Health characteristics of patients with asthma, COPD and asthma–COPD overlap in the NHANES database
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Introduction: Asthma and COPD have overlapping characteristics. As there are limited data on whether asthma–COPD overlap (ACO) represents a distinct condition, this study aimed to determine the similarities and differences of ACO with asthma and COPD.

Methods: US population-based, cross-sectional study using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data (2009–2012) compared participants with ACO vs those with asthma or COPD, each as mutually exclusive disease states. Demographics, health status, disability/limitations, health care resource utilization, clinical characteristics, and peripheral blood eosinophil counts were analyzed.

Results: A total of 1,609, 479, and 299 participants with asthma, COPD, and ACO, respectively, were included. An age-matched asthma subgroup included 299 participants from the asthma group. Compared with asthma and COPD, participants with ACO had worse health status, increased disease burden, and more comorbid conditions. The ACO, vs age-matched asthma subgroup, had lower percent predicted prebronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (82.1% vs 88.0%; P=0.017). The ACO group had significantly more asthma attacks in the past year than the age-matched asthma subgroup (49.8% vs 38.4%; P<0.001). The ACO group had more participants with postbronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second <80% predicted (52.1%) vs COPD (30.8%; P=0.003) and more participants with blood eosinophil counts ≥400 cells/µL (16.9%) vs COPD (9.5%; P=0.007) and the asthma subgroup (6.7%; P=0.014).

Conclusion: The ACO group represents an important subset of patients with chronic respiratory disease with an increased burden of disease over asthma and COPD individually. Early identification of this population will enable appropriate therapeutic interventions in a timely manner.
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Introduction

Both asthma and COPD are heterogeneous diseases.1,2 Participants with characteristics of both diseases are classified as having asthma–COPD overlap (ACO).3–5 The 2018 Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) describes ACO as being characterized by persistent airflow limitation with several features of asthma (ie, current or historical variability of airway limitation, personal and/or family history of asthma, allergies, and symptoms that are partially but significantly reduced by treatment) and COPD (ie, age of onset ≥40 years, associated with progression, chest X-ray with severe hyperinflation, additional COPD-like changes, and comorbidities that contribute to impairment).1,6

Generally, participants with ACO experience more frequent exacerbations, poorer quality of life, more progressive lung function deterioration, and elevated health...
care utilization in comparison to asthma or COPD alone.\(^1\)
It is acknowledged that ACO could consist of a number of
separate clinical phenotypes; each characterized by different
underlying mechanisms.\(^1,7\) For instance, participants could
have a form of ACO that is more similar to asthma or COPD.\(^8\)
In recognition of this, the term asthma–COPD overlap syn-
drome is no longer used in major consensus reports.\(^1\)

There is currently a lack of evidence for the formulation
of treatment guideline recommendations, such as GINA, for
patients presenting with ACO. This is mainly due to clinical
studies excluding participants meeting the description of
ACO.\(^1,7,9\) To increase the evidence base for ACO, several studies
have aimed to characterize ACO using national health survey
data, including the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS)
in the US,\(^10\) the Danish National Patients Registry,\(^11\) and the West
Sweden Asthma Study.\(^12\) While these studies have provided
knowledge about the prevalence, patient characteristics, and
health care utilization of ACO, they do not provide large datasets
of clinical data for an in-depth characterization of ACO and its
clinical differences and similarities to asthma and COPD.

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
(NHANES) is a nationally representative sample that
captures data on self-reported illnesses and health status.\(^13\)
NHANES provides a yearly representative sample of the US
population of approximately 5,000 people, combining data
from interviews and physical examinations, and represents
a unique dataset for an increased understanding of ACO as
compared with asthma and COPD.\(^13\) Using NHANES data,
this study aimed to compare characteristics, including blood
eosinophil counts, of participants with ACO to participants
with asthma or COPD only.

Materials and methods
Study design
This was a US-based population, cross-sectional study
(GSK ID: HO-16–17440) to evaluate individuals from
3 mutually exclusive cohorts: participants with asthma,
COPD, and ACO. The study used deidentified data obtained
from NHANES collected during 2 cycles: 2009–2010 and
2011–2012. The NHANES sub-datasets that were used for
the study included the demographic, laboratory, physical
examination, and questionnaire sub-datasets.

The NHANES database contains a nationally representa-
tive sample of the US population, using a four-stage prob-
ability sampling design to select its participants from the
noninstitutionalized US population.\(^14,15\) The design consists of
selecting primary sampling units (PSUs), dividing PSUs into
segments, listing households within each segment, randomly
drawing from the households, and, finally, randomly selecting
individuals (within designated age–sex–race/ethnicity screen-
ing subdomains) from households. The NHANES data con-
tained sample weights for each 2-year survey that considered
oversampling and other potential issues, such as survey non-
response, post-stratification, and sampling errors.

Information included in the database covers detailed
demographic, socioeconomic, dietary, and health-related data
including prescription drugs and laboratory measures, such as
blood eosinophil counts. Participants with recent chest pain,
problems with forceful expiration, the need for supplemental
oxygen, recent heart attack, stroke, and tuberculosis exposure
among others were excluded from the spirometry tests.

Ethics approval and informed consent
The study did not involve primary data collection, nor did
it require access to identifiable personal information. As
such, the study was exempt from Institutional Review Board
review. The data was obtained secondarily from previously
conducted National Health and Nutrition Examination Sur-
veys in the United States. Those surveys each had their own
separate Institutional Review Board approval process and
the surveys are referenced in the manuscript. All data were
deidentified, and thus informed consent was not required.

Participants
The initial inclusion criteria for the analysis included partici-
pants ≥12 years of age with ≥1 eosinophil count recorded in
the NHANES laboratory dataset. Three mutually exclusive
groups were defined within the analysis population as follows:
1) asthma: participants ≥12 years of age that a) reported ever
being told by a doctor or other health professional that they
had or still have asthma or b) had an episode of asthma or an
asthma attack during the past 12 months; 2) COPD: partici-
pants ≥40 years of age that a) had spirometry-defined COPD
using the 2016 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung
Disease\(^16\) clinical diagnosis standards, requiring participants
to have a forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV\(_1\))/forced
vital capacity (FVC) ratio <0.70 after inhaling \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic
bronchodilator medication, b) reported ever being told they
had emphysema, or c) reported ever being told they had
chronic bronchitis (smoking history was not used to define
COPD); and 3) ACO: participants ≥40 years of age who met the clinical criteria for both asthma and COPD (at least
1 characteristic from each definition). An age-matched
subgroup of the asthma group was also selected to ensure
any differences between groups could not be attributed to
age differences; the median age was used in age-matching.
More specifically, propensity scores were calculated using a multivariable logistic regression model, in which the probability of being in the ACO group was modeled using age. Estimated propensity scores were divided in intervals of 2% (ie, calipers) based on percentiles of the propensity score distribution. Per caliper of 2%, all participants in the ACO group were matched 1:1 to participants in the asthma group by random selection without replacement. Participants were excluded from COPD spirometry testing if they had current chest pain or a physical problem with forceful expiration, were taking supplemental oxygen, had recent surgery of the eye, chest, or the abdomen; had a recent heart attack, stroke, tuberculosis exposure, or had recently coughed up blood. In addition, participants with a personal history of detached retina or a collapsed lung were also excluded.

Study cohort characteristics

The asthma, COPD, and ACO groups were compared according to demographic characteristics (including sex, age, and race); health status; disability/limitations; health care resource utilization (HCRU; including asthma exacerbations, doctor visits, hospital visits, or emergency room visits); clinical characteristics (comorbid conditions, respiratory health, questionnaire responses, and spirometry measures); and laboratory evaluations (peripheral blood eosinophil counts).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics included mean, standard deviation, and median values for continuous data, and frequencies and proportions for categorical data. Statistical comparisons between groups of interest used $\chi^2$ tests for categorical variables and Student’s $t$-tests for continuous variables. All statistics and comparisons were weighted to account for the NHANES complex survey design. All statistical tests were 2-sided and were conducted using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software, version 9.3 or later (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Study population and participant demographics

Data for 20,293 participants were collected. Of these, 13,089 participants were ≥12 years of age and had ≥1 blood eosinophil counts available. Within this population, 1,609 participants met the definition for asthma only, 479 for COPD only, and 299 for ACO (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the proportion of patients who met the asthma and COPD criteria for ACO.

As expected, the mean age was significantly higher for the ACO vs the asthma group but similar to the COPD group. To enable comparisons across a standardized age, an asthma

\[\text{Figure 1} \quad \text{Identification of the study population.}\]

\*Participants with spirometry-defined COPD were identified using the 2016 GOLD COPD clinical diagnosis standards, requiring participants to have an FEV1/FVC ratio <0.70 after inhaling a β2-adrenergic bronchodilator medication.

Abbreviations: ACO, asthma–COPD overlap; FEV1/FVC, forced expiratory volume in 1 second/forced vital capacity; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.
subgroup age-matched to the ACO group was also used and comprised 299 participants. The percentage of males in the ACO group was relatively similar to the asthma groups, but was significantly smaller vs the COPD group. Race composition differed between the ACO and the asthma groups, with the asthma group having fewer participants identified as White and more nonWhite races; however, it did not differ in the ACO group vs the asthma subgroup. Although body mass index (BMI) was clinically similar across the 3 groups, the slight increase in the ACO group was significant vs the asthma group but not significant vs the COPD group. The ACO group had a nonsignificant lower BMI vs the age-matched asthma subgroup. The asthma and COPD groups had a mean BMI within the overweight range, whereas the ACO group and asthma subgroup were within the obese range. The asthma subgroup had fewer participants with Medicare coverage vs the ACO group; however, Medicare coverage in the ACO group was similar to the COPD group (Table 2).

Table 2 Participant demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>ACO (N=299)</th>
<th>Asthma (N=1,609)</th>
<th>Asthma age-matched subgroup (N=299)</th>
<th>COPD (N=479)</th>
<th>P-values* (ACO vs asthma)</th>
<th>P-values* (ACO vs age-matched asthma subgroup)</th>
<th>P-values* (ACO vs COPD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), weighted mean ± SD</td>
<td>60±0.7</td>
<td>37±0.6</td>
<td>60.0±0.8</td>
<td>61±0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (weighted %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>115 (39)</td>
<td>747 (44)</td>
<td>115 (32)</td>
<td>282 (56)</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>184 (61)</td>
<td>862 (56)</td>
<td>184 (68)</td>
<td>428 (44)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), weighted mean ± SD</td>
<td>30±0.5</td>
<td>28.9±0.3</td>
<td>31.3±0.6</td>
<td>29.4±0.4</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (weighted %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>166 (78.9)</td>
<td>649 (66.1)</td>
<td>134 (74.1)</td>
<td>301 (82.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>72 (10.3)</td>
<td>445 (14.7)</td>
<td>85 (13.6)</td>
<td>84 (6.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>15 (1.6)</td>
<td>179 (6.9)</td>
<td>19 (2.4)</td>
<td>31 (1.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic (other)</td>
<td>24 (3.8)</td>
<td>181 (6.3)</td>
<td>38 (5.7)</td>
<td>31 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (including multiracial)</td>
<td>22 (5.4)</td>
<td>155 (6.0)</td>
<td>23 (4.1)</td>
<td>32 (6.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, n (weighted %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>130 (54.1)</td>
<td>479 (47.0)</td>
<td>161 (60.1)</td>
<td>257 (57.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>58 (14.3)</td>
<td>66 (3.9)</td>
<td>43 (12.1)</td>
<td>60 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>58 (16.0)</td>
<td>143 (11.3)</td>
<td>46 (14.6)</td>
<td>91 (18.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>13 (3.6)</td>
<td>40 (2.8)</td>
<td>13 (4.4)</td>
<td>20 (2.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>32 (7.4)</td>
<td>314 (27.3)</td>
<td>26 (6.2)</td>
<td>29 (6.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with partner</td>
<td>8 (4.6)</td>
<td>108 (7.8)</td>
<td>9 (2.6)</td>
<td>21 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health insurance coverage, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>253 (87.2)</td>
<td>1,257 (81.6)</td>
<td>257 (90.2)</td>
<td>410 (86.9)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered by health insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>129 (57.2)</td>
<td>743 (59.3)</td>
<td>145 (65.6)</td>
<td>242 (61.5)</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>132 (36.1)</td>
<td>193 (10.4)</td>
<td>120 (33.9)</td>
<td>210 (35.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>53 (11.8)</td>
<td>280 (11.5)</td>
<td>42 (9.1)</td>
<td>56 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>39 (12.1)</td>
<td>220 (12.2)</td>
<td>43 (11.9)</td>
<td>68 (12.0)</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All statistics are weighted to account for NHANES complex survey design (including oversampling), survey nonresponse, and post-stratification. *P*-values shown against overall categories or individual parameters as applicable.

Abbreviations: ACO, asthma–COPD overlap; BMI, body mass index; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SD, standard deviation.

Table 1 Distribution of ACO selection criteria (nonmutually exclusive)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asthma criteria (≤12 years of age)</th>
<th>COPD criteria (≥40 years of age)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever being told by a physician they have asthma, n (%)</td>
<td>Spirometry*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants still have asthma, n (%)</td>
<td>Ever being told they had emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants had an asthma attack in the past 12 months, n (%)</td>
<td>Ever being told they had chronic bronchitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All COPD criteria and the asthma criterion “Ever being told by a physician they have asthma” are aligned with GINA and/or GOLD criteria for ACO. *Spirometry-defined COPD using the 2018 Global Initiative for GOLD clinical diagnosis standards.

Abbreviations: ACO, asthma–COPD overlap; GINA, Global Initiative for Asthma; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.
## Health status and disease burden

The health status distributions between the ACO group vs the asthma and COPD groups were found to be significantly different; however, the differences between the ACO group and the asthma subgroup were not significant. More specifically, a greater proportion of the ACO group described their general health status as poor compared with the 2 asthma groups and the COPD group (Table 3). The ACO group also reported more days of poor physical health within the last 30 days, compared with all the other groups. A similar pattern was observed for days of inactivity due to poor physical/mental health within the last 30 days.

Productivity was significantly lower in the ACO group than in any of the other groups (Table 3). In the ACO group, more participants reported limitations that kept them from working than participants with asthma (including the age-matched subgroup) or COPD. Moreover, participants with ACO were more limited in the amount of work they could do vs participants with asthma and COPD. Activity limitations due to wheezing/whistling were reported by a significantly higher proportion of participants in the ACO group than the asthma group; there was no difference in activity limitations due to wheezing/whistling in the ACO group vs the asthma subgroup.

Overall, the number of times that participants with ACO received health care in the past year was significantly higher than participants from the asthma and COPD groups compared with the ACO group (Figure 2). Of note, this difference was not significant for participants with ACO vs the asthma subgroup. Overnight hospital stays were experienced by significantly more participants with ACO than participants with asthma, including the asthma subgroup, or COPD.

### Clinical characteristics

Comorbid conditions, including diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke, and kidney disease, were significantly more common in the ACO group compared with the asthma and COPD groups (Table 4). This was also the case for the asthma subgroup, with the exception of kidney disease. Hypertension was also more prevalent in the ACO group compared with all the other groups, although the difference was only significant for the asthma group.

A greater proportion of the ACO group reported chronic bronchitis compared with the COPD group. Respiratory...
symptoms (wheezing/whistling, cough, and phlegm) were significantly more frequent in the ACO group than other groups (Table 4), with the exception of dry coughing at night, which although more frequent was not significantly different in the ACO group vs the asthma subgroup. Participants with ACO, on average, smoked significantly more cigarettes/day and had more pack-years than participants in the asthma group in the asthma subgroup and in the asthma subgroup; however, there were no differences vs the COPD group. Of interest, mean airway reversibility was relatively similar across the 4 groups, 219 mL for ACO, 285 mL for the asthma group, 282 mL for the asthma subgroup, and 210 mL for the COPD group. The prebronchodilator percent predicted FEV₁, a measure of airway obstruction, was lower in the ACO group than in the asthma group and asthma subgroup, but similar to the COPD group (Figure 3, Table 4). The prebronchodilator percent predicted FVC was lower in the ACO group than in all the other groups, although the difference was not significant vs the asthma subgroup.

Mean eosinophil counts did not differ significantly between the ACO and asthma groups, but were statistically significantly higher in the ACO group vs the COPD group and vs the asthma subgroup (Table 4). Furthermore, a significantly greater proportion of participants in the ACO group had eosinophil counts ≥400 cells/µL compared with either the asthma (including the asthma subgroup) or COPD groups (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Using data obtained from the NHANES survey collected between 2009 and 2012, this study found that participants with ACO had greater disease severity and burden, worse health status, more HCRU, lower lung function, and a higher proportion of comorbidities than participants with asthma or COPD. These results confirm those found in comparative studies from the US⁹,¹⁰,¹⁷ and 2 Scandinavian studies.¹¹,¹² While participants with ACO shared similarities with asthma and COPD, ACO did not appear to be more similar to 1 condition over the other. The results of the current study suggest that ACO represents an important subset of patients with chronic respiratory disease that is more severe than asthma or COPD alone.

Previous studies have shown that patients with ACO have poorer health status and an increased disease burden in comparison with patients with asthma or COPD alone.¹,⁷,⁹ In this study, more participants with ACO than participants with asthma or COPD considered their health status poor, although the difference was not significant in the ACO group vs the asthma subgroup. Moreover, the ACO group had more HCRU and more overnight hospital stays in the prior year than the asthma or COPD groups; of note, there was no significant difference in the frequency of HCRU between the ACO group and the asthma subgroup. The COPD Gene Study and MEPS study also identified that patients with ACO had significantly worse health status, as measured by the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire and Short Form 12, Version 2 Health Survey, respectively, than those with asthma or COPD only.¹⁰,¹⁷ Furthermore, the MEPS study also demonstrated that disease burden, measured by patient need for respiratory health care, was significantly increased in ACO compared with asthma and COPD.¹⁰ Although these studies used different measures to determine health status and disease burden than the current study, the similarity of the results between the 2 studies emphasizes the severity of ACO in comparison with asthma or COPD alone in the US population.

In this study, participants with ACO showed clinical characteristics of both asthma and COPD. In particular, participants with ACO experienced a number of symptoms common to COPD, including cough and phlegm and persistent airway limitation.² However, more participants with ACO had moderate-to-severe lung function (postbronchodilator FEV₁ <80% predicted; 52.1%) compared with participants with COPD (30.8%). The ACO group also presented common features of asthma including similar proportion of participants with asthma attacks, airway reversibility after use of bronchodilators, and wheezing. Similar mixed asthma and COPD clinical features were observed in the cross-sectional West Sweden Asthma Study.¹² These participants with ACO experienced signs of coughing, phlegm, and limited airflow along with signs of asthma, including recurrent and persistent...
### Table 4 Clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>ACO (N=299)</th>
<th>Asthma (N=1,609)</th>
<th>Asthma age-matched subgroup (N=299)</th>
<th>COPD (N=479)</th>
<th>P-values* (ACO vs asthma)</th>
<th>P-values* (ACO vs asthma age-matched subgroup)</th>
<th>P-values* (ACO vs COPD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid conditions, n (weighted %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants ever told they had condition</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>92 (26.0)</td>
<td>146 (6.8)</td>
<td>70 (17.0)</td>
<td>100 (15.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>194 (58.4)</td>
<td>421 (27.9)</td>
<td>182 (55.2)</td>
<td>265 (49.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>83 (25.6)</td>
<td>86 (5.5)</td>
<td>38 (10.3)</td>
<td>90 (13.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>30 (9.2)</td>
<td>39 (2.1)</td>
<td>18 (3.2)</td>
<td>33 (4.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney disease</td>
<td>19 (6.0)</td>
<td>39 (2.4)</td>
<td>18 (4.3)</td>
<td>15 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory health, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Ever been told you have asthma</td>
<td>299 (100.0)</td>
<td>1,609 (100.0)</td>
<td>299 (100.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asthma attack in past year</td>
<td>113 (49.8)</td>
<td>398 (47.7)</td>
<td>73 (38.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency care visit for asthma in past year</td>
<td>43 (21.9)</td>
<td>119 (14.8)</td>
<td>20 (14.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ever been told you had emphysema</td>
<td>88 (24.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>114 (22.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ever been told you had chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>228 (76.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>195 (37.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory symptoms, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Wheezing/wheezing in chest in the past year</td>
<td>177 (55.4)</td>
<td>589 (35.4)</td>
<td>115 (30.3)</td>
<td>141 (27.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coughing most days in the past 3 months</td>
<td>104 (31.2)</td>
<td>94 (15.2)</td>
<td>48 (16.6)</td>
<td>118 (23.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry cough at night in past year</td>
<td>55 (14.9)</td>
<td>137 (7.5)</td>
<td>35 (10.7)</td>
<td>49 (7.4)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bring up phlegm most days in past 3 months</td>
<td>97 (25.7)</td>
<td>86 (13.0)</td>
<td>44 (14.7)</td>
<td>106 (19.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Cigarettes/day, weighted mean ± SD</td>
<td>16.9±1.3</td>
<td>11.4±0.8</td>
<td>11.9±1.4</td>
<td>16.8±1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking frequency, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>Every day</td>
<td>85 (44.5)</td>
<td>228 (40.5)</td>
<td>37 (21.4)</td>
<td>163 (44.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some days</td>
<td>11 (4.8)</td>
<td>46 (6.4)</td>
<td>7 (2.6)</td>
<td>16 (5.5)</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>108 (50.7)</td>
<td>268 (53.2)</td>
<td>108 (75.9)</td>
<td>181 (49.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime smoking, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>204 (62.5)</td>
<td>542 (46.5)</td>
<td>152 (48.0)</td>
<td>360 (76.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years of smoking, weighted mean ± SD</td>
<td>32.0±2.4</td>
<td>13.9±1.0</td>
<td>19.6±2.1</td>
<td>33.1±1.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of patients with ≥10 pack-years of smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>138 (78.4)</td>
<td>212 (40.3)</td>
<td>79 (53.1)</td>
<td>254 (73.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirometry, weighted mean ± SD</td>
<td>Prebronchodilator FEV1/FVC ratio</td>
<td>0.68±0.01</td>
<td>0.79±0.00</td>
<td>0.73±0.007</td>
<td>0.66±0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postbronchodilator FEV1/FVC ratio</td>
<td>0.65±0.01</td>
<td>0.76±0.00</td>
<td>0.73±0.005</td>
<td>0.64±0.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prebronchodilator % predicted FEV1</td>
<td>82±1.8</td>
<td>92±1.6</td>
<td>88±0.13</td>
<td>84±1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prebronchodilator % predicted FVC</td>
<td>92±1.4</td>
<td>96±0.6</td>
<td>93±1.2</td>
<td>97±1.5</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postbronchodilator FEV1 % predicted value, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>≥80%</td>
<td>29 (47.9)</td>
<td>97 (87.2)</td>
<td>9 (66.7)</td>
<td>141 (69.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%–79%</td>
<td>27 (51.3)</td>
<td>22 (12.8)</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>68 (26.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30%–49%</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;30%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils, weighted mean ± SD</td>
<td>Eosinophil count (cells/µL)</td>
<td>248±14</td>
<td>233±7</td>
<td>200±11</td>
<td>221±10</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants with eosinophil count, n (weighted %)</td>
<td>≥150 cells/µL</td>
<td>203 (63.0)</td>
<td>1,009 (61.1)</td>
<td>182 (58.1)</td>
<td>294 (63.1)</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥300 cells/µL</td>
<td>73 (23.8)</td>
<td>397 (23.6)</td>
<td>50 (13.9)</td>
<td>89 (19.4)</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥400 cells/µL</td>
<td>43 (16.9)</td>
<td>210 (11.6)</td>
<td>26 (6.7)</td>
<td>40 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** All statistics are weighted to account for NHANES complex survey design (including oversampling), survey nonresponse, and post-stratification. *P*-values shown against overall categories or individual parameters as applicable.

**Abbreviations:** ACO, asthma–COPD overlap; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SD, standard deviation.

Together, the data indicate that ACO shares some characteristics of both COPD and asthma, yet has other characteristics indicating a disease burden that is greater than that found in the individual diseases alone. Studies indicate that ACO is associated with an increased prevalence of comorbidities in comparison with asthma and COPD.19 Similar results were found in the current study; more participants with ACO had diabetes, coronary...
Figure 3: Spirometry: prebronchodilator percent predicted FEV₁ and FVC.

Note: *P*-values shown are representative of statistical comparisons made with the same outcome in the ACO group.

Abbreviations: ACO, asthma–COPD overlap; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

(ischemic) heart disease, stroke, and kidney disease than participants with asthma or COPD alone. The only exception was hypertension, which was similar between the ACO and COPD groups—affecting approximately 50% of participants. Previous studies have shown that hypertension is the most common comorbidity in COPD, occurring in more than half of patients²⁻⁸ and that prevalence rates of hypertension in ACO can reach 63%–66%.¹⁹ This suggests that patients with ACO may share the same propensity to cardiovascular disease as COPD.² In contrast, only approximately 30% of the asthma group experienced hypertension. This may be due to age, as the younger participants in the asthma group (mean: 37 years) would be less likely to have age-associated comorbidities, such as hypertension,²¹ that would be found in the ACO and COPD groups with older participants (mean: 60–61 years). Indeed, the proportion of older patients in the asthma subgroup (mean age: 60 years) with hypertension was similar to those found in the ACO and COPD groups.

Exacerbation rates are associated with blood eosinophil counts, rising with increasing blood eosinophil counts in both asthma and COPD.²²⁻²³ This suggests that eosinophils are involved with the underlying pathological processes of asthma, COPD, and ACO.²²⁻²⁴⁻²⁶ In this study, the ACO group had similar mean eosinophil counts to the asthma and COPD groups and a significantly higher count vs the asthma subgroup (mean age: 60 years) with hypertension was similar to those found in the ACO and COPD groups.

This result suggests that eosinophils may underlie the increased frequency of severe exacerbations seen in ACO, at least in some individuals.¹⁷ Further investigation of the role of eosinophils in ACO may allow for more targeted disease management and guideline recommendations, which are valuable given the current disease burden of these patients.

The limitations of the current study derive primarily from the limitations of NHANES. As this study was cross-sectional, it only provides information on the COPD and asthma populations at a specific point in time, in the year prior to the survey. In this analysis, the number of times that participants with ACO received health care in the past year was significantly higher than participants from both asthma groups and the COPD group. Therefore, participants with ACO would be more likely to have additional conditions (comorbidities) or be exposed to additional treatments, than those with asthma or COPD. Consequently, causality cannot be assessed; therefore, the findings of the study may only be interpreted as correlations. Additionally, most data points collected by the NHANES are based on self-reports from the study participants and may be biased. The accuracy of the self-reported data was not verified or verifiable through medical records or other sources. As a result, the definitions used to classify these 3 groups could have underestimated the true prevalence of these conditions. The diagnostic criteria present in the NHANES survey questions do not cover the full criteria listed in the GINA guidelines; therefore, limited diagnostic criteria for COPD and asthma were used to inform a diagnosis of ACO in this study. Currently, there is no standardized definition.
for ACO; diagnostic criteria for ACO is an evolving concept that is under debate. The identification of groups was based on self-reported answers to the NHANES survey questions. Furthermore, the severity of disease was not assessed. Nonetheless, the criterion chronic bronchitis was used as one of the defining features of COPD in this study as the GINA guidelines identified it as a feature that favors a diagnosis of typical COPD over typical asthma. Finally, as this analysis only described characteristics of participants with ≥1 blood eosinophil result, there is a potential for selection bias for participants with severe disease for any given diagnostic as they may have had a more complete laboratory workup. However, this could also apply for all three diagnostics, and only 18% of participants were excluded due to missing or inexistent laboratory data.

Conclusion
Using the NHANS datasets, this study shows that ACO shares characteristics of both asthma and COPD, but is associated with greater disease burden and severity, worse health status, more HCRU, lower lung function, and higher incidence of comorbidities than asthma or COPD alone. The increased blood eosinophil counts in the ACO group relative to the asthma and COPD groups warrant further investigation. These results suggest that ACO represents an important subgroup that requires early identification and intervention with tailored therapies.
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