applications, challenges and prospects

Abstract: Tissue engineering (TE) is an interdisciplinary field integrating engineering, material science and medical biology that aims to develop biological substitutes to repair, replace, retain, or enhance tissue and organ-level functions. Current TE methods face obstacles including a lack of appropriate biomaterials, ineffective cell growth and a lack of techniques for capturing appropriate physiological architectures as well as unstable and insufficient production of growth factors to stimulate cell communication and proper response. In addition, the inability to control cellular functions and their various properties (biological, mechanical, electrochemical and others) and issues of biomolecular detection and biosensors, all add to the current limitations in this field. Nanoparticles are at the forefront of nanotechnology and their distinctive size-dependent properties have shown promise in overcoming many of the obstacles faced by TE today. Despite tremendous progress in the use of nanoparticles over the last 2 decades, the full potential of the applications of nanoparticles in solving TE problems has yet to be realized. This review presents an overview of the diverse applications of various types of nanoparticles in TE applications and challenges that need to be overcome for nanotechnology to reach its full potential.
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Introduction

Tissue engineering (TE) is the study of the growth of new tissues and organs, starting from a base of cells and scaffolds. The scaffolds are used as three-dimensional (3D) structures in which cells grow, proliferate and differentiate into various cell types. Growth factors are introduced into the scaffolds to direct cell behavior toward any desired process where the eventual goal is to produce fully functional organs or tissues capable of growth and regeneration and suitable for implantation. Despite such promises, TE faces numerous limitations; transcribing these ideas into reality seems like an uphill task. The inability of engineered materials to mimic the natural properties of tissues is one of the roadblocks. Nanotechnology through customized nanoparticle engineering has the potential to solve this challenge.

Nanoparticles are characterized by their nanoscale dimension, enabling them to develop critical physical and chemical characteristics that enhance their performance and therefore make them beneficial for a wide range of applications. In the biomedical field, nanoparticles have been used for controlled drug delivery, imaging of specific sites, probing of DNA structures, biomolecular sensing, gene delivery, photothermal ablation of cells and, most recently, TE. Additionally, many therapies utilize nanoparticles for the treatment of cancer, diabetes, allergy, infection and inflammation.
Very recently, nanoparticles have been used in TE in order to obtain improved mechanical and biological performances. The surface conjugation and conducting properties of gold nanoparticles (GNPs), the antimicrobial properties of silver and other metallic nanoparticles and metal oxides, the fluorescence properties of quantum dots and the unique electromechanical properties of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have made them very useful in numerous TE applications. In addition, magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) have been applied in the study of cell mechanotransduction, gene delivery, controlling cell patterning and construction of complex 3D tissues.

The advantage of nanoparticles in TE stems from their small size and their associated large surface to volume ratio, which is comparable to peptides and small proteins. They can easily diffuse across membranes and facilitate uptake by cells. Moreover, one is not limited by a predetermined size for nanoparticles, since they can be made in customized sizes and surface characteristics in order to suit any purpose. Nanoparticles also mimic the natural nanometer size scale of extracellular matrix (ECM) components of tissues themselves.

In one sense, nanoscale structures can be considered as an integral part of our body where the components of organs and tissues such as ECM and cells comprise various atoms, molecules, nanostructures, microstructures and macroscale structures hierarchically.

On the other hand, there are numerous external nanoparticles that enter and exit our body frequently through the inhaled air or oral and topical routes, which depending on the toxicity or nature of the particle may or may not be harmful to the body. A third source of nanoparticles in our body could be the release or secretion of elements from biomedical prosthetics and implants transplanted in our body. As reported in several studies, metal nanoparticles, namely, Ag, Cr, Fe, Mo, Ni, Ta, Cr, Co, Sb and Sc, were formed both in tissues adjacent to the implants and distant to the implantation sites after implementation of bioprosthetics. As reported in several studies, nanoscale metallic elements was found in the brain and lungs of patients with hip arthroplasty, while hip arthroplasty patients were found to exhibit high concentrations of Cr, Co and Mo ions in their hair as well. These three types of nanoparticles mentioned earlier are beyond the scope of this review.

In this review, we present an overview of the diverse applications of various types of nanoparticles in TE applications. This review addresses the applications of magnetic, metallic, ceramic and metal oxide nanoparticles in TE. They are advantageous, as they tend to be biocompatible and have low immunogenicity. The specific intrinsic properties of each material may hold the answer to overcoming the current hurdles in TE, where the use of materials engineering has not been exploited to its full potential. The insights provided in this review will be beneficial for material scientists and tissue engineers working with nanoparticles, allowing them to navigate through applications that best fit their need.

Brief background of nanoparticles

Nanoparticles are entities of any shape with a size range of 1–100 nm in any one dimension. Such a unique size gives these particles the properties of both bulk materials and molecular structures. As such, nanoparticles are viewed as the “bridge” between the macroscopic and microscopic structures. Their small size gives them one of their most attractive intrinsic properties: a high surface to volume ratio. Nanoparticles are highly mobile when they are in a free state, causing them to have tremendously slow sedimentation rates (Figure 1). In addition, they are characterized by a wide range of compositions ranging from soft to hard materials depending on their use and may exhibit what is known as the quantum effect. This quantum effect allows one to have extreme control over the surface energy of these particles, which in turn can control initial protein adsorption to dictate cellular interactions.

Depending on the shape, nanoparticles can be of 0D, 1D, 2D or 3D structure types. Based on their source or type of materials, they can be divided into different categories such as carbon-based, metal-based, ceramic-based, polymeric-based, semiconductor-based and lipid-based nanoparticles. Nanoparticles can be synthesized by using two main methods such as 1) bottom-up and 2) top-down approaches. Furthermore, these methods can be divided into mechanical, chemical and biological syntheses, and these include chemical vapor deposition, physical vapor deposition, a sol–gel method, radio frequency (RF) plasma method, pulsed laser method, thermolysis and solution combustion method. Various characterization methods used to characterize the nanoparticles depend on the property of the materials. The morphology of the nanoparticles has been analyzed using polarized optical microscopy (POM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), infrared (IR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and Zeta size analyzer.

These properties provide unique applications of nanoparticles in a wide variety of research fields, eg, biomedical sciences, electronics, optics and more. While significant progress has been made for numerous nanoparticle applications in
these fields, their applications in TE are still in their infancy stage hindered by some significant challenges. Here, we aim to review the application of nanoparticles in TE along with the challenges associated with them and address how such challenges can be tackled widening the application of nanoparticles in a broad range of TE applications.

Applications of nanoparticles in TE
Nanoparticles have been used to serve various functions in TE, ranging from enhancement of biological, electrical and mechanical properties to gene delivery, DNA transfection, viral transduction and patterning of cells, to facilitate the growth of various types of tissues to molecular detection and biosensing. The use of the right type of nanoparticles in TE can significantly enhance the biological, mechanical and electrical properties of scaffolds as well as can serve various functions depending on the applications as described in the following.

Biological property enhancement: increased cell proliferation rates
In particular, two types of nanoparticles, namely, GNPs and titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) nanoparticles, have been used to enhance cell proliferation rates for bone and cardiac tissue regeneration, respectively. GNPs have shown superior biocompatibility and the ability for surface modification, which has resulted in interesting biomedical applications. In bone TE, it was first observed that GNPs promote osteogenic differentiation of an osteoblast precursor cell line, MC3T3-E1. In addition, these nanoparticles also influenced osteoclast (or bone resorbing cell) formation from hematopoietic cells while providing protective effects.

Abbreviations: E. coli, Escherichia coli; GNP, gold nanoparticle; ROS, reactive oxygen species.
on mitochondrial dysfunction in osteoblastic cells.\textsuperscript{37,38} Another report showed that GNP s can promote osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). This happened after their intracellular uptake during the establishment of the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway.\textsuperscript{38} It was found, however, that this osteogenic differentiation is dependent on the size of GNPs. One study demonstrated that GNPs of diameters 30–50 nm were favorable and more effective for human adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC) function,\textsuperscript{37} while another study showed a preference for 20 and 40 nm sized GNPs for MC3T3-E1 osteoblast-like cell function.\textsuperscript{39}

In light of these studies, GNPs present themselves as excellent candidates for bone tissue regeneration. They seem to be perfect candidates to replace bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). Although BMPs have beneficial effects on bone regeneration and repair,\textsuperscript{40,41} they also have many disadvantages. Their high cost and susceptibility to result in unwanted bone formation and local inflammatory reactions are some of the main reasons that have prompted researchers toward the search for alternative bone growth materials, and GNPs may be a promising substitute.\textsuperscript{42–44} Numerous papers have reported promising in vitro experimental results using GNPs. However, there were considerable variations in the experimental results when carried out in animals.

To overcome this, hybrid scaffolds (GNPs and gelatin) were studied under in vivo conditions for their effectiveness in initiating osteogenic differentiation consequent to human ADSCs. The hydrogels were placed in the parietal bone of rabbits, where bone defects were made prior to the application of the hydrogel. The study showed enhanced proliferation of osteoblasts as the GNP content in the hydrogels increased.\textsuperscript{44}

In other studies, GNPs and gold nanowires placed within a scaffold had a remarkable impact on cell proliferation and synapse formation, which is the key to a functional organ transplant. Additionally, these materials may also direct stem cell differentiation, without using growth factors, thus minimizing/avoiding the numerous side effects of the application of growth factors in the body.\textsuperscript{55,56}

GNPs have also been successfully used to produce a favorable cellular environment for cardiac TE applications. GNP-loaded nanofibers led to the enhancement of cardiomyogenic differentiation to help infarcted myocardium regeneration.\textsuperscript{57}

In another study, TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles were added to the scaffold in which human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes showed enhanced cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{48} TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles have also been 3D printed with polymers, such as poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) to exactly match the nanostructured roughness of bone itself and significantly improve bone cell performance.\textsuperscript{49} Interestingly in this study, the TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles were also shown to decrease the harmful effects of acid byproducts released by PLGA during degradation, which are known to kill cells.\textsuperscript{49} Thus, incorporation of certain types of nanoparticles into scaffolds can significantly alter the biological properties of scaffolds and thus help in TE.

**Enhancement of mechanical properties**

Nanoparticle-embedded nanocomposite polymers both in the form of hydrogels and electrospun fibers have exhibited superior mechanical properties for TE applications compared to scaffolds without nanoparticle reinforcements.\textsuperscript{50–52} For example, a TiO\textsubscript{2}-embedded biodegradable patch showed a higher tensile strength in reinforcing the scar after myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{53} In another study, hydrogel microfibers with poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAm) and MNPs increased the mechanical strength of hydrogel microfibers.\textsuperscript{54} Introducing attapulgite nanoparticles enhanced the mechanical properties of chitosan/β-glycerophosphate disodium salt (CS/GP) injectable hydrogels.\textsuperscript{55} Attapulgite amplified the cross-links because of the hydrogen bonds that were formed between attapulgite nanoparticles and CS molecules. It showed five times larger tensile strength and elongation compared to the neat CS/GP hydrogel at break.\textsuperscript{55}

For skin TE, 3D nanocomposite scaffolds were prepared using a mixture of type I collagen and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)-coated TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles. In this mixture, hydrogen bonds were formed between collagen, PVP and TiO\textsubscript{2}, which improved the ultimate tensile strength of the scaffolds.\textsuperscript{56,57} In other studies, GNPs, silver nanoparticles and MNPs were embedded in the scaffolds to improve the scaffold mechanics,\textsuperscript{58} while in some studies, hydroxyapatite (HA) nanoparticles enhanced the mechanical properties of electrospun silk fibroin scaffolds by making bonds between HA and silk fibroin fibers.\textsuperscript{59} Thus, nanoparticles can play a vital role in enhancing the mechanical properties of scaffolds in TE.

CNTs have also been used to enhance the mechanical properties of polymers for TE applications.\textsuperscript{60} CNTs reinforce the polymers especially due to their remarkable mechanical properties, tensile strength and fiber-like structure.\textsuperscript{61,62} Recently, CNTs were added into polyactic acid (PLA) blends and were electrospun into nanofiber mats; it was found that they had amazing biocompatibility and osteoinductive potential as compared to PLA/gelatin nanofibers. The CNT-contained PLA nanofibers improved mechanical properties of the
hybrid samples, and such a 3D system appears to be interesting for potential applications as a TE scaffold. The addition of CNTs in polycaprolactone (PCL), for example, increased the mechanical properties of PCL several folds when applied to enhance the proliferation and differentiation of rat bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs). Similarly, graphene-based nanoparticles have also been used in TE for the replacement of damaged connective tissue. For example, composites based on graphene oxide (GO) and silk fibroin showed improved proliferation, adhesion and viability of human periodontal ligament mesenchymal stem cells (PDLSCs) as compared to silk fibroin alone. In other studies, graphene-based nanomaterials of stem cells enhanced the proliferation, attachment and osteogenic differentiation for bone regeneration in addition to improvement of mechanical properties.

In one study, composites of a cyclic copolymer (COC) also termed as TOPAS and HA were synthesized for bone repair and regeneration. HA nanoparticles were uniformly dispersed inside the TOPAS copolymer, and improved mechanical properties were reported due to better dispersion and interfacial interactions with HA chains. Preosteoblasts showed improved cell adhesion and proliferation on TOPAS/HA hybrids as compared to TOPAS alone. An improvement in biomedical potential was cited due to improved mechanical properties of TOPAS/HA composites compared to TOPAS alone. In another study, silk fibers were incorporated into chitosan/glycerophosphate hydrogels to enhance the mechanical capacity of the constructs that could be applied for hyaline cartilage regeneration. The newly developed chitosan/glycerophosphate reinforced with silk fibers not only showed improved mechanical properties but also manifested enhanced proliferation potential of chondrocytes on these scaffolds. Similarly, CaCO\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles were incorporated into chitosan/poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) blends to improve the mechanical properties of the electrospun nanofiber mats. Chitosan/PVA nanofibers showed increased tensile strength and biocompatibility when the concentration of CaCO\textsubscript{3} varied from 1 to 5 wt%. In addition, the reinforcement of chitosan/PVA nanofiber scaffolds with 4% CaCO\textsubscript{3} proved to be effective scaffolds for ATDC5 cell proliferation and provided a positive environment for cell growth as compared to chitosan/PVA and chitosan/PVA–apatite nanoformulations. Therefore, chitosan/PVA/CaCO\textsubscript{3} nanofibers showed promising artificial leads for cartilage tissue regeneration.

Enhancement of electrical properties
Various nanoparticles have been used to enhance the electrical properties of scaffolds, which can be highly beneficial in cardiac TE. In one study, when depositing GNPs into fibrous decellularized matrices, cardiac cells within the scaffolds exhibited improved morphology, better striation behavior and enhanced electrical coupling proteins. This study provided promising results for engineering cardiac patches with nanoparticles to treat myocardial infarction.

Similar studies were carried out using gold nanostructures, which were integrated into scaffolds to boost matrix conductivity and develop an electrical signal between different cardiac components. GNP-based electrospun fibrous scaffolds were reported to be functional for cardiac tissue regeneration. GNPs were deposited on the surface of the gelatin and PCL–gelatin fibers, creating nanocomposites with a nominal gold thickness that showed that cardiac tissues engineered within these GNP scaffolds can be used to improve the function of the infarcted heart.

Gold nanowires have also been used as conductive materials alongside scaffolds to enhance the electrical coupling between the cells. With time, cardiac muscle cells started growing within the 3D porous scaffolds and resulted in synapse formation. Studies have also highlighted that the use of CNTs in polymer composites can significantly improve conductivity to promote cardiomycocyte functions; it is clear that conductive nanomaterials have a promising future in cardiac applications. Table 1 is designed to show the electrical conductivity of polymers and nanocomposites and their diverse applications in the biomedical field.

Antibacterial applications
Importantly, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), USA, recently predicted more deaths from antibiotic-resistant bacteria than from all cancers combined by 2050. This has certainly highlighted the growing need to identify alternative means to kill bacteria without using antibiotics, and nanoparticles may provide the answer. Some metal oxides, particularly silver nanoparticles, have shown great antimicrobial effects, as well as wound healing capabilities. Xing et al assessed the antibacterial activity of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV) nanofibrous scaffolds containing silver. It was found that silver-containing PHBV nanofibrous scaffolds had high antibacterial properties and they exhibited excellent in vitro cell compatibility. This shows that PHBV nanofibrous scaffolds containing silver nanoparticles have prospects to be used in joint arthroplasty and, thus, should be further studied. Another study dealt with the categorization and antimicrobial activity of a nanosilver-based biocomposite scaffold for bone TE. Results suggested that biocomposite
scaffolds containing nanosilver could regulate bacterial infection during reconstructive bone surgery and that the presence of silver nanoparticles in the scaffolds acted as an affixed coating for protection against infection, sepsis and malfunctioning of implants.

In another study conducted by Marsich et al., antibacterial nanoscaffolds were developed by introducing silver nanoparticles to alginate and HA scaffolds. These scaffolds possessed enhanced antimicrobial properties, beneficial in prosthesis implementation. Patrascu et al also fabricated composite scaffolds with silver nanoparticles where collagen—silver nanoparticle scaffolds were produced for skin repair, especially for burn victims, while composite HA—silver nanoparticles and HA/collagen—silver nanoparticle composite scaffolds were developed as potential bone graft materials. Silver nanoparticles have also been used with poly(l-lactide-co-caprolactone) (PLCL) scaffolds for skin TE. The scaffolds were developed using electrospinning techniques. Similarly, silver nanoparticles were used in PCL composite scaffolds for in vitro tissue-engineered vascular grafts. The antimicrobial features of silver nanoparticles enabled their infusion into various composite scaffold materials such as HA, alginate, PLCL, PCL and collagen. However, recent studies have highlighted that bacteria may develop a resistance to silver nanoparticles, so extreme caution and additional studies should be undertaken for all of the abovementioned materials.

Apart from silver nanoparticles, different metal oxide nanoparticles have also proven to be effective as bactericidal agents. Specifically, magnesium oxide nanoparticles and their halogen adducts with Cl₂ and Br₂ showed strong antibacterial properties. In order to assess the effectiveness of the nanoparticles, a study was performed by Stoimenov et al on three groups of bacteria: Escherichia coli, Bacillus megaterium and Bacillus subtilis. It was shown that the nanoparticle formulations were able to destroy the populations of E. coli and B. megaterium bacteria in ~20 min.

There has also been excitement over the use of selenium nanoparticles since it has been shown that selenium kills bacteria by different mechanisms than the above mentioned nanoparticles, which rely on reactive oxygen species (ROS). It has been speculated that nanoparticles that rely on ROS generation to kill bacteria may lead to mutations of bacteria, thus causing the bacteria to be resistant to such materials. In contrast, selenium nanoparticles, which have been shown to kill both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, can penetrate biofilms, whereas antibiotics cannot, under a magnetic field to disrupt and kill bacteria (Figure 2F).

Furthermore, iron oxide nanoparticles have demonstrated much promise in killing post-biofilm formation in bacteria. Specifically, iron oxide nanoparticles (especially when functionalized with sugars such as fructose and sucrose) can penetrate biofilms, whereas antibiotics cannot, under a magnetic field to disrupt and kill bacteria (Figure 2F).

This has enormous consequences in TE since currently if a biomaterial becomes infected, it needs to be removed and adjacent tissue cleaned. Strategies that do not rely on implant removal and cleaning can have a bright future in TE.

### Table 1 Electrical conductivity of nanocomposites and their applications in the biomedical field

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Polymers’ conductivity (S cm⁻¹), before adding nanoparticles</th>
<th>Nanocomposites</th>
<th>Nanocomposites’ conductivity (S cm⁻¹), after adding nanoparticles</th>
<th>Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>7.4×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>Chitosan/carbon</td>
<td>3.08×10⁻²</td>
<td>Cardiac TE²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI</td>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>PANI/Ag</td>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>TE and wound-healing applications⁶⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogel</td>
<td>0.23±0.02</td>
<td>Graphene/hydrogel</td>
<td>4.9±0.2</td>
<td>TE applications⁷⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPy</td>
<td>1.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>PPy/chitosan</td>
<td>10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>TE and wound-healing applications⁷⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPy</td>
<td>1.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>PPy/cellulose acetate</td>
<td>6.9×10⁻⁸ to 3.6×10¹</td>
<td>TE applications⁷¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI</td>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>PANI nanofibers/collagen</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Scaffold material for biomedical applications⁴¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI</td>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>PANI nanofiber/bacterial cellulose</td>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>Biosensors, TE⁴²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPy</td>
<td>1.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>PPy nanoparticles/PU</td>
<td>2.3×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>TE⁷²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPy</td>
<td>1.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>PPy/polylactic acid</td>
<td>15.6×10⁻³</td>
<td>Synthetic nerve conduits⁷⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>8.8×10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>PU/graphene</td>
<td>&gt;10⁻³</td>
<td>Sensors, bone applications⁷⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>PMMA/MWCNT</td>
<td>10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Devices for TE⁸⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** TE, tissue engineering; PANI, polyaniline; PPy, polypyrrole; PU, polyurethane; PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate; MWCNT, multiwalled carbon nanotubes.
Numerous approaches have been used, including the introduction of shear stress by bioreactors and stiffness of patterned substrates to mechanically control cell functions. However, MNPs have proven to be superior to all these methods since they have the ability to be controlled remotely, spatially and temporally through a magnetic field.

On a microscopic level, the process happens as follows. First, the MNPs are coated with a layer of MgO or fluorescein. Then, they interact with the cell membrane, leading to the release of cell wall-free cytoplasmic content. This process is facilitated by the use of magnetic fields, which allow for precise control over the MNPs' placement and interaction with the cells.

Abbreviations: E. coli, Escherichia coli; GNP, gold nanoparticle; MNP, magnetic nanoparticle.
certain targeting antibody. Once the magnetic field is applied, the cells are clustered in the direction of the magnetic field. Based on the antibody used, receptor-mediated cell function is affected. Mannix et al.\textsuperscript{103} were able to achieve an increase in intracellular calcium ion levels, while Gopinath et al.\textsuperscript{104} were able to induce the apoptotic pathway using such approaches. These two experiments along with many other studies have shown how the integration of MNPs can provide full details of cell functions and differentiation in regenerative medicine in a remote manner.

In another study, silver nanoparticles were used to induce cell apoptosis in BHK21 and HT29 cells. The introduction of silver nanoparticles at a concentration of 11.0 μg·mL\textsuperscript{-1} triggered extracellular stresses on the membranes, resulting in an upregulation of p53 that triggered the right molecules to induce cell death.\textsuperscript{104} Figure 3 shows the silver nanoparticle- and MNP-induced apoptotic pathways. Of course, in these approaches, one needs to be concerned about other cellular functions that may be inadvertently changed through nanoparticle-cell membrane attachment or internalization.

### Gene delivery

Gene delivery technology targeting matured cells or stem cells has become an important issue within TE. Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) are multipotent cells that show immunosuppressive properties and have an intrinsic capacity to differentiate into various types of cells, including chondrocytes, osteoblasts, myocytes and adipocytes.\textsuperscript{105,106} For effective gene therapy applications, it is vital to build up a suitable vector system with a high gene transfection efficiency, low cytotoxicity and high specificity to unhealthy cells. Gene delivery is classified into two categories: viral and nonviral. The promise for gene delivery has been seen through the use of nanoparticles and self-assembled nanomaterials as described in the following.

### DNA transfection

The advantages of nonviral methods are their simplicity and the absence of an immune response, while the disadvantage is low efficiency due to low transfection rate.\textsuperscript{107} Magnetofection is a new method for gene delivery in which gene delivery technology targeting matured cells or stem cells has become an important issue within TE. Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) are multipotent cells that show immunosuppressive properties and have an intrinsic capacity to differentiate into various types of cells, including chondrocytes, osteoblasts, myocytes and adipocytes.\textsuperscript{105,106} For effective gene therapy applications, it is vital to build up a suitable vector system with a high gene transfection efficiency, low cytotoxicity and high specificity to unhealthy cells. Gene delivery is classified into two categories: viral and nonviral. The promise for gene delivery has been seen through the use of nanoparticles and self-assembled nanomaterials as described in the following.
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**Figure 3** Utilization of magnetic particles in bioengineering applications.  
**Abbreviations:** MNP, magnetic nanoparticle; Bcl-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; MSC, mesenchymal stem cells.
transfection is accomplished using MNPs (Figure 3). To achieve magnetofection using plasmid DNA, cationic lipids or polymers with complexes of DNA interact with magnetic beads and then through a magnetic force are attracted onto target cells so that they can accumulate on the surface.\(^\text{108}\)

There has been substantial research into various methods of magnetofection in combination with Lipofectamine, polyethyleneimine or dioleoyltrimethylammounium.\(^\text{109}\) Furthermore, in endothelial cells\(^\text{109}\) and embryonic stem cells,\(^\text{110}\) which are generally resistant to traditional transfection methods, magnetofection showed high expression levels in target cells. It was found that in fibroblasts and keratinocytes using reporter genes, the transfection efficiencies using the magnetofection technique were 36- and 10-fold higher, respectively, compared to the lipofection technique by cationic liposomes.\(^\text{111}\)

Some studies have shown that iron oxide magnetic particles can attach to the gene and improve transfection efficiency. These particles were distributed within a polymer matrix or internalized in a polymer or metallic case, which binds DNA through charge contact.\(^\text{112,113}\) Another study looked at the improvement of mesoporous silica nanoparticles to uphold the uptake by the target cells. Coupled with N-[1-(2,3-dioleoloyxy)propyl]-N,N,N trimethylammonium chloride (DOTAP chloride), the structure helped to avoid aggregation and encouraged uptake.\(^\text{114}\)CNTs, which have shown numerous applications,\(^\text{115,116}\) and can be synthesized through several different approaches,\(^\text{117,118}\) were also shown of remarkable potential as nonviral gene delivery agents. A technique called as nanotube spearing has been used to prepare nickel-embedded, magnetic nanotubes where DNA is attached.\(^\text{119}\)

**Viral transduction**

Viral transduction methods have also been used in TE applications; however, one problem associated with this method is the difficulty in preparing viral vectors with a high titer.\(^\text{107,120}\) In one case, retroviral vectors were captured using magnetite cationic liposomes (MCLs) by adding the MCLs to a solution of retroviral vector pseudo typed through the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein.\(^\text{121}\) The MCLs were then collected through the use of a magnetic force and seeded into mouse neuroblastoma cells, thereby increasing the viral titer by 55-fold. It was noted that physical interactions due to magnetic force enhances cellular uptake of MNPs. When transduction efficiency of magnetofection with MCLs was compared with conventional methods using polybrene, the effectiveness was 6.7-fold higher for the MCLs.\(^\text{122}\)

No coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor (CAR) were expressed by using adenoviral vectors conjugated with polyethylenimine. These vectors were coated with MNPs, which enabled transduction of a number of cell lines. Using these particles, magnetofection has been utilized to transfect a number of cell types, including epithelial cells from lungs\(^\text{123}\) and endothelial cells from blood vessels.\(^\text{109}\) These particles have also been used to effectively deliver antisense oligonucleotides\(^\text{124}\) and siRNA to inhibit gene expression. Further development of magnetically labeled vectors with magnetic fields should provide tools for the effective treatment of different types of diseases.

**Magnetic cell patterning**

Successful TE requires the fabrication of tissue architecture similar to in vivo conditions. One strategy to accomplish this is to control cell adhesion within a specified design pattern. Current limitations of conventional methods include the need for extensive external equipment or requirement of usage of chemically modified surfaces. The use of MNPs for the purpose of cell patterning may serve to bypass these obstacles by offering an effective and practical alternative. Figure 4 shows the MNPs and the mediated cell patterning on an acryl resin plate. MCLs show high-cell binding capacity. A cell-patterning technique was developed using MCLs where a magnet with a magnetic field concentrator was laid under a cell culture surface. Various cell patterns were successfully fabricated using this technique by manipulating the line patterns of the magnetic field concentrators. When human umbilical vein endothelial cells were used, it was found that for the same number of cells, the cells were connected and formed capillary-like structures when patterned in a line.\(^\text{125}\) For the construction of vascular grafts, it was found that the tubular geometry did not allow for an effective delivery of the cells onto the scaffold. Another study using porcine decellularized common carotid artery showed that when a cylindrical magnet was placed into the lumen of the artery and then immersed into a suspension of NIH3T3 fibroblasts (3T3s) that had been magnetically labeled using original MCLs with a positive surface charge, almost all the 3T3s were attached to the artery compared to the very low seeding efficiency that was observed in the absence of a magnet. Reseeding the porcine decellularized common carotid artery with both smooth human muscle cells and human dermal fibroblasts successfully constructed the vascular graft using the Mag-seeding technique.\(^\text{126}\)

An arginine–glycine–aspartic acid motif-containing peptide coupled to a phospholipid group on magnetite cationic liposomes (RGD–MCLs) has been shown to facilitate cell adhesion and proliferation. The RGD–MCLs were added to an ultralow-attachment plate where the culture surface was modified with a hydrophilic and neutrally charged hydrogel.
layer. Human keratinocyte (HaCaT) cells were seeded onto the plate. Without either the RGD or MCLs, a few cells were attached to the plate. With MCLs, cells attached but low proliferation rates were noted. However, when RGD–MCLs were used, the cells adhered within a day and proliferated. Of course, one needs to be careful when using RGD since it is not an exclusive cell-binding motif and, thus, if not deactivated or fully covered by the target cells, competitor cells may attach and decrease the efficacy of the TE construct.

Another strategy investigated for cell patterning was to use MNPs coated with aminosilane and modified with poly(ethylene glycol) in combination with a magnetic force (PEG-Mags).\(^1\) The PEG-Mags were magnetically decorated onto the surface of a tissue culture dish resulting in two regions, namely, the cell-resistant PEG-Mags covering region and the native substrate surface, which was the cell adhesion region. When HaCaT cells were seeded, they adhered only to the native substrate surface and the PEG-Mags were washed away exposing the surface. When mouse myoblast C2C12 cells were seeded into the dish, they adhered to the substrate surface creating a patterned coculture of heterotypic cells. Furthermore, almost no cytotoxic effect was observed by

Figure 4 (Continued)
using PEG-Mags, signifying that this strategy of combing PEG with the MNPs provided particles with biocompatibility and low toxicity, adding to the appeal of this method.122

Constructing 3D tissues
Nanotechnology shows potential perspectives in TE. Nanoscale structures can control cellular activities such as adhesion, propagation and segregation, and most importantly, nanomaterials have unique visual and magnetic properties and thus are suitable agents for monitoring cellular performance in vivo subsequent a transplantation. Nanomaterials were subsequently developed as 3D tissue-engineered scaffolds for skin, bone, vasculature and other tissues;127–131 additionally, nanostructures have also been revealed to control the actions of primary stem cells such as adhesion, growth and differentiation.132–134 Recently, MNPs have gained lots of attention due to their exceptional magnetic properties and unique dimensions.135 MNPs are smaller in size (20–300 nm), and their magnetization directions support thermal fluctuations at room or biological temperature.

Skin
Keratinocyte sheets of five or more cellular layers were constructed, which were adequately strong for improvement and
transplantation. A well of ultralow-attachment plated with a covalently bound hydrogel layer and a neodymium magnet under the plate was used to seed magnetically labeled keratinocytes. Without either the magnet or MCLs, the keratinocytes did not stick on to the culture surface. It was also observed that sheets consisted of undifferentiated keratinocytes that may be more effective in wound healing. In addition, as soon as the five-layered sheets were cultured in a medium of high calcium, they stratified to produce 10-layer epidermal sheets formed within this high-calcium medium and were substantially stronger. An added advantage of magnetic force was that the sheets labeled with MCLs floated up to the culture surface and stuck to the hydrophilic poly(vinylidene fluoride)-treated membrane placed on a cylindrical magnet, making recovery simpler.

Skeletal muscle
Magnetic force-based scaffolds of free multilayered sheets of skeletal muscle cells were constructed using mouse MCL-labeled myoblast C2C12 cells seeded in ultra-low attachment plates with a magnet below the plates. The cells accumulated uniformly forming dense, strong and multilayered sheets. On the other hand, when the magnet was removed, the cells formed small aggregates instead. The method was then adjusted to fabricate string-shaped 3D tissues similar to skeletal muscle fiber bundles. The magnetic field gradient forced the cells to aggregate in string-like lines, and by controlling the magnetic field gradient, the width and thickness could be manipulated. To date, a number of new strategies have been established for the manufacturing of skeletal muscle tissues. Saxena et al presented vascularized skeletal muscle constructs where myoblasts were immobilized on artificial biodegradable polymers. Another group showed a 3D fabrication with scaffold-free self-assembly of myoblast cells on a silicone surface. Moon du et al developed skeletal muscle tissue constructs using collagen-based acellular tissue scaffolds with improved contractile force generation. Akyama et al and Sato et al developed a TE technique called magnetic force-based tissue engineering (Mag-TE) where a magnetic force was applied and cells labeled with MNPs were used to engineer tissue constructs. Using Mag-TE, they also showed that the B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) protein improved the development of synthetic skeletal muscle tissue constructs with an elevated cell density.

Liver
Recent research has shown that Mag-TE can be used as a cell sheet for engineering of liver tissue. An example can be seen

Figure 5  Magnetic culturing of cells using cell levitation and cocultures.
Notes:  (A) Schematic of heterotypic cell cocultures using magnetic force.  (B) Schematic of magnetic levitation in a Petri dish (left) and a 24-well plate (right). The cells are levitated to the air–liquid interface by placing a neodymium magnet above the dish or well. Cell culture under magnetic stimuli:  (C) schematic drawing of the setup,  (D) bright-field image and  (E) fluorescence images of the two types of microcryogels (poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate microcryogels [PM]; and magnetic gelatin microcryogels [MGM]) mixed together and co-cultured in the system. Scale bar is 200 μm.  (A) Reprinted from Trends Biotechnol. 27(8). Corchero JL, villaverde A. Biomedical applications of distally controlled magnetic nanoparticles. Pages 468–476. Copyright 2009, with permission from Elsevier.  (B) The publisher for this copyrighted material is Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. publishers. Tseng H, Gage JA, Raphael RM, et al. Assembly of a three-dimensional multitype bronchial coculture model using magnetic levitation. Tissue Eng Part C Methods. 2013;19(9):665–675.  (C–E) Republished with permission of Royal Society of Chemistry, from Magnetically controllable 3D microtissues based on magnetic microcryogels, Liu W, Li Y, Feng S, et al, Volume 14(15), 2014; permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.
Nanoparticles in tissue engineering

One of the prime examples of the use of nanoparticles as biosensors is through optical sensors and optical imaging in 3D-engineered tissues. The application of biomolecular detection and biosensors is rapidly gathering pace in the field of TE. Biosensors are biological devices that recognize a change in molecules through physiochemical detection (Figure 6). In TE, various types of biosensors have been used, such as electrochemical, optical, magnetic and acoustic, for the detection of functional protein molecules, glucose and pathogenic microbes. Most importantly, however, is the rise in the application of nanoparticles in biomolecular detection. Nanoparticles in biosensors have been used for DNA, nucleic acid and protein detection, among other applications, due to their high reactivity and their advantageous chemical properties. The rising prominence of nanoparticle applications in biomolecular sensors and the importance of biosensors in TE highlight the potential of nanoparticles in TE. Hopefully in the near future, researchers can incorporate intelligent nanoscale biosensors inside scaffolds, cell sheets or associated locations to track the development of engineered tissues after transplantation and grafting. We also believe that incorporating nanomaterials in 3D-engineered tissues could serve to reduce inflammatory responses to transplanted engineered tissues by modulating immune cell adhesion and cell viability.

Optical detection

One of the prime examples of the use of nanoparticles as biosensors is through optical sensors and optical imaging in 3D-engineered tissues. The application of biomolecular detection and biosensors is rapidly gathering pace in the field of TE. Biosensors are biological devices that recognize a change in molecules through physiochemical detection (Figure 6). In TE, various types of biosensors have been used, such as electrochemical, optical, magnetic and acoustic, for the detection of functional protein molecules, glucose and pathogenic microbes. Most importantly, however, is the rise in the application of nanoparticles in biomolecular detection. Nanoparticles in biosensors have been used for DNA, nucleic acid and protein detection, among other applications, due to their high reactivity and their advantageous chemical properties. The rising prominence of nanoparticle applications in biomolecular sensors and the importance of biosensors in TE highlight the potential of nanoparticles in TE. Hopefully in the near future, researchers can incorporate intelligent nanoscale biosensors inside scaffolds, cell sheets or associated locations to track the development of engineered tissues after transplantation and grafting. We also believe that incorporating nanomaterials in 3D-engineered tissues could serve to reduce inflammatory responses to transplanted engineered tissues by modulating immune cell adhesion and cell viability.

Nanoparticles in biomolecular detection

The application of biomolecular detection and biosensors is rapidly gathering pace in the field of TE. Biosensors are biological devices that recognize a change in molecules through physiochemical detection (Figure 6). In TE, various types of biosensors have been used, such as electrochemical, optical, magnetic and acoustic, for the detection of functional protein molecules, glucose and pathogenic microbes. Most importantly, however, is the rise in the application of nanoparticles in biomolecular detection. Nanoparticles in biosensors have been used for DNA, nucleic acid and protein detection, among other applications, due to their high reactivity and their advantageous chemical properties. The rising prominence of nanoparticle applications in biomolecular sensors and the importance of biosensors in TE highlight the potential of nanoparticles in TE. Hopefully in the near future, researchers can incorporate intelligent nanoscale biosensors inside scaffolds, cell sheets or associated locations to track the development of engineered tissues after transplantation and grafting. We also believe that incorporating nanomaterials in 3D-engineered tissues could serve to reduce inflammatory responses to transplanted engineered tissues by modulating immune cell adhesion and cell viability.

Nanoparticles in tissue engineering

One of the prime examples of the use of nanoparticles as biosensors is through optical sensors and optical imaging in 3D-engineered tissues. The application of biomolecular detection and biosensors is rapidly gathering pace in the field of TE. Biosensors are biological devices that recognize a change in molecules through physiochemical detection (Figure 6). In TE, various types of biosensors have been used, such as electrochemical, optical, magnetic and acoustic, for the detection of functional protein molecules, glucose and pathogenic microbes. Most importantly, however, is the rise in the application of nanoparticles in biomolecular detection. Nanoparticles in biosensors have been used for DNA, nucleic acid and protein detection, among other applications, due to their high reactivity and their advantageous chemical properties. The rising prominence of nanoparticle applications in biomolecular sensors and the importance of biosensors in TE highlight the potential of nanoparticles in TE. Hopefully in the near future, researchers can incorporate intelligent nanoscale biosensors inside scaffolds, cell sheets or associated locations to track the development of engineered tissues after transplantation and grafting. We also believe that incorporating nanomaterials in 3D-engineered tissues could serve to reduce inflammatory responses to transplanted engineered tissues by modulating immune cell adhesion and cell viability.
which semiconductors (ie, quantum dots) as well as GNP s and silica nanoparticles have been used.\textsuperscript{148} Quantum dots have been used due to their high stability, brightness and lasting luminescence.\textsuperscript{149} Goldman et al\textsuperscript{150} used luminescent quantum dots for protein detection in immunoassays.\textsuperscript{149} Quantum dots were also used for DNA sensing in a study conducted by Sharon et al.\textsuperscript{151} In this study, the hemin structure in CdSe and ZnS quantum dots was used in order to develop new optical sensing techniques. Owing to the risk of toxicity during in vivo applications associated with heavy quantum dots,\textsuperscript{152} carbon quantum dots have been developed by Ding et al.\textsuperscript{153} These quantum dots have been found to have low cytotoxicity and have been used for molecule detection. Metal nanoparticles, on the other hand, are used in optical detection due to their tunable strength, bandwidth and frequency. These characteristics, attributed to the collective oscillation mode, make metal nanoparticles perfect for optical applications.\textsuperscript{154} Newman et al\textsuperscript{155} developed optical sensors based on GNP s. In their study, the GNP s with silica microparticles were used to detect organophosphate molecules.

**Electrical detection**

Nanoparticles have also been used as electrical detectors for DNA molecules through electric signals. With nanoparticles acting as intermediaries, the action of the DNA is converted to electrical signals.\textsuperscript{156} Park et al\textsuperscript{157} developed an electrical DNA detection method through binding of oligonucleotides with GNP s with a high accuracy. GNP s have also been implemented by Noor et al\textsuperscript{158} for DNA detection. In their study, DNA was mounted on a silicon chip between gold nanoelectrodes. The GNP s were found to increase conductivity between the electrodes, thus enhancing the process of DNA detection. MNPs and CNTs have also been used for electrical detection. One example is an experiment\textsuperscript{159} that was conducted by encapsulating MNP in CNTs. The results showed enhanced electrical and magnetic properties.

**Electrochemical detection**

Electrochemical sensors utilize the selective detection of biological elements with the sensitivity of electrical sensors for element recognition. The sensors chemically react with the analyte to produce an electrical signal.\textsuperscript{160} Nanoparticles have been used as electrochemical biosensors due to the greater surface area they offer, along with their greater chemical convenience and superior electrical conductivity.\textsuperscript{161} Wang et al\textsuperscript{162} used GNP s to enhance the immobilization of an antigen. The study showed that the use of GNP s also improved antigen and antibody detection. GNP s have also been used as electrochemical sensors for DNA detection. One example is a study conducted by Li et al.\textsuperscript{163} Apart from GNP s, various types of nanoparticles have been used in electrochemical sensors. One example is a study conducted by Cui et al\textsuperscript{164} in which CdTe nanoparticles were used in order to develop a two-fold immunosensor as an electrochemical sensor and fluorescent label. GNP s were also used to swathe the chip upon which the sensor was constructed. Another study was conducted by Li et al\textsuperscript{165} in which oligonucleotide-functionalized silver nanoparticles were developed for DNA detection. Silver nanoparticles were shown to have excellent properties that are yet to be properly utilized.

Another example of electrochemical detection is a sensor that was developed for an orthopedic hip implant. This titanium-based implant was first anodized to possess nanotubes from which CNTs were grown using chemical vapor deposition.\textsuperscript{166} The CNTs could then measure the resistance of the cells that attach to the implant, send such information via RF to a hand-held device and even be remotely activated to release bone growth factors, anti-inflammatory agents or antibiotics to the site of the need to ensure implant success. Such electrochemical detection of biological events may be the future of nanomaterial use in medical devices as they can sense in real time biological events depending on the individual’s response to the implant to promote success, perhaps the true definition of personalized medicine.

**Challenges and future perspectives**

While nanoparticles have demonstrated promising potential in TE applications such as enhancement of biological, mechanical and electrical properties; antimicrobial effects; gene delivery and construction of engineered tissues, many challenges still lie ahead to introduce them into widespread clinical applications. For example, a compelling need exists, at first, for better assessment tools and methods of nanoparticle toxicity, carcinogenicity and teratogenicity. Second, the toxicity, carcinogenicity and teratogenicity of nanoparticles are all highly dose-dependent and exposure-dependent. In many applications, the nanoparticles are used below their threshold concentrations at which they are considered not harmful. However, bioaccumulation of nanoparticles inside the body over a large period of time is well known. Thus, any nanoparticle used in the human body has the potential to accumulate over a long period of time to reach a concentration that can cause toxicity to cells, cancers or harmful effects on reproductive systems and fetuses before their birth. In addition, even though there are numerous products containing nanoparticles/nanomaterials already in the market,
there are still some scientific and methodological gaps in the knowledge on specific hazards of nanomaterials. Currently, to the best of our knowledge, there are no international standards yet for nano-specific risk assessments, including specific data requirements and testing strategies. The risk assessments of nanomaterials are laborious and costly. Currently, manufacturers are committed to assess the safety of their nanoparticle-based products and to implement the necessary safety measures (self-supervision). To date, the regulatory tools are not nano-specific; eg, the data requirements for notification of chemicals, criteria for classification and labeling requirements for safety data sheets are still not widely available. Thus, there is a need for precautionary measures for applications of nanoparticles wherever there is a possibility of chronic bioaccumulation.

Conclusion
Nanoparticles exhibit superior biocompatibility and well-established strategies for surface modification, which have made them highly effective in numerous biomedical applications. The electric coupling between decellularized cells and proliferation rates upon several tissues have also been enhanced using nanoparticles. The validity of nanoparticles, when it comes to antibacterial growth, has also been studied with much promise. These nanoparticles have been deposited on biocomposite scaffolds, thus regulating bacterial infection during reconstructive bone surgery. Induction of cell mechanotransduction, which is responsible for many physiological processes in the body, was also stimulated by remotely controlled nanoparticles. This review has mentioned a new method for gene delivery. Specifically, magnetofection, which was accomplished through the use of plasmid DNA cationic lipids with complexes of DNA as they interacted through a magnetic force, thus increasing transfection efficiency. Related to this is the use of nanoparticles for the purpose of cell patterning. Three strategies were investigated for cell patterning: the use of MCLs, RGD motif-containing peptide coupled to the phospholipid of magnetite cationic liposomes and aminosilane modified with PEG and magnetic force (PEG-Mags).

The numerous existing applications in the literature reiterate the great potential nanoparticles can have on TE through biosensors. Nanoparticles have been shown to develop optical, electrical and electrochemical biosensors for molecules, proteins and DNA detection with highly accurate results. By optimizing these functions, these sensors could have a great influence on medical use. Although nanoparticles show a promising future in TE applications, there is still a lack of in vivo experimentation that needs to be done in order to verify the wide variety of successful results from in vitro studies.

We believe that in the near future, we could visualize the use of smart nanoparticles that can engage and direct stem cells to preferred sites in the body and dictate the formation of tissues in vivo.
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