Inhibitor of IGF1 receptor alleviates the inflammation process in the diabetic kidney mouse model without activating SOCS2
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Objective: To explore the anti-inflammatory mechanism of IGF1R inhibitor in diabetic nephropathy.

Methods: C57/BL6 mice were reared with high-fat diet for 8 weeks, then were injected 30 mg/kg streptozotocin intraperitoneally to induce type 2 diabetes. After 8 weeks, the type 2 diabetes nephropathy model was successfully set up the different drugs were administrated to mice with diabetes (insulin 1–2 U/day, benazepril 10 mg/kg per day intragastrically, IGF-1R inhibitor 30 mg/kg per day intragastrically). After 8 weeks drugs administration, all mice were collected and the kidney tissue, measured levels of inflammatory factor (F4/80, TLR4 and CD68) and fibrosis markers (αSMA, E-cadherin and SR) using immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization.

Results: The type 2 diabetes nephropathy model was built successfully, which along with increased urinary protein excretion rate and increased inflammatory infiltration, and the correlation was characterized by increased CD68⁺, F4/80⁺ cells and increased TLR4, αSMA, SR expression. IGF-1R inhibitors reversed these changes, but benazepril and insulin were without significant changes. The insulin decreased the expression level of IGF-1, and increased the levels of suppressor of cytokine signaling 2 (SOCS2). Benazepril and IGF-1R inhibitor were no significant changes like insulin.

Conclusion: Inhibition of IGF1R was a more effective choice for inflammation treatment than Ben or Ins in diabetic kidney disease (DKD). The IGF1R inhibitor blocked pathological changes induced by the over-expression of IGF1 in DKD without up-regulating SOCS2 protein levels.
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Introduction
Diabetes affects around 425 million people worldwide, and China has the highest number of patients of any nation.¹ About 40% of diabetes patients eventually die of diabetic kidney disease (DKD), which is a leading cause of end-stage KD (ESKD).² There are few therapeutic drugs for DKD, principally blood pressure and blood glucose control drugs, such as blockers of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system and insulin (Ins).³,⁴ Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors like benazepril (Ben) can reduce blood pressure and improve the blood flow in the kidneys. Studies have revealed that Ben alone or combined with rhein or leflunomide has a good effect on relieving the fibrosis process of diabetic nephropathy.⁵–⁸ Studies have also shown that Ins mildly reduces inflammatory infiltration and fibrosis of tissue through regulating the activity of IGF1R by SOCS signaling.⁹ However, control of blood glucose and blood pressure by Ins and blockers of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system cannot completely relieve inflammatory and fibrosis progress in DKD, microalbuminuria still occurs in 16%–26% of diabetes patients, and patients progress relentlessly to ESKD.
As such, more strategies are needed for more effective treatment of DKD. Inflammation processes play a vital role in the pathogenesis of DKD, driven by multiple factors like lipotoxicity, gluco-toxicity, endoplasmic reticulum stress, oxidative stress, formation of amyloid deposits in islets, and alterations in gut microbiota caused by Ins resistance. Anti-inflammation treatment can instead act on the dysfunctional pathway that causes several changes associated with DKD. Treatments addressing inflammation could be used to prevent DKD progression.

IGF1 is a powerful regulatory factor in various cell types, including glomerular and tubular cells. It is an important growth factor for keeping the nephritic structure and function. It also plays a key role in the pathological process of DKD. Many studies have shown that IGF1 overexpression causes many histopathology changes, such as kidney tissue hyperplasia, renal cell proliferation, nephomegaly, mesangial expansion, and increased expression of inflammation cytokines and extracellular matrix proteins. An IGF1R inhibitor is a drug commonly used in the treatment of tumors and cancer, as it has a significant inhibitory effect on tumor growth. In our previous report, we also found that inhibition of IGF1R could alleviate inflammation in DKD more efficiently. The mechanism of the IGF1R inhibitor alleviating inflammation needs to be addressed.

To investigate the effect of IGF1R inhibitors on DKD further and address the mechanisms involved therein, we developed a DKD mouse model, treating them with an IGF1R inhibitor and comparing this to Ins and Ben treatment. Factors involved in macrophage infiltration and fibrosis were examined. We found that the IGF1R inhibitor alleviated the inflammation process more efficiently than Ins or Ben by avoiding the activation of the SOCS pathway.

Methods

Animals

A total of 30 specific pathogen-free male C57/BL6 mice (aged 6–8 weeks and weighing 20±3 g) were purchased from the Animal Experiment Center of Guizhou Medical University (Guiyang, China). Mouse experiments were conducted in accordance with the policies of the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and China animal welfare legislation. Our research was approved by the ethics committee of Guizhou People’s Hospital. The study protocol was approved by the institutional animal care and welfare committee. All mice were kept under standard temperature (21°C±2°C), standard humidity (55%±2%), and a 12-hour light–dark cycle. All mice had free access to a standard rodent diet and drinking water. All animal experiments were approved by Guizhou Medical University.

Sample size calculation

We calculated a sample size with the formula n=2 \((\alpha + \beta)^2 \times \delta^2)/(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2\) reported by Dekker et al, where \(\mu_1\) and \(\mu_2\) were the population means in treatment groups 1 and 2, \(\mu_1 - \mu_2\) the minimal clinically relevant difference, \(\delta^2\) the population variance (SD), \(\alpha\) the conventional multiplier for \(\alpha\), and \(\beta\) the conventional multiplier for power. According to this formula, we determined the sample size to be six for each group.

Experimental protocol and sample preparation

After 1 week of adaptive feeding, all mice were randomly assigned to five groups (six mice per group): control (Con), DKD model, Ins-DKD (Wanbang Biochemical Pharmaceuticals, Xuzhou, China), Ben-DKD (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), and IGF1R inhibitor (DKD-IGF; selective IGF1R inhibitor [GSK4529, Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX]). Except for Con, the other groups were fed a high-fat diet (D12492) for 8 weeks to establish an Ins resistance model. All Ins resistance model mice were converted to DKD mice with a single intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin (Stz; 30 mg/kg mouse weight diluted in 0.1 M precooled citrate buffer, pH 4.5). The Con group were fed normal food (4% calories from fat) for 8 weeks and then intraperitoneally injected with the same dose of normal saline (pH 7.3, 0.154 mol/L). At 72 hours after Stz injection, blood glucose levels were measured randomly to confirm the success of the diabetes model. A random blood glucose level of >16.7 mmol/L after Stz injection was the indicator of establishment of the model. All mice in the model groups successfully developed type two diabetes mellitus.

Mice in the DKD-Ins group were injected subcutaneously with 1–2 U/day Ins, mice in the DKD-Ben group administered Ben 10 mg/kg per day intragastrically, and mice in the DKD-IGF group administered IGF1R inhibitor 30 mg/kg per day intragastrically, while mice in the Con and DKD groups received equivalent doses of normal saline. This experiment lasted for 16 weeks.

Body weight and random blood glucose levels were monitored weekly in all mice. All mice were housed in special metabolic cages for collecting 24-hour urine at the end of the 16th week and then killed under chloral hydrate anesthesia. Data for random blood glucose levels and body weight were compared among all groups. Blood samples were acquired by cardiac puncture at the time of death. Serum was then separated by centrifugation...
and stored at −20°C for subsequent experiments. Kidney tissue samples were also excised, weighed, and stored in liquid nitrogen or fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. The kidney weight-to-body weight ratio was calculated for each mouse.

Assessment of renal biochemical markers
Creatinine and 24-hour urinary protein concentration were determined using a creatinine assay kit and a protein estimation kit, respectively, according to the protocols provided by the manufacturers.

Histopathological examination of kidney tissue
Portions of renal tissue fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde were embedded in paraffin and sliced into 4 μm-thick sections. The sections were stained with HE for assessment under light microscopy (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Renal sections 4 μm thick were stained with Sirius red to evaluate the area occupied by collagen fibrils. From each kidney, ten random interstitial cortical fields were captured at 40x magnification using a high-resolution video camera (Leica) connected to a light microscope (Leica DM 300 LED). The area occupied by collagen was measured using a computerized image analysis system (Image-Pro Plus).

Immunohistochemistry for αSMA, E-cadherin, SOCS2, F4/80, TLR4, and CD68 expression in kidney tissue
Following deparaffinization and hydration, 4 μm-thick renal cortical tissue sections were treated with 3% H2O2 for 10 minutes to inactivate endogenous enzymes. After incubation with appropriate primary antibodies (αSMA, E-cadherin, SOCS2, F4/80, TLR4, IGF1, and CD68 [Abcam, Cambridge, UK]) overnight at 4°C, the sections were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat antirabbit or goat antimouse polyclonal antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature. The sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, cleared, and mounted with mounting solution. Ten fields were observed at 400x magnification with the Leica microscope. F4/80+ or CD68+ cells number and the positive integrated optical density value of each field were calculated and analyzed with Image-Pro Plus, and the mean values were compared among the experimental groups.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). For overall data, one-way ANOVA and post hoc analysis were used for multiple comparisons and two-tailed Student’s t-test for single two-sample comparisons, data from which are presented as means ± SD. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Establishment of DKD model
To develop the DKD mouse model, C57/BL6 mice were fed a high-fat diet for 8 weeks, then injected with Stz intraperitoneally (30 mg/kg mice weight).16,17 To determine whether the DKD model had been successfully established, blood glucose and urinary albumin levels were tested. In our model, the fasting blood glucose level of the Con group was 7.33 mmol/L, and that of the DKD group was 23.14 mmol/L. Blood glucose levels increased significantly in DKD mice and reached the diagnosis standard of diabetes (Figure 1A). Urinary albumin excretion rate increased significantly at the same time (Figure 1B). HE staining showed morphological glomerular changes in diabetic kidney tissue (Figure 1C). Sirius red staining showed renal interstitium fiber levels which had changed accentuation in diabetic kidney tissue (Figure 1C and D). These results demonstrated that the DKD mouse model had been developed successfully.

Inflammation and fibrosis of renal tissue in DKD mice
In order to detect inflammatory infiltration and fibrosis changes in DKD, immunohistochemical staining was applied to determine the expression levels of inflammation markers (CD68, F4/80, and TLR4) and fibrosis markers (E-cadherin and αSMA). CD68 and F4/80 expression indicated the infiltration of macrophages, a sign of inflammation.18 TLR4 expression is an indicator of consistent inflammatory cell infiltration. Compared with the Con group, obvious increases in CD68+ and F4/80+ cells were found in the renal interstitia of DKD mice (Figure 2A–C). TLR4 expression in DKD mice also showed a significant increase (Figure 2A and D). DKD mice also showed significant progress in fibrosis, with decreased E-cadherin and increased αSMA protein expression (Figure 3A–C).

Abnormal expression of IGF1 and SOCS2 in DKD mice
To investigate the protein and mRNA expression levels of IGF1 and SOCS2 in renal tissue of DKD mice, immunohistochemical staining and in situ hybridization were conducted for IGF1 and SOCS2. IGF1 expression levels were significantly increased and SOCS2 significantly reduced in DKD mice (Figure 4A–E).
IGF1R inhibitor alleviated inflammation and fibrosis in DKD

To ascertain the effect of the IGF1R inhibitor on inflammatory infiltration and fibrosis in DKD mice, we used Ins, Ben, and the IGF1R inhibitor (GSK4529)\(^1\) for intervention in the DKD model. The results showed that blood glucose levels decreased with Ins, but not with the IGF1R inhibitor or Ben (Figure 1A). The urinary albumin excretion rate decreased significantly in all interventions, with the IGF1R inhibitor having a better effect than Ins or Ben (Figure 1B). HE and immunohistochemical staining showed that the degree of inflammatory cell filtration was different in all interventions. The decrease in CD68\(^+\) and F4/80\(^+\) cells was more significant with the IGF1R inhibitor, closely followed by Ben, while the effect of Ins was not statistically significant (Figure 5A–C). TLR4 expression in DKD renal tissue was reduced by the IGF1R inhibitor and Ins, but not by Ben, and there was no difference between the IGF1R inhibitor and Ins (Figure 5A and D).

According to Sirius red staining results, renal fibrosis was alleviated by the IGF1R inhibitor and Ben, but not by Ins, and the IGF1R inhibitor had the best effect on improving the fibrosis process (Figure 6A and B). Furthermore, the IGF1R inhibitor reversed the expression of both E-cadherin and \(\alpha\)SMA, while Ben reduced only \(\alpha\)SMA expression without any effect on E-cadherin (Figure 6A, C, and D).

IGF1R inhibitor alleviated inflammation without activating SOCS2

Next, we tried to explore the reason that the IGF1R inhibitor improved inflammatory infiltration by investigating IGF1 and SOCS2 expression changes after different treatments. As can be seen in Figure 7, IGF1 protein and mRNA levels were decreased by Ins, but not by the IGF1R inhibitor or Ben (Figure 7A–C). The IGF1R inhibitor did not change the expression of SOCS2, while Ben up regulated SOCS2 mRNA but had no effect on the protein. Conversely, SOCS2 mRNA and protein levels showed a significant increase after Ins treatment (Figure 7A, D, and E).
Discussion

We used a high-fat diet with a low-dose Stz C57 mouse model, which is a good animal model to study the regulation of dietary intake and nutrient metabolism like obesity and Ins resistance in humans. It is the most commonly used model in type 2 diabetes research. In our model, the fasting blood glucose level of the Con group was 7.33 mmol/L and that of the DKD group was 23.14 mmol/L (Figure 1A),

Figure 2 Evaluation of degree of inflammation in DKD mouse kidneys.
Notes: (A) CD68+ cell, F4/80+ cell, and TLR4 protein staining in kidney sections of different groups by immunohistochemistry (scale bar=100 μm, magnification 400×); Inflammation changed molecularly in kidney tissue. (B) Data analysis of CD68+ cells, (C) F4/80+ cells, and (D) TLR4 protein. *P<0.01, **P<0.001; two-tailed Student’s t-test for single two-sample comparisons.
Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; Con, control.

Figure 3 Evaluation of the degree of fibrosis in DKD mouse kidneys.
Notes: (A) Expression of E-cadherin and αSMA protein by immunohistochemistry (scale bar=100 μm, magnification 400×). Data analysis of (B) E-cadherin protein and (C) αSMA protein. ***P<0.001; two-tailed Student’s t-test for single two-sample comparisons.
Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; Con, control; ECAD, E-cadherin.
Figure 4 SOCS2 and IGF1 protein and mRNA expression levels in DKD mouse kidneys.

Notes: (A) Expression of SOCS2 and IGF1 by immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization (scale bar=100 µm, magnification 400×). Data analysis of (B) IGF1 mRNA, (C) IGF1 protein, (D) SOCS2 mRNA, and (E) SOCS2 protein. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; two-tailed Student’s t-test for single two-sample comparisons.

Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; Con, control.

similar to other reports using the same model.\textsuperscript{16,17} This is a simple and effective mouse model for DKD study. Limitations of this model were Stz having potential for aspecific toxicity in the kidney and liver and Stz diabetic mice exhibiting significant weight loss, which may significantly affect mouse survival, and thus more experience is required to manage this model.\textsuperscript{22,23}

In this report, the results showed that in DKD mice IGF1 was upregulated, accompanied by urinary albumin excretion rate. DKD mice showed inflammatory infiltration marked by CD68\textsuperscript{+} and F4/80\textsuperscript{+} cell increases and TLR4 overexpression, and fibrosis marked by fibrogenesis downregulation of E-cadherin and αSMA upregulation. These changes were reversed by the IGF1R inhibitor much better than Ben or Ins.

Currently, the treatment strategy for DKD consists mainly of antihypertensive measures by Ben and antihyperglycemia by Ins. Ben has a slight anti-inflammation effect through reducing the stimulation of inflammatory cytokines by lowering glomerular perfusion pressure and stimulating the secretion of the anti-inflammatory factor IL10.\textsuperscript{5,7} On the other hand, IL10 overexpression had the effect of stimulating inflammation, so the anti-inflammatory effect of Ben was not ideal. In this study, although Ben reduced αSMA effectively, its anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic effects were not as effective as with the IGF1 inhibitor (Figure 6).
Figure 5 Metabolic parameters and histological changes on different treatments.
Notes: (A) HE staining, CD68+ cells, F4/80+ cells, and TLR4 proteins stained in kidney sections to represent kidney histology by immunohistochemistry (scale bar=100 μm, magnification 400×). Molecular inflammation changed in kidney tissue. Data analysis of (B) CD68+ cells, (C) F4/80+ cells, and (D) TLR4 proteins. **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test.
Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; Ben, benazepril; Ins, insulin.

Figure 6 Evaluation of degree of kidney fibrosis on different treatments.
Notes: (A) Representative kidney histology of SR staining, E-cadherin protein, and αSMA protein by immunohistochemistry (scale bar=100 μm, magnification 400×). Data analysis of (B) SR, (C) E-cadherin protein, and (D) αSMA protein. **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; 0.05; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test.
Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; Ben, benazepril; Ins, insulin; SR, Sirius red; ECAD, E-cadherin.
Ins is another drug commonly used for DKD treatment by upregulating SOCS2 and downregulating IGF1. Several studies have shown that Ins can regulate the activity of IGF1 by regulating the expression of SOCS2 proteins, so as to reduce the inflammatory infiltration and fibrosis of tissue, thus protecting the tissue. At the same time, Ins can also lead to an increased expression of SOCS3 and IGF1R, which are likely to aggravate the inflammatory response. In vivo, high levels of Ins will increase SOCS protein expression, including SOCS1, SOCS2, SOCS3, and CIS. Complex regulation patterns in the body may be one of the factors behind Ins only delaying the progression of diabetic nephropathy, but not preventing ESKD.

IGF1 has been reported to be related to local tissue hyperplasia, typical glomerular hypertrophy, vascular dilatation, and extracellular matrix protein increase in diabetic nephropathy. Our previous report demonstrated that IGF1R inhibitors regulated HMGN1 and TIL4 pathways in DKD. As such, we used an IGF1R inhibitor to confirm the effect on DKD further. In this study, the IGF1R inhibitor was more effective than Ins or Ben in reducing the progression of inflammation (Figures 5 and 6). Qu et al reported that IGF1R inhibitors had an anticancer effect without aggravating the imbalance of the IGF–GH axis. Suzuki et al reported that IGF1R inhibitors directly controlled abnormal IGF1R expression on the cell surface. In this report, we also found that SOCS2 expression was upregulated by Ins, but not by the IGF1R inhibitor (Figure 7). There have been no reports on the direct link between IGF1R inhibitors and SOCS protein. Isshiki et al reported that Ins reduced inflammation by upregulating SOCS2 which inhibited IGF1R activation. It might be that both IGF1R inhibitors and SOCS protein can inhibit IGF1R activation in vivo. We propose that the IGF1R inhibitor directly reduced the pathological effects of IGF1 in DKD mice without upregulating the SOCS pathway, and hence avoided the

Figure 7 SOCS2 and IGF1 protein- and mRNA-expression levels in the kidney on different treatments.

Notes: (A) Expressions of SOCS2 and IGF1 by immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization (scale bar=100 μm, magnification 400×). Data analysis of (B) IGF1 mRNA, (C) IGF1 protein, (D) SOCS2 mRNA, and (E) SOCS2 protein. ***P<0.001; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test.

Abbreviations: DKD, diabetic kidney disease; Ben, benazepril; Ins, insulin.
proinflammatory effect of SOCS, thus signifying potential long-term kidney protection.

Conclusion
In this study, we found that inhibition of IGF1R was a more effective choice for inflammation treatment than Ben or Ins in DKD. The IGF1R inhibitor blocked pathological changes induced by the overexpression of IGFI in DKD without upregulating SOCS2 protein levels. This strategy may lead to new therapy to relieve DKD.
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