Targeting colorectal cancer with anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibodies: focus on panitumumab
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Abstract: Panitumumab is a fully humanized monoclonal antibody with a high degree of affinity for the extracellular domain of the epidermal growth factor receptor. Phase II clinical evaluation of this drug, when administered as a single agent, in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer refractory to chemotherapy, demonstrated a modest objective radiographic response rate with acceptable toxicity; the most frequently observed side effect is rash. A randomized phase III study in subjects with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer documented a progression-free survival advantage in subjects treated with panitumumab plus best supportive care versus best supportive care alone; a difference in survival was not observed, likely due to the high cross over rate. Primary tumor KRAS mutation analysis performed in this study indicated that the benefit was confined to those patients whose tumors did not contain a KRAS mutation. Further studies with panitumumab will be required to develop biomarkers of response and to determine if panitumumab has a role in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy. This article summarizes the current state-of-the-science knowledge on panitumumab therapy in the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer.
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Introduction

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is one of the HER tyrosine kinase growth factor receptor family which includes her-2/neu, EGFR3, and EGFR4; its natural ligands include transforming growth factor-alpha, epidermal growth factor, amphiregulin, and epiregulin. EGFR is a transmembrane glycoprotein with an extracellular ligand binding domain in addition to an intracellular tyrosine kinase activator. Identified first in 1962, its role in cellular function has not been completely elucidated; it is known to be an important agent in cellular proliferation, angiogenesis, and protection of the cell from potentially lethal toxic insults. Once ligand binds to the EGFR it either homodimerizes (with another EGFR monomer) or heterodimerizes (with another EGFR family monomer) (Sako et al 2000). This dimerization leads to autophosphorylation of several tyrosine kinase residues with subsequent downstream activation of intracellular signaling pathways important in cell cycle growth regulation. Murine antibodies targeting EGFR, both agonist and antagonist, were generated by Drs. Gordon Sato and John Mendelsohn in the early 1980s (Mendelsohn 2002). Preclinical studies have demonstrated that these antagonist antibodies can bind to the EGFR, with a higher affinity than the natural ligands, and by doing so interrupts proliferation of human cancer cells in vivo (Kawamoto et al 1983; Sato et al 1983).

Cetuximab

In order to better understand the development of panitumumab it is helpful to know the background and clinical development of the first monoclonal antibody directed at
shown to reverse chemotherapy resistance clinically. The chimeric antibody cetuximab (C225, Erbitux™), was derived from the first murine antibody M225 and demonstrated preclinical anti-cancer activity, both in human cancer tissue culture assays and in human cancer animal xenograft models (Olverholser et al 2000). Cetuximab was demonstrated to have significant synergy with topoisomerase II inhibitors such as irinotecan; in addition, preclinically it was demonstrated that cetuximab combined with irinotecan could inhibit tumor growth in DLD-1 and HT-29 human colorectal cancer murine xenograft models, where each agent alone did not control tumor growth (Prewett et al 2002). This ability to reverse irinotecan resistance in preclinical tumor models has also been demonstrated with oxaliplatin (Prewett et al 2007). The EGFR has been demonstrated to be an important pathway in the development of colorectal cancer progression and metastasis therefore making it an attractive target for anticancer therapy in colorectal cancer (Lockhart et al 2005).

Clinical trials have subsequently shown that in subjects with metastatic colorectal cancer, refractory to at least one line of prior cytotoxic therapy, the use of cetuximab monotherapy was associated with a radiographic partial response (PR) in approximately 9% of cases (utilizing the modified World Health Organization criteria for radiographic assessment) (Saltz et al 2004). A randomized phase II study assigning subjects to either cetuximab monotherapy or cetuximab combined with irinotecan, confined to subjects with advanced colorectal cancer refractory to prior irinotecan therapy, with tumor expression of EGFR as demonstrated by immunohistochemistry (IHC), confirmed the monotherapy activity of this drug in patients with advanced colorectal cancer with a radiographic PR rate of 10.8% and a combination therapy response rate of 22.9% (Cunningham et al 2004). Preclinical studies showing that EGFR inhibition by monoclonal antibody therapy in vitro can overcome chemotherapy resistance accurately predicted the clinical efficacy of monoclonal EGFR inhibitors. EGFR monoclonal antibody inhibitors are the only approved therapy to date that has been clearly been shown to reverse chemotherapy resistance clinically.

Tumor EGFR inhibition can also be achieved by the use of small-molecule receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Clinical trials of EGFR inhibition by receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors has not led to the same degree of clinical efficacy in colorectal cancer as observed with the use of EGFR-directed monoclonal antibody therapies (Keiholz et al 2005; Rothenberg et al 2005). The basis for the differences in activity observed in clinical trials between these two classes of anti-EGFR therapies (monoclonal antibodies and receptor tyrosine kinase antagonists) is not known although it suggests that the kinase independent functions for the receptor may be important in cancer progression and another possible mechanism of activity of monoclonal anti-EGFR therapy (Cocker et al 1994; Ewald et al 2003; Weihua et al 2008).

**Panitumumab monotherapy in colorectal cancer**

Panitumumab (ABX-EGF, Vectibix™) is a fully humanized, high affinity IgG1 monoclonal antibody directed against EFGR. To reduce the immunogenicity of murine monoclonal antibodies a fully humanized monoclonal antibody was rationally developed. Cetuximab consists of 34% murine protein; the chimeric recombinant monoclonal antibodies can be immunogenic accounting for the higher rates of serious hypersensitivity reactions associated with the clinical use of cetuximab compared with panitumumab. In order to reduce the allergic reactions related to the murine element, a fully humanized monoclonal antibody directed at the EGFR, panitumumab, was developed. The major differences between cetuximab and panitumumab are illustrated in Table 1. Introducing human immunoglobulin genes into mice engineered to lack functional mouse immunoglobulin genes were used to create panitumumab. The practice for the nomenclature of the naming of monoclonal antibodies is outlined in Table 2.

Panitumumab binds to the EGFR with a higher affinity than its natural ligands to prevent receptor dimerization and subsequent intracellular autophosphorylation. Preclinical studies documented its EGFR-dependent activity in xenograft models (Yang et al 2001). A phase I study conducted in subjects with advanced refractory solid tumors expressing EGFR by IHC found the drug to be well tolerated and did not identify a maximum tolerated dose (subjects were treated up to a dose of 9.0 mg/kg administered every 21 days) (Weiner et al 2008). No hypersensitivity reaction was observed in the

**Table 1 Comparison of cetuximab and panitumumab**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cetuximab</th>
<th>Panitumumab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight</td>
<td>152.1 kDa</td>
<td>147 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Murine</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kd EGFR</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>−112 hours</td>
<td>−180 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1/4 hypersensitivity</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
97 patients treated and premedication nor a loading dose was required. The pharmacokinetics demonstrated that panitumumab could be flexibly dosed from a weekly, fortnightly or on an every-3-week schedule, making it attractive to combine with other cytotoxic chemotherapy regimes. Interestingly, the degree of skin reaction observed appears to be at least 1% or more EGFR tumor cell membrane staining. Those tumors had demonstrated expression of EGFR by IHC (at least 1% or more EGFR over-expression). Based on the PFS advantage seen in other areas particularly those exposed to the sun. For this reason avoidance of sun exposure is an important part of managing panitumumab skin reactions. Although the rash is not infectious the disruption of the skin barrier may lead to secondary staphylococcal skin infection or impetiginization. Of interest the degree of skin reaction observed appears to

**Table 2 Monoclonal antibody nomenclature (Guidelines on the Use of INNs 1997)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Suffix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>colonic tumor</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>-u-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>melanoma</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>-o-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mammary tumor</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>-a-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>testicular tumor</td>
<td>hamster</td>
<td>-xi-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ovarian tumor</td>
<td>primate</td>
<td>-i-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostate tumor</td>
<td>chimeric</td>
<td>-e-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miscellaneous tumor</td>
<td>humanized</td>
<td>-zu-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example: Ce-xi-xi-mab – tumor – chimeric
be a pharmacodynamic marker of anti-EGFR efficacy; this has been observed with cetuximab in a number of different disease types in which their use has been studied (Saltz et al 2003). This observation has led to an experimental strategy of dose escalation of cetuximab therapy to rash in patients with colorectal cancer; this strategy is not currently recommended as standard of care (Tejpar et al 2007).

The management of the skin toxicity is largely empiric and supportive (Fox et al 2006). Topical steroids have been used for their anti-inflammatory properties. Systemic and topical antibiotics have been used to treat the secondary infection; the use of agents such as doxycycline may also have an immunological basis by their inhibition of neutrophil recruitment to the skin. Xerosis is treated with topical hydrating lotions. The natural history of the acneiform rash is to gradually improve after 4 to 8 weeks of panitumumab therapy; after several months of therapy nail changes (pseudonychia, desquamation of the distal digits) and trichomegaly occur. Due to the initial severity of the symptoms patients experience from anti-EGFR therapies, primary prophylaxis with sun barrier creams, hydrating lotions, and topical and systemic antibiotics have been studied (Mitchell et al 2008). Given the relatively low toxicity of prophylactic therapies, and the documented amelioration of symptomatic rash, their use is recommended.

**Panitumumab combination therapy trials in colorectal cancer**

The monotherapy efficacy and the flexibility in dosing schedule initially made panitumumab an attractive agent to combine with other cytotoxic and anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody therapies with proven efficacy in metastatic colorectal cancer. Combination phase II studies of cytotoxic chemotherapy with panitumumab have shown an acceptable toxicity profile. It has been combined safely with weekly bolus intravenous irinotecan, 5-FU modulated by folinic acid (IFL) in addition to irinotecan, bolus 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) modulated by folinic acid and protracted venous infusion 5-FU, administered every 14 days (FOLFIRI) (Hecht et al 2006). In this study patients had a good performance status (ECOG ≤1) and had received no prior systemic chemotherapy for metastatic colorectal cancer. Panitumumab was given at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg to 19 patients receiving IFL and 24 patients receiving FOLFIRI. This study demonstrated that panitumumab was reasonably well tolerated as combination therapy with FOLFIRI. Over half of the subjects receiving IFL had grade 3 or 4 diarrhea (Common Toxicity Criteria, version 2) compared with 5% of patients receiving FOLFIRI. All patients had some skin-related toxicity. A small percentage of patients treated with IFL also had hypokalemia, fatigue and nausea, which was not present in those treated with FOLFIRI. The results of this phase II trial suggested a possible role for panitumumab combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy in the first-line treatment of colorectal cancer. This was studied in 2 phase III studies evaluating the first-line use of panitumumab when combined with cytotoxic therapy alone and with combination cytotoxics and anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody therapy: the PACCE study (Panitumumab Advanced Colorectal Cancer Evaluation) and the PRIME study (Panitumumab Randomized Trial In Combination with Chemotherapy for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer to Determine Efficacy). In the PACCE study subjects with previously untreated metastatic surgically unresectable colorectal cancer received either FOLFOX4 or FOLFIRI chemotherapy (at the investigator’s discretion) plus bevacizumab, and were subsequently randomized to receive to receive panitumumab or no anti-EGFR therapy (Hecht et al 2007). A pre-planned interim safety analysis of the oxaliplatin and bevacizumab-treated groups, which included 407 subjects who received panitumumab and 405 subjects in the control arm showed no difference in radiographic response rates when evaluated by external review. There was a significant difference in the medial PFS of 10.5 months in the control group versus 8.8 months in the experimental (panitumumab-treated) arm ($p = 0.004$). There was also increased toxicity in the panitumab treated arm with a higher rates of grade 3/4 diarrhea, dehydration, and infection observed. Based on the data obtained at this analysis the study was discontinued prematurely. Subsequent analysis of the irinotecan-treated subjects revealed similar findings (Hecht et al 2008). Based on these data the combination of panitumab with cytotoxic chemotherapy and anti-VEGF therapy is not recommended outside of investigational trials. Primary tissue was available from the majority of subjects accrued to the PACCE trial and biomarker data from the oxaliplatin-treated subjects will be forthcoming. The results from this phase III panitumumab combination trial do not exclude future studies of panitumumab in combination with cytotoxic and/or anti-VEGF therapies particularly in biomarker-directed trials enriched for subjects most likely to respond to panitumumab therapy. In the PRIME study subjects with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer were randomized to receive FOLFOX4 with or without panitumumab (6.0 mg/kg every
Biomarkers of anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy

As a minority of subjects with advanced metastatic colorectal cancer have a significant objective radiographic response following monoclonal antibody anti-EGFR therapy, efforts to identify biomarkers of efficacy have been undertaken. Although initial studies were designed based on the rationale that semiquantitative receptor expression measurement by means of IHC would identify subjects most likely to benefit from anti-EGFR therapy, data from these early clinical trials did not demonstrate a correlation between degree of EGFR expression by IHC and radiographic response rate. Subsequent clinical trials have not selected subjects for inclusion based on EGFR expression by IHC; data from some of these trials have shown that radiographic responses have occurred in subjects whose tumors have been shown to be EGFR negative by IHC (Chung et al 2005). Unlike non-small cell lung cancer, activating EGFR mutations are uncommonly described in colorectal cancers (Tsuchihashi et al 2005). EGFR gene copy number has been postulated to identify those subjects more likely to benefit from anti-EGFR therapy, data from these early clinical trials did not demonstrate a correlation between degree of EGFR expression by IHC and radiographic response rate. Subsequent clinical trials have not selected subjects for inclusion based on EGFR expression by IHC; data from some of these trials have shown that radiographic responses have occurred in subjects whose tumors have been shown to be EGFR negative by IHC (Chung et al 2005). Unlike non-small cell lung cancer, activating EGFR mutations are uncommonly described in colorectal cancers (Tsuchihashi et al 2005). EGFR gene copy number has been postulated to identify those subjects more likely to benefit from anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy (Moroni et al 2005; Sartore-Bianchi et al 2007): additional analysis of these data suggest that a low EGFR copy number may be a better negative prognostic marker of anti-EGFR therapy. KRAS mutation status is known to be an independent adverse prognostic factor in colorectal cancer (Baurault et al 2008). Studies have shown that tumors without KRAS mutations are more likely to experience a radiographic PR or stable disease in response to EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy compared with those whose tumors had demonstrated KRAS mutation, suggesting that it may also be a predictive factor for efficacy of EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy (cetuximab) in metastatic colorectal cancer (Leivre et al 2006). Retrospective analysis of the primary tumors from the previously cited phase III trial of panitumumab plus BSC versus BSC (Van Cutsem et al 2007) was undertaken to evaluate for KRAS mutation status and correlate this status with clinical outcome (Amado et al 2008). This analysis revealed no benefit to the use of panitumumab, in terms of PFS, overall survival, or radiographic response rate compared with the BSC arm: the benefit was confined to those subjects whose primary tumors had wild type KRAS mutation status. These data suggest that the efficacy of panitumumab is confined to tumors with wild type KRAS mutation status: based on this data set the European regulatory authorities (EMEA) have approved the use of panitumumab in patients with advanced chemotherapy refractory tumors with wild-type KRAS mutation status (EMEA 2007).

Similar analysis in patients treated with cetuximab monotherapy suggest a lack of benefit in those patients with mutant KRAS tumors. Biomarker data are now available regarding the benefit of anti-EGFR therapy in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy. In a phase III front-line study of FOLFOX-4 with and without cetuximab (the OPUS trial) there was a benefit in progression-free survival from the addition of cetuximab to chemotherapy in those patients with wild-type KRAS tumors (7.7 versus 7.2 months, p = 0.02) whereas there was no benefit in those patients with mutated KRAS (5.5 versus 8.6 months, p = 0.02) (Bokemeyer et al 2008). The biomarker data from the CRYSTAL study (a first-line phase III study comparing FOLFIRI to FOLFIRI plus cetuximab) also showed that the clinical benefit from the addition of cetuximab therapy was limited to those patients with non-mutant KRAS tumors (Van Cutsem et al 2008). These data suggest that the use of panitumumab should be as a single agent and limited to those patients with metastatic colorectal cancer who have wild-type KRAS refractory to cytotoxic chemotherapy; panitumumab therapy is not recommended in patients whose tumors have KRAS mutations. The efficacy of panitumumab in combination therapy with cytotoxic or other biologic agents is still under evaluation and is considered experimental at this time.

Conclusions

Panitumumab has been shown to be effective as monotherapy in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer whose tumors have wild-type KRAS: it does not appear to have efficacy in those with mutant KRAS. Data at this time do not support its use in combination therapy. It is generally well tolerated with rash as the primary side effect. As with cetuximab the degree of skin toxicity may be a surrogate marker for anti-tumor activity. Because panitumumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody, it can be administered without hypersensitivity premedication. Continued clinical studies are warranted to determine the efficacy the combination of panitumumab with chemotherapy, as well as its role with other novel targeted agents, in patients with wild-type KRAS tumors both in the metastatic and adjuvant setting.
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