Association of GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation with the risk of HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis
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Background: Study on the relationship between glutathione-S-transferase Pi 1 (GSTP1) and P16 promoter region methylation and the risk of hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HBV-related HCC) has produced inconsistent results.

Objectives: To assess the correlation between GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation frequency and HBV-related HCC susceptibility.

Methods: All relevant studies were identified by searching PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure literature databases before December, 2017. The OR and the corresponding 95% CI were calculated to investigate the risk of GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation rate and HBV-related HCC. Sensitivity analysis was performed and publication bias was estimated using the Begg’s and Egger’s test.

Results: Our meta-analysis identified the relationships of GSTP1 (six studies including 213 HBV-related HCC tumor tissues) and P16 (nine studies with 287 HBV-related HCC tumor tissue) promoter methylation with HCC risk. Compared with normal liver tissue and cirrhosis, the pooled ORs of GSTP1 promoter region methylation in HBV-related HCC cancer tissues were 6.05 (95% CI =1.20–30.52) and 5.21 (95% CI =2.19–12.41), respectively. Compared with paracancerous tissue, normal liver tissue, cirrhosis, and chronic hepatitis B as controls, the pooled ORs of P16 promoter region methylation in HBV-related HCC cancer tissues were 7.18 (95% CI =2.31–22.33), 24.89 (95% CI =3.38–183.03), 5.92 (95% CI =1.78–19.68), and 12.12 (95% CI =0.75–196.50).

Conclusion: In summary, our meta-analysis found strong associations between GSTP1 and P16 gene promoter methylation and an increased HBV-related HCC susceptibility. Moreover, GSTP1 and P16 methylation in promoter region could obviously increase the risk of HBV-related HCC in patients with cirrhosis, indicating that these would be promising biomarkers for early clinical diagnosis of HBV-related HCC.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), one of the leading malignancies, is a major cause of cancer-related death worldwide.¹ As is known to all, HCC is a consequential complication of cirrhosis.² In addition, epidemiological studies have provided overwhelming evidence that hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is the main risk factor associated with the occurrence of chronic hepatitis B (CHB), cirrhosis, and HCC. Globally, 257 million people are chronic HBV carriers, and nearly one-quarter of them will develop HCC.³–⁵ Therefore, the progression of HCC in persons persistently infected with HBV is of great significance. However, the mechanisms contributing to the HBV-related HCC have not been fully elucidated.
DNA methylation, a common epigenetic alteration, plays an important role in the regulation of gene expressions and other life activities. Previous studies have shown that aberrant promoter hypermethylation of many tumor suppressor genes (TSGs), which will lead to transcriptional repression and loss of gene function, may play a major role in the progression of tumorigenesis. In recent years, several aberrant methylation profiles of tumor-associated genes have been observed in HCC. In consideration of the significance of HBV in the occurrence of HCC, it is necessary to further study whether aberrant DNA methylation of specific genes exerts a potential role in early detection of HBV-related HCC.

The glutathione S-transferase pi 1 (GSTP1) gene is considered to be a major TSG located on chromosome 16p13, belonging to a family of phase II metabolic enzymes, and plays a critical role in the prevention of hepatocyte mutation. In addition, the p16 gene is a cell cycle-related gene located on chromosome 9p21 and plays a key role in cell cycle regulation. Preliminary reports have reported that GSTP1 and P16 promoter hypermethylation leads to the downregulation of gene expressions and contributes to an increase in the incidence of HBV-related HCC. However, the relationship of GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation with HBV-related HCC risk and pathogenesis remains controversial. Therefore, we carried out the current meta-analysis to comprehensively assess the associations of GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation with the risk of HBV-related HCC.

Methods

Search strategy

Relevant literature was retrieved from PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases with a combination of the following words: “Hepatitis B Virus” or “HBV” and “hepatocellular carcinoma” or “HCC” and “GSTP1” or “glutathione-S-transferase P1” or “P16” and “methylation”. All relevant articles were published before December 2017, without language restrictions. Literature searches were conducted independently by two reviewers using a standardized approach (Qin Li and Cunliang Deng). The references from relevant primary articles were manually searched to identify potential studies. Any disagreement was adjudicated by a third investigator (Xiang Li) after referring to original articles.

Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

We used the following inclusion criteria for our research: 1) studies that have a case-control design; 2) studies in which patients diagnosed with HBV-related HCC, cirrhosis, and CHB were accurately diagnosed according to the diagnostic criteria; 3) studies in which patients with HBV infection were diagnosed using a HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) serological assay; 4) methods suitable for the methylation detection were confined to MethyLight array, pyrosequencing, and methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (MSP); and 5) studies primarily evaluating the frequency of GSTP1 or P16 promoter methylation in HBV-related HCC tissues with control group. Studies were excluded if they met one of the following criteria: 1) overlapping data or review articles; 2) studies in which patients had other severe diseases, such as other malignancies, heart failure, or kidney failure; and 3) studies in which patients received a combination of other treatments.

Data extraction

We recorded the following information: first author, publication year, geographical location, ethnicity, detection method of methylation, and frequency of methylation. The case numbers of HBV-related HCC, cirrhosis, CHB, paracancerous tissue, and normal liver tissue were separately extracted from the overall population after fully understanding the paper.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analyses were performed using STATA 12.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). The strength of the associations between GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation and the risk of HBV-related HCC was expressed as the ORs and 95% CIs. The Z-test was applied to estimate the statistical significance of pooled ORs. Between-study heterogeneity was evaluated by the Cochrane Q-statistic and I² test. The random-effects model was chosen when heterogeneity existed among studies (I²>50%). Otherwise, a fixed-effects model was applied. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to investigate the influence of single study on the final results. Egger’s test, Begg’s test, and funnel plots were performed to examine the publication bias. The results were displayed as forest plots and identified to be statistically significant when P<0.05.

Results

Characteristics of studies

The process of literature search is summarized in Figure 1. The original search yielded a total of 111 articles related to the searched keywords. According to the inclusion criteria, a total of 429 HCC samples with HBV infection in 12 studies were included; additionally, 173 adjacent samples,
167 normal samples, 241 cirrhosis samples, and 190 CHB samples were included. The publication year of the studies ranged from 2003 to 2017.

The geographic setting of the studies was heterogeneous: two studies were from Korea, eight were from China, one was from Japan, and one each from France and Thailand. The MSP method used for this meta-analysis was adopted in eight studies, one study was detected by pyrosequencing, and the other three studies were conducted using MethyLight array. The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 1.

Association of GSTP1 promoter region methylation with the risk of HBV-related HCC
Six studies were included to assess the potential role of GSTP1 promoter methylation in the risk of HCC in patients with HBV infection. A random-effects model was used where significant heterogeneity was found among the studies. Our findings demonstrated that the frequency of the GSTP1 gene methylation in neoplasm tissues was significantly higher than in the normal and cirrhosis tissues (neoplasm tissue vs normal tissue: OR = 6.05, 95% CI = 1.20–30.52, P < 0.05, Figure 2A and Table 2; neoplasm tissue vs cirrhosis tissue: OR = 5.21, 95% CI = 2.19–12.41, P < 0.01, Figure 2B and Table 2).

Association of P16 promoter region methylation with the risk of HBV-related HCC
The pooled OR of P16 methylation in HBV-related HCC tissues was 7.18, with corresponding 95% CI = 2.31–22.33, compared with adjacent tissues in the random-effects model (P < 0.01; Figure 3A and Table 2). Compared with normal

Table 1 Clinicopathological features of eligible studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene methylation</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Neoplasm M</th>
<th>Neoplasm U</th>
<th>Adjacent M</th>
<th>Adjacent U</th>
<th>Normal M</th>
<th>Normal U</th>
<th>Cirrhosis M</th>
<th>Cirrhosis U</th>
<th>CHB M</th>
<th>CHB U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1</td>
<td>Su et al15</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chang et al14</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feng et al17</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Methylight</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lambert et al18</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>France and Thailand</td>
<td>Methylight</td>
<td>Pyrosequencing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Um et al10</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dong et al13</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>Methylight</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shim et al11</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narimatsu et al12</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhang et al13</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Su et al15</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhu et al24</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chang et al16</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhu et al25</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Um et al26</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>Methylight</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qu et al19</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>MSP</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: M, methylation; U, unmethylation; MSP, methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction; CHB, chronic hepatitis B.
tissues, the fixed-effects model was chosen to evaluate the association between the frequency of P16 methylation and the risk of HBV-related HCC, and a significant result was found (OR = 24.89, 95% CI = 3.38–183.03, P < 0.01; Figure 3B and Table 2). Moreover, the pooled OR was 5.92 in HBV-related HCC tumor tissues vs cirrhosis tissues (95% CI = 1.78–19.68, P < 0.01; Figure 3C and Table 2), indicating that P16 methylation in promoter region could obviously increase the risk of HBV-related HCC in patients with cirrhosis. However, no significant association was found between HBV-related HCC tumor tissues and CHB tissues (OR = 12.12, 95% CI = 0.75–196.50, P > 0.05; Figure 3D and Table 2).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of each study on overall meta-analysis by excluding one study at a time, but the pooled ORs were always constant, indicating that no individual study significantly affected the overall pooled estimates, and our results were robust.

Publication bias

Begg’s and Egger’s tests were used to investigate the publication bias. Begg’s funnel plot showed no publication bias for the association between the methylation of GSTP1 and the risk of HBV-related HCC in cancerous and normal tissue, while the Egger’s test indicated an opposite result. Moreover, results from our meta-analysis showed that there was no publication bias when assessing the GSTP1 methylation in HBV-related HCC tumor tissues vs cirrhosis tissues (Table 2 and Figure 4).

With regard to the association between the methylation of P16 and the risk of HBV-related HCC, the funnel plots presented no apparent asymmetry, and the Egger’s tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Studies (n)</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Heterogeneity test</th>
<th>Publication bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1 methylation (neoplasm vs normal)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Random-effects model</td>
<td>6.05 (1.20–30.52)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1 methylation (neoplasm vs cirrhosis)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed-effects model</td>
<td>5.21 (2.19–12.41)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16 methylation (neoplasm vs adjacent)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Random-effects model</td>
<td>7.18 (2.31–22.33)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16 methylation (neoplasm vs normal)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fixed-effects model</td>
<td>24.89 (3.38–183.03)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16 methylation (neoplasm vs cirrhosis)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Random-effects model</td>
<td>5.92 (1.78–19.68)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16 methylation (neoplasm vs CHB)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Random-effects model</td>
<td>12.12 (0.75–196.50)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CHB, chronic hepatitis B.
showed no publication bias in HBV-related HCC tumor tissues vs adjacent tissues, normal tissues, cirrhosis tissues, and CHB tissues (Table 2 and Figure 5).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, HBV infection is one of the most associated factors in hepatocarcinogenesis. HBV has been proved to integrate into the host genome and interfere with cell proliferation and invasion, DNA repair, as well as epigenetic modification. In areas with high prevalence of HBV infection, a spectrum of liver disease has been formed, extending from CHB to cirrhosis, even to HCC. Therefore, it is of great importance to explore the molecular mechanisms underlying HBV-related HCC.

Previous studies demonstrated that HBV infection could lead to hypermethylation, and aberrant DNA methylation has been proved to be an early event in hepatocarcinogenesis. Consequently, the analysis of DNA methylation could be
an effective method for assessing reliable and powerful biomarkers for HBV-related HCC, which will greatly improve the diagnosis and treatment of it.

The GSTP1 is a gene encoding an enzyme with detoxification and protein-binding functions. In addition to the over-expression of GSTP1 observed in many neoplasms, GSTP1 genetic polymorphisms and abnormal CpG island methylation have also been thought to function in susceptibility to several cancers, including breast, lung, and liver cancers.33–36 According to the previous studies, GSTP1 promoter methylation may be involved in the occurrence and development of HBV-related HCC.15,16,18,19 However, there are contradictory results concerning the role of GSTP1 promoter methylation in the progression of HBV-related HCC as well.17,20 In view of the conflicting evidence on this issue, we performed this meta-analysis to evaluate the exact relationship between GSTP1 promoter methylation and increased risk of HBV-related HCC. Consequently, our results confirmed that the methylation rates of GSTP1 gene in cancer tissues were considerably higher than those of normal controls (OR = 6.05, 95% CI = 1.20–30.52) as well as cirrhosis tissues (OR = 5.21, 95% CI = 2.19–12.41), suggesting the importance of GSTP1 promoter region methylation in the development of HBV-related HCC.

In addition, P16 is another important TSG for the suppression of tumor growth.37,38 P16 gene promoter region methylation represents the most common mechanism of P16 inactivation in HBV-induced human HCC.39 As several studies demonstrated, the methylation of P16 may participate in the development of HBV-related HCC.18,19,21,22 However, the negative results indicated that the exact relationship of P16 gene promoter region methylation with HBV-related HCC susceptibility was still inconclusive.17,20 In the present study, an apparently increased risk of HBV-related HCC was found in tumor tissue in comparison with paracancerous tissue (OR = 7.18, 95% CI = 2.31–22.33), normal liver tissue (OR = 24.89, 95% CI = 3.38–183.03), and cirrhosis (OR = 5.92, 95% CI = 1.78–19.68), confirming that P16 promoter methylation is a risk factor for HBV-related HCC.
In this meta-analysis, the publication bias was examined in the eligible studies using Begg’s test and Egger’s test, and the funnel plots showed that the data did not have a considerable discrepancy among studies. Furthermore, we conducted sensitivity analysis and consistent results were found, which revealed that no single study could affect the overall conclusion.

However, several potential limitations must be emphasized in this study. First, the limited studies with small sample size may limit the power of statistics. Second, moderate heterogeneity across included studies existed in separate comparisons, which may have an influence on the interpretation of our results. Third, as the pathogenesis of HBV-related HCC has a significant difference in diverse countries and regions, HBV genotypes B and C are common in Asia while genotypes A and D are prevalent in Europe, but the ethnicity of the population in this meta-analysis was mainly Asian. Therefore, the results of this meta-analysis were mainly adapted to Asian population, and large-scale subjects with multi-ethnic populations are needed.

Conclusion
This meta-analysis showed that GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation might play an important role in HBV-related HCC initiation and progression, and GSTP1 and P16 methylation in promoter region could obviously increase the risk of HBV-related HCC in patients with cirrhosis, which might be promising biomarkers for the early clinical diagnosis of HBV-related HCC. However, in consideration of the limitations mentioned earlier, more large-scale and well-designed studies will provide more insights into the role of GSTP1 and P16 promoter methylation in the risk and pathogenesis of HBV-related HCC.
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