Antitumor effects of nadroparin combined with radiotherapy in Lewis lung cancer models

Background: The beneficial antitumor effects of low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWHs) have previously been investigated in basic and clinical studies. In this study, the antitumor efficacy of nadroparin combined with radiotherapy was investigated in vivo.

Methods: A total of 48 tumor-bearing mice were randomly divided into six groups (n=8 per group): control group, irradiation group (X), LMWH$_{1,000}$ group, LMWH$_{2,000}$ group, LMWH$_{1,000}$+X group and LMWH$_{2,000}$+X group. Following this, tumor growth, weight and inhibitory rate, as well as the survival of mice in each group, were determined. Levels of serum interleukin (IL)-6 and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 were determined via enzyme-linked immunoassorbent assay (ELISA) analyses. The expression levels of CD34 were investigated using immunohistochemistry analyses to represent the microvascular density (MVD) values of tumor tissues. In addition, tumor cell apoptosis was investigated using TdT-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) analysis post treatment. The expression levels of survivin were analyzed by Western blotting.

Results: The volumes and weights of tumors in the treatment groups were demonstrated to be significantly decreased, which was most obvious in the LMWH$_{2,000}$+X group. The tumor inhibitory rate was significantly increased in the treated mice. ELISA assays demonstrated that the concentrations of serum IL-6 and TGF-β1 were significantly decreased in the LMWH$_{2,000}$+X group. In addition, the decreased CD34 expression was found in the combined treatment groups. TUNEL assays demonstrated that the apoptosis rate was increased in treated mice, and the highest apoptosis rate was exhibited by the LMWH$_{2,000}$+X group. Results of Western blotting demonstrated that combinatorial treatment with both nadroparin and X-ray irradiation significantly inhibited the expression of survivin.

Conclusion: These results demonstrated that a combinatory treatment strategy of nadroparin with fractionated irradiation had a strong synergistic antitumor effect in vivo, which may be associated with the promotion of apoptosis, inhibited secretion of TGF-β1 and IL-6 and down-regulation of CD34 and survivin expression.
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Introduction
Cancer is characterized by uncontrolled cellular growth and distant metastases. Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-associated mortality in both men and women worldwide. The majority of lung cancers are diagnosed at late stages, and the long-term survival rates of patients with lung cancer remain poor. Radiotherapy is an important therapeutic strategy for the treatment of patients with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, the resistance of cancer cells to radiation often results in unsuccessful radiotherapy treatment. Therefore, the development of a safe...
and effective combinatory therapy in order to enhance the antitumor effect of radiotherapy is important.

Fraxiparine® (nadroparin; 3–9 kDa) is a low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) that can be obtained from unfractionated heparin via enzymatic hydrolysis or chemical degradation. Nadroparin is a traditional and safe anticoagulant drug that has been used in clinical practice for many years. In 1983, Rickles and Edwards suggested that the blood of patients with malignant tumors was in a hypercoagulable state, which would lead to the development of thrombosis if left untreated. Thus, the association between the development of cancer and anticoagulant drugs has attracted wide spread attention. Numerous previous studies have demonstrated that LMWHs exhibit a number of antitumor properties, such as inducing alterations in the cellular and molecular biological environments, which may suppress the development of tumors.3 Bobek and Kovarik suggested that the main antitumor mechanisms underlying the effects of LMWHs are as follows: inhibition of the adhesion and migration of tumor cells, dysregulation of the expression of genes associated with cancer, induction of apoptosis of tumor cells or differentiation of programmed cells, suppression of drug resistance exhibited by tumor cells, inhibition of vascular tissue formation in tumors and the activation of natural killer cells. Nadroparin is routinely used as an anticoagulant; however, it is not administered in combination with chemoradiotherapy in clinical practice. In our previous study, it was demonstrated that combinatory treatment with nadroparin and irradiation exhibited a strong, synergistic antitumor effect in a dose- and time-dependent manner in vitro.7 Considering the results of the aforementioned studies, nadroparin and X-ray irradiation were used in the present study to treat mice that had been transplanted with tumors to investigate the antitumor effect of combinatory therapy in vivo. The results of the present study may provide us a theoretical basis for clinical use of nadroparin to enhance the antitumor effect of radiotherapy.

**Methods**

**Instruments and reagents**

Lewis lung cancer cells used in the present study were supplied by the Shanghai Institute of Life Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and subsequently cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Female C57BL/6J mice (n=48) were provided by the Shanghai Experimental Animal Center (Shanghai, China) and maintained in specific pathogen-free grade conditions until reaching an age of 6–8 weeks and a weight of 18–22 g (animal license number: SCXK; 2012–0006). Nadroparin (GlaxoSmithKline plc, London, UK) was obtained as a standard drug formulation. The concentrations of interleukin (IL)-6 and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 in serum were determined using a standard Quantikine enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech. Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China). MVD was investigated by immunohistochemical staining using rabbit anti-mouse polyclonal antibodies (1:50; Boster Biological Technology, Pleasanton, CA, USA). A TdT-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) kit (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech. Co., Ltd.) was used to investigate tumor cell apoptosis. Rabbit monoclonal antibodies against survivin and mouse monoclonal antibodies against GAPDH were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA). A Trilogy 6086 linear accelerator was also purchased (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

**Establishment of the mouse model, groupings and treatment**

Lewis lung cancer cells (~3×10⁶) were subcutaneously injected into the right anterior leg of each mouse to establish the tumor-bearing mouse model. A total of 48 mice were randomly separated into six groups: control group (nontreated); irradiation group (X-ray irradiation was administered at 2.5 Gy per day for a total of 5 days [total dose administered was 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation]), LMWH group (L₁₀₀₀ₐ; treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin), LMWH group (L₂₀₀₀ₐ; treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin), LMWH group (X+L₁₀₀₀ₐ; treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation) and LMWH group (X+L₂₀₀₀ₐ; treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation). The irradiation mode and dose in the combined treatment groups were the same as that in the X-ray irradiation group. Treatment was initiated for a total of 9 days post inoculation. Nadroparin was administered subcutaneously for a total of 9 days in continuity. Two days post injection of nadroparin, the mice were subjected to X-ray irradiation for a total of 5 days and the groups treated with nadroparin for 2 days following the termination of X-ray irradiation treatment. A total of 18 days post inoculation, three mice were randomly sacrificed in each group for further investigation. The cutoff time interval was defined as 30 days post inoculation based on the previous observation of survival in the
xenograft models. The treating strategy is shown in Figure 1. This work was approved by and performed in accordance with the protocols of the ethics committee of Jinshan Hospital, Fudan University (Shanghai, China).

Efficacy of transplanted tumors
A total of 9 days post inoculation, the tumor volume of the tumor-bearing mice in each group were observed on alternate days to day 17 after inoculation. The tumor volume was determined to establish a tumor growth curve, and the survival time of mice was investigated 30 days post inoculation. Tumor volumes were determined using the following formula: tumor volume (cm$^3$) = $\frac{1}{2} \times$ (length [cm] $\times$ width$^2$ [cm]). A total of 18 days post inoculation, three mice in each group were sacrificed, and the tumor inhibitory rate was determined using the following formula: tumor inhibitory rate = 1 – (mean tumor weight of treated group/mean tumor weight of control group) × 100%.

Cell apoptosis in transplanted tumors
To determine the rate of cell apoptosis in transplanted tumors, TUNEL assays were performed, which stained apoptotic cell nuclei brown and stained non-apoptotic cells blue. Cell apoptosis was observed using a microscope by two pathologists working independently. A total of ~1,200 cells were counted in three high-power (magnification ×200) microscope fields of vision. The apoptotic rate was calculated using the following formula: (number of apoptotic cells/total number of cells) × 100%.

Determination of IL-6 and TGF-β1 levels in serum
A total of 18 days post inoculation, three mice in each group were randomly sacrificed and serum samples were collected to determine the concentrations of IL-6 and TGF-β1 using a standard Quantikine ELISA kit.

Determination of MVD in tumors using immunohistochemistry (IHC)
Transplanted tumor tissues were isolated from the three mice in each group and subsequently fixed, dehydrated, sliced and dewaxed. Intratumoral blood vessels were investigated via staining of endothelial cells using anti-mouse cluster of differentiation 34 antibodies. MVD was investigated in the areas of each tumor in which the most intense levels of vascularization were observed. Individual microvessel counts were then performed by three independent observers using a field microscope (magnification, ×200). The mean counts were subsequently used as the final scores. MVD values represent the number of microvessels observed per field using a microscope (magnification, ×200).

Protein extraction and Western blotting
Tumor tissues obtained from mice in each experimental group were homogenized in cold lysis buffer with 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride and subsequently lysed for 60 minutes on ice. Protein concentrations were determined via Coomassie Blue Fast Staining (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Protein samples (50 µg) from each experimental group were separated via 10% SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Following this, membranes were blocked using Tris-buffered saline containing Tween 20 and 5% skimmed milk for 3 hours and subsequently incubated with the survivin antibodies (1:750) overnight at 4°C. GAPDH antibodies (1:15,000) were used as an endogenous reference. Following this, membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies (1:4,000) at room temperature for 1 hour. The blots were then visualized using Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent Horseradish Peroxidase Substrate (EMD Millipore) and the Tanon-4500 Gel Imaging System and subsequently quantified using GIS.
ID Analysis Software v4.1.5 (Tanon Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

Statistical analyses

SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad™ Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) software were used to perform statistical analyses. Data are expressed as the mean±standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis between two groups was performed using the Student’s t-test. Survival rates were determined using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to compare the differences in survival rates among groups. 
P<0.05 was considered to represent a statistically significant difference. All experiments were independently performed in triplicate.

Results

Tumor volumes in mice treated with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation are decreased in a time-dependent manner. We have previously demonstrated that nadroparin and X-ray irradiation exhibit synergistic antitumor effects in vitro.7 In the present study, 48 Lewis lung cancer mouse models were successfully established in order to further investigate the antitumor effects of the combinatory treatment in vivo. The results demonstrated that the treated groups exhibited significantly decreased tumor growth compared with the control group (P<0.05); however, no statistical difference was revealed between the dosage of nadroparin and decreased tumor growth. A total of 9 days post inoculation, there were no significant differences between the tumor volumes exhibited by the six experimental groups (Figure 2). In addition, tumor volumes in the X-ray irradiation group and the combined treatment groups were revealed to decrease in a time-dependent manner following a total of 13 days post inoculation (P<0.05). Compared with the X+L_{2,000} group, tumor volumes at the 13-day time interval exhibited by the control group, the L_{1,000} group and the L_{2,000} group were statistically different (P<0.05). A total of 17 days post inoculation, the mean tumor volume was 8.99±1.379 cm³ in the control group, 5.21±0.547 cm³ in X-ray irradiation group, 6.11±0.846 cm³ in the L_{1,000} group, 6.00±0.870 cm³ in the L_{2,000} group, 4.17±0.856 cm³ in the X+L_{1,000} group and 3.64±0.926 cm³ in the X+L_{2,000} group.

Tumor weights are decreased and tumor inhibitory rates are increased in mice following treatment with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation. A total of 18 days post inoculation, three mice were randomly sacrificed in each group and the tumor tissue was stripped. As presented in Table 1, the tumor weight was decreased in the treated groups compared with the control group (P<0.05). Furthermore, the tumor weight was suppressed to the greatest extent in the mice treated with both nadroparin and X-ray irradiation. However, no

Table 1 Weight and tumor inhibitory rates of tumors obtained from mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Tumor weight (g)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Tumor inhibitory rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.44±0.61</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.88±0.55</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>24.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{1,000}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.85±0.54</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>24.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{2,000}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.92±0.51</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>23.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X+L_{1,000}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.75±0.33</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>41.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X+L_{2,000}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.02±0.85</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>53.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as the mean±standard error of the mean. P<0.05 vs control group (t=2.821, 3.370, 3.037, 4.796 and 5.683). P<0.05 vs X-ray irradiation group (t=3.059 and 3.191). P<0.05 vs L_{1,000} group (t=3.039). P<0.05 vs L_{2,000} group (t=3.326). X, X-ray group; L_{1,000}, LMWH_{1,000} group – treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin; L_{2,000}, LMWH_{2,000} group – treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin; X+L_{1,000}, LMWH_{1,000}+X-ray irradiation group – treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation; X+L_{2,000}, LMWH_{2,000}+X-ray irradiation group – treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation.

Abbreviation: LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin.
significant statistical difference was determined between the tumor weights exhibited by the X+L1,000 group and the X+L2,000 group (P>0.05). Tumor inhibitory rates were 24.24%, 24.65%, 23.65%, 41.81% and 53.11% in the five treated groups: X-ray irradiation group, L1,000 group, L2,000 group, X+L1,000 group and X+L2,000 group, respectively. X-ray irradiation group treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin; L1,000, LMWH1,000 group – treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin; X+L1,000, LMWH1,000 group – treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation; X+L2,000, LMWH2,000, X-ray irradiation group – treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation.

Survival of mice is increased following treatment with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation. Mice treated with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation exhibited a significant effect of increased survival on the overall survival. The Kaplan–Meier plot of the estimated survival functions for the six groups of mice is presented in Figure 3 (χ²=4.567; P<0.05).

Cell apoptosis is increased in tumors following treatment with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation. Previous studies have demonstrated that heparin induces apoptosis in tumor cells. TUNEL assays were performed to investigate the apoptosis rates exhibited by Lewis lung carcinoma tumors in the present study. As revealed in Figure 4, positive apoptotic cells exhibited small, condensed nuclei; circumscripted nuclear membranes; and brown-stained nuclei. Furthermore, the results revealed that the cell apoptosis rates in treated groups were increased compared with those in the control group, and the most significant increase in apoptosis rates was exhibited by the combined treatment groups (P<0.05). The apoptotic rate exhibited by the X+L2,000 group was 6.71%. Notably, increased apoptotic rates following nadroparin treatment were not exhibited in a dose-dependent manner.

Treatment with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation decreases concentrations of IL-6 and TGF-β1 in mouse serum. As demonstrated in Figure 5, the concentrations of IL-6 and TGF-β1 in serum were significantly decreased in the treated groups compared with the control group (P<0.05). Furthermore, the combined treatment groups exhibited the most significant decreases in serum IL-6 and TGF-β1 concentrations compared with the control group (P<0.05). Notably, the results revealed that the suppression of IL-6 and TGF-β1 concentrations by nadroparin was not exhibited in a dose-dependent manner.

Treatment with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation suppresses MVD in tumors. As revealed in Figure 6, the MVD score was the highest in the control group, and thus the results revealed that tumor microvessel growth was suppressed following treatment with nadroparin and X-ray irradiation. Furthermore, tumor MVD was revealed to be decreased in the combined treatment groups compared with mice treated with nadroparin or X-ray irradiation alone (P<0.05). The inhibitory effect against tumor microvessel angiogenesis observed following treatment with nadroparin was not exhibited in a dose-dependent manner.

Discussion

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-associated death worldwide, and patients with NSCLC exhibit an overall 5-year survival rate of only 17%. Despite the development of numerous individualized treatment strategies for use in
Figure 4 Determination of apoptosis rates in tumor cells.

Notes: Image A–F represents the tumor cell apoptosis under 200-fold microscope in each experimental group, respectively. The cell apoptotic rate was revealed to be the greatest in the combined treatment groups. Significant differences were observed in the X-ray irradiation group and the combined treatment groups compared with the control group (*P<0.05; t=2.152, 5.595 and 4.750). Significant differences were observed in the cell apoptosis rates of the combined treatment groups compared with the X-ray irradiation group (*P<0.05; t=3.077 and 3.066). Significant differences were observed in the X+L1,000 group compared with the L1,000 group (*P<0.05; t=3.652). Significant differences were observed in the X+L2,000 group compared with the L2,000 group (*P<0.05; t=2.681). X, X-ray group; L1,000, L1,000 group – treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin; L2,000, L2,000 group – treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin; X+L1,000, L1,000+X-ray group – treated with 1,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation; X+L2,000, L2,000+X-ray group – treated with 2,000 IU/kg of nadroparin and 12.5 Gy X-ray irradiation. The magnification of this image is ×200.

Abbreviation: LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin.
clinical settings. Thus, numerous studies have investigated NSCLC in order to develop novel and effective therapeutic strategies.16

It has been well established that patients with tumors suffer from hypercoagulable states and increased risk of thrombosis. Nadroparin has been used as a therapeutic agent for patients suffering from tumors for many years. Furthermore, nadroparin has been reported to represent an effective therapeutic strategy for the treatment of cancer both in vitro and in vivo; and it has been suggested that the main mechanisms may be associated with anticoagulation, inhibition of selectins, cellular adhesion, angiogenesis regulated by tumor cells, cell cycle and apoptosis.17–20 However, the antitumor effect of nadroparin combined with radiotherapy has not been widely studied. Our recent study revealed that nadroparin and irradiation exhibited a strong synergistic antitumor effect in a dose- and time-dependent manner in vitro.7 In the present study, the antitumor effects of nadroparin combined with X-ray irradiation, as well as its potential underlying mechanism, were investigated in vivo.

The results of the present study revealed that treatment with nadroparin or X-ray irradiation alone only slightly inhibited tumor growth, while treatment using nadroparin in tumor-bearing mice markedly enhanced the efficacy of fractionated irradiation. Enhancement of the combined treatment response was evident in the significant inhibition of tumor growth and increased survival times of mice compared with mice treated with nadroparin or X-ray irradiation alone.

In recent years, an increasing number of studies have demonstrated that IL-6 and TGF-β1 affect the tumor microenvironment and are associated with the development and prognosis of numerous malignant tumors.21,22 Chen et al23 have reported that the innate TGF-β activity of MCF-7 cells was reduced by heparin treatment, with specific interruption of the TGF-β–Smad signaling pathway. Zhou et al24 have found that X-ray irradiation promoted migration and invasion of cancer cells through TGF-β pathway. Furthermore, studies on IL-6 and LMWHs were also reported. For instance, Shastri et al25 reported that IL-6 and IL-8 release from human pulmonary epithelial cells was suppressed by non-anticoagulant fraction of enoxaparin. The results of the present study revealed that serum IL-6 and TGF-β1 levels were markedly decreased in the treated groups compared to the control group, while mice treated with X-ray irradiation combined with nadroparin exhibited significantly decreased expression levels of IL-6 and TGF-β1 in serum.

Tumor angiogenesis has an important role in tumor growth and metastasis.26 Debergh et al19,27 reported that nadroparin inhibits tumor angiogenesis, which results in microvessel normalization in different xenograft models. In the present study, the results demonstrated that MVD value was decreased in the slow growth rate mice. Nadroparin

---

**Figure 5** Concentrations of IL-6 and TGF-β1 in mouse serum.

Notes: An ELISA kit was used to determine TGF-β1 and IL-6 levels in mouse serum. The results revealed that the differences in IL-6 and TGF-β1 concentrations between the six experimental groups were statistically significant. Significant differences were observed in the treated groups compared with the control group (P<0.05; t=33.361, 6.230, 36.467, 74.477, 74.971, 26.800, 6.253, 9.633, 40.079 and 41.894). Significant differences in the concentrations of IL-6 and TGF-β1 were observed in the combined groups compared with the group treated with X-ray irradiation alone (P<0.05; t=56.191, 56.815, 15.966 and 17.637). Significant differences were observed in the combined treatment groups compared with the L1 group (P<0.05; t=77.104 and 26.947) and the L2 group (P<0.05; t=35.502 and 21.775). X, X-ray group; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; MVD, microvascular density.

**Abbreviations:** IL, interleukin; TGF, transforming growth factor; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; MVD, microvascular density.
inhibited angiogenesis, which was not in a dose-dependent manner.

LMWHs may induce cell apoptosis in vivo. The results of the present study revealed that treatment with nadroparin or X-ray irradiation alone only slightly enhanced the apoptosis rates of tumor cells. The mean apoptosis rate in mice treated with X-ray irradiation was increased compared with mice treated with nadroparin alone; however, there was no significant difference in the apoptosis rates of tumor cells obtained from mice treated with X-ray irradiation alone compared with those obtained from mice treated with nadroparin alone. The effect of increased apoptosis of tumor cells obtained from mice that had been administered treatment with both X-ray irradiation and nadroparin was the most significant.

Numerous scientific studies have demonstrated that survivin has an important role in the development of cancer due to its complex biological functions, including inhibition
of tumor cell apoptosis, promotion of cell proliferation and tumor angiogenesis. In addition, a previous study revealed that survivin represents an independent prognostic factor for tumor therapy, and its overexpression is correlated with elevated resistance to chemoradiotherapy. Therefore, blocking tumor cell survivin function or inhibiting its expression may inhibit cell apoptosis and proliferation and enhance its sensitivity to radiotherapy and chemotherapy. In our previous in vitro study, we have found that nadroparin alone could inhibit not only the expression of survivin but also the upregulated levels of survivin induced by radiotherapy in the combined treatment. In the present study, the results revealed that treatment with nadroparin or X-ray irradiation alone inhibited the expression of survivin to an extent; however, survivin expression was significantly suppressed following treatment with both X-ray irradiation and nadroparin in a non-dose-dependent manner. Therefore, it can be suggested that the antitumor effect of nadroparin combined with X-ray irradiation may be associated with the inhibition of survivin expression in tumor tissues.

**Conclusion**

The results of the present study revealed that nadroparin combined with X-ray irradiation exhibited a marked synergistic antitumor effect in vivo. Combined treatment was demonstrated to have inhibited tumor angiogenesis, promoted tumor cell apoptosis, attenuated the tumor microenvironment, and inhibited the expression of survivin to a greater extent than treatment with X-ray irradiation or nadroparin alone. Therefore, it can be suggested that combined treatment of X-ray irradiation and nadroparin inhibits tumor growth and improves the survival times of mice with Lewis lung cancer. In contrast to the results demonstrated by in vitro experimentation, the antitumor effects of nadroparin combined with X-ray irradiation were not exhibited in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the promotion of tumor growth induced by X-ray irradiation was not demonstrated during the treatment period. The results of the present study may further the understanding of the mechanism underlying the antitumor effects associated with LMWHs and provide a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of patients with lung cancer. However, further investigations are required to determine the molecular mechanisms underlying the antitumor effects of nadroparin administration combined with radiotherapy.

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.