Medication adherence in chronic illness: do beliefs about medications play a role?
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Background: Several medicines are prescribed for chronic disease management; however, adherence to long-term therapy remains poor. Culture influences beliefs about medications and, ultimately, adherence to treatment. There is a paucity of data with regard to beliefs about medications in the Middle East region, and it remains to be determined how these beliefs would impact treatment adherence.

Objectives: To investigate the relationship between patients’ beliefs about medications with self-reported adherence to treatment among a chronically ill multicultural patient population.

Methods: A prospective cross-sectional study was conducted among patients treated for chronic illnesses in the Ministry of Health primary care clinics in Kuwait. Participants completed a questionnaire that consisted of questions to collect information about their health status and demographics using validated instruments: the Beliefs about Medication, Sensitive Soma Assessment Scale, and Medication Adherence Report Scale-5 items. The main outcome measures were self-reported adherence to medications, beliefs, and perceived sensitivity toward medications.

Results: Of the 1,150 questionnaires distributed, 783 were collected – giving a response rate of 68.1%. Of the 783 patients, 56.7% were male, 73.7% were married, 53.3% were non-Kuwaitis, and 49.4% had low income (< 1,000 KD/3,350 USD monthly). Patients self-reported having a cardiovascular illness (80.2%), diabetes mellitus (67.7%), respiratory disease (24.3%), or mood disorder (28.6%). Participants had a mean of two comorbid illnesses and indicated taking an average of four prescription medicines to treat them. A structural equation model analysis showed adherence to medications was negatively impacted by higher negative beliefs toward medications (β = −0.46). Factors associated with negative beliefs toward medications included marital status (being unmarried; β = −0.14), nationality (being Kuwaiti; β = 0.15), having lower education level (β = −0.14), and higher illness severity (β = 0.15). Younger age (β = 0.10) and higher illness severity (β = −0.09) were independently associated with lower medication adherence. Income and gender did not influence medication adherence or beliefs about medications. The combined effect of variables tested in the model explained 24% of the variance in medication adherence.

Conclusion: Medication adherence is a complex, multifaceted issue and patient beliefs about medications contribute significantly, although partially, to adherence among a multicultural Middle Eastern patient population.
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Introduction

Medications are an essential part of chronic disease management. However, adherence to long-term therapy remains poor.¹ The World Health Organization (WHO) defines adherence to long-term therapy as “the extent to which a person’s behavior – taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle changes – corresponds with...
agreed recommendations from a health-care provider”. Poor adherence is considered a major drug-related problem and is associated with increased emergency-room visits, hospitalizations, and suboptimal clinical outcomes, all of which are associated with an increased burden on the health care system.\(^1,2\) Reasons for poor treatment adherence include disease characteristics and severity, treatment factors (eg, treatment duration, number of medications, cost, frequency of administration), and medication side effects, among others.\(^3\) In addition, patients have their own beliefs and perceptions about medications, which can have a significant impact on their intention to take the prescribed medications.\(^4\)

Data from Middle Eastern countries indicate that medication adherence ranges from 1.4% to 88% depending on the methods used to assess and quantify adherence.\(^5\) Recent data from diabetic patients in Kuwait showed that the factors that influence medication adherence include patient’s knowledge about the disease, a large gap from therapeutic goals, as well as beliefs about medicines and diabetes, attitudes toward diabetes, perceptions of self-expertise with the disease, and social stigma, among others.\(^6,7\) Moreover, in patients with hypercholesterolemia, data showed that adherence to statins is higher with those suffering from multiple comorbid conditions.\(^8\) Furthermore, a study conducted in Kuwait assessing the influence of health beliefs on medication adherence among patients suffering from depression found that adherence to medication was better if patients had the intention of taking their medications or believed that depression was best treated with medication.\(^9\) Similar observations were also reported among patients in Kuwait suffering from schizophrenia and mania.\(^10\) Adherence to medication was found to be higher than adherence to lifestyle changes,\(^7\) and this observation may be associated with patients perceiving medications as necessary and more important to manage their illness, and adherence being associated with a higher perception of personal control over their illness.\(^11,12\) Furthermore, results from a meta-analysis study suggested that several components of the health belief model, such as illness severity and patient awareness of this severity, were significant predictors of adherence to treatment.\(^13\)

Collectively, these data indicate that health and medication beliefs have a significant impact on patient adherence to treatment. Data showed that perceived concerns about the benefits of medications or beliefs that medications are overused or harmful negatively impacts adherence to treatment.\(^12,14\) Similarly among depressed patients, data showed that those who were concerned about the risk of addiction to their medicines were less adherent to their treatment.\(^9\) Despite the extensive body of literature examining the relevance of various beliefs about medicines on treatment adherence among chronically ill patients managed in primary-care settings in Kuwait. Therefore, this study proposes to expand and complement the existing body of data from Kuwait by assessing patient beliefs about their prescribed medication and understanding how those beliefs are associated with adherence to treatment.

### Methods

#### Study design and patient population

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study carried out from January to August 2016 among patients who were being followed up for the treatment of chronic diseases across 38 primary-care polyclinics within the five health regions (Capital, Al-Farwaniyah, Al-Ahmadi, Hawally, and Al-Jahra) in Kuwait. Patients aged 21 years and above who are were able to provide informed consent, who have been receiving treatment for a duration of at least 3 months,\(^19\) and who are were actively filling prescriptions for medications related to a chronic disease were eligible to participate. Only those participants who signed the informed consent were included. An ethical approval was obtained from the Standing Committee for Coordination of Health and Medical Research, Ministry of Health (MOH), and the Health Science Center (HSC) Ethics Committee for Student Research before any study procedures were conducted.

#### Study tool

Patients were provided with a self-administered six-page questionnaire in English or Arabic. The first part of the questionnaire listed a series of introduction questions to collect information about the patient’s self-reported health status, disease conditions, comorbidities, and number and types of medications being prescribed. Following these questions, there were three validated instruments: Beliefs about Medication-12 items (BMQ-12), Sensitive Soma Assessment Scale (SS-5), and Medication Adherence Report Scale-5 items (MARS-5), which are described further. The last part of the questionnaire was meant to collect demographic variables including age, gender, income, nationality, marital status, employment status, and educational level. The questionnaire consisted of statements that were pretested for reliability by other research groups.\(^11,20–25\) To test the content and face validity of the questionnaire and the feasibility of data collection methods, a pilot study was conducted among 15 patients across...
three different polyclinics. Minor formatting changes and a few text modifications were made to improve the clarity of some questions and answer statements without changing their intended meaning. Patients completed the study questionnaire with assistance from a researcher. Researcher guidance was shown, in the pilot study, to improve respondents’ comprehension and reduce the problem of missing values. Data obtained from the pilot study were excluded from the results of the reported study.

Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire and Sensitive Soma Assessment Scale
The BMQ-12 assessed some of the key beliefs influencing adherence to prescribed medications and has been shown to be valid and reliable across a range of clinical conditions including asthma, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, kidney disease, psychiatric disease, and cancer.4,23,26,27 The BMQ-General 12-item scale has been translated and validated in Arabic, making it an appropriate tool to use in the population of Kuwait.20 The BMQ-General 12-items scale was complemented with the SS-5 5-item scale, which has been frequently combined and correlated with treatment adherence.4,23,26 The SS-5 is a validated instrument that was developed to assess the perceived sensitivity of patients taking medications and was shown to have a strong association with adherence.21,23,26,29 Moreover, it has been translated and validated in Arabic.20 For both scales, patients indicated their degree of agreement with each individual statement about medicines on a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. Scores obtained for the individual items within each scale were added to give a scale score. Higher scores indicated stronger beliefs in the concepts represented by the scale (Overuse, Harm, or Benefit) and high perceived sensitivity to potential adverse effects of medication (SS-5).

Medication Adherence Report Scale 5-item
The MARS, originally proposed by Horne and Weinman, is a brief patient-reported measure of medication adherence that has demonstrated good psychometric properties.30 The MARS-5 instrument, a shorter version of the MARS, comprised five items designed to address nonadherent behavior. The first statement of the instrument evaluated unintentional nonadherence, whereas the other four statements assessed intentional nonadherence. The instrument was scored on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = always to 5 = never. Higher scores indicated higher levels of reported adherence. The original authors provided an approved version of the translated and validated MARS-5 instrument in Arabic.

Procedure, sampling strategy, and sample size calculation
This sample was selected using a multistage, stratified, sampling method. First, the clinics were selected on the basis of the ratio of MOH primary care clinics per health region. In Kuwait, the healthcare system is divided into five regional health authorities and primary health care is provided through 98 clinics distributed across the health regions proportionate to their population. A sample of ~30% of all primary care polyclinics was selected. This sample represented 29 clinics, and their distribution per region was proportionate to the total number of clinics, as specified: eight in Capital, six in Al-Farwaniyah, six in Al-Ahmadi, five in Hawally, and four in Al-Jahra. The individual clinics were then conveniently selected in each health region.

Within each selected clinic, a systematic random sampling method was used to select patients who presented to the pharmacy to fill out their prescription following an appointment with their physician in chronic disease management polyclinics. The pharmacist on duty provided the patients a package including an informed consent form describing the research and asking for their participation as well as a six-page questionnaire. After signing the informed consent, patients were asked to fill out the questionnaire while at the polyclinic and hand it back to the pharmacist after it was completed.

The sample size was determined using the PS power and sample size calculator V.3.05. A total sample size of 774 individuals was required to determine a 10% difference in proportion between two groups; for example, male vs female with 80% power and at 5% significance level. Assuming a response rate of 80%, a total sample size of 930 was approached. The number of patients assigned per clinic was calculated on the basis of the percentage of clinics in a given health region; for example, there were ~25% of the total number of primary care clinics in the Capital health region, and this region was assigned 25% of the study subjects who were distributed equally among the selected clinics in the Capital region.

Statistical data analysis
The SPSS version 22 was used for data management, descriptive analysis, and exploratory factor analysis (EFA). Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used as the main method of data analysis, and the AMOS 25.0 (AMOS IBM,
USA) software was used for this purpose. The SEM allowed for simultaneous testing of the relationships between latent constructs (constructs that are composed of several measures, eg, beliefs, adherence in this research) and other measured constructs (eg, the background variables). Based on the BMQ-12, a theorized model on the relationship between beliefs about medications and adherence was developed and is presented in Figure 1.

Prior to examining various structural models among latent constructs, a preliminary screening of all the scales took place. Screening for missing data showed no missing values. Screening to assess internal consistency using Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficients, followed by EFA using the principal component method, was undertaken. Factors that were extracted from the EFA were then subjected to confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to arrive at the measurement model; this measurement model was used as the basis for the SEM to test the relationships between the various variables. In addition to modeling chi-squared with degrees of freedom, we followed recommendations by Schreiber and reported the following goodness-of-fit measures: root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), comparative fit index (CFI), and the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR). A model was determined to have acceptable fit if the CFI was greater than 0.95, the RMSEA index was smaller than 0.06, and the SRMR was less than or equal to 0.8. The final measurement model had a chi-squared value of 68.319 (df = 36, n = 783; p = 0.001), with excellent goodness-of-fit values on all measures (CFI = 0.981, RMSEA = 0.034, and SRMR = 0.026).

Screening of the scales
Cronbach’s alpha reliability estimates for each of five scales used in the study are presented in Table 1. These were the three subscales for the BMQ-General (General-Overuse, General-Harm, and General-Benefit), SS-5, and MARS. Only MARS-5 and the BMQ-Harm subscale demonstrated acceptable reliability (alpha >0.7). To determine the dimensionality of the scales, all items were submitted to principal component EFA with varimax rotation. Six factors were identified with eigenvalues greater than 1.0 (Table 2). Here again, only items of the MARS-5 scales and the BMQ-Harm subscale loaded consistently in unique factors, minimally overlapping with other factors and having acceptable loading coefficients (>0.6, except for one item). Items of the SS-5 scale were dichotomized into two factors – Factor 3 and Factor 6 – suggesting separate dimensions. Items of the BMQ-Overuse loaded into three different factors, whereas items of the BMQ-Benefit loaded into two separate ones with both subscales having low loading coefficients.

Figure 1. The theorized model of the relationships between beliefs about medications and medication adherence, controlling for patient background variables; hypothesized correlations are omitted from the figure for simplicity.
Table 1 Descriptive statistics for the scales used, alpha reliability coefficients, and Cronbach’s alpha when items were deleted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scales and items</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Corrected item-total correlation</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha if item deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARS-5 (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.822)</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>4.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA1: I forget to take my medicine</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA2: I change the dose of my medicine</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA3: I stop taking my medicine for a while</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA4: I decide to miss out a dose of my medicine</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA5: I take less of my medicine than instructed</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ-Harm (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.736)</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>3.044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG2: people who take medicines should stop their treatment for a while now and again</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG3: most medicines are addictive</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG5: medicines do more harm than good</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG6: all medicines are poisons</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ-Overuse (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.330)</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>2.388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG1: doctors use too many medicines</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG4: natural remedies are safer than medicines</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG7: doctors place too much trust on medicines</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG8: if doctors had more time with patients they would prescribe fewer medicines</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ-Benefit (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.541)</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>2.257</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG9: medicines help many people to live better lives</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG10: in the future medicines will be developed to cure most diseases</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG11: in most cases the benefits of medicines outweigh the risks</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG12: medicines help many people to live longer</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.566)</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>2.837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS1: my body is very sensitive to medicines</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2: my body overreacts to medicines</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS3: I usually have stronger reaction to medicines than most people</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS4: I have had a bad reaction to medicines in the past</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS5: even very small amounts of medicines can upset my body</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The bold distinguishes statistics related to the total scale as opposed to statistics related to particular items.

Abbreviations: MARS, Medication Adherence Report scale; BMQ, Beliefs about Medication Questionnaire; SS, sensitive soma.

This analysis provided evidence that there were at least three usable constructs with distinct dimensions in the data – namely, MARS, General-Harm, and a part of the SS-5.

Measurement model

We first carried out a CFA with 14 items; the five MARS items were allowed to load freely on one latent variable (ie, adherence), the four BMQ-Harm (ie, harm), and the three items from SS-5 (ie, sensitivity). As the analysis showed poor fit (fit indices did not meet the minimum), several CFAs were carried out until arriving at a good fit. Examination of modification indices did not result in improvement in model fit and, therefore, were not used to modify it. The final measurement model had a chi-squared value of 17.1 (df = 11, n = 783; p = 0.105) with excellent goodness-of-fit values on all measures (CFI = 0.996, RMSEA = 0.027, and SRMR = 0.022). As shown by the latent variable in the SEM model of Figure 2 (excluding background variables), this measurement model contained a secondary order latent construct, which resulted from combining the Harm and Sensitivity latent constructs (ie, named “negative attitude toward medicines”), and a first-order adherence latent construct, by separating the five items of the MARS into three dimensions: 1) MA1 (representing forgetfulness); 2) the combination of MA3 and MA4 (representing stopping/skipping behavior); and 3) the combination of MA2 and MA5 (representing dose-change behavior). With the above structure and arrangement of measures, it was feasible to arrive at a measurement model with good-fit indices, and with acceptable standardized regression weights (range = 0.63–0.87). Cronbach’s alpha reliability estimates associated with the final three constructs were 0.77, 0.64, and 0.62 for MARS, Harm, and the Sensitivity scales, respectively.

Structural model

The constructed SEM modeled the relationship between negative attitude toward medicines (a second-order latent construct) and adherence (first-order latent construct), whereas incorporating background demographics and illness.
characteristics. An illness perception variable was created for each participant by combining the standardized score of comorbidity (z-score) and the standardized score of number of medicines. Demographic variables that do not appear in the SEM (eg, gender) did not have statistically significant relationships with neither a negative attitude toward medicines nor with adherence; therefore, these are omitted from Figure 2.

Results

Demographics

Of the 1,150 questionnaires distributed to patients, a total of 783 questionnaires were collected, indicating a response rate of 68.1%. The sociodemographic characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 3 and consisted of 56.7% male patients. Most patients were married (73.7%) and approximately half were employed full time (57.9%), with income less than 1,000 KD monthly (49.4%). In terms of nationalities, approximately half were Kuwaiti nationals (n = 366; 46.7%), followed by citizens of Egypt (n = 182; 23.2%), Syria (n = 54; 6.9%), Jordan (n = 33; 4.2%), India (n = 29; 3.7%), Saudi Arabia (n = 19; 2.4%), Palestine (n = 16; 2.0%), Pakistan (n = 16; 2.0%), Iran (n = 16; 2.0%), and Lebanon (n = 14; 1.8%). Individuals in the rest of the sample were categorized as either citizens of other Arab countries (n = 13; 1.7%), non-Arab countries (n = 17; 2.2%), or unidentified nationalities (n = 8; 1.0%).

Patients were provided with a list of 18 health conditions related to four disease categories and asked to select all the conditions they experience. Large proportions of patients self-reported cardiovascular illnesses (80.2%) and diabetes mellitus (67.7%) and, to a lesser extent, respiratory diseases (24.3%) or mood disorders (28.6%). Participants reported a mean ± SD of two comorbid disease categories (2.0 ± 0.9). A third of the patients (28.4%) reported illnesses in one disease category; approximately half (44.1%) had illnesses

### Table 2 Standardized item loadings from exploratory (principal component) factor analysis resulting in six-factor solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scales and items</th>
<th>Factors 1</th>
<th>Factors 2</th>
<th>Factors 3</th>
<th>Factors 4</th>
<th>Factors 5</th>
<th>Factors 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARS-5</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I forget to take my medicine</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I change the dose of my medicine</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stop taking my medicine for a while</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I decide to miss out a dose of my medicine</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I take less of my medicine than instructed</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ-Harm</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.326</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG2: people who take medicines should stop their treatment for a while every now and again</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG3: most medicines are addictive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG5: medicines do more harm than good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG6: all medicines are poisons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ-Overuse</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.395</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG1: doctors use too many medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG4: natural remedies are safer than medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG7: doctors place too much trust on medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG8: if doctors had more time with patients they would prescribe fewer medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ-Benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG9: medicines help many people to live better lives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG10: in the future medicines will be developed to cure most diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG11: in most cases the benefits of medicines outweigh the risks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG12: medicines help many people to live longer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS1: my body is very sensitive to medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2: my body over-reacts to medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS3: I usually have stronger reactions to medicines than most people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS4: I have had a bad reaction to medicines in the past</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS5: even very small amounts of medicines can upset my body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative % variance explained after extraction</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Table values are varimax-rotated factor loadings. Factor loadings < 0.3 are not presented in the table. The bold is to emphasize that loading such items are acceptable to count together for a unique factor.

Abbreviations: MARS, Medication Adherence Report Scale; BMQ, Beliefs about Medication Questionnaire; SS, sensitive soma.
in two diseases categories; and one fifth (20.9%) reported three comorbid diseases. Very few (5.4%) reported conditions in all four disease categories. Moreover, participants indicated taking an average of four prescription medicines to treat their illnesses (Table 4).

Factors associated with medication adherence

The results of the SEM on relationships between negative attitude and adherence to medication are shown in Figure 2. Indices used to test the model produced a chi-squared value of 68.3 (df = 36, n = 783; p = 0.001), with excellent goodness-of-fit values on all measures (NFI = 0.96, CFI = 0.98, and RMSEA = 0.034). The path coefficients depicted in Figure 2 were all statistically significant (p < 0.05). The standard regression coefficient (beta) of −0.46 indicated that negative beliefs toward medications have a significant negative impact on adherence to the treatment regimen.

Background factors that were associated with patients having negative beliefs toward medications included marital status (being unmarried; beta = −0.14), nationality (being Kuwaiti; beta = 0.15), higher illnes severity (beta = 0.15), and lower education (beta = −0.14). Further, younger age (beta = 0.10) and higher illness severity (beta = −0.9) were independently associated with decreased medication adherence (Figure 2). Gender and income were not statistically associated with negative beliefs toward medications or medication adherence. Of note, illness severity was significantly correlated with older age (r = 0.38), lower education level (r = −0.21), and, to a lower extent, nationality (being Kuwaiti; r = 0.09).

Overall, negative attitudes toward medication, young age, and higher illness severity explained 24% of the variance in medication adherence, whereas nationality, marital status, lower education level, and higher illness severity explained 11% of the negative attitudes toward medications.

Discussion

Overall, this study showed that negative beliefs toward medications have a significant negative impact and explained 24% of the variance of the adherence of chronically ill patients to their treatment regimen. Similar to our observation, others have reported that beliefs about medications were significant predictors of adherence and accounted for 19%–21% of the variance in adherence.4,12 Collectively, this suggests that although negative beliefs about medications are
Our data showed that younger age and higher illness severity were significantly associated with decreased adherence. These findings are supported by reports among patients suffering from various illnesses. A meta-analysis looking at the relationship between illness severity and adherence showed that greater illness severity and the patient’s awareness of the severity were associated with poorer adherence to medications, which supported our findings. However, a recent study conducted in Kuwait among patients with hypercholesterolemia showed that less severely ill younger patients were less adherent to their medicines as compared to older patients with several comorbid conditions. The authors suggested that more severely ill older patients were more attentive to their treatment regimen because they were more aware of their own mortality compared to younger patients.

Furthermore, data showed that, compared with less adherent younger patients who were more likely to be newly diagnosed and less familiar with their illness and medications, older patients who were more likely to be newly diagnosed and perceived illness severity were increased support with their treatment regimen, higher number of comorbid conditions, and better knowledge of their illnesses and their consequences if left untreated.

There is mixed evidence on the impact of gender on medication adherence, with some reports showing that women tended to be less adherent and others finding no association between gender and adherence. Similar to observations reported in studies among patients in the Middle East, adherence was not associated with gender in the present study.

The current findings indicated that patients were more likely to have negative beliefs about medications if they had lower education level and higher illness severity and were Kuwaiti nationals. Similarly, nationality, education level, and perceived illness severity were identified as factors that influenced beliefs about medicines. The impact of nationality on beliefs about medications further suggested that cultural background may have a significant influence.
on patient’s beliefs.22 Several studies have investigated personality traits such as pessimism and optimism and, interestingly, results consistently showed that Kuwaitis – compared to Americans or other Arab nationalities – were less optimistic and more pessimistic, meaning that they had a more negative view and outlook.50-52 Although we can only speculate, these observations support our findings by which being Kuwaiti was associated with more negative beliefs about medication.

One of the strengths of this study was that it capitalized on the methodological advantages of SEM, which increased the ability to find relationships that were not possible to detect using regression analysis. Adherence and negative beliefs were conceptualized as latent constructs that were formed by combining the measured variables. Using several measures for one construct improved the reliability to estimate the magnitude of the calculated relationship, as opposed to the use of a single total for each construct. Moreover, the SEM allowed the estimation of relationships between negative beliefs and adherence while controlling for the effects of multiple background variables at the same time.

Another benefit of using SEM was that the analysis suggested new ways for conceptualizing negative beliefs about medicine and medication adherence. Seeking a measurement model with good-fit indices lead to specifications and modifications that resulted in combining BMQ-Harm and SS-5 into the new latent construct – negative beliefs. It made theoretical sense that one’s perception that medicines do more harm than good was also related to one’s perception that his/her body was vulnerable to medicines. This was not feasible in previous research that used traditional regression analysis. Similarly, the analyses lead to a medication adherence latent construct that was composed of at least three dimensions: forgetfulness, skipping doses, and changing regimens. Although the EFA extracted all the five MARS items into one factor, subsequent analysis by CFA during the building of the measurement model did not result in acceptable standardized regression weights for the five measures into the adherence construct. A measurement model with good-fit indices and acceptable loading coefficients was only achieved when the five items were reoriented into three: 1) MA1, relating to forgetfulness; 2) MA3 and MA4, relating to skipping doses; and 3) MA2 and MA5, relating to changing regimens. This finding warrants further studies to investigate dimensions of medication nonadherence beyond the customary two: intentional and unintentional.

A limitation of this study was the poor reliability found among the items of the instruments used in the study – namely, the Cronbach’s alphas of 0.33, 0.54, and 0.57 for BMQ-Overuse, BMQ-Benefit, and the SS-5 scales, respectively. Furthermore, exploratory factor analysis solution did not result in the expected number of factors; with low loading coefficients and items belonging to a subscale loading into more than one factor. Similar observations were reported by the authors who translated and validated the BMQ in Arabic among chronically ill patients, with acceptable internal reliability for the total instrument (alpha 0.71) but poor reliability for the individual subscales (alpha 0.3–0.65).20 These results are in contrast to several previous reports where the internal reliability for MARS-5, BMQ-12, and SS-5 instruments ranged from 0.66 to 0.89.12,21-25 However, and similar to our findings, the internal reliability was improved when items of the BMQ-12 instrument were grouped differently.22 Furthermore, our results indicated good reliability with the MARS-5 and BMQ-Harm (alpha 0.822 and 0.736, respectively), suggesting the low reliability may reside in specific statements of some subscales, but not in all.

Most studies reporting good internal reliability were conducted using the original English language instruments in European, American, or New Zealand populations. When translated and administered in Arabic, the internal reliability decreased, suggesting a need for cultural adaptation of these measures. Research needs to further explore cultural differences in beliefs related to medications – and to health, in general – and develop measures that produce more reliable and valid instruments in the Arabic language. In light of the accumulating evidence suggesting that medications beliefs explain a significant but partial proportion of adherence behavior, future research needs to include other potential predictors. For example, it has been shown consistently that adherence to antiretroviral medications was predictable by the concept of self-efficacy53,54 – that is, the confidence in one’s ability to adhere to treatment despite difficulties encountered, such as side effects, interference with daily routine, or emotional state. Therefore, a broader inclusion of various factors shown by previous research to impact medication adherence should lead to better model conceptualization with more power for prediction.

Collectively, the growing body of data from examining factors that predict medication adherence showed that it is a multifaceted and complex issue that requires healthcare professionals to explore, identify, and create tools and strategies to overcome these barriers. Several reports showed that when pharmacists participated in educating and counseling patients about the importance of adherence, explaining the side effects of medications, reviewing regimens, providing written instructions, monitoring drugs, and following up
drug reactions, their intervention had a positive impact on medication adherence.55–57 Our study showed that negative beliefs about medications from chronically ill patients being followed-up in the primary care setting contributed to lower adherence. This observation mandates that healthcare providers intervene by educating patients to mitigate the impact of these negative beliefs. In fact, patients who were knowledgeable about their illness and related consequences if not treated, their medications, and therapeutic goals were more likely to be adherent to their treatment regimen.7,11,19 Although recent data from Kuwait showed that the most commonly offered services by pharmacists in hospital settings were healthcare education and drug information to patients,58 it remains to be determined if this is also the case in primary settings and highlights an opportunity for improving and expanding the pharmacists’ role in primary care centers. In Kuwait, data showed that although physicians spend minimal time counseling patients on their medications following any intervention on treatment regimen, they strongly believed that involving pharmacists in patient counseling would have a positive impact on medication adherence.58 Collectively, these observations suggest a pivotal role for pharmacists in improving medication adherence. Optimizing patient education and counseling is part of the solution and there is a need to explore other parameters that may affect adherence, such as religious beliefs, social customs, and traditions, or factors related to dosing schedule, complexity of regimen, and patient’s knowledge of therapeutic targets.6,7

Conclusion
Overall, we found that negative beliefs toward medications among a multicultural group of chronically ill patients in Kuwait significantly but partially explained adherence to their treatment regimen. There is a need to better understand factors, other than negative beliefs, that are critical determinants of adherence to medications and to develop relevant strategies to mitigate their impact.
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