Once-daily fosamprenavir with ritonavir in the treatment of HIV infection in therapy-naïve patients
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Abstract: Treatment options for HIV patients have dramatically improved since the introduction of efficacious antiretroviral combination therapy more than a decade ago. Treatment regimens have been simplified with fewer pills and fewer daily dosages. Fosamprenavir is a protease inhibitor with a rather long half-life which makes it a candidate for once-daily use. Once-daily dosage of ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir is approved in the US, but not in Europe, for treatment in patients without prior antiretroviral treatment. Here we review the background and rationale for once-daily dosage of ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir. The rather limited studies that have been published so far indicate that fosamprenavir 1400 mg may be used once daily boosted with ritonavir. The optimal ritonavir dose to be given together with fosamprenavir is still to be defined, though available results indicate that a dose of 100 mg may be adequate provided that no protease inhibitor resistance is present.
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Introduction

Antiretroviral drug combinations including two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) and a protease inhibitor (PI) were introduced in the mid 1990s. These drug combinations provided potent options for HIV-infected patients to durably suppress HIV to undetectable levels and dramatically reduced morbidity and mortality in HIV infection. Complicated dosing regimens and food restrictions had initially a negative impact on adherence and quality of life. A major improvement was achieved with the principle of boosting by administering PIs together with a low dose of ritonavir to improve pharmacokinetic properties, making more convenient dosing options possible and thus improving adherence and virological outcome. At the present time eight different PIs are licensed in the US and Europe. With the exception of nelfinavir all PIs (indinavir, saquinavir, lopinavir, fosamprenavir, atazanavir, tipranavir, and darunavir) can or should be used together with low dose ritonavir.

Once-daily dosing of protease inhibitors

Only atazanavir is exclusively licensed for once-daily use. However, a number of studies have been performed in which other PIs have been evaluated in once-daily regimens. In a recent study lopinavir/r was given once or twice daily together with co-formulated tenofovir/emtricitabine in treatment-naïve patients. After 48 weeks lopinavir/r given once daily was shown to be non-inferior to twice-daily therapy (Gathe et al 2008).

Also darunavir/r given once daily to treatment-naïve patients has been studied. In the Artemis study it was compared to lopinavir/r (given once or twice daily) and was shown to be non-inferior. The darunavir/r dose was 800 mg together with 100 mg ritonavir.
Also in this study the nucleoside backbone was co-formulated tenofovir/emtricitabine (Ortiz et al 2008).

The Gemini Study was a 48-week trial that randomized 337 treatment-naïve patients to either saquinavir/r or lopinavir/r, together with two NRTIs. The old capsule formulation of lopinavir/r was used twice daily and the saquinavir dose was 1000 mg together with 100 mg ritonavir. The NRTI backbone was tenofovir/emtricitabine. No statistically significant differences were found between the 2 regimens after 48 weeks treatment (Walmsley et al 2007).

In the US, once-daily use of ritonavir-boosted lopinavir and fosamprenavir are licensed and recommended as alternative PIs in patients without prior antiretroviral treatment (Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents 2008). FDA has approved both 100 and 200 mg of ritonavir once daily together with 1400 mg fosamprenavir.

In Europe neither lopinavir/r nor fosamprenavir/r are licensed for use once daily. Despite this, The European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) guidelines 2007 recommend lopinavir/r, fosamprenavir/r, and saquinavir/r given once daily as alternatives for treatment-naïve patients in combination with two NRTIs (Clumeck et al 2008).

### Treatment with fosamprenavir/r

The PI amprenavir was approved by the FDA 1999. Because amprenavir has low water solubility, a high rate of excipients to drug is required leading to large pills and a limited amount of drug in each pill, and a dose of 8 large pills twice daily.

The use of amprenavir was limited by the large pill size and high pill burden. To overcome these obstacles the pro-drug fosamprenavir was developed. It is a phosphate ester pro-drug of amprenavir with improved water solubility, making it possible to make smaller pills with more active drug in each pill and allowing more convenient dosage. Fosamprenavir is metabolized to amprenavir in the epithelial cells of the intestine. The pro-drug itself is not substantially absorbed and the systemic exposure to fosamprenavir is low (Furfi ne et al 2004).

A 6-week, randomized, controlled, double-blind and crossover study in treatment-naïve HIV-positive patients showed that fosamprenavir delivered similar amprenavir concentrations compared to standard-dose amprenavir (Wood et al 2004).

Amprenavir has a terminal half-life of 8 hours (Sadler et al 1999) and it is metabolized principally by P450 CYP 3A4. Boosting with ritonavir increases AUC and C_{min} of amprenavir using a non-compartmental pharmacokinetic method (Sadler et al 2001)

The NEAT study was a 48-week study in treatment-naïve patients randomized to either non-boosted fosamprenavir 1400 mg bid or 1250 mg nelfinavir bid with a backbone in both arms of abacavir/lamivudine. The randomization was 2:1 with 166 patients receiving fosamprenavir and 83 nelfinavir. Sixty-six percent of the patients on fosamprenavir versus 51% on nelfinavir had viral loads below 400 copies/mL. Diarrhea was significantly more common in the nelfinavir group. This was the only significant difference found in side effects (Rodriguez-French et al 2004).

Ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir was compared to boosted lopinavir in the KLEAN study, a large non-inferiority study in treatment-naïve patients that enrolled 837 patients. Patients were randomized to either boosted lopinavir in standard dose twice daily or fosamprenavir 700 mg twice daily together with 100 mg ritonavir twice daily. All patients received background therapy of abacavir together with lamivudine. After 48 weeks, non-inferiority was shown for fosamprenavir/r, 73% of patients achieving viral load below 400 copies for fosamprenavir/r versus 71% for lopinavir/r. In summary there were no differences in efficacy, tolerability, or safety between the two treatments (Eron et al 2006). Similar results were reported in an open-label, observational study on 82 therapy-naïve HIV-infected subjects followed for 18 months (Calza et al 2008).

### Fosamprenavir administered once daily

As stated above, because amprenavir has a rather long half-life once-daily therapy is feasible. The SOLO study compared once-daily fosamprenavir with 200 mg ritonavir and nelfinavir given twice daily. Abacavir and lamivudine were given twice daily to patients in both treatment arms. A total of 649 patients were enrolled. At 48 weeks 69% achieved viral load below 400 copies and 55% below 50 copies in the fosamprenavir/r arm. The corresponding figures for nelfinavir were 68% and 53%. Viral failure occurred in 17% of nelfinavir patients versus 7% in patients receiving fosamprenavir/r. Diarrhea was significantly more common in patients receiving nelfinavir. Total cholesterol increased 38% and 37%, respectively, in the two treatment groups. In summary the virological results were somewhat disappointing with 55% and 53% reaching viral load below 50 copies. No genotypic resistance mutations to amprenavir were however detected (Gathe et al 2004).

Even at low doses, ritonavir causes lipid elevations and gastrointestinal side effects (Cooper et al 2003). To explore the possibilities of further reducing the dose of ritonavir...
in once-daily fosamprenavir therapy, a pharmacokinetic crossover study in 36 healthy volunteers was performed (Ruane et al. 2007). Fosamprenavir was given once daily at 1400 mg together with either 100 or 200 mg of ritonavir during 14 days. After a washout period of 21 to 28 days medication was resumed for another 14 days with the alternate ritonavir dose. Steady state plasma amprenavir concentrations were measured at day 14 of each medication cycle. Equivalence between regimens for Cmax and AUC of the two ritonavir doses were shown. Cmin was 38% lower for those receiving 100 mg of ritonavir. The Cmin was, however, 2.5 times higher than the Cmin that is achieved with unboosted fosamprenavir 1400 mg twice daily, which is an approved dose in the US. Based on these observations a treatment study was initiated comparing once-daily fosamprenavir in treatment-naive patients with either 100 or 200 mg ritonavir during 96 weeks; 115 patients were included (Hicks et al. 2007). Interim results after 48 weeks show that 79% of patients in the 100 mg group compared to 63% in the 200 mg group had viral loads less than 50 copies/mL. The virological efficacy was higher in the 100 mg group but there were no tolerability or lipid advantages observed with the lower ritonavir dose. Both the improved virological response and the absence of tolerability and lipid advantages may be explained by differences in adherence. The adherence was estimated to be higher in the 100 mg ritonavir arm. In another randomized open label trial in treatment-naive patients once-daily fosamprenavir boosted with 100 mg ritonavir was compared with boosted atazanavir in the ALERT study (Smith et al. 2008). All patients were also treated with a backbone of tenofovir and emtricitabine; 106 patients were enrolled and 48 weeks results provided comparable results for virological outcome, CD4 increase, lipid changes, and adverse events. Stratification according to baseline viral load above or below 100 000 copies/mL did not change the comparison between the two arms. In the Context study treatment-experienced patients who had a treatment history of one or two protease inhibitors and viral load greater than 1000 were randomized to either fosamprenavir given once or twice daily or boosted lopinavir dosed once daily (DeJesus et al. 2003). Patients randomized to fosamprenavir once daily received 200 mg of ritonavir and for patients on a twice-daily regimen 100 mg of ritonavir was given together with 700 mg of fosamprenavir. Each regimen was given together with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors selected according to resistance tests. After 24 weeks of treatment non-inferiority was demonstrated for both fosamprenavir arms compared to lopinavir according to an ITT analysis of time averaged change in vRNA from baseline (AAUCMB). A total of 320 patients were enrolled. In summary the results are too limited for any conclusions on the utility of fosamprenavir given once daily to treatment-experienced patients, and the regimen is not recommended for these patients.

Discussion

Treatment options for HIV patients have dramatically improved since the introduction of efficacious antiretroviral combination therapy more than a decade ago. Treatment regimens have been simplified with fewer pills and fewer daily dosages. Fosamprenavir is a PI with a rather long half-life that makes it a candidate for once-daily use. The rather limited studies published so far indicate that fosamprenavir may be used once daily boosted with ritonavir. Once-daily fosamprenavir is already listed as an alternative treatment in antiretroviral-naive patients according to the Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents 2008 and in The European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) guidelines. The optimal ritonavir dose to be given together with fosamprenavir is, however, still to be defined though available results indicate that a dose of 100 mg may be adequate, at least in the absence of PI resistance.
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