Combined treatment with metformin and gefitinib overcomes primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs with EGFR mutation via targeting IGF-1R signaling pathway
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Aim: Although EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have shown dramatic effects against sensitizing EGFR mutations in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), ~20%–30% of NSCLC patients with EGFR-sensitive mutation exhibit intrinsic resistance to EGFR-TKIs. The purpose of the current study was to investigate the enhanced antitumor effect of metformin (Met), a biguanide drug, in combination with gefitinib (Gef) in primary resistant human lung cancer cells and the associated molecular mechanism.

Experimental design: H1975 cell line was treated with Met and/or Gef to examine the inhibition of cell growth and potential mechanism of action by using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), Ki67 incorporation assay, flow cytometry analysis, small interfering RNA technology, Western blot analysis and xenograft implantation.

Results: Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) signaling pathway was markedly activated in EGFR-TKI primary resistant H1975 cells as compared to EGFR-TKI acquired resistance cells (PC-9GR, H1650-M3) and EGFR-TKI sensitivity cells (PC-9, HCC827). Inhibition of IGF-1R activity by AG-1024 (a small molecule of IGF-1R inhibitor), as well as downregulation of IGF-1R by siRNA, significantly enhanced the ability of Gef to suppress proliferation and induce apoptosis in H1975 cells via the inhibition of AKT activation and subsequent upregulation of Bcl-2-interacting mediator of cell death (BIM). Interestingly, the observation showed that Met combined with Gef treatment had similar tumor growth suppression effects in comparison with the addition of AG-1024 to therapy with Gef. A clear synergistic antiproliferative interaction between Met and Gef was observed with a combination index (CI) value of 0.65. Notably, IGF-1R silencing mediated by RNA interference (RNAi) attenuated anticancer effects of Met without obviously resensitizing H1975 cells to Gef. Finally, Met-based combinational therapy effectively blocked tumor growth in the xenograft with TKI primary resistant lung cancer cells.

Conclusion: Our findings demonstrated that Met combined with Gef would be a promising strategy to overcome EGFR-TKI primary resistance via suppressing IGF-1R signaling pathway in NSCLC.
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Introduction

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations, such as exon 19 deletions and L858R point mutations, are greatly responsive to the first-generation reversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) of gefitinib (Gef) and erlotinib without serious side effects.1,2 Several large Phase III clinical trials have confirmed the efficacy and safety of Gef, which has been recommended as the standard first-line treatment for NSCLC patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations.
treatment for advanced NSCLC patients harboring activating EGFR mutations.\textsuperscript{3,4} However, drug resistance greatly limits the efficacy of the EGFR-TKI treatment. The study of resistance to EGFR-TKIs in EGFR-mutant NSCLC patients can be divided into two broad categories: acquired and primary resistance. Acquired resistance refers to patients who invariably develop progressive disease following an initial objective response or a prolonged stable disease in 9–13 months,\textsuperscript{5} whereas primary resistance refers to patients who have progressive disease in <3 months or stable disease as the best response to EGFR-TKIs.\textsuperscript{6,7} All patients with activating EGFR mutations who are initially responsive to EGFR-TKIs develop acquired resistance inevitably, while ~20%–30% of patients reveal primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs despite having tumors that harbor EGFR mutations.\textsuperscript{8–11} Resistance to EGFR-TKI treatment is a major obstacle in effective treatment of NSCLC. Therefore, our group engaged in identifying an effective, economical and safe combined therapeutic strategy to overcome drug resistance to EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC patients.

Metformin (Met) is a commonly used oral antihyperglycemic agent for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), displaying significant antitumor properties in various types of cancers including breast, prostate and ovary.\textsuperscript{12–14} In the T2DM patients, Met treatment is associated with decreased incidence and mortality of cancer in comparison with other antidiabetic agents.\textsuperscript{15} A retrospective observational trial from our group demonstrated that Met, in contrast with other hypoglycemic agents, combined with EGFR-TKI treatment was significantly associated with survival benefit outcome of advanced NSCLC patients with diabetes.\textsuperscript{16} Previously, our group has confirmed that Met co-treatment with EGFR-TKIs could overcome acquired resistance, leading to strong inhibition on acquired resistance of NSCLC cells’ proliferation, migration, and invasion synergistically.\textsuperscript{17} However, it remains unknown whether Met may sensitize primary resistant NSCLC to EGFR-TKIs.

The molecular mechanisms associated with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKIs have been well documented.\textsuperscript{18–22} On the other hand, there are only a few preclinical and retrospective reports on the mechanism of the primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs. It has been reported that de novo MET amplification,\textsuperscript{23,24} Bcl-2 interacting mediator of cell death (BIM)-deletion polymorphism,\textsuperscript{25} phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) loss\textsuperscript{26} and de novo T790M mutation\textsuperscript{27,28} are associated with intrinsic resistance to EGFR-TKIs, but still a lot of primary resistance mechanisms are largely unknown. The insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) signaling activation has recently been reported to play a key role in primary resistance of EGFR-TKIs.\textsuperscript{29} Having IGF1-positive serum indicated a negative prognosis in EGFR activating mutation in NSCLC patients who received Gef therapy.\textsuperscript{30} EGFR-mutant NSCLC patients with de novo EGFR-TKI resistance showed a high expression of IGF-1R.\textsuperscript{31}

Here, our study demonstrated that compared to EGFR-TKI-sensitive cells (HCC827 and PC-9) and EGFR-TKI acquired resistant cells (PC-9GR and H1650-M3), the IGF-1R activity was much higher in EGFR-TKI primary resistant H1975 cells. However, primary resistance was attenuated upon incubation with the Gef and IGF-1R pathway inhibitor AG-1024 or when IGF-1R expression was reduced through RNA interference (RNAi). This treatment displayed reduction in phosphorylation of Akt kinase, the major downstream regulator of IGF-1R signaling, as well as upregulation of BIM and increased apoptosis potential in vitro. Met effectively increased the sensitivity of primary resistant H1975 cells to Gef, resulting in growth inhibition and apoptosis induction, via inhibiting IGF-1R signaling by regulating the AKT/BIM pathway. Furthermore, knockdown of IGF-1R expression incubation with siRNA abolished the synergistic effect of Met on Gef-induced cytotoxicity in H1975 cells. In addition, this combinational therapy reduced tumor masses much more effectively than Gef alone in a xenograft mouse model.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture and reagents**

EGFR-TKI-sensitive PC-9 cells were gifted by Prof. J. Xu and Dr. M. Liu from Guangzhou Medical University (China), and HCC827 cells were provided by the American Type Culture Collection. EGFR-TKI acquired resistant PC-9GR cells were gifted by Prof. J. Xu and Dr. M. Liu from Guangzhou Medical University (China), and H1650-M3 cells were kindly provided by Dr. R. Sordella from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. EGFR-TKI primary resistant H1975 cells were provided by the American Type Culture Collection. All the cells were cultured in the Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (RPMI-1640; HyClone; Logan, UT, USA) with Earle’s salts and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 2 mM l-glutamine solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 100 U/mL penicillin (HyClone) and 100 µg/mL streptomycin sulfate (HyClone) at 37°C with 5% CO\textsubscript{2} in the air and 90% humidity. Gef (Iressa), purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Ellisville, MO, USA), and AG-1024, purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA), were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to obtain a stock solution of 10 mmol/L. Met (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) was dissolved in deionized water, and a 1 M stock solution...
was prepared and stored in aliquots at -20°C. The use of
gifted cell lines was approved by ethics committee of the
Third Military Medical University.

3-((4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-
diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay
Cytotoxicity of Gef plus Met was evaluated by the MTT dye
reduction assay. A total of 2000 cells were plated in a 100 μL
medium culture in 96-well microtiter plates. After 12 h
incubation, Gef and 5 mM Met were added to each well as
indicated, and the cells were cultured for 48 h. Then,
10 μL of 5 mg/mL MTT reagent (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) in a
200 μL culture medium was added to each well. After 4 h, the
medium was removed, and 150 μL of DMSO was admixed
to each well to dissolve the formazan crystals. Absorbance
was measured at a wavelength of 490 nm by using a Ther-
moFisher Spectrophotometer1510 (Molecular Devices LLC,
Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Cell viability was determined by
dividing the absorbance values of the treated cells with that
of the untreated cells. Cytotoxic activity was recorded as IC50,
which is the concentration necessary to reduce the absorbance
of treated cells by 50% compared to the control (untreated
cells). The experiments were conducted in triplicates.

Ki67 incorporation assay
Cell proliferation was also assessed by the Ki67 incorpora-
tion assay using a Ki67 labeling and detection kit (Sigma-
Aldrich Co.). Briefly, cells were treated with Met or Gef, or
both, for 48 h and then were incubated for 12 h with Ki67
(1:200 dilution) and fixed. Cell nuclei were counterstained
with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and then viewed
with a live cell station (API DeltaVision, Rockville, MD,
USA). At least 500 cells from three independent experiments
were counted. The data obtained were expressed as the mean
value of the percentage of positive cells ± standard error of
the mean (SEM).

Calculation of combination index (CI)
and data analysis
The isobolographic method was used to measure the type
of interaction between Met and Gef. The CI was calculated
for combinations of Met and Gef against H1975 cells, and
the results were interpreted as described in the following:32

\[
CI = \frac{(D_1)}{(IC_{50a} \text{ of drug } 1 \text{ (Met) alone})} + \frac{(D_2)}{(IC_{50b} \text{ of drug } 1 \text{ (Met) in combination with drug } 2 \text{ (Gef)})} + \frac{a(D_2)}{(IC_{50c} \text{ of drug } 2 \text{ (Gef) alone})} + \frac{(D_1)}{(IC_{50d} \text{ of drug } 2 \text{ (Gef) in combination with drug } 1 \text{ (Met) alone})} + \frac{a(D_1)}{(IC_{50e} \text{ of drug } 2 \text{ (Gef) in combination with drug } 1 \text{ (Met) in combination with drug } 2 \text{ (Gef)})}
\]

where \(D_1\) is the concentration of drug 1 (Met) alone; \(D_2\) is
the concentration of drug 1 (Met) in combination with drug 2
(Gef); \(a\) is the concentration of drug 2 (Gef) alone; \(D_1\) is the
concentration of drug 2 (Gef) in combination with drug 1
(Met) alone; \(D_2\) is the concentration of drug 2 (Gef) in combina-
tion with drug 1 (Met) in combination with drug 2 (Gef).

Interpretation of results
Antagonism for CI value of >1.3, moderate antagonism
for CI value of 1.1–1.3, additive interaction for CI value of
0.9–1.1, slight synergism for CI value of 0.8–0.9, moderate
synergism for CI value of 0.4–0.6, and strong synergism for
CI value of 0.2–0.4 were found.

Aptosis assay
Flow cytometric analysis was used to detect apoptosis by
examining altered plasma membrane phospholipid packing
by the lipophilic dye Annexin V. Briefly, cells were treated
with Gef and/or Met for 48 h, harvested by trypsin, washed
twice with PBS and then resuspended at a density of 1 ×
10^6 cells/mL. Thereafter, 5 μL of Annexin V-FITC and 5 μL
of propidium iodide (PI) were added to 100 μL of the cell
suspension and incubated for 30 min at room temperature
in the dark. Next, labeled cells were processed by flow
cytometry. All early apoptotic cells (i.e., Annexin V positive,
PI negative), necrotic/late apoptotic cells (i.e., double posi-
tive) and living cells (i.e., double positive) and living cells (i.e., double negative) were detected by
using a Cytomics FC 500 flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter,
Miami, FL, USA).

Transfection of siRNAs
H1975 cells were seeded at 40% cell confluence per well in
six-well plates.

IGF-1R-specific siRNA used for IGF-1R knockdown
and the control siRNA were synthesized by GenePharma
(Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.). The sequences of siRNA
targeting the IGF-1R coding region were as follows: sense,
5'-GGAGAGAACUGUCAUUUCUTT -3' and antisense,
5'-AGAAUGACAGUUCUCCCTT-3'. The siRNAs were
then transfected into the H1975 cells by HiPerfect Transfec-
tion Reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the
manufacturer’s instructions.

Western blot assay
Cells grown and treated as indicated were collected, and
the total protein was extracted. The following primary
antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technol-
yogy, Inc. (CST; Cambridge, MA, USA) and used: rabbit
monoclonal anti-phosphorylated IGF-1R (Tyr1131), rabbit
monoclonal anti-IGF-1R, rabbit monoclonal anti-AKT, rabbit
monoclonal anti-phosphorylated AKT (Ser473), and rabbit
monoclonal anti-Bim. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated
goat-anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used as a secondary antibody. The control for equal protein loading was assessed using an anti-GAPDH antibody (CST).

Animal experiments
For xenograft implantation, a total of $2 \times 10^6$ H1975 cells were injected subcutaneously into the back, next to the left forelimb of 6-week-old female BALB/c-a-nu mice (Laboratory Animal Center of Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China), all of which developed tumors with a size of $30 \text{ mm}^3$ within 5–7 days. The mice were then randomly assigned to two groups (eight mice/group) with or without oral administration of $1 \text{ mg/mL}$ Met and $250 \text{ mg/L}$ Gef in drinking water. Tumor volume was calculated as $(\text{length} \times \text{width}^2)/2$ and measured twice a week. The animals were kept in individual ventilated cages in compliance with institutional guidelines. After 4 weeks, tumor-bearing mice were euthanized by intraperitoneal overdose of sodium pentobarbital and tumors were harvested and weighed at the end. All animal protocols were approved by the ethics committee of the Third Military Medical University with the approval number of SYXK(YU)20170002 and were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Welfare Act of the National Institutes of Health (NIH publication no 80-23), revised 2010.

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis among the groups was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a post hoc Bonferroni adjustment using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and statistical significance was assumed at an alpha value of $p < 0.05$.

Results
Primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs was more dependent on IGF-1R signaling pathway
We first detected the basal expression of p-IGF-1R, IGF-1R in a series of NSCLC cells. As shown in Figure 1, although no obvious change was detected in the basal levels of total IGF-1R, EGFR-TKIs primary resistant H1975 cells exhibited higher levels of p-IGF-1R than acquired resistant cells (PC-9GR, H1650-M3) and sensitized cells (HCC827, PC-9). Our results demonstrated that primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs was more dependent on the IGF-1R pathway (Figure 1).

IGF-1R activation was responsible for EGFR-TKI primary resistance
To clearly identify whether IGF-1R signals contribute to the EGFR-TKI primary resistance, we used a small molecule chemical inhibitor of IGF-1R (AG-1024) against IGF-1R activity in H1975 cells. Western blot analysis showed that AG-1024 treatment indicated a specific reduction in phosphorylation protein levels of IGF-1R compared to control. When it was combined with Gef, IGF-1R activity was markedly suppressed despite the total-IGF-1R protein not being changed (Figure 2D). Next, we further confirmed the effect of IGF-1R activity inhibitor using AG-1024 on H1975 cell proliferation. MTT assay was conducted to examine the growth inhibitory effect of AG-1024, Gef and combinational therapy on H1975 cells at 48 h of treatment. Results showed that AG-1024 induced a dose- and time-dependent decrease in cell viability of H1975 cells with IC$_{50}$ values of 43.6 ± 2.4 μM. Meanwhile, the indicated concentration of Gef alone determined a cytotoxic effect
on H1975 cells with IC$_{50}$ values of 9.4 ± 0.74 μM; when it was combined with 20 μM of AG-1024, it showed a significant synergistic antiproliferative effect on H1975 cells with its IC$_{50}$ values dropping to 2.8 ± 0.2 μM (p = 0.00; Figure 2A and B).

We also assessed the synergistic effect of IGF-1R inhibitor treatment in combination with Gef in H1975 cells through Ki67 incorporation assay. Ki67 labeling (as a percentage of total nuclei) was obviously reduced under combination treatment of AG-1024 and Gef (Figure 2C).

To investigate the possible mechanism by which IGF-1R signaling was inhibited on H1975 cells, the activities of IGF-1R downstream molecules were examined. Results showed the effects of single treatment with AG-1024 on inhibition of AKT activities and elevation of BIM protein level were modest, whereas the combination of AG-1024 and Gef substantially suppressed AKT activities and further induced apoptotic proteins of BIM (Figure 2D). These results demonstrated that co-treatment with AG-1024 and Gef could synergistically induce H1975 cells apoptosis by controlling AKT/BIM pathways.

These data indicated that IGF-1R activation was responsible for the proliferation of EGFR-TKI primary resistance

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** IGF-1R inhibitor enhanced Gef cytotoxicity in H1975 cells.

Notes: (A) Cells were treated with indicated doses of AG-1024 or Gef or a combination of AG-1024 (20 μM) with Gef for 48 h. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay. (B) IC$_{50}$ values of Gef toward H1975 cells for 48 h with or without AG-1024 treatment. *p < 0.05. (C) AG-1024 (20 μM) combined with Gef (4 μM) synergistically inhibited the proliferation of H1975 cells, as determined by a Ki67 incorporation assay. Statistical differences were calculated by one-way ANOVA. ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001. Scale bars: 80 μm. (D) Cells were treated with AG-1024 (20 μM) and/or Gef (4 μM); after 48 h, cells were harvested and subjected to Western blot using the indicated antibodies. Relative expression values of p-IGF-1R, p-AKT and BIM were expressed as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 and ****p < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA).

**Abbreviations:** IGF-IR, insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor; Gef, gefitinib; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; ANOVA, analysis of variance; BIM, Bcl-2-interacting mediator of cell death; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenyindole.
in NSCLC; inhibiting IGF-1R activity could overcome EGFR-TKI primary resistance by downregulation of AKT phosphorylation and upregulation of BIM.

**Met sensitized H1975 cells to Gef**

To evaluate the antiproliferative effect of Met on H1975 cells, we first performed MTT assays and found that Met could enhance the inhibitory action of Gef on the growth of H1975 cells, with IC$_{50}$ values varying from 9.41 ± 0.96 μM to 2.06 ± 0.60 μM (Figure 3A and B).

Considering Met could disrupt mitochondrial respiration, which may affect the MTT assay results, we further performed Ki67 incorporation assay and found that Met exhibited a profound synergistic inhibition effect on H1975 cells when it was combined with Gef (Figure 3C).

Next, we performed flow cytometry analysis to determine whether the drug combination could enhance apoptosis. Flow cytometric analysis demonstrated that few Met-treated cells or Gef-treated cells underwent apoptosis. However, combination therapy of Met with Gef markedly enhanced the apoptotic cells (Figure 3D). These results suggest that Met could sensitize H1975 cells to Gef by reducing cell viability and inducing cell apoptosis.

**Cytotoxic effect of Met and/or Gef on H1975 cells**

A dose-dependent inhibition of H1975 cells proliferation was observed after treatment with Met or Gef with IC$_{50}$ values of 21.44 mM or 9.41 μM, respectively (Table 1). Testing different combinations of Met and Gef showed a clear synergistic
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**Figure 3** Met sensitized H1975 cells to Gef.

**Notes:** (A) Cells were treated with different concentrations of Met and Gef in the absence or presence of Met (5 mM) for 48 h, and viability of cells was then measured using the MTT assay. (B) IC$_{50}$ values of H1975 cells to Gef; *p < 0.01 compared with that without Met treatment. (C) The synergistic antiproliferation effects of Met (5 mM) combined with Gef (4 μM) on H1975 cells, as determined by a Ki67 incorporation assay. Statistical differences between groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01. (D) Cells were incubated as (C), and apoptosis was assessed by Annexin V/PI staining and FACS analysis. ***p < 0.001. The images are representative of three independent experiments.

**Abbreviations:** Met, metformin; Gef, gefitinib; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; ANOVA, analysis of variance; PI, propidium iodide; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Con, control.
interaction (CI = 0.65) with reduction in the IC\textsubscript{50} values for both agents to 7.42 mM and 2.06 μM, respectively (Table 1).

**Met decreased IGF-1R signaling activation in H1975 cells**

IGF-1R signaling plays a key role in regulating the growth of H1975 cells, and IGF-1R inhibitor suppresses growth and induces apoptosis on H1975 cells. In addition, the combination of Met and Gef leads to inhibition of H1975 cells’ proliferation and induction of apoptosis. To explore the mechanism underlying the antitumor effects of Met on H1975 cells, the effects of Met on IGF-1R signaling pathway were detected.

We performed Western blot assay and found that Met alone inhibited IGF-1R activation and regulated its downstream signaling proteins such as suppressing p-AKT or escalating BIM, and Gef alone produced no significant change in the IGF-1R signaling pathway. After Met was combined with Gef, a more pronounced decrease in the protein levels of p-IGF-1R and p-AKT and increase in the protein levels of BIM were seen. These results show that Met promoted apoptosis of H1975 cells via regulating the IGF-1R/AKT/BIM signaling pathway (Figure 4).

**Met resensitized primary resistant H1975 cells to Gef highly dependent on IGF-1R signaling inhibition**

To verify whether Met resensitizes EGFR-TKIs innate resistance cells to Gef through inhibiting IGF-1R signaling, IGF-1R siRNA was transfected into H1975 cells to downregulate IGF-1R expression. Western blot analysis showed a marked reduction of IGF-1R expression in H1975 cells transfected with si-IGF-1R RNA compared with those transfected with siRNA negative control (NC; Figure 5A).

As a result, downregulation of IGF-1R decreased p-AKT and increased protein of BIM. MTT assay analysis demonstrated that IGF-1R knockdown could partially recover sensitivity of H1975 cells to Gef in comparison with NC. In addition, co-treatment with Met and Gef inhibited the proliferation of IGF-1R knockdown cells and NC cells to a similar extent. There was no significant difference in Gef sensitivity of

---

**Table 1** The IC\textsubscript{50} values and CI for Met and Gef against H1975 cell line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gef IC\textsubscript{50}</th>
<th>Met IC\textsubscript{50}</th>
<th>Gef IC\textsubscript{50} in combination</th>
<th>Met IC\textsubscript{50} in combination</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.41 ± 0.96</td>
<td>21.44 ± 2.23</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.60</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>Synergism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CI, combination index; Met, metformin; Gef, gefitinib.

---

**Figure 4** Co-administration of Met and Gef synergically inhibited the activity of IGF-1R signaling pathway in H1975 cells.

**Notes:** Cells were treated with Met (5 mM) and Gef (4 μM) alone or in combination for 48 h, whole cell protein was lysed and immunoblotted with antibodies as indicated, and β-actin was used to confirm the equal gel loading. Relative expression values of p-IGF-1R, p-AKT and BIM were expressed as mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 (one-way ANOVA).

**Abbreviations:** Met, metformin; Gef, gefitinib; IGF-1R, insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor; BIM, Bcl-2-interacting mediator of cell death; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
IGF-1R knockdown cells in the presence or absence of Met (Figure 5B and C). These results indicate that Met increased the sensitivity of primary resistant cells to Gef by downregulating mainly the IGF-1R pathway.

**Combinational regimen synergistically inhibited tumor growth of H1975 cell xenograft**

Given the synergistic inhibitory effects of Met and Gef on H1975 cells’ growth in vitro, it is believed that the combinational regimen has the potential to be highly effective in treating H1975 cells in vivo. Thus, we sought to determine the inhibition effect of Met and Gef on the tumor growth of H1975 cells xenograft. After 24 days, the xenograft tumors of the Gef group grew to an average volume of 2.19 ± 0.29 cm³ starting from 0.05 ± 0.01 cm³. As shown in Figure 6A, addition of Met to Gef showed a significant synergistic inhibition of xenograft tumor growth from day 20 (p = 0.04) with an average volume of 0.95 ± 0.16 cm³. Moreover, gross appearances of xenograft tumors from the combination of Met and Gef group are presented in Figure 6C. At the end of experiments, the tumors were isolated and weighed. Compared with the Gef group, the mean tumor weight was significantly less in the combinational group without affecting body weight obviously (Figure 6B).

**Discussion**

Primary resistance severely limits the clinical efficacy of first-generation EGFR-TKIs therapy, and understanding the mechanisms of this resistance is pivotal for developing more
effective treatment strategies. Many studies have already reported that activation of the IGF-1R pathway potentially mediates acquired resistance to EGFR-TKIs.\textsuperscript{33–36} Our study provides similar evidence that EGFR-TKI-acquired resistant cell lines of H1650-M3 and PC-9GR exhibited high p-IGF-1R levels, whereas EGFR-TKI-sensitive cell lines of HCC827 and PC-9 had relatively lower levels of p-IGF-1R. To further examine the role of IGF-1R in primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs, we used the NSCLC H1975 cell line, which reproducibly carries EGFR L858R mutation and de novo EGFR T790M mutation. Our study demonstrated that IGF-1R activity of H1975 cells was found to be significantly, even higher than that of H1650-M3 and PC-9GR. We also conducted whole-exome sequencing of the H1975 cells; mutation status of Met, PI3KCA and PTEN loss was not observed. In NSCLC H1650 cells with deletion mutation on exon 19, which displays primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs due to exhibiting PTEN loss,\textsuperscript{39} co-treatment of IGF-1R inhibitors such as α-IR3, AG-1024 or R1507 with Gef enhanced TKI-induced cells’ growth inhibition and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{37} Surprisingly, we found that IGF-1R inhibitor of AG-1024 sensitized H1975 cells, a primary resistance cell line with T790M mutation, to Gef by inducing apoptosis and inhibiting proliferation. Otherwise, knockdown of IGF-1R expression through siRNA could also enhance Gef sensitivity in H1975 cells. Hence, we further reinforce the significance of the deactivated IGF-1R signaling pathway that contributed to overcome EGFR-TKI primary resistance.

AKT signaling pathway plays an important role in cells’ multiple biological activities, including proliferation and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{38,39} A clinical cancer gene test has described genomic alterations in the AKT pathway; increasing the kinase activity of AKT and contributing to cellular transformation may confer primary resistance to Gef.\textsuperscript{40} IGF-1R can activate the EGFR-shared downstream signaling pathways, one of the signals being the PI3 kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathway.\textsuperscript{41,42} In this study, we selected the key marker of AKT in the shared downstream signaling pathways to verify the effect of IGF-1R inhibitor on the primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC. Our result showed that AG-1024 treatment alone or in combination with Gef suppressed IGF-1R inducement of p-AKT in H1975 cells; the dependence of AKT on IGF-1R signaling was further underscored by a knockdown of IGF-1R using siRNA. Thus, p-AKT status appears to be predominantly controlled by IGF-1R activation in H1975 cells. Of the BH3-only protein, BIM belonging to the Bcl-2 protein family may promote mitochondria releasing cytochrome c, which, in turn, may activate caspases, the key executioners of mitochondria-mediated apoptosis.\textsuperscript{43,44} AKT signaling pathway deactivation may lead to a substantial increase in BIM protein and induce apoptosis procedure consequently.\textsuperscript{45,46} Based on these studies, we found that downregulation of IGF-1R by siRNA induced expression of pro-apoptotic BH3 protein BIM and addition of AG-1024 to Gef increased the level of BIM protein and, thereby, induced mitochondria-mediated apoptosis of primary resistant NSCLC H1975 cells.

In this study, we aimed to explore the effect of Met on EGFR-TKI primary resistance and the underlying mechanism. Our results showed that the combination of Met and Gef induced strong inhibition on H1975 cells’ proliferation to a greater extent than either agent alone, and the apoptosis assay confirmed the synergistic inhibitory effect similarly. Combination of both agents caused a reduction in IC\textsubscript{50} values with clear synergistic effect. The enhancement of tumor growth suppression in the xenograft further supported the reverse effect of Met in primary resistant H1975 cells treated with Gef.

The fact that Met can inhibit growth of cancer cells is widely accepted, and the mechanism is associated with Met stimulating the activation of AMPK to inhibit mTOR phosphorylation\textsuperscript{47,48} and that Met can reduce IGF-1R signaling and suppress the PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis.\textsuperscript{49,50} Some studies have shown that Met inhibits IGF-1R signaling in cancer cells.\textsuperscript{51–53} In addition, our group has reported that Met could restore crizotinib sensitivity in crizotinib-resistant NSCLC cells through inhibition of the IGF-1R signaling pathway.\textsuperscript{54} To further explore the mechanism involved in the cytotoxicity effect of Met, we investigated the IGF-1R signaling pathway. We found that Met treatment alone or in combination with Gef could suppress IGF-1R signaling and subsequently inactivate AKT protein. To confirm the importance of the inhibitory effect of Met on IGF-1R in H1975 cells, we knocked down IGF-1R by siRNA and determined cell proliferation. As a result, knockdown of IGF-1R diminished the sensitization role of Met in H1975 cells. Caveolin-1 is reported to be required for Met inhibitory effect on IGF1 action in NSCLC.\textsuperscript{55} In this context, whether caveolin-1 contributes to the synergistic inhibitory effect of Met on H1975 cells is deemed worthy of further study. Taken together, these results suggested the critical role of IGF-1R/AKT signaling in Met-regulated growth inhibition in H1975 cells, and Met-sensitized H1975 cells to Gef, via inhibition of mainly IGF-1R.

In the present study, we observed that after the H1975 cells were treated with Met alone, the protein level of BIM was increased and the combination of Met and Gef increased the BIM protein level to a greater extent. Consistent with our previous data, flow cytometry assay revealed that this
combination treatment effectively improved the apoptosis rate of H1975 cells. These results demonstrated that the antiproliferative synergistic effect of Met on H1975 cells with Gef was through inhibiting IGF-1R/AKT signaling, which consequently upregulated expression of BIM protein and promoted cell apoptosis through the activation of the mitochondrial pathway.

In addition, our laboratory has confirmed that for the sensitive cell lines PC-9 and HCC827, Gef alone could inhibit the p-EGFR activation; for the resistant cell lines PC-9GR and H1975, which harbor the T790M mutation, Gef showed poor performance, resulting in a high p-EGFR expression. Because Met treatment did inhibit the activation status of EGFR in NSCLC, it is possible that the role of Met in overcoming intrinsic resistance to EGFR-TKIs involves not only inhibiting IGF-1R signaling but also influencing the expression of p-EGFR.

**Conclusion**

Suppression of the IGF-1R pathway could restore EGFR-TKI primary resistant NSCLC H1975 cells’ sensitivity to Gef, and synergistic antitumor effect was mediated through downregulation of p-AKT and escalation of BIM. We have reported the first evidence that Met inhibited IGF-1R signaling pathway and modulated AKT/BIM pathway, which contributed to the growth suppression and apoptosis induction role of Met, that potentiated the cytotoxicity effect of Gef in EGFR-TKI primary resistant H1975 cells. Moreover, the combination of Met and Gef showed greater inhibition of tumor growth than Gef alone in the xenograft. These findings provide a promising combined therapy strategy for the treatment of EGFR-TKI de novo resistant NSCLC.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (81071912, 81472189). Yong-hong Pan is the first author to this work.

**Author contributions**

YHP carried out the flow cytometry analysis, small interfering RNA technology and Western blot analysis; performed the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. CYL and CHL carried out MTT, Ki67 incorporation assay and xenograft tumor experiment. LJ participated in the study design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. LL, HYC and YBW participated in the design of the study. YH conceived the study. All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References