High glucose induces a priming effect in macrophages and exacerbates the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines after a challenge
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Introduction: Painful diabetic neuropathy is associated with chronic inflammation, in which macrophages are the key effectors. We utilized an in vitro approach to determine the effects of high glucose on macrophage phenotype.

Materials and methods: We exposed human THP-1 macrophages to normal glucose (5 mM) and a clinically relevant high glucose environment (15 mM) and measured the expression and concentration of molecules associated with a diabetic cellular phenotype.

Results: We found that THP-1 macrophages in high glucose conditions did not influence the basal expression of cyclooxygenase-2, Toll-like receptor-4, or class A scavenger receptor mRNA, or the concentrations of the cytokines interleukin (IL)-6, monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and IL-10, but induced a priming effect on tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α. Then, we stimulated THP-1 macrophages with a strong pro-inflammatory stimulus lipopolysaccharide (LPS; 5 µg/mL). After stimulation with LPS, we observed an exacerbated increase in TNF-α, IL-6, and MCP-1 concentration in the high glucose condition compared to the normal glucose environment. THP-1 macrophages in high glucose conditions developed tolerance to IL-10 anti-inflammatory effects (TNF-α production) when challenged with LPS.

Conclusion: Our in vitro approach allows the study of macrophages as potential targets for therapeutic purposes since it compares them to primary human macrophages exposed to high glucose and macrophages from patients with diabetes or complications of painful diabetic neuropathy (i.e. ulcers, adipocytes, and pancreas).
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Introduction

According to the Prevention CfDCa. National Diabetes Statistics Report: Estimates of Diabetes and Its Burden in the United States. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2014, over 9% of the adult population in the US suffers from diabetes. Diabetes is a chronic condition that is derived from suboptimal glycemic control over time. Sustained increases in glucose levels have been associated with persistent systemic and localized inflammation observed in diabetes.1,2 This inflammation can become chronic and may eventually lead to the development of painful diabetic neuropathy or other comorbidities associated with diabetes.3 Additionally, hyperglycemia has been linked to the dysfunction of immune cells, including macrophages, which could contribute to the increased susceptibility to infections and poor wound healing seen in individuals with diabetes.4

Macrophages play an instrumental role in inflammation, due to their phenotypic plasticity. These cells can adopt a pro- (M1) or an anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotype,
and transition from one to the other in the inflammatory process. Pro-inflammatory macrophages produce, release, and respond to cytokines and chemokines that regulate inflammation of the surrounding environment (i.e., tumor necrosis factor [TNF]-α, interleukin [IL]-6, IL-1, and monocyte chemoattractant protein [MCP]-1). Once the environment no longer requires a predominantly pro-inflammatory response, macrophages adopt an M2 phenotype. These anti-inflammatory macrophages allow for the resolution of the inflammatory process. The anti-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors released from the M2 macrophages (i.e., IL-1 receptor antagonist, IL-10, and transforming growth factor [TGF]-β), in addition to other related signals, result in a decrease of pro-inflammatory factors, which subsequently deactivate the macrophages. The deactivation of macrophages marks the end of acute inflammation. If this process is defective, resolution of inflammation cannot be achieved, and chronic inflammation ensues. Constant inflammation hinders the wound-healing process, and even causes damage to the surrounding tissues due to prolonged immune cell presence. Therefore, these immune cells under chronic inflammatory conditions are detrimental to healthy or healing tissues by producing a foreign body–like reaction. Hyperglycemic conditions seem to activate monocytes, which further contributes to the expression of an M1 macrophage phenotype. These macrophages under hyperglycemic conditions persistently express a pro-inflammatory phenotype, even though there is no tissue damage or infection present. Therefore, macrophages are a key target for potential therapies and treatments for patients with diabetes.

Isolating monocytes/macrophages from patients can be costly and laborious, so continual studies using macrophages from healthy volunteers and individuals with diabetes are expensive and time-consuming. Finding an accurate and cost-efficient way to replicate (in vitro) the different functions and mechanisms of macrophages from patients with diabetes would provide a significant advancement in diabetes research. We hypothesize that by culturing immortalized human macrophages under high glucose conditions we can identify specific immune changes or alterations that are present in human primary macrophages exposed to high glucose, or macrophages from patients with diabetes. Thus far, this hypothesis has not been fully explored since most of the available data came from studies using monocytes. Even though understanding the functional role of monocytes in diabetic conditions is important, monocytes are not the direct effectors during inflammatory or tissue damage conditions observed in diabetes. Macrophages, on the other hand, are the type of cells that are actively present in the inflamed and/or damaged tissue, such as in diabetic neuropathy, ulcers, adipose tissue, muscles, or even the pancreas. Therefore, macrophages (rather than monocytes) are preferable local targets for potential cell-directed therapies to resolve inflammation or, for example, prevent neuronal damage or promote wound healing. Currently, there are few available studies on macrophages under high glucose conditions, which have been performed only under non-stimulatory conditions.

When human primary macrophages are exposed to high glucose concentrations in vitro, they do not have changes in the production of IL-611 or TNF-α11,12 protein (some reports show a reduction of IL-1012 or no changes in this cytokine),11 and an increase in the expression of class A scavenger receptor (SR-A) mRNA expression.13 However, to our knowledge, there are no studies that evaluate the immune capabilities of THP-1 macrophages under high glucose concentrations, and therefore, it is not known whether they can be used as surrogates of human primary macrophages for the study of diabetic inflammation. Therefore, our first aim is to study the capabilities of THP-1 macrophages to produce cytokines or express key mRNA molecules under high glucose conditions.

Currently, to our knowledge, only monocytes (not macrophages) from patients with diabetes have been studied using lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as a stimulus in vitro, showing an enhanced production of IL-614 and TNF-α14 protein, an enhanced expression of toll-like receptor-4 (TLR4)15 mRNA, and a resistance to IL-10 anti-inflammatory effects (reduction of TNF-α). In patients with diabetes, the fluid of chronic diabetic foot ulcers16,17 and macrophages found in chronic diabetic wounds18 have higher levels of TNF-α compared to healthy subjects, which demonstrate that local macrophages exposed to high glucose and exogenous stimulus (i.e., bacteria) for long periods of time display a persistent inflammatory phenotype. Therefore, our second aim is to study the immune capabilities of THP-1 macrophages exposed to an exogenous stimulus using LPS.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Immortalized human THP-1 monocytes were obtained from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection; Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in 75 cm² flasks at 8 × 10⁵ cells/cm² with glucose-free Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (RPMI 1640; Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Anhydrous glucose (Gibco) was added to the RPMI to yield concentrations of 5 and 15 mM. Clinically, one of the recommended criteria for diagnosing diabetes in a patient is having a fasting blood glucose level of 7 mM or higher (World Health
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Organization. [2016]. Global report on diabetes. World Health Organization. [www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/204871]. To simulate an uncontrolled diabetic state, we chose to culture cells in a glucose concentration that was about twice the amount of the fasting plasma glucose in patients with diabetes. For an osmotic control, regular media (5 mM glucose) was supplemented with 10 mM of mannitol to yield a media with a similar osmotic pressure to the 15 mM glucose-supplemented media: 10 mM mannitol plus regular (5 mM) media.19,20 The cells were incubated in the aforementioned concentration at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere throughout the experiment. THP-1 monocytes were differentiated into macrophages with 60 ng/mL phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 36 hours (incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2) and were collected as follows: media was removed, 5 mL of trypsin/ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) was added, and cells were incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C.21 The reaction was stopped using an appropriate amount of glucose-supplemented or glucose- and mannitol-supplemented media, and the cells were centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 5 minutes.

Cell viability was determined using trypan blue. The cells were then resuspended at a concentration of 250,000 cells/mL and seeded on 24-well plates (250,000 cells/well) for 1 hour. Predetermined groups of cells were stimulated with 5 µg/mL LPS (Escherichia coli O111:B4; Sigma) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2 and were collected as follows: media was removed, 5 mL of trypsin/ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) was added, and cells were incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C.21 The reaction was stopped using an appropriate amount of glucose-supplemented or glucose- and mannitol-supplemented media, and the cells were centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 5 minutes.

The cell viability in each experiment, determined by trypan blue staining, was >90% in all conditions, and no differences were observed among groups.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction of THP-1 macrophages

The cells were plated for 1 hour, as previously stated (on 24-well plates, 37°C, 5% CO2), and then stimulated with 5 µg/mL LPS (Escherichia coli O111:B4; Sigma) and incubated (37°C, 5% CO2) for 6, 24, or 48 hours.

The cell viability in each experiment, determined by trypan blue staining, was >90% in all conditions, and no differences were observed among groups.

Table 1 Primers used for polymerase chain reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Sense primer</th>
<th>Antisense primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>5′-CAGTCCGTCGAGCAGATCGGA-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGCACTGTTGGTGCGTACAG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>5′-GAATCATTCACCAGGCAATG-3′</td>
<td>5′-TCTGTAAGCCGGGGTTGAACA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR-A</td>
<td>5′-GACGTTGGGATCATCTTTCACA-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGCTGTTAGCAGGGACGAC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>5′-CGGAGGCTATAGCTATG-3′</td>
<td>5′-TCCCTTCCTCCCTTCTCTA-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; SR-A, class A scavenger receptor; TLR4, toll-like receptor-4.
(ELISA) kits (Human IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, or TNF-α, ELISA Ready-SET-Go!; eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) were used to measure the concentrations of IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, or TNF-α in supernatants, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All data were compared to the control groups (non-stimulated and mannitol). The sensitivities of the ELISA kits were as follows: 2 pg/mL (IL-6), 2 pg/mL (IL-10), 7 pg/mL (MCP-1), and 4 pg/mL (TNF-α).

Effect of recombinant IL-10 on TNF-α production
Recombinant IL-10 reduces the production of TNF-α from whole-blood cultures from healthy individuals stimulated with LPS. This anti-inflammatory effect of IL-10 is not reproduced in whole-blood cultures from individuals with diabetes. Therefore, we tested whether THP-1 macrophages exposed to high levels of glucose developed this resistance to IL-10 (via TNF-α reduction). The cells were plated for 1 hour, as mentioned previously (on 24-well plates, 37°C, 5% CO₂), and then stimulated with 5 µg/mL LPS in the presence or absence of recombinant IL-10 (10 ng/mL; R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Supernatants were collected after 6 hours of incubation and frozen at –80°C to be further studied for TNF-α cytokine concentration, as described elsewhere.

Statistical analysis
All tests were performed in duplicate in two to three independent experiments for a total n >10. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine the significance between the groups. A P value <0.05 was considered significant. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

Results
COX-2, TLR4, and SR-A mRNA expression in high glucose conditions in the presence or absence of an LPS challenge
The levels of mRNA were determined in THP-1 macrophages under normal (5 mM), high (15 mM) glucose, or mannitol (control) conditions. Predetermined groups of cells in each group were incubated in the presence or absence of LPS (5 µg/mL). Our results show the expression of mRNA from three independent experiments. The values for mRNA levels are represented as fold changes compared to the non-stimulated group of cells in normal (5 mM) glucose for each gene.

The levels of COX-2 mRNA were quantified at 24 hours after incubation. In high glucose (15 mM) conditions without an LPS challenge (1.839 ± 1.264), the COX-2 mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 1.000 ± 0.4462) or the mannitol control (1.339 ± 0.5680, Figure 1A). After LPS stimulation, the level of COX-2 mRNA was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in normal glucose (5 mM, 6.431 ± 5.012 vs. 1.000 ± 0.4462), high glucose (15 mM, 7.491 ± 3.071 vs. 1.839 ± 1.264), and the mannitol control (7.262 ± 2.734 vs. 1.339 ± 0.5680, Figure 1A). In high glucose (15 mM) conditions after an LPS challenge (7.491 ± 3.071), the COX-2 mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 6.431 ± 5.012) or the mannitol control (7.262 ± 2.734, Figure 1A).

The levels of TLR4 mRNA were quantified at 24 hours of incubation. In high glucose (15 mM, 3.975 ± 5.313) conditions without an LPS challenge, the TLR4 mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 1.000 ± 0.9564) or the mannitol control (0.5366 vs. 0.8585, Figure 1B). After LPS stimulation, the level of TLR4 mRNA was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in normal glucose (5 mM, 7.611 ± 4.096 vs. 1.000 ± 0.4462), high glucose (15 mM, 4.910 ± 3.071 vs. 1.839 ± 1.264), and the mannitol control (4.242 ± 3.734 vs. 1.339 ± 0.5680, Figure 1B). In high glucose (15 mM) conditions after an LPS challenge (4.910 ± 3.071), the TLR4 mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 7.611 ± 4.096) or the mannitol control (4.242 ± 3.734, Figure 1B).

The levels of SR-A mRNA were quantified at 24 hours of incubation. In high glucose (15 mM, 2.075 ± 5.313) conditions without an LPS challenge, the SR-A mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 1.000 ± 0.9564) or the mannitol control (0.5366 vs. 0.8585, Figure 1C). After LPS stimulation, the level of SR-A mRNA was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in normal glucose (5 mM, 7.611 ± 4.096 vs. 1.000 ± 0.4462), high glucose (15 mM, 4.910 ± 3.071 vs. 1.839 ± 1.264), and the mannitol control (4.242 ± 3.734 vs. 1.339 ± 0.5680, Figure 1C). In high glucose (15 mM) conditions after an LPS challenge (4.910 ± 3.071), the SR-A mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 7.611 ± 4.096) or the mannitol control (4.242 ± 3.734, Figure 1C).

Figure 1 Expression of COX-2 (A), TLR4 (B), and SR-A (C) mRNA in THP-1 macrophages under normal glucose (5 mM), high glucose (15 mM), or mannitol (10 mM) conditions after LPS stimulation or without any stimulation after 24 or 48 hours.

Notes: The mRNA expression for each gene was normalized to the respective levels of β-actin in each group and calculated as the fold change against the unstimulated 5 mM glucose control group. N = 10–18. *P < 0.05 vs. respective no LPS group. **P < 0.05 between groups, by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test.

Abbreviations: COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; TLR4, toll-like receptor-4; SR-A, class A scavenger receptor; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
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Figure 1B). After LPS stimulation, the level of TLR4 mRNA did not change compared to the non-stimulated groups in each condition (Figure 1B). In high glucose (15 mM) conditions after an LPS challenge (6.581 ± 13.46), the TLR4 mRNA was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 1.563 ± 2.056), but it was significantly higher vs. the mannitol control group (0.4101 ± 0.5813, Figure 1B).

The levels of SR-A mRNA were quantified at 48 hours of incubation. In high glucose (15 mM, 0.7400 ± 0.5393) conditions without an LPS challenge, the SR-A mRNA level was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 1.000 ± 0.8279) or the mannitol control (0.5537 ± 0.4577, Figure 1C). After LPS stimulation, the level of SR-A mRNA did not change compared to the non-stimulated groups in normal (0.7690 ± 0.7792 vs. 1.000 ± 0.8279) or high glucose (15 mM, 0.7331 ± 0.4286 vs. 0.7690 ± 0.7792), but it increased significantly in the mannitol control group (1.573 ± 1.444 vs. 0.5537 ± 0.4577, Figure 1C). In high glucose (15 mM) conditions after an LPS challenge (0.7690 ± 0.7792), the SR-A mRNA was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 0.7331 ± 0.4286) or the mannitol control group (1.573 ± 1.444, Figure 1C).

Cytokine release in high glucose conditions in the presence or absence of an LPS challenge

The levels of cytokines were determined in THP-1 macrophages under normal (5 mM) glucose, high (15 mM) glucose, or mannitol (control) conditions. Predetermined groups of cells in each group were incubated in the presence or absence of LPS (5 µg/mL). Our results show the cytokine concentration from three independent experiments and at 24 hours of incubation.

In high glucose (15 mM, 58.92 ± 19.94 pg/mL) conditions without an LPS challenge, the IL-6 concentration was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 45.08 ± 21.62 pg/mL) or the mannitol control (49.79 ± 19.32 pg/mL, Figure 2A). After LPS stimulation, the concentration of IL-6 was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in normal glucose (5 mM, 184.1 ± 57.20 pg/mL vs. 45.08 ± 21.62 pg/mL), increased glucose (15 mM, 244.0 ± 46.59 pg/mL vs. 58.92 ± 19.94 pg/mL), and the mannitol control (250.5 ± 33.09 pg/mL vs. 49.79 ± 19.32 pg/mL, Figure 2A). In high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (244.0 ± 46.59 pg/mL) or mannitol + LPS (250.5 ± 33.09 pg/mL), the IL-6 concentration was significantly higher.
compared to the glucose control (5 mM) + LPS (184.1 ± 57.20 pg/mL, Figure 2A). The concentration of IL-6 was not different in high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (244.0 ± 46.59 pg/mL) vs. mannitol + LPS group (250.5 ± 33.09 pg/mL, Figure 2A).

In high glucose (15 mM, 26,976 ± 18,100 pg/mL) conditions without an LPS challenge, the MCP-1 concentration was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 3,315 ± 2,417 pg/mL) or the mannitol control (64,332 ± 53,031 pg/mL, Figure 2A). After LPS stimulation, the concentration of MCP-1 was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in high glucose only (162,016 ± 115,733 pg/mL vs. 26,976 ± 18,100 pg/mL), and no differences were observed in normal glucose (20,001 ± 14,696 pg/mL vs. 3,315 ± 2,417 pg/mL) or mannitol group (138,127 ± 160,313 pg/mL vs. 64,332 ± 53,031 pg/mL, Figure 2A). In high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (162,016 ± 115,733 pg/mL) or mannitol + LPS (138,127 ± 160,313 pg/mL), the MCP-1 concentration was significantly higher compared to the normal glucose control (5 mM) + LPS (20,001 ± 14,696 pg/mL, Figure 2B). The concentration of MCP-1 was not different in high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (162,016 ± 115,733 pg/mL) vs. mannitol + LPS group (138,127 ± 160,313 pg/mL, Figure 2B).

In high glucose (15 mM, 245.3 ± 178.0 pg/mL) conditions without an LPS challenge, the TNF-α concentration was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 105.9 ± 47.24 pg/mL) or the mannitol control (110.3 ± 189.1 pg/mL, Figure 2C). After LPS stimulation, the concentration of TNF-α was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in high glucose only (4,259 ± 3,038 pg/mL vs. 245.3 ± 178.0 pg/mL), and no differences were observed in normal glucose or mannitol group (Figure 2C). In high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (4,259 ± 3,038 pg/mL), the TNF-α concentration was significantly higher compared to the normal glucose control (5 mM) + LPS (2,26.9 ± 94.80 pg/mL) or mannitol + LPS control group (306.8 ± 189.1 pg/mL, Figure 2C).

In high glucose (15 mM, 182.4 ± 66.05 pg/mL) conditions without an LPS challenge, the IL-10 concentration was not different compared to the glucose control (5 mM, 109.7 ± 51.56 pg/mL) or the mannitol control (236.7 ± 188.7 pg/mL, Figure 2D). After LPS stimulation, the concentration of IL-10 was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in mannitol control only (776.7 ± 687.9 pg/mL vs. 236.7 ± 188.7 pg/mL), and no differences were observed in high glucose (400.6 ± 247.6 pg/mL vs. 182.4 ± 66.05 pg/mL) or normal glucose group (237.2 ± 42.59 pg/mL vs. 109.7 ± 51.56 pg/mL, Figure 2D). In high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (400.6 ± 247.6 pg/mL), the IL-10 concentration was not different compared to the normal glucose control (5 mM) + LPS (237.2 ± 42.59 pg/mL, Figure 2D). The concentration of IL-10 was significantly higher in the mannitol control + LPS (776.7 ± 687.9 pg/mL) compared to the high glucose (15 mM) + LPS (400.6 ± 247.6 pg/mL) and normal glucose (5 mM) + LPS groups (237.2 ± 42.59 pg/mL, Figure 2D).

The inconsistency of the findings in the mannitol and high glucose groups could be due to an unspecific effect, but an osmotic vs. metabolic effect cannot be ruled out.

**TNF-α production in THP-1 cells exposed to recombinant IL-10**

The production of TNF-α was measured in cells that were challenged with LPS or LPS + IL-10, or received no stimulation at 6 hours of incubation. Without any stimulation, macrophages cultured in high glucose conditions (15 mM, 522.6 ± 232.9 pg/mL) displayed a higher concentration of TNF-α compared to the normal glucose (5 mM, 137.2 ± 74.78 pg/mL) or osmotic control groups (173.9 ± 60.58 pg/mL, Figure 3). There were no differences in the concentration of TNF-α between the normal glucose (5 mM) and the osmotic control groups (Figure 3). After LPS stimulation, the concentration of TNF-α was increased compared to the non-stimulated groups in normal glucose (254.0 ± 106.0 pg/mL vs. 137.2 ± 74.78 pg/mL), high glucose (901.1 ± 167.9 pg/mL vs. 522.6 ± 232.9 pg/mL), and the osmotic control (286.6 ± 96.42 pg/mL vs. 173.9 ± 60.58 pg/mL, Figure 3).

Similarly, macrophages cultured in high glucose conditions (15 mM) + LPS (901.1 ± 167.9 pg/mL) displayed a higher concentration of TNF-α compared to the normal
glucose (5 mM) + LPS (254.0 ± 106.0 pg/mL) or osmotic control + LPS groups (286.6 ± 96.42 pg/mL, Figure 3). This pattern was also seen after the LPS stimulation and after the concomitant addition of LPS + IL-10. Macrophages cultured in high glucose conditions (15 mM) + LPS + IL-10 (878.0 ± 124.5 pg/mL) displayed a higher concentration of TNF-α compared to the normal glucose (5 mM) + LPS + IL-10 (200.1 ± 69.70 pg/mL) or osmotic control + LPS + IL-10 groups (204.4 ± 69.44 pg/mL, Figure 3). However, the addition of LPS + IL-10 did not promote any changes in TNF-α concentration compared to the LPS-stimulated group (without IL-10) in any of the studied conditions, high glucose, normal glucose, or mannitol control groups. Interestingly, macrophages cultured in high glucose conditions (15 mM) + LPS + IL-10 (878.0 ± 124.5 pg/mL) displayed a higher concentration of TNF-α compared to the non-stimulated macrophages cultured in high glucose conditions (15 mM, 522.6 ± 232.9 pg/mL). However, TNF-α concentration did not differ between LPS + IL-10 and non-stimulated groups in normal glucose (5 mM) or mannitol control (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

The major findings of our study are as follows: 1) THP-1 macrophages exposed to a high glucose environment in vitro respond similarly to human primary macrophages exposed to high glucose conditions in vitro, and resemble a primed phenotype; 2) THP-1 macrophages exposed to high glucose and to an LPS challenge adopt an exacerbated pro-inflammatory phenotype that is in accordance with the phenotype of macrophages found in diabetic foot ulcers or other tissues (pancreas, adipose tissue, muscle, etc.) in patients with diabetes.

When we studied the response of THP-1 macrophages exposed to high glucose without any other stimulation, we found minimal changes in terms of cytokine protein production. We observed that high glucose (15 mM) did not change the concentration of IL-6 or TNF-α, as it has been shown in human primary macrophages under high glucose conditions. Some of these studies used higher concentrations of glucose, 25 and 15 mM, for IL-6 and TNF-α production, respectively, which suggests that a high level of glucose, such as those observed in patients with noncontrolled glycemia, is not a sufficient stimulus to induce a clear macrophage overproduction of these factors. It is noteworthy to point out that for these sets of experiments, we noticed that when THP-1 macrophages were exposed to 15 mM glucose, TNF-α concentration (245.3 ± 53.7 pg/mL) increased approximately twofold when compared to normal glucose exposure (5 mM, 105.9 ± 16.7 pg/mL). However, this change was not statistically significant (two-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni multiple comparison test). In our other set of experiments (IL-10 anti-inflammatory tolerance studies), we found an approximately fourfold increase in TNF-α from THP-1 macrophages under high glucose (522.6 ± 64.6 pg/mL) when compared to TNF-α concentration from THP-1 macrophages exposed to normal glucose conditions (137.2 ± 19.3 pg/mL), in which we found a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05, two-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni multiple comparison test), and this effect was not observed in the mannitol control group. These data reflect the variability that these studies entail under our experimental conditions. Others have observed similar variable results in human primary macrophages exposed to high glucose regarding IL-10 production. We did not observe any change in IL-10 concentration under 15 mM high glucose condition. Similarly, we did not find any changes in the mRNA expression of COX-2, TLR4, or SR-A in THP-1 macrophages in high glucose (15 mM). These findings are in contrast with the previous observations in which higher level of glucose (27.5 mM) produced an increase in the expression of SR-A mRNA in human primary macrophages. All together, these mixed results could reflect that our system does not fully mimic all aspects of macrophage function (without LPS stimulation) in diabetes. Alternatively, the high variability in the response of macrophages to glucose might be indicative of changes in subgroups of macrophages, which may display a general priming effect manifested in a subtle modulation of some genes related to their immune capabilities; in our case, this is reflected in TNF-α.

We consistently observed an increase in TNF-α when exposed to high glucose (Figures 2 and 3); the fact that this increase did not reach a statistical significance in Figure 2 but it did in Figure 3 is consistent with a priming effect, rather than with a strong or clear pro-inflammatory phenotype. Previous studies suggest that a chronic subclinical inflammatory state (a primed system) could be the cause (and, therefore, a predictor) of the development of type 2 diabetes due to insulin resistance. Interestingly, macrophages and TNF-α have been described as prominent effectors in the development of insulin resistance and obesity in the context of diabetes. In fact, macrophages with a pro-inflammatory phenotype (produce high levels of IL-8) are also found to infiltrate pancreatic islets in patients with diabetes. Furthermore, it has been shown that patients with diabetic neuropathy and high TNF-α plasma levels or high TNF-α (or inducible nitric oxide synthase) expression in circulating macrophages have higher...
risk of developing painful diabetic neuropathy.\textsuperscript{34} TNF-\(\alpha\) (mRNA) is also increased in muscles from insulin-resistant diabetic patients and in obese individuals with diabetes.\textsuperscript{35}

Obesity and cardiovascular disease are common comorbidities in diabetes. Interestingly, obese individuals have macrophage infiltration into their subcutaneous adipose tissue, and the degree of infiltration is positively correlated to their body mass index.\textsuperscript{36} These macrophages are, presumably, the major source of TNF-\(\alpha\) production observed in adipose tissue under obese conditions, based on mouse studies.\textsuperscript{36} Consistent with those studies, macrophages expressing high levels of TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6 have been found in human atheromas,\textsuperscript{37–39} which could produce vascular impairments and contribute to the generation of painful diabetic neuropathy. Our findings suggest that further complications could be expected in obese patients under hyperglycemic conditions, and our in vitro approach could be useful to identify potential therapeutic targets in macrophages.

To further investigate whether the exposure to high glucose resulted in a priming effect in THP-1 macrophages, we decided to add a strong pro-inflammatory stimulus to our preparation using LPS. In fact, we found that the addition of LPS to THP-1 macrophages exposed to high glucose (15 mM) induced an enhanced production of IL-6 and MCP-1. These effects do not seem to be dependent on the molecular interaction of glucose, but rather on the osmotic pressure changes induced by its high concentration since the mannitol control group displayed similar effects in the concentration of these cytokines. These findings are interesting because MCP-1 is a strong chemoattractant for macrophages\textsuperscript{40} and IL-6 promotes the differentiation of naïve T-cells into CD4+ T helper cells and regulatory T cells.\textsuperscript{41,42} In accordance with our findings, the serum levels of MCP-1 and IL-6 have been found in adipose tissues of obese patients under hyperglycemic conditions, and the abundant presence of macrophages and their interaction with external pathogens provide a perfect medium for further activation, yet they are unable to promote the proliferative phase that is necessary for a proper wound healing, suggesting that these macrophages are under an unpaired persistent pro-inflammatory phenotype. Our studies using LPS, a strong challenge that is similar to the challenge imposed by bacteria, are in accordance with these findings in diabetic foot ulcers. In fact, these infiltrating macrophages in chronic diabetic wounds display higher levels of serum TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6 (and other acute-phase proteins) compared to patients with diabetes without foot ulcers.\textsuperscript{43,44} These findings are in accordance with molecular changes that induce a priming effect in THP-1 macrophages independently of its osmotic pressure effects.

We further investigated the effects of high glucose on TNF-\(\alpha\) production in a different paradigm. It has been described that in whole-blood cultures under normal glucose conditions the production of TNF-\(\alpha\) induced by LPS is reduced by recombinant IL-10 in vitro.\textsuperscript{1} However, this anti-inflammatory effect of IL-10 is not observed in whole-blood cultures from patients with diabetes or in an immortalized mouse macrophage cell line (hyporesponsiveness or tolerance to IL-10 anti-inflammatory effects).\textsuperscript{1} As described in the “Results” section, we observed that IL-10 blocked the increase of TNF-\(\alpha\) concentration induced by LPS in THP-1 macrophages under normal glucose (5 mM) conditions, but IL-10 failed to reduce TNF-\(\alpha\) under high glucose (15 mM) conditions. We confirmed that these effects were not due to the changes in osmotic pressure induced by glucose. These data further confirm that high glucose induces a priming effect in THP-1 macrophages that results in an exacerbated pro-inflammatory response when exposed to an inflammatory stimulation manifested as an increase in TNF-\(\alpha\) (but also MCP-1 and IL-6) production, and a resistance to IL-10 anti-inflammatory effects.

Some of the major complications of diabetes are peripheral painful diabetic neuropathy (or not painful) and foot ulcers.\textsuperscript{47} The delayed wound healing process suffered by patients with diabetes is the major cause of amputations in this population.\textsuperscript{3} Macrophages are more abundant in chronic diabetic wounds when compared to acute wounds.\textsuperscript{48} These macrophages infiltrate the wound edge in these chronic ulcers; therefore, they are directly exposed to the environment, including bacteria. In fact, chronic diabetic foot ulcers contain LPS and the antimicrobial molecule S100A8/A9.\textsuperscript{49} The abundant presence of macrophages and their interaction with external pathogens provide a perfect medium for further activation, yet they are unable to promote the proliferative phase that is necessary for a proper wound healing, suggesting that these macrophages are under an unpaired persistent pro-inflammatory phenotype. Our studies using LPS, a strong challenge that is similar to the challenge imposed by bacteria, are in accordance with these findings in diabetic foot ulcers. In fact, these infiltrating macrophages in chronic diabetic wounds have been shown to have a clear M1 pro-inflammatory phenotype, with increased expression of M1-related genes, including TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-1\(\beta\), and lower expression of M2-related genes, including CD206, TGF-\(\beta\), and IL-10.\textsuperscript{13} Similarly, patients with diabetic foot ulcers display higher levels of serum TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6 (and other acute-phase proteins) compared to patients with diabetes without foot ulcers.\textsuperscript{43,44} These findings are in accordance with molecular changes that induce a priming effect in THP-1 macrophages independently of its osmotic pressure effects.
local high numbers of macrophages with higher expression of TNF-α protein found in chronic venous leg ulcers.\textsuperscript{48,50} The pivotal role of macrophages and TNF-α in the impairment of tissue repair in diabetes has further been demonstrated in rodents.\textsuperscript{51,52} In small clinical studies, it has been shown that infiltrating macrophages in diabetic foot ulcers were higher in non-healed wounds than in healed wounds after transdermal continuous oxygen therapy\textsuperscript{16} or noncontact low-frequency ultrasound.\textsuperscript{17} In those pilot studies, some pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF-α, IL-8, or IL-1β) in the wound fluid were reduced in relation to the healing process in the treated and healed diabetic foot ulcers, while these cytokines remained increased in the non-healed ulcers.\textsuperscript{16,17} All these data together show that our approach shares multiple aspects observed in macrophages that infiltrate diabetic wounds.

We conclude that the use of in vitro THP-1 macrophages with clinically relevant high glucose conditions is an approach that mimics the pro-inflammatory phenotype of macrophages found in patients with diabetes (i.e. diabetic ulcers, adipose tissue, and liver), and interestingly painful diabetes neuropathy. We have identified that in our system TNF-α seems to be a consistent and clinically relevant biomarker. Therefore, our approach could be useful to further study the molecular mechanisms by which glucose promotes these immunomodulatory effects that result in diabetic complications, such as painful diabetic neuropathy, but also conditions such as wound healing delay, obesity, cardiovascular complications, or insulin resistance. In our opinion, our approach could be used as an additional tool to find novel therapeutic approaches to prevent the development of diabetic complications using macrophages as potential cellular targets.
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