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Purpose: The aim of the study was to determine whether femtosecond-assisted laser cataract surgery (FLACS) reduces the posterior capsular complication (PCC) rate compared to manual cataract surgery when performed by an experienced surgeon.

Patients and methods: We reviewed 2,021 consecutive FLACS procedures between 1 June 2012 and 30 August 2017. All cases of posterior capsular rupture (PCR) with or without vitreous prolapse or zonular dialysis (ZD) that prevented an in-the-bag placement of the intraocular lens were included. Risk factors were noted and outcomes documented.

Results: Six eyes of 2,021 (0.3%) who underwent FLACS had either a PCR or ZD. One eye (0.25%) of 403 eyes that had manual cataract surgery had a PCR. There was no significant difference in outcomes. Risk factors included advanced age, dense nuclei, pseudoexfoliation and small pupil. Only a single case in the FLACS series may have been directly attributed to the FLACS procedure.

Conclusion: This study provides evidence that there is no significant difference in the PCC rate between FLACS and manual cataract surgery in the hand of an experienced surgeon who performs >350 cases annually. This low rate of complications may be achieved by less experienced surgeons adopting FLACS.
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Introduction

Posterior capsular complications (PCCs) have a significant effect on patient outcome due to the increased risk of additional complications such as cystoid macular edema, retinal detachment and endophthalmitis. The published incidence of posterior capsular rupture (PCR) varies considerably within the literature from 0.18% to 23.3%. Ocular risk factors include miosis, zonulopathy, axial length, previous surgery and concurrent ocular procedures. The learning curve remains a further variable with studies indicating an increased incidence among inexperienced surgeons or those surgeons with relatively lower surgical volumes.

Femtosecond laser-assisted cataract surgery (FLACS) remains a new technology that purports to increase both the safety and accuracy of cataract surgery. The literature has supported statistical improvements over conventional techniques albeit with significant variation across cohorts. With respect to PCC, early reports indicated an increase in incidence of both anterior and posterior capsular tears in FLACS cohorts. The strength and morphology of the femtosecond laser-created capsule...
were considered the contributing factors although clinical evidence now suggests no significant difference.\textsuperscript{13,14}

Benchmark data remain key to improving surgical outcomes.\textsuperscript{15} The existence of several large databases has provided significant epidemiological data and serves to improve our understanding of the contribution of relative risk factors.\textsuperscript{8,16,17} Although a clear benefit of clinical registries is the power through sample size and the correlation to “real-world” results, the use of data from a significant variety of sources may not necessarily indicate the optimal level of surgical outcomes achievable. We present a surgical audit of a single surgeon using both FLACS and manual techniques in a private ambulatory theater. This review was undertaken to support existing literature and provide an additional benchmark for surgeons considering FLACS.

Patients and methods

Consecutive procedures from July 2012 to August 2017 were included in a retrospective audit of PCC in both FLACS and manual patients from a single, experienced surgeon. The audit start date corresponded with the initial procedures using the femtosecond laser at the respective clinics. Patient files were reviewed for an intraoperative diagnosis of a PCC, which included PCR (with or without vitreous prolapse) or zonular dehiscence of the capsular bag (zonular dialysis [ZD]). To avoid the risk of underreporting, clinical findings were supported by a review of concurrent theater records. Theater records identified the use of vitrectomy probes or capsular tension rings and the diagnosis of an unplanned vitrectomy. Video recordings were available for all cases and reviewed to confirm the diagnosis and management of PCR or ZD.

Patients with trabeculectomies, previous refractive surgery and floppy iris syndrome were not excluded from undertaking FLACS pretreatment. The only condition that precluded FLACS was the presence of posterior synechia resulting in suboptimal pupil dilation (<4.5 mm). This occurred in five patients who were removed from consideration within both cohorts also to avoid selection bias.

Surgery occurred at two ambulatory theaters, with FLACS pretreatment conducted using the Alcon LenSx machine (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX, USA) as available. Surgery was completed with either the Alcon Infiniti or the Centurion phacoemulsification units (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.). Both femtosecond and phacoemulsification units maintained similar settings across the duration of review. A four-quadrant laser pattern was used in all patients. The laser settings did not change significantly across the time period of the study.

The patients were pretreated with ketorolac (Acular; Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) drops just prior to and after the laser procedure. Pupils were dilated with cyclopentolate (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.), tropicamide 1% (Mydriacyl; Alcon Laboratories, Inc.) and phenylephrine 2.5% (Minims; Chauvin Pharmaceuticals, London, UK) prior to the laser being performed and again after laser. Manual cases received a single round of dilating drops prior to surgery.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 24.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistical methods were used to report the basic demographic details. The ratio of PCC between laser-assisted and manual cohorts was compared using chi-square test. A $P$-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The Vision Eye Institute’s Low and Negligible Risk (LNR) Research Committee reviewed the retrospective research request and granted approval for the conduct of the review. Patients had previously signed a privacy form indicating their consent to de-identified information to be used for audit and research purposes.

Results

Six eyes of 2,021 consecutive procedures (six patients) had a posterior capsular tear or zonular dehiscence during surgery for an overall PCC rate of 0.3%. One of 403 consecutive manual procedures had a PCC with an incidence of 0.25%. There was no difference between the rate of PCC cases between cohorts ($P=0.868$).

The age range of patients who had PCC varied from 67 to 98 years although 83.3% (5/6) of FLACS patients were 84 years or older. The single manual patient was 82 years old. Preoperative patient details and existing risk factors are included in Table 1. One patient had a history of tamsulosin for benign prostatic hyperplasia. The patient with a small pupil required intraoperative dilation with an I-Ring (BVI Visitec, Waltham, MA, USA).

Surgical complication and postoperative treatments are listed in Table 2.

With respect to the FLACS cases, three patients were diagnosed as having zonular dehiscence. This occurred as the last quadrant was removed (one eye) or during cortical “cleanup” (two eyes). Two of the three eyes had previously documented pseudoexfoliation (PXF). Three FLACS eyes had PCR, which occurred at different points across surgery. One occurred attempting to lift an epi-cortical plate on the posterior capsule, which led to the phaco probe puncturing the posterior capsule. A further case occurred during phacoemulsification following a post-occlusion surge.
which led to a “punch through” hole. The final FLACS PCR case occurred when an anterior capsule tear extended to the posterior pole during sculpting. The patient was an 85-year-old male with a history of poorly dilated (4.5 mm) and dense brunescent cataract. During laser application, an air bubble was noticed in the periphery of the patient interface. Prior to the cataract removal, the capsulotomy was seen to be incomplete. During aspiration of the nucleus, a capsular tear developed ~180° from the section, which was manually completed. This extended to the posterior pole. A video review indicated the entire nucleus moving forward immediately prior to the tear. It was not clear if the phaco tip then inadvertently caught the capsule during this movement or whether the tear occurred as a result of the forward pressure of the nucleus itself.

Of interest, no cases occurred during the surgeon’s initial 200 FLACS cases, suggesting that a learning curve effect was not apparent.

Discussion

PCR is a significant potential complication of cataract surgery with both short- and long-term financial and safety considerations. Qatarneh et al\(^ {18} \) identified that patients with PCR required more follow-up visits over a statistically longer duration compared to a control cohort, reflecting in a sixfold increase in costs to the patients for the visits alone. Furthermore, PCC increases the risk of complications, requiring additional surgery. Day et al\(^ {19} \) found that the risk of retinal detachment within 3 months of surgery was 42 times higher in patients with PCR. The rate of endophthalmitis was eight times greater than that in controls, confirming PCR as a legitimate concern.

Ocular risk factors for PCC have been identified and include axial length, zonulopathy, miosis, cataract grade, previous surgery and concurrent ocular procedures. Day et al\(^ {16} \) found that eyes with a short axial length (<20.0 mm) were more likely to have PCR (3.6% vs 1.95% for all eyes); however, further studies have failed to identify a consistent correlation between axial length and PCR.\(^ {20} \) In a recent meta-analysis, Vazquez-Ferreiro et al found that PXF continues to represent an additional significant risk factor for complications. The authors found a pooled OR of 2.14 for PXF patients, leading to posterior rupture or ZD during cataract surgery.\(^ {21} \) The influence of previous surgery is also a

Table 1 PCC patient details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>FLACS 1</th>
<th>FLACS 2</th>
<th>FLACS 3</th>
<th>FLACS 4</th>
<th>FLACS 5</th>
<th>FLACS 6</th>
<th>Manual 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative SE (D)</td>
<td>+7.00</td>
<td>+3.00</td>
<td>+1.50</td>
<td>+2.50</td>
<td>−1.50</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PXF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other risk factors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Small pupil</td>
<td>Tamsulosin (Flomax)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fuchs’ corneal dystrophy – hazy cornea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsulorhexis size (mm)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PCC, posterior capsular complication; FLACS, femtosecond-assisted laser cataract surgery; SE, spherical equivalent; D, diopters; PXF, pseudoexfoliation.

Table 2 Surgical and postoperative values of PCR cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>FLACS 1</th>
<th>FLACS 2</th>
<th>FLACS 3</th>
<th>FLACS 4</th>
<th>FLACS 5</th>
<th>FLACS 6</th>
<th>Manual 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complication</td>
<td>Vitreous strand protruding from peripheral capsule hole</td>
<td>ZD leading to cortex loss into the vitreous cavity</td>
<td>Split anterior capsule ran posteriorly and nuclear fragment loss into vitreous cavity</td>
<td>Zonule dialysis</td>
<td>Zonule dialysis</td>
<td>Phacoemulsification hole in posterior capsule</td>
<td>Posterior capsular tear during cortical cleanup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary vitrectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens inserted at primary procedure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of IOL</td>
<td>Sulcus MA60AC</td>
<td>Sulcus MA60AC</td>
<td>Sulcus MA60AC</td>
<td>Anterior chamber MTA4UO</td>
<td>Anterior chamber MTA4UO</td>
<td>Capsular bag SN60WF</td>
<td>Capsular bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary procedure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (remove cortex and place IOL into sulcus)</td>
<td>Yes (remove lens fragment and place IOL)</td>
<td>Anterior chamber washout with repositioning of IOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FLACS, femtosecond-assisted laser cataract surgery; ZD, zonular dialysis; IOL, intraocular lens; PCR, posterior capsular rupture.
consideration. Literature suggests that patients with a history of intravitreal injections are associated with an increased risk of PCR, presumably as a result of iatrogenic lens trauma following the injection process. Intraoperatively, Carifi et al found that almost one-quarter of eyes with anterior capsule tears proceeded to posterior tears, highlighting the importance of early recognition of warning signs.

There has been a significant variation in the incidence of PCC in cataract studies. This is influenced by both the sample cohort and the respective experience of surgeons. Reported “vitreous loss” rates have been shown to increase fourfold to 1.8% when intraoperative theater nursing logs, postoperative clinical discharge summaries and clinical letters are reviewed, suggesting significant recall bias as a contributing factor in the historically large variation in literature findings.

Less experienced surgeons have been identified as a considerable risk factor for PCR. Fathallah et al described an incidence of PCR as high as 23.3%. In their study, >40% of surgeons were junior staff who disproportionately contributed to the complication rate. These findings are replicated elsewhere with trainee surgeons, albeit with reduced incidence (Table 3). Turnbull and Lash found a cumulative PCR rate of 2.1% in a survey of ophthalmology trainees, a value that approaches general registry findings.

As expected, the incidence of PCC is reduced in audits including experienced surgeons with rates ranging from 0.68% to 3.8% (Table 3). It would appear that within a large study, a finding of ≤2.0% would represent a realistic goal. This however may not represent the true benchmark. More recently, Abell et al described a rate of 0.18% in patients undergoing conventional surgery in a comparative cohort study between conventional and FLACS techniques, which remains the lowest published mark within PCC literature within studies with reasonable sample sizes. Our results in both FLACS and manual cohorts remain broadly equivalent to this finding and indicate no difference between cohorts.

Consistency may also represent a key issue. Previously, Habib et al found a significant difference in the rate of complications in surgeons completing >400 surgeries each year as compared to those doing less. Chen et al more recently supported this assumption, albeit with a rate of 274 procedures per year as a differentiating factor in their audit of a small private clinic. These results may have significance when determining the potential benefits of converting from manual to FLACS techniques. For the purposes of this study, an “experienced surgeon” was defined as one who does >350 cases per year, as this number has been validated both in a public and private hospital settings. Scott et al indicated that all surgeons improved the PCR rate following conversion to FLACS surgery; however, results suggest that the surgeons performing the most annual procedures experienced the least improvement in PCC rates. Further analysis is required from additional cohorts; however, we believe that our results support the authors’ findings that consistency and volume serve to further reduce the risk of complications. Of note, the surgeon (LL) in this audit performs ~400 procedures per year.

The initial report of PCC in FLACS literature found an incidence of 3.5%. The cohort represented the initial learning curve among surgeons experienced in conventional techniques. The patient interface and software used by the first reporting groups are now obsolete, and thereof, these results cannot be extrapolated to the software and patient interface used today. Subsequently, debate increased regarding the possibility of an inherently weaker laser-created capsule.
leaving to a greater risk of capsule-related complications.\textsuperscript{5}
The published literature suggests however that this does not reflect clinical practice with published rates between 0.27% and 0.87%\textsuperscript{2–8} (Table 4). Our study provides an additional benchmark in a standard cataract population undergoing FLACS technique.\textsuperscript{43}

Several case reports indeed suggest that in cases with increased risk of capsule or zonular deficiency, FLACS may provide some additional benefit over conventional techniques; however, further numbers are required to confirm this potential.\textsuperscript{39,41} The findings do reflect some internal bias as the early studies, at least initially, removed complex cases or eyes with risk factors from consideration from FLACS, thereby reducing the potential for PCC. Similarly, the use of femtosecond laser was primarily available to experienced surgeons rather than trainees in a public or teaching hospital. More recently, the use of FLACS by residents has been shown to be well tolerated, albeit possibly less efficient than conventional techniques at the same stage of training.\textsuperscript{32–34} Our study found a PCC rate of \~0.3% in both manual and laser cohorts, which is comparable to the best found in the current literature. Of importance is that the FLACS cohort represented all patients with minimal exclusions highlighting the potential use as a revised current benchmark. \textsuperscript{41}

The PCC of \~0.3% has been validated as a benchmark for FLACS. This study also provides primary evidence that there is no significant difference in the PCC rate between FLACS and manual cataract surgery undertaken by an experienced surgeon who performs \>	extasciitilde350 cases annually. The rate of PCC with FLACS of 0.3% is well below that usually reported for manual cataract surgery.
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### Table 4 Published incidence of PCR in FLACS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author (reference)</th>
<th>Journal/year</th>
<th>Sample size (N)</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Type of practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2,021</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott (14)</td>
<td>JCRS 2016</td>
<td>3,371</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chee (29)</td>
<td>AJO 2015</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell (3)</td>
<td>Ophthalmology 2014</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang (42)</td>
<td>JCRS 2014</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell (43)</td>
<td>JCRS 2015</td>
<td>1,852</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts (13)</td>
<td>Ophthalmology 2013</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell (44)</td>
<td>Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2013</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bali (10)</td>
<td>Ophthalmology 2012</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PCR, posterior capsular rupture; FLACS, femtosecond-assisted laser cataract surgery.


