Long non-coding RNA NAP1L6 promotes tumor progression and predicts poor prognosis in prostate cancer by targeting Inhibin-β A
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Background/purpose: Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have emerged as key molecules in initiation and progression of prostate cancer (PCa). In this study, we aimed to explore the role of lncRNA NAP1L6 in the development and progression of PCa.

Materials and methods: We identified that lncRNA NAP1L6 was over-expressed both in PCa tissues and cell lines by gene expression array profiling. The expression level of NAP1L6 in 75 PCa tissues and adjacent tissues was detected by RT-PCR. Next, the correlations between NAP1L6 expression and clinical features of patients with PCa were analyzed by paired t-test or chi-squared test, and its association with patient prognosis was assessed by the Kaplan–Meier method. The effects of NAP1L6 on PC-3 and 22RV1 cells were evaluated by Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8), migration, invasion, and colony formation assays. Further analysis of the results of the microarray was performed to find downstream gene of NAP1L6. Cell function experiments were performed in order to explore the relationship between NAP1L6 and Inhibin-β A (INHBA) and the specific mechanism by which INHBA affects the development of PCa.

Results: Using microarray analysis, we identified 412 lncRNAs and 1245 mRNAs to be significantly differentially expressed in three PCa samples when compared with adjacent non-tumor tissues (ANTT) (fold-change ≥2.0 or ≤0.5, P<0.05, false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05). NAP1L6 expression was upregulated in PCa tissues and cell lines (both P<0.05) compared with ANTT. Besides, high expression level of NAP1L6 promotes PCa cell proliferation, migration, and invasion (all P<0.05), and is significantly associated with larger tumor diameter, distant metastasis, and shorter survival time (all P<0.05). We found that NAP1L6 promoted the expression of INHBA (P<0.05), and knockdown of NAP1L6 led to the reduction of PCa cell migration, invasion, and proliferation by regulating the expression of INHBA (all P<0.05).

Conclusion: lncRNA NR6A1 might play an oncogenic role in PCa initiation and progression by regulating the expression of INHBA, and might act as a novel prognostic biomarker for PCa treatment.

Keywords: long non-coding RNA, NR6A1, INHBA, prostate cancer, prognosis

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) was reported to be the most common disease that threatened the health of the male genitourinary system.1 In the United States, the incidence of PCa was estimated to exceed that of lung cancer, becoming the first malignancy specific for males.2 In China, the incidence of PCa and PCa-specific death has been increasing rapidly in the recent decades.3 In order to reveal novel potential target for clinical treatment, numerous studies have explored the molecular mechanism of PCa development and progression.
Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA), which was identified as non-coding transcripts containing >200 nucleotides, participated in tumorigenesis and progression by affecting tumor cell proliferation, growth suppression, motility, immortality, angiogenesis, and viability. Similar to other malignancies, the regulating effect of lncRNA emerging in PCa gained increasing attention from oncologists and urologists.

Nucleosome assembly protein 1 like 6 (NAP1L6), which contained 33.5 kb nucleotides and was located in Xq13.2, have not been reported in any tumor-related studies until now. In our study, we characterized the expression profile in PCa tissues and paired histologically normal tissues and PCa cells. Next, we demonstrated that NAP1L6 could influence the proliferation, migration, and invasion of PCa cells by regulating the expression of downstream gene Inhibin-β A (INHBA). From experimental results, NAP1L6 might be a potential novel molecular biomarker for the treatment of PCa.

Materials and methods

Microarray and computation analysis

Sample preparation and microarray hybridization were performed by Kangchen Bio-tech (Shanghai, China). Expression profiling of lncRNAs and protein-coding genes was performed using the Agilent human lncRNA+mRNA array V.2.0 platform (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Three PCa tissues and corresponding adjacent non-tumor tissues (ANTT) were used for microarray analysis. The results of microarray analysis were used for exploring the candidate significant molecular target gene. We built lncRNA-protein-coding genes network to identify the interactions between protein-coding genes and lncRNA. For each gene–lncRNA, gene–gene, or lncRNA–lncRNA pair, we calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient and selected the significantly correlated pairs to construct the network.

Tissue collection

Seventy-five paired PCa and ANTT were obtained from patients who underwent radical prostatectomy at the Urology Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, China. All cases were confirmed as PCa based on histopathological evaluation. All collected specimens were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen after surgery and stored at −80°C until further analysis. The clinical pathological characteristics of the PCa patients are summarized in Table 1. The use of human tissues was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, China. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>NAP1L6 expression</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor diameter (cm)</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2.0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score</td>
<td>=6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>=8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsule invasion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple lesions</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P<0.05 with statistical significance.

Cells and culture conditions

Two human PC cell lines (PC3, 22RV1) and a normal myofibroblast stromal cell line (WPMY-1) were obtained from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). 22RV1 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium (GibcoBRL); WPMY-1 cells were cultured in DMEM (GibcoBRL) medium; PC3 cells were cultured in F12K (GibcoBRL) medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in humidified air at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) assays

Total RNA was extracted from tissues and cultured cell lines using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA by using a Reverse Transcription Kit (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, reverse transcription was performed at 37°C for 15 min, and then at 85°C for 5 s and 4°C to the end of the experiment. Real-time PCR (RT-PCR) analyses were performed using a standard protocol from Power SYBR Green (Takara). The qRT-PCR reaction included an initial denaturation step of 10 min at 95°C, and 95°C (30 s), 95°C (5 s), and 61°C (30 s) for a total of 50 cycles with a final extension
step at 72°C for 5 min. Results were normalized to the expression of β-actin. In NAP1L6, the forward and reverse primers used were 5’-CATGGGAGCTAGTGAGGAAA-3’ and 5’-TCACCCAGCTGTAGGGCGAT-3’, respectively. The forward and reverse primers for INHBA cDNA were 5’-CATTTGCTCCCTCTGGCATCAT-3’ and 5’-GCACACAGGACTGATTGAGT-3’, respectively. Our qRT-PCR results were analyzed and expressed relative to threshold cycle values, and then converted to fold-changes. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate.

**Small interfering RNA transfection**

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) that targeted NAP1L6 RNA and scrambled negative control was purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). Synthetic sequence-scrambled siRNA was used as a negative control siRNA. Cells were plated and cultured in growth media until cell density reached 70% prior to siRNA transfection using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were harvested after 48 h for qRT-PCR and Western blot analyses.

**Plasmid constructs**

The sequence of NAP1L6 was synthesized and subcloned into pCDNA3.1 (BioEasy, Shanghai, China) vector. Ectopic expression of NAP1L6 was achieved by using the pCDNA NAP1L6 transfection, and empty pCDNA vector (empty) was used as a control. The expression level of NAP1L6 was detected by qPCR. The gene sequence of plasmids used to stabilize/over-express NAP1L6 in PC3 cells is given in the supplementary material.

**Cell proliferation assay**

The proliferation assays were performed in E-Plate 96 using a real-time cell analyzer (ACEA Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) was used to perform a cell proliferation assay. Transient transfected and negative control prostate cells were harvested 48 h after transfection, and then, 2,000 cells were harvested after 48 h for qRT-PCR and Western blot analyses. For colony formation assay, a certain number of transfected cells were plated into each well of 6-well plates and maintained in proper media containing 10% FBS for 2 weeks, during which the medium was replaced every 4 days. Then, the cells were washed twice with phosphate buffer solution, fixed with methanol for 10 min, and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) for 30 min at room temperature. Visible colonies were then counted. Results were expressed as mean values from three independent experiments.

**Cell migration and invasion assays**

At 48 h after transfection, 2.5×10^4 cells with 200 uL of serum-free medium were cultured in the upper chamber of the transwell (pore size, 8.0 μm; EMD Millpore) for migration and invasion assays with 10% Matrigel (BD Biosciences). Five hundred microliters of media containing 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. The cells were incubated for 48 h at 37°C, and the cells that did not migrate through the pores of the transwell inserts were manually removed with a cotton swab. Subsequently, the cells that had migrated or invaded through the membrane were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). Five random high-power microscopic fields (200× magnification) per filter were photographed, and the number of cells was counted. All of the experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Western blot analysis and antibodies**

A lysis buffer containing the mammalian protein extraction reagent radioimmunoprecipitation Assay (RIPA) (Beyotime, Shanghai, China), a protease inhibitor cocktail (Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland), and Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Hoffman-La Roche Ltd.) was used to lyse the cells after treatment. Proteins were separated by using 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to 0.22 mm polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). The membranes were washed, blocked, and incubated with specific primary antihuman antibodies. The secondary antibody was horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG. An electrochemiluminescence (ECL) chromogenic substrate was used to visualize the bands, and the intensity of the bands was quantified by densitometry (Quantity One software; Bio-Rad). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) antibody was used as a control, and p-PI3k, AKT, p-AKT, mTOR, p-mTOR, vimentin, β-catenin, E-cadherin (all 1:1,000) rabbit monoclonal antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. and anti-INHBA antibody and anti-NAP1L6 antibody were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The mean ± SD values were calculated from three individual experiments.
Statistical analysis
Results were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was tested by a Student’s t-test or a chi-squared test as appropriate. Patient survival was evaluated using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed for survival data. Values of $P<0.05$ were considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS version 17.0 software (IBM).

Results
Expression profiles of lncRNAs and protein-coding genes among PCa patients
We performed microarray analysis to demonstrate the expression profiles of lncRNAs and protein-coding genes in PCa patients. From expression profiles, differentially expressed lncRNAs can be found between PCa and para-carcinoma tissue samples. Fold-change (tumor vs normal) and P-value were calculated from the normalized expression. The hierarchical clustering was performed based on the lncRNA expression values in the microarray. Using microarray analysis, we indentified 412 lncRNAs and 1245 mRNAs to be significantly differentially expressed in three PCa samples compared with ANTT samples (fold-change ≥2.0 or ≤0.5, $P<0.05$, FDR <0.05). Among these, 317 lncRNAs and 710 mRNAs were identified to be consistently upregulated in all the three PCa groups, and 95 lncRNAs and 535 mRNAs were consistently downregulated.

The novel lncRNA NAP1L6 expression in PCa
Based on the features of the differentially expressed lncRNAs from microarray data, we characterized a novel lncRNA, NAP1L6. qRT-PCR was utilized to profile NAP1L6 expression in PCa samples and cell lines. The result supported a strong consistency between the qRT-PCR result and microarray data (Figure 1A). NAP1L6 was significantly over-expressed in PCa tissues compared with ANTT ($P<0.05$, Figure 1B). NAP1L6 was remarkably over-expressed in PC3 and 22RV1 cell lines (both $P<0.05$, Figure 1C). To further validate our findings, data from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database12 were used to analyze the differential expression of NAP1L6 in different cancers. The results showed that NAP1L6 was upregulated in PCa (Figure 1D).

NAP1L6 expression and clinicopathological parameters in PCa
To assess the correlation of NAP1L6 expression with clinicopathological data, the expression levels of NAP1L6 in tumor tissues were categorized as low or high in relation to the cut-off value (Figure 2). The clinical pathology findings of 75 prostate carcinoma patients are shown in Table 1. The high NAP1L6 expression group (n=48) showed larger tumor size and more distant metastasis than the low NAP1L6 expression group (n=27; $P<0.05$). However, there was no significant correlation between NAP1L6 expression and other clinicopathological features, such as age, Gleason score, tumor stage, lymphatic metastasis, capsule invasion, or multiple lesions (all $P>0.05$; Table 1).

Correlation between NAP1L6 expression and prognosis of PCa patients
We further explored whether NAP1L6 expression level was related to the outcome of PCa patients after radical prostatectomy. Biochemical recurrence-free survival time curves were plotted according to NAP1L6 expression level by the Kaplan–Meier method. As presented in Figure 2, patients with high NAP1L6 expression level had poorer biochemical recurrence-free survival (log-rank test, $P=0.006$). Univariate analysis of biochemical recurrence-free survival revealed that the relative level of NAP1L6 expression ($P=0.009$) and tumor stage ($P=0.012$) were prognostic indicators (Table 2). Variables with a value of $P<0.05$ were selected for multivariate analysis. Multivariate analysis also demonstrated that NAP1L6 expression and tumor stage were independent prognostic indicators for biochemical recurrence-free survival in patients with PCa (Table 2).

siRNA-mediated knockdown and over-expression of NAP1L6 regulates cell proliferation
Since NAP1L6 was over-expressed in PCa tissues, we hypothesized that the biological functions of NAP1L6 might be involved in the control of cell proliferation. Therefore, CCK-8 assays were performed. The relative NAP1L6 expression level was significantly reduced by si-NAP1L6 and the s3 showed the highest inhibition efficiency of ~85.8% and ~84.3%, respectively, in PC3 and 22RV1 cell lines ($P<0.05$; Figure 3A and B). Hence, we applied s3 to subsequent experiments. The results revealed that si-NAP1L6 transfection significantly decreased cell growth at 96 h (Figure 3C and D). To further verified the results above, we over-expressed NAP1L6 in PC3 cells with plasmid GV129 and the upregulation effect is shown in Figure 3E. The results of CCK-8 assays showed that high expression of NAP1L6 could promote the proliferation of PC3 cells ($P<0.05$; Figure 3F). Moreover, colony formation assay demonstrated
Figure 1. Relative NAP1L6 expression in PCa and its clinical significance. (A) According to the cut-off value of the relative NAP1L6 expression, relative low-NAP1L6 and high-NAP1L6 patients were 27 and 48, respectively. (B) Relative expression of NAP1L6 in PCa tissues. (C) Relative expression of NAP1L6 in PCa cell lines PC3 and 22RV1 compared with WPMY-1. (D) NAP1L6 was upregulated in PCa according to the TCGA data. Data represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: FPKM, Fragments Per Kilobase Million; PCa, prostate cancer; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas.
Figure 2 Kaplan–Meier survival curves of patients with prostate cancer based on NAP1L6 expression conditions. Patients in the high expression group exhibited significantly poorer prognosis ($P=0.006$, log-rank test).

that downregulated NAP1L6 decreased the clonogenic ability of PC3 cells ($P<0.05$; Figure 4A and B).

Knockdown of NAP1L6 inhibited cell migration and invasion

We explored the effect of NAP1L6 knockdown on PCA cell migration/invasion. Transwell invasion assay was performed and the results demonstrated that knockdown of NAP1L6 inhibited PC3 and 22RV1 cell migration ($P<0.05$, Figure 4C and D) and invasiveness ($P<0.05$, Figure 4E and F). Considering that the invasion and migration of malignancy were related to the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, Western blot assay was performed to investigate the effects of NAP1L6 knockdown on EMT pathway and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. The results showed that the expressions of p-PI3K, p-AKT, p-mTOR, vimentin, and β-catenin were significantly decreased, whereas E-cadherin was increased in PC3 and 22RV1 cells transfected with siRNA compared to scrambled siRNA ($P<0.05$, Figure 4G–K). These findings suggest that the involvement of NAP1L6 in the progression of PCA seems to be mediated by modulation of PI3K-AKT-mTOR and EMT pathways.

Table 2
Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to analyze the survival data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.528–1.578</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>2.134</td>
<td>1.214–3.753</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 vs &lt;65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor diameter</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.466–1.399</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>1.182–3.701</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2.0 cm vs &lt;2.0 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>0.637–2.495</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>1.210–3.733</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 vs &lt;7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>1.469</td>
<td>0.716–3.013</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance metastasis</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>0.653–1.983</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsule invasion</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>0.697–2.020</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple lesions</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>0.669–1.911</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes vs no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>1.177–3.679</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>1.182–3.701</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3–4 vs T2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP1L6 expression</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>1.210–3.733</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vs low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P<0.05 with statistical significance.

PCa cell proliferation, migration, and invasion were inhibited by low expression of INHBA regulated by si-NAP1L6

Further analysis of the microarray chip results revealed that INHBA could be a downstream gene of NAP1L6. The results revealed that expression of NAP1L6 could positively regulate INHBA at both mRNA levels (Figure 5A) and protein levels (Figure 5B). To further verify whether NAP1L6 could promote the progression of PCA by upregulating INHBA, we knocked down INHBA in PC3 and 22RV1 cells using siRNA (Figure 5C). CCK-8 assays were performed and the results demonstrated that INHBA knockdown could decrease
proliferation of PC3 and 22RV1 cells (Figure 5D). Next, we explored the effect of INHBA knockdown on PCa cell migration/invasion. Knockdown of INHBA inhibited PC3 and 22RV1 cell migration capacity (P<0.05, Figure 5E and F). Transwell invasion assay was undertaken to assess the effect of NAP1L6 on the invasiveness of PCa cells. Our studies indicated that the invasive ability of si-NAP1L6-transfected PCa cells was reduced compared with the control group (P<0.05, Figure 5G and H). Next, we investigated the effects of INHBA knockdown on EMT pathway and

Figure 3 siRNA-mediated knockdown and over-expression of NAP1L6-regulated PCa cell proliferation. (A, B) Relative expression level of NAP1L6 in PC3 and 22RV1 cells was significantly decreased by si-NAP1L6. (C, D) CCK-8 assay determined the proliferation of si-NAP1L6-transfected PC3 and 22RV1 cells. (E) NAP1L6 expression in PC3 cells was significantly increased by GV219. (F) CCK-8 assay determined the proliferation of pcDNA-NAP1L6-transfected PC3 cells. Data represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: PCa, prostate cancer; CCK, cell counting kit; NC, negative control.
PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. The results of Western blot analysis showed that the expressions of vimentin, β-catenin, P-PI3K, P-AKT, and P-mTOR were significantly decreased, whereas E-cadherin was increased in PC3 and 22RV1 cells transfected with si-INHBA (P<0.05, Figure 5I–M). These findings suggested that NAP1L6 might be involved in the progression of PCa by regulating INHBA through PI3K-AKT-mTOR and EMT pathways.

**Discussion**
LncRNA dysregulation might affect epigenetic information, resulting in progressive and uncontrolled tumor growth.13,14
It was essential to explore novel lncRNA that participate in PCa genesis and development. To date, an increasing number of studies had explored the capacity of lncRNAs as biomarkers and prognostic factors. Recently, studies reported that lncRNAs might affect PCa cell proliferation, metastasis, and apoptosis by either transcriptional or post-transcriptional regulation.\(^{15-18}\) For example, PCAT-1 was determined to participate in tumorigenesis by regulating Fascin-1 via miR-145-5p in PCa.\(^ {19}\) In addition, PCGEM1 and PRNCR1 were reported to bound successively to the androgen receptor

**Figure 4** Colony-forming growth assay and invasion/migration assay using transwell chambers for PC3 and 22Rv1. (A, B) Colony-forming growth determined the proliferation of si-naP1l6-transfected PC3 and 22Rv1 cells. (C, D) si-naP1l6 could decrease the migration capacity of PCa cells. (E, F) si-naP1l6 could decrease the invasion capacity of PCa cells. (G-K) Western blot protein expression levels in PC3 and 22Rv1 cells after si-naP1l6 transfection. GAPDH protein was internal control. Data represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *P<0.05.

**Abbreviations:** B, blank; PCa, prostate cancer; NC, negative control; S, si-naP1l6.

**Figure 5** (Continued)
Figure 5 INHBA promoted the capabilities of proliferation, invasion, and migration through EMT and PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway regulated by NAPIL6. (A, B) Low-expression of NAPIL6 decreased the expression of INHBA at both mRNA levels and protein levels. (C) INHBA expression level in PC3 and 22RV1 cells was significantly decreased by si-INHBA. (D) CCK-8 assay determined the proliferation of si-INHBA-transfected PC3 and 22RV1 cells. (E, F) si-INHBA could decrease the migration capacity of PCA cells. (G, H) si-INHBA could decrease the invasion capacity of PCA cells. (I-M) Western blot protein expression levels in PC3 and 22RV1 cells after si-INHBA transfection. GAPDH protein was internal control. Data represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: B, blank; PCAs, prostate cancer; CCK, cell counting kit; NC, negative control; EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; S, si-NAPIL6.
and strongly enhanced both ligand-dependent and ligand-independent androgen-receptor-mediated gene activation programs and proliferation in PCa cells.\textsuperscript{20} Besides, the over-expression of SCHLAP1 was demonstrated to be associated with high Gleason score, rapid disease progression, and poor clinical outcome in patients with localized PCa following radical prostatectomy.\textsuperscript{21,22}

After analysis of microarray results, we identified differentially expressed lnRNA NAP1L6 in PCa. NAP1L6 contained 33.5 kb nucleotides and was located in Xq13.2. In 2014, Gazzellone et al reported that copy number variation of NAP1L6 might be related with autism spectrum disorder in Han Chinese individuals.\textsuperscript{23} However, it has not been reported in any of the tumor-related studies till now. In our study, NAP1L6 promoted the proliferation, migration, and invasion of PCa cells by regulating the expression of INHBA. Recently, regulative function of INHBA in cancer had attracted researchers’ attention. Si et al indicated that high expression of INHBA was an adverse prognostic factor for de novo acute myeloid leukemia.\textsuperscript{24} In terms of solid tumors, INHBA expression levels were found to be significantly higher in cancer tissue than in adjacent normal mucosa in patients with stage II/III gastric cancer who received adjuvant chemotherapy with S-1. Moreover, results of clinicopathological data analysis demonstrated that high INHBA expression was associated with significantly poorer 5-year survival.\textsuperscript{25} In PCa, INHBA was found to be over-expressed in high-grade disease.\textsuperscript{26} Furthermore, Tandefelt et al reported that INHBA participated in PCa progression in vitro biologic assays.\textsuperscript{27} However, the specific mechanism of regulation remains to be explored.

In our study, we hypothesized that EMT and PI3K/AKT/ mTOR signaling pathways contributed in INHBA PCa regulation based on the results of in vitro biologic assays. It is well known that the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling and EMT pathways are involved in many human malignancies, including PCa.\textsuperscript{28–31} On the one hand, AKT had been proven to be a critical effector serine/threonine kinase in the receptor tyrosine kinase/phosphatase and tensin homolog/PI3K pathways. What’s more, AKT could be phosphorylated by a number of extracellular stimulants and regulate multiple cellular processes including cell growth, progression, anti-apoptosis, proliferation, migration, and regulation of the cell cycle.\textsuperscript{22} On the other hand, epithelial cancer cells convert to motile, mesenchymal cells by undergoing an EMT process that enhances cancer metastasis and invasion capacity.\textsuperscript{33–36} In PCa, EMT had been implicated, particularly, in the context of disease progression and metastasis.\textsuperscript{37–40}

Our study included certain limitations. Firstly, apart from proliferation, colony formation, migration, and invasion of PCa cell lines, we did not investigate the association between NAP1L6 and cell cycle or xenograft carcinogenesis. Secondly, retrospective rather than prospective approach was performed to collect the clinical data, which increased bias in the analysis of data. Finally, further studies that focus on NAP1L6 and the possible targets of INHBA should be performed in future to elucidate the precise mechanisms of PCa development.

**Conclusion**

Our study revealed the role of NAP1L6 in PCa progression and showed the underlying molecular mechanism preliminarily. Combining the results of the microarray analysis and cytology and molecular biology experimental and clinical data analysis, NAP1L6 might be a potential prognostic biomarker for future treatment of PCa.
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Supplementary material
The gene sequence of plasmids used to stabilize/over-express NAP1L6 in PC3 cells is as follows:

5′CTCGAGCTCCTTTTGCCATGGCAGCTAGTGGG
GAAAGCCCTTTAGCCCGCTACTCTTGCCTTCCA
CGGCTTCGCCACCCAGCCAGCTTCACAGA
CAGCTGAGCTGACTGTTGCCGCTGACATTCTCG
GCGCTTGTCAGCGCCACAGCTTCAGCTCTTCTG
GATGGAGTACTCCTCAGACGCAGATTTATTT
GAGAGCCCTGCTCTGTGTTAAAGTACCGAGTG
TACACTCTCAAAAAAGCTTCAGGCCAAAATGTG
CGTGCAGAGCCCAAGCTACCTGAGGGAGATTTAC
TCGTCGAGAGGGAAGTTGGCACCACATCTAGAC
CCTTATTGGGAAAAAGAGCCAGCCAGATCAAATG
CAGCTGATGACCCCGAAAGGAGATGGTGGAG
GTGAGTTCAAGGTTAGGACTAGTGAATATT
GAGGTTGGCCGCTCTATATGCTCAAGATGTATG
GTGCAAGAGGAATCCGGAATGTCAGAGTCTG
GTGGAGCTGAGTGGAGGTGAGTGTGACTG
GAGAGTCTGAGGAGATAGGTGCTGGCTGAT
GGAGGTTGTTGGGAGATGGAAGAATCCGAG
GGACTAATTGTTGTCGAGGAAGAAGAAAATAA
CTACAGGGATCCCCGATTCTGTGCTACCGCTT
TATAGAATGCCAGATGGTGCCCGCTGTTAA
GAAATATGCGAGGCCAGCGCCAGCTGCTGACG
CTGTAATCCAGCACTTTTGCGAGCCAGGCGAGGT
GGACTCAGATCCAGAAATCGAGACCTCTCCTG
GCTAACACAGTGAATCCCTACTAAATTAAT
CAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATTAGCGGGG
GTGGACACACACACTTATACTCCAGCAGTGCGCAG
GAGGCTGAGGCGGAGAATTGCGTCTGAACCCAA
GAGGCAGAGGTCGAGTGAAGTGAGATCGCAC
CAGCTCAGTCAGTGCGCGCCAGCAAGAGCAT
TCCGAAAAAGAAACAAACACAAACAAAAATAT
GACGGAGCCTTTAGTGTCATCTGGCAAGACGT
GAAGAAATGCTAAAATCCAACTAGCCCAAC
CATTTTACGCTGAATTCTACTCTGAACCAC
ACGACTACTTCAAAATGAGGGTGCTGACGCAA
GAAAACACACACCTCTAACATGAATCGTCAAC
AGTCTGACCCCCACCCCTTCAGGGGATCTGCG
GTAAGCCTTTCTTTGCGCAGATGATAGAATG
GAAGAAAGGAAATAGCTCAGTGGCAACAG
GCTCTGAAAGAGAGACACAGTTTTTGGGGCTGAT
GTGTAACGCACTTTTGGCAGATCCCAAGAGCT
GTGGTTAATCTTACCGAGAGAAGCCCTTTGAGC
GAGCAAGATACGATGACGGGAATGTAATAATC
CGTTTATGCAAGAATAGCTCAAGGAGATGTG
GGTGACTGACTGTATGTGGCTCAAAAGGCA
AAACCGAAATCCAGAGCGAAGTCATAGT
TGTTTGAGCAGAATGTGAGGTGAGTGGGTC
CTCCAGTGTTAACAATGCTGGTTTTCATACAA
AAACATTACATGTCTCTACAGTCTTAAAAGT
GGTACTTTTGTAGTCTCAAGTTAAAAATAT
GTCACAACTATATTTACAACTACCTACAT
CAGTCCAGTGGGTTAAACTTCTGCACAATTTT
ATTAGGCGATGAATTCTCAACGATGTGAAGAC
CTTACTATTGTTACCATCTGGTTTTCTGACG
TGCTACTGATCAGCTCAGCTATGGAANATACCA
GAAGCTTTGAACTAGATCTATGTTTGGGGT
TTAAAATAAGAGTAGATACCTCTGGTTAC3′.

where 5′ end (underlined) contains an XhoI digestion site, 3′ end (underlined) contains a KpnI restriction site, and in the middle is the target sequence.