Rituximab therapy in Greek patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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Objective: An open-label, prospective, uncontrolled study created to investigate clinical response, serological changes and side effects in Greek patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), after B-cell depletion with rituximab.

Methods: Patients with high disease activity (disease activity score [DAS]-28 > 5.1) were selected for treatment with rituximab and received two infusions, 1 gr each, 2 weeks apart. Different disease parameters (visual analog scale, DAS-28, C-reactive protein [CRP], erythrocyte sedimentation rate, health assessment questionnaire, complement (C3), C4, rheumatoid factor [RF], anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody [anti-CCP], swollen joint count, tender joint count, immunoglobulin M [IgM], IgG, IgA) were performed at base line, 2, 4, and 6 months post-treatment. Response was defined according to the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria.

Results: Seventeen patients received therapy. Treatment led to a reduction in various disease parameters. ACR20 was achieved in 41.11% of patients by week 8, 52.94% by week 16, and 82.35% by week 24. ACR50 was achieved in 5.88% by week 8, 41.17% by week 16, and 64.7% by week 24. ACR70 was achieved only by week 24 in 23.52% of patients. Statistical analysis has shown no differences in clinical response, between RF positive/negative patients, and anti-CCP-positive/negative patients, while decline of RF was better correlated with reduction of DAS-28 than with anti-CCP.

Conclusions: Rituximab is a well tolerated and effective treatment in RA. Response was not correlated to RF or anti-CCP positivity. Decline of RF was associated with clinical response and reduction of DAS-28 and CRP.
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Introduction
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic autoimmune disease that is estimated to affect 1% of the population worldwide, including all ethnic groups. The female/male ratio is 3:1 (Sangha 2001; Lawrence and Haynes 2002; Lawrence et al 1998). It is characterized by symmetrical joint inflammation, morning stiffness, and causes cartilage damage, bone erosions and deformity (Pincus et al 1984).

Rheumatoid arthritis is considered to be a nonorgan-specific autoimmune disease in which genetic, hormonal, immunologic and environmental factors play a role. Greek and North European RA patients have differences. Greek patients have less inflammatory articular disease, fewer extra-articular manifestations, less severe joint damage, and a high frequency of Ro(SSA) antibodies linked to a higher prevalence of secondary Sjögren syndrome (Drosos and Moutsopoulos 1995; Drosos et al 1992). Furthermore, Greek RA patients are characterized with a lack of association with human leukocyte antigens (HLA) and only a weak association with HLA-DR1 and DR4 antigens (Boki et al 1992, 1993).

Over the last three decades there has been significant improvement in patient outcomes. Even with advances in disease management, a population of patients with
refractory RA exists. In the clinical trials that led to approval of anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF), 25%–40% of patients failed to achieve American College of Rheumatology (ACR)-20 (Keystone et al 2004; Lipsky et al 2000; Weinblatt et al 2003; Feltelius et al 2005). Furthermore, a proportion of patients experience treatment-limiting-side effects, and 30%–40% of patients who respond to anti-TNF continue to experience residual level of disease activity (Conen et al 2006).

The need for treatment beyond TNF inhibitors in RA has become clear and rituximab is one such novel therapy. Rituximab is a genetically engineered chimerical monoclonal antibody, which binds to the antigen CD20, which regulates cell cycle initiation and differentiation. CD20 is found in normal and malignant pre-B, and mature B-lymphocytes. The antigen is absent in hematopoietic stem cells and plasma cells (Hoffman-La Roche 2000). B-cells are believed to play a role in the pathogenesis of RA and are associated to chronic synovitis (Benoist and Mathis 2000; Panagyi 2005). Edward and Cambridge (Edwards and Cambridge 2001) introduced the concept of human auto antibody-associated disease and ‘self-perpetuating’ auto reactive B-cells. On the basis of this hypothesis, the first published trials of rituximab in RA were set up and carried out at University College, London, UK (Edwards et al 1999). Benefit was confirmed by De Vita and colleagues (2002) and then further established in a large randomized trial (Edwards et al 2004).

Patients and methods

This is an open-label, clinical, observational, uncontrolled study which included seventeen Greek patients with refractory RA. All patients satisfied the ACR diagnostic criteria for RA (Arnett et al 1988). The criterion for high disease activity that patients had to fulfill in order to enter the study was the DAS-28 score which should be more than 5.1. Two patients were male and 15 were female. The median age was 53 years (range 27–78), and the median disease duration was 6 years (range 1–23). RF positive (positive : >20 IU/L) were 76%, and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody (anti-CCP) positive (positive: >15 IU/L) were 65%. The median number of pre-treatment disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDS) was three, the median number of pre-treatment biological factors was two and the mean/SD value of DAS-28 score was 6.6 ±0.78. The study was approved by the hospital ethics review board. Written consent was obtained from all patients.

Statistical analysis

A Student’s t-test was applied for comparison of the normalized values. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant. The DAS28, health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) 20, C-reactive protein (CRP), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) differences were calculated and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare them between rheumatoid factor (RF) (−)/RF (+) patients, and anti-CCP (−)/anti-CCP (+) patients.

Treatment

Patients continued their baseline DMARD treatment and other concomitant medications with no changes during follow-up of 24 weeks. Rituximab was administrated as an intravenous infusion of two dosages, 1000 mg each, with 2 weeks apart. Prior to each infusion, patients received paracetamol 600 mg (IV), Dimetindene (IV) and methylprednisolone 125 mg (IV) to attenuate possible infusion-related symptoms.

During infusions patients were closely observed for infusion related symptoms. However, there were no such symptoms necessitating divergence from the above schedule. No further rituximab infusions were administrated during the subsequent follow up period.

Clinical assessments

At start point a thorough history and physical examination was conducted and recorded, together with baseline demographic data.

For each patient at baseline and at weeks 8, 16, and 24 the number of tender and swollen joints (total number: 28), visual analogue scale (VAS) and the DAS-28, HAQ-20 scores were recorded. Blood samples were obtained at baseline and at follow-up visits for assessment of laboratory measures including ESR, CRP, complement (C3) and C4 levels, immunoglobulin (Ig) A, IgM, and IgG levels, RF, and anti-CCP antibodies. Samples were processed and analyzed using standard techniques.

Results

The baseline characteristics of the cohort are outlined in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Baseline characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs): median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration: median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF positive n%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCP positive n%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pre-treatment DMARDS: median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pre-treatment biological: median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone therapy: n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone dosage: median (range)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our patients had multi-DMARD and biologic-resistant RA. Apart from one patient with advanced pulmonary fibrosis, none of the others had any major extra-articular disease. Follow up data were available at all 17 patients at 2, 4, and 6 months. Changes in various parameters during follow up are shown in Table 2.

Fourteen patients presented clinical improvement as measured by the ACR criteria (Figure 1). Rituximab was effective in suppressing disease activity as evidenced by a statistical significant reduction in DAS-28 and HAQ-20 scores in 6 months follow up (Figure 2). Major improvements were also seen in various parameters of the disease including CRP and ESR.

Primary outcome measure for this study was efficacy as determined by ACR score. Secondary outcome measures were: DAS-28 and HAQ-20 scores and serological changes as determined by RF, anti-CCP, CRP, ESR. Auto antibodies levels decreased after rituximab therapy (Figure 3). RF levels revealed a statistically significant decrease between the start point and 8th (p < 0.01), 16th (p < 0.01) and 24th (p < 0.001) week. On the contrary, the differences of the anti-CCP values were not statistically significant (week 8 [p < 0.1], week 16 [p < 0.1], week 24 [p < 0.1].

Total serum Igs decreased moderately during follow up. Their mean values remained within normal levels (Figure 4).

The DAS28, HAQ 20, CRP, and ESR differences between week 0 (start point) and weeks 8, 16, and 24, were compared for the RF (−) and RF (+) patients, and anti-CCP (−) and anti-CCP (+) patients. There were no significant statistical differences in clinical response between these groups of patients.

Rituximab was well tolerated by all patients. There were no major adverse events during infusions, and no serious infections were observed in post-infusion period of six months. Concerning the minor side effects during the infusions we observed fever and chills in two patients and migraine in one; in the post-treatment period two patients experienced upper respiratory tract infections and another one tiredness and weakness.

**Discussion**

The results of this open label study showed substantial and persistent clinical benefit in patients with resistant RA who have had inadequate response to multiple DMARD and biological treatment.

The responses seen in this open label study support the hypothesis that B cells are key contributors to the immunopathogenesis of RA through several potential mechanisms (Kim and Berek 2000; Gause and Berek 2004; Reparon-Schuijt et al 2001; Takemura et al 2001; Zhang and Bridges 2001; Shiokawa et al 2003). In our study the patients who were RF and anti-CCP seronegative demonstrated a similar treatment effect as those who were RF (+) and anti-CCP (+) further suggesting that rituximab may act through several different pathways relevant to pathogenesis of RA.

**Table 2** Mean ± SD values of various parameters with rituximab treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean/SD values of various parameters</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Week 8</th>
<th>Week 16</th>
<th>Week 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRP mg/L</td>
<td>48.7 ± 49.5</td>
<td>24.4* ± 25.4</td>
<td>14.9* ± 14.7</td>
<td>12.1** ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR mm/h</td>
<td>48.1 ± 27.2</td>
<td>37.5* ± 21.8</td>
<td>25.8* ± 12.7</td>
<td>23.8* ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF IU/ml</td>
<td>140 ± 498.8</td>
<td>61.8* ± 469.73</td>
<td>48.5** ± 39.35</td>
<td>41** ± 40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCP U/ml</td>
<td>56 ± 44.1</td>
<td>49.8 ± 40.5</td>
<td>46.2 ± 39.3</td>
<td>42.2 ± 40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 mg/dl</td>
<td>136.3 ± 38.2</td>
<td>128.2 ± 33.18</td>
<td>118.4 ± 38.5</td>
<td>121.7 ± 28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 mg/dl</td>
<td>24.4 ± 10.1</td>
<td>23.1 ± 8.6</td>
<td>23 ± 7.2</td>
<td>22.5 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM mg/dl</td>
<td>164.2 ± 112.5</td>
<td>139.1 ± 94.9</td>
<td>116.4 ± 98.4</td>
<td>112.4 ± 55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA mg/dl</td>
<td>296.1 ± 155.2</td>
<td>275 ± 162.4</td>
<td>243.2 ± 119.1</td>
<td>235.8 ± 123.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG mg/dl</td>
<td>1500.7 ± 513.1</td>
<td>1249.2 ± 353.5</td>
<td>1159 ± 311.2</td>
<td>1153 ± 286.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ 20</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.6* ± 0.41</td>
<td>1.2* ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.1* ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS-28</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.78</td>
<td>5.69* ± 0.98</td>
<td>4.95* ± 0.98</td>
<td>4.42* ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>82.8 ± 11.5</td>
<td>65.7* ± 17.4</td>
<td>50.9* ± 14.4</td>
<td>39.7* ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TJC</td>
<td>18.2 ± 7.2</td>
<td>14.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>10.8 ± 6.3</td>
<td>8.5 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJC</td>
<td>9.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *P < 0.0001; **P < 0.01; †P < 0.05; P = not significant.
ACR response rates

Figure 1 ACR responses in patients with RA treated with rituximab.

DAS28 & HAQ20 mean values over time

Figure 2 Reduction in DAS28 and HAQ20 after therapy with rituximab.

RF & CCP mean values over time

Figure 3 Reduction in RF and anti-CCP levels after rituximab treatment.
inflammatory arthritis. These pathways include production of auto antibodies such as RF and anti-CCP, T-cell activation, and production by macrophages proinflammatory cytokines (Dorner and Burmeister 2003; Tuscano et al 2003).

In our study, the auto-antibodies profile was different between RF and anti-CCP. RF decreased statistically significant and was correlated with the reduction of DAS-28 and CRP, while anti-CCP did not correlate with the reduction of DAS-28 and CRP as a measure of disease activity. This observation suggests that RF is a better indicator of patient’s clinical and serological response after rituximab treatment than anti-CCP. As expected from the mechanism of rituximab action, treatment with this agent led to a rapid and complete depletion of CD20\(^+\) peripheral B cells. The CD20 antigen is not expressed on stem cells or plasma cells, so it is not surprising that the mean levels of IgA, IgM, and IgG generally remained within the normal range throughout the 24 weeks. The prolonged depletion of CD20\(^+\) peripheral B cells in rituximab-treated patients raises the question of whether these patients may have great risk for severe infections, although the data from this and other studies (Fleischmann et al 2006; Keystone et al 2006) do not support this hypothesis, and only four patients had minor upper respiratory tract infections during follow up for 24 weeks. In conclusion, our results demonstrate that a single course of two 1000 mg infusion of rituximab given 2 weeks apart, in combination with baseline DMARDs, produced significant clinical and functional benefits at 24 weeks in patients with refractory RA in a real life setting outside from formal clinical trials.

Ongoing studies will continue to examine the long-term safety of rituximab in RA patients.

Conclusions
Treatment with rituximab was effective and well tolerated without major adverse events. Patient response to treatment was independent to the presence of RF and anti-CCP antibodies. The decline of RF was correlated with the reduction of disease activity (DAS-28 and CRP); on the contrary, the anti-CCP titer was not statistical significantly correlated to disease activity (DAS-28 and CRP). These observations suggest that RF is a better serological marker than anti-CCP for the evaluation of response to rituximab during follow-up.
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