Open Access Full Text Article

REVIEW

IncRNA PVTI identified as an independent biomarker for prognosis surveillance of solid tumors based on transcriptome data and meta-analysis

Xiaoliang Chen,^{1,*} Yueying Yang,^{2,*} Yong Cao,^{1,*} Changjun Wu,³ Shuxian Wu,³ Zhan Su,¹ Hongwei Jin,¹ Dongli Wang,¹ Gengxin Zhang,³ Wei Fan,⁴ Jinbo Lin,⁵ Yunhong Zeng,³ Dongsheng Hu⁶

'The Center for Chronic Disease Control and Prevention, Shenzhen Guangming District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shenzhen, China; ²Science and Education Department, Shenzhen School of the Affiliated High School of Renmin University of China, Shenzhen, China: ³Department of Oncology. Guangming District People's Hospital of Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China; ⁴Division of Digestive and Liver Disease, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ⁵Department of Oncology, Longgang Central Hospital of Shenzhen Affiliated to Zunyi Medical College, Shenzhen, China; ⁶School of Medicine, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Jinbo Lin Department of Oncology, Longgang Central Hospital of Shenzhen Affiliated to Zunyi Medical College, 6082 Longgang Avenue, Longgang District, Shenzhen 518116, China Tel +86 755 84802448 Fax +86 755 84802448 Email 20222523@qq.com

Yunhong Zeng

Department of Oncology, Guangming District People's Hospital of Shenzhen, 339 Songbai Road, Guangming District, Shenzhen 518106, China Tel +86 755 27166080 Fax +86 755 27166080 Email 1249655007@qq.com



Purpose: Long noncoding RNA PVT1 is dysregulated in some human tumors and has been found to increase the risk of tumor progression and poor prognosis. This study aimed to reanalyze the effect of PVT1 on tumorous prognosis.

Materials and methods: The effect of PVT1 on metastasis and survival were analyzed by univariate logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards model for 32 types of cancer in the Cancer Genome Atlas database (TCGA), and the relationship between PVT1 level and expression of relative genes was assessed by Pearson correlation analysis. RevMan5.3 and STATA14.0 were used to estimate pooled effects of PVT1 on cancer prognosis with data from TCGA and published studies.

Results: In TCGA data, high PVT1 expression tended to increase the risk of TNM progression and decreased the overall survival (OS) time in most of cancers. The pooled effect of PVT1 on TNM (pooled-OR=1.46, 95% CI: 1.29–1.65) and OS (pooled HR=1.32, 95% CI: 1.22–1.43), calculated from 37 and 48 cohorts, identified that high PVT1 expression promoted the metastasis and poor prognosis of cancer. Furthermore, the pooled ORs of 2.77 (95% CI: 1.65–4.66), 4.32 (95% CI: 1.99–9.36), 1.35 (95% CI: 1.01–1.80), 1.62 (95% CI: 1.21–2.18) and 1.48 (95% CI: 1.02–2.15) provided evidence that PVT1 played a role in lymph node metastasis, depth of invasion, distant metastasis, differentiation and lymphatic invasion; while the expression of 24 identified target genes was significantly associated with PVT1 level, and high PVT1 expression dependently decreased the OS time under the influence of co-expression genes (OR=1.29, 95% CI: 1.25–1.32) in high-throughput RNA sequencing merging data. In addition, the expression of PVT1 could be upregulated by smoking, with the pooled OR being 1.09 (95% CI 1.01–1.16). **Conclusion:** PVT1 is a dependent biomarker for tumorous prognosis surveillance. However, the reference value of PVT1 needs further study.

Keywords: PVT1, Cancer, biomarker, survive, metastasis

Introduction

Cancer is a major public health problem worldwide.¹ Although a decrease of overall cancer mortality has been observed in the last two decades,² it still causes 22% of noncommunicable disease deaths,³ due to the complex pathogenesis and few target treatments. Numerous experimental studies have attempted to reveal the mechanisms of tumorigenesis to provide clues for prevention, early diagnosis and target treatment. Developments in biotechnology have gradually unveiled some of the mysteries of cancer, with the identification of abundant DNA biomarkers, transcripts, protein and

2711

epigenetics. Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), which are the largest component of human genome transcripts, especially long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), have been confirmed as participating in diverse cellular processes from normal development to cancer.⁴ Increasing evidence suggests that IncRNAs could be the key regulators interacting with other components such as proteins, RNAs and DNAs;^{5,6} while regulating lncRNAs have been shown to have aberrant expression in tumor tissues.7-9 To date, overwhelming numbers of cancer-related lncRNAs have been reported in the database of the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project^{10,11} as well as the noncode database (http://www.noncode.org) and LNCipedia (http://www.lncipedia.org). Because of being involved in the onset and development of cancer, some key lncRNAs are expected to play a crucial role in cancer detection, diagnosis and therapy.

IncRNA PVT1, homologous to the mouse plasmacytoma variant translocation gene (Pvt1), lies in human chromosome 8q24.21 and has attracted widespread attention.12 Recent studies showed that PVT1 was dysregulated in some human tumors, such as gastric cancer, nonsmall-cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, esophageal cancer, pancreatic cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma.^{10,13,14} High PVT1 expression was further found to increase the risk of tumor progression and poor prognosis.^{13,15–17} Furthermore, studies of its mechanism suggested that aberrant level of PVT1 was linked to proliferation, angiogenesis and metastasis in human malignancies.^{18,19} PVT1 might act as an effective biomarker for tumorous prognosis surveillance. Nevertheless, the association between PVT1 expression and cancer prognosis is still not clear. Moreover, no meta-analysis has investigated the common effect of PVT1 on prognosis of most cancers based on multisource data.

The present study aimed to analyze the pooled effect of PVT1 on cancer prognosis by meta-analysis and further explore possibly common target genes of PVT1 in most cancers.

Materials and methods TCGA sequencing data

High-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) and clinical data of different cancers were downloaded from <u>https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/projects/</u> (TCGA database). Because it did not show in RNA-Seq data of most cancers, PVT1 expression level was redownloaded from <u>https://xenabrowser.net/heatmap/</u> (TCGA database). All the expression data were transformed into the format of log₂ (Illumina Hiseq Pancan normalized numbers+1) after deleting the samples with no

PVT1 data or where PVT1 was detected in corresponding normal tissues. Only the samples with clinical and PVT1 expression data were further enrolled for prognosis analysis.

Literature search strategy

English or Chinese studies on the role of PVT1 in human cancer were searched in PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang databases with the keywords PVT1 and cancer. The references of retrieved papers and conference reports were also searched to identify relevant studies. The last search date was May 8, 2017.

Selection criteria of reported research

The titles and abstracts of searched articles were checked by 4 authors (YC, CW, ZS, and DW) after duplicates were removed. Then, the full text of eligible articles was retrieved. Eligible articles should have the following criteria: 1) the expression of PVT1 was analyzed by prognosis of human cancer; 2) the expression of PVT1 was detected in cancer tissue or circulating blood by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), fluorescence in-situ hybridization or RNA-Seq; 3) the high and low groups of PVT1 expression were divided by the mean/median or ROC curve; and 4) HRs for survival (overall survival [OS], recurrence-free survival [RFS], disease-free survival [DFS], and progression-free survival [PFS]) or ORs for metastasis (tumor-node-metastasis [TNM], lymphatic invasion [LI], lymph node metastasis [LNM], depth of invasion [DOI], distant metastasis [DM], and differentiation [DIF]) were provided or could be calculated from the available data. Furthermore, if more than 1 report from the same cohort was published, only the most recent publication was included. Consensus in searching and exclusion was resolved by discussion of 3 other investigators (XC, YZ, and DH) if needed.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Four authors (YY, SW, HJ, and GZ) extracted the following data by an extraction form: first author's name, published year, region of cohort, cancer type, sample size, and HRs/ ORs (95% CI). The quality of studies was assessed by Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) and the score ≥ 6 was considered as high quality.

Statistical methods

Univariate logistic regression was applied to analyze the risk of high PVT1 expression on metastasis as well as the effect of smoking on PVT1 expression, whereas the PVT1 effects on OS of 32 types of cancer were assessed by the Cox proportional hazards model with TCGA data. In the meta-analysis, the heterogeneity among studies was tested by inconsistency (I^2) and Q tests (chi-square test). The fixed effects model was used to estimate the pooled effect with no statistical heterogeneity found ($I^2 < 50\%$, $P_Q > 0.05$); otherwise, a random effects model was used. Publication bias was assessed by Begg's and Egger's tests, as trim and fill analysis was used to adjust the pooled effects, if necessary.²⁰ In addition, Engauge Digitizer 4.1 was used to analyze HRs and 95% CIs, when they were not provided directly in some studies. The correlations between PVT1 and other genes were estimated by Pearson correlation analysis. All tests, being considered statistically

significant with *P*<0.05, were two sided and performed by STATA 14.0 and Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane network).

Results

The effect of PVT1 on prognosis of TCGA cancers

The 9,451 patients with 32 types of cancer were divided into high and low groups with the cutoff point being the median of the PVT1 level which was stably detected in tissues. As shown in Table 1, high PVT1 expression tended to deteriorate the prognosis of most cancers. Furthermore, it significantly increased the risk of TNM progression of

Table I The effect of PVTI on TNM and survival as well as smoking on PVTI expression

Cancer types	Cases (high/low)	TNM ([I	II+IV]/[I+ II])	Overall s	survival (high/low)	Smoking (yes/no)		
		P value OR (95% CI)		P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	
ACC	79 (39/40)	0.050	1.611 (1.000–2.595)	0.001	1.953 (1.293–2.949)	NR	NR	
BLCA	407 (203/204)	0.282	1.103 (0.923–1.317)	0.258	1.079 (0.946-1.232)	0.736	0.972 (0.822-1.148)	
BRCA	1,095 (547/548)	0.022	1.168 (1.023–1.333)	0.927	0.993 (0.850-1.160)	NR	NR	
CESC	302 (151/151)	NR	NR	0.395	1.106 (0.877–1.395)	0.372	1.112 (0.881–1.404)	
CHOL	36 (18/18)	0.050	2.450 (0.999–6.012)	0.750	0.946 (0.672–1.331)	NR	NR	
COAD	283 (141/142)	NR	NR	0.343	1.157 (0.856–1.562)	NR	NR	
DLBC	48 (24/24)	NR	NR	0.869	1.057 (0.454–2.548)	NR	NR	
ESCA	184 (92/92)	0.670	1.076 (0.770-1.504)	0.627	1.060 (0.839–1.338)	0.265	0.839 (0.617-1.142)	
GBM	153 (76/77)	NR	NR	0.274	1.118 (0.915–1.365)	NR	NR	
HNSC	520 (260/260)	<0.001	1.947 (1.464–2.590)	0.102	1.126 (0.976–1.299)	0.256	1.119 (0.922–1.359)	
KICH	66 (33/33)	0.058	1.383 (0.989–1.934)	0.174	1.365 (0.871–2.139)	0.308	1.222 (0.831–1.798)	
KIRC	533 (266/267)	<0.001	1.593 (1.309–1.938)	<0.001	1.508 (1.279–1.777)	0.806	0.952 (0.645-1.406)	
KIRP	290 (145/145)	<0.001	1.841 (1.450-2.338)	0.056	1.245 (0.994-1.560)	0.007	1.319 (1.078-1.614)	
LGG	515 (257/258)	NR	NR	<0.001	1.519 (1.333–1.731)	NR	NR	
LIHC	371 (185/186)	0.790	0.981 (0.854–1.128)	0.346	1.049 (0.949–1.160)	NR	NR	
LUAD	515 (257/258)	0.552	1.060 (0.875–1.285)	0.346	0.941 (0.829–1.068)	0.034	1.205 (1.015–1.430)	
LUSC	501 (250/251)	0.975	1.004 (0.795–1.267)	0.434	0.947 (0.826–1.086)	0.827	0.972 (0.757–1.249)	
MESO	87 (43/44)	0.696	0.883 (0.471–1.652)	0.467	1.129 (0.814–1.568)	NR	NR	
OV	304 (152/152)	NR	NR	0.923	0.994 (0.884–1.118)	NR	NR	
PAAD	178 (89/89)	0.551	0.839 (0.471–1.494)	0.002	1.292 (1.101–1.515)	0.582	1.071 (0.839–1.367)	
PCPG	179 (90/89)	NR	NR	0.960	0.981 (0.463-2.078)	NR	NR	
PRAD	497 (248/249)	NR	NR	0.041	2.010 (1.028-3.929)	NR	NR	
READ	93 (46/47)	0.206	1.461 (0.812-2.628)	0.345	1.344 (0.728-2.480)	NR	NR	
SARC	259 (129/130)	NR	NR	0.043	1.235 (1.007-1.516)	NR	NR	
SKCM	469 (234/235)	0.562	1.063 (0.866-1.304)	0.334	1.076 (0.927-1.250)	NR	NR	
stad	415 (207/208)	0.123	1.171 (0.958–1.433)	0.046	0.848 (0.721-0.997)	NR	NR	
TGCT	134 (67/67)	0.423	1.190 (0.777–1.822)	0.597	1.237 (0.562-2.179)	NR	NR	
THCA	505 (252/253)	0.904	1.012 (0.828–1.237)	0.006	1.741 (1.171–2.590)	NR	NR	
THYM	120 (60/60)	NR	NR	0.005	2.329 (1.286-4.217)	NR	NR	
UCEC	176 (88/88)	NR	NR	<0.001	1.471 (1.310–1.652)	NR	NR	
UCS	57 (28/29)	NR	NR	0.077	1.276 (0.974–1.673)	NR	NR	
UVM	80 (40/40)	0.086	1.604 (0.935–2.753)	<0.001	3.718 (1.956–7.067)	NR	NR	

Abbreviations: ACC, adrenocortical cancer; BLCA, bladder cancer; BRCA, breast cancer; CESC, cervical cancer; CHOL, bile duct cancer; COAD, colon cancer; DLBC, large B-cell lymphoma; ESCA, esophageal cancer; GBM, glioblastoma; HNSC, head and neck cancer; KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney papillary cell carcinoma; LGG, lower grade glioma; LIHC, liver cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; MESO, mesothelioma; OV, ovarian cancer; NR, not reported; PAAD, pancreatic cancer; PCPG, pheochromocytoma & paraganglioma; PRAD, prostate cancer; READ, rectal cancer; SARC, sarcoma; SKCM, melanoma; STAD, stomach cancer; TGCT, testicular cancer; THCA, thyroid cancer; THYM, thymoma; UCEC, endometrioid cancer; UCS, uterine carcinosarcoma; UVM, ocular melanoma.

breast cancer, head and neck cancer, kidney clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), and kidney papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP), with ORs being 1.168 (P=0.022), 1.947 (P<0.001), 1.593 (P<0.001) and 1.841 (P<0.001), and significantly decreased the OS time of adrenocortical cancer, KIRC, lower grade glioma, pancreatic cancer, prostate cancer, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, endometrioid cancer, and ocular melanomas (UVM) with the HRs being 1.953 (P=0.001), 1.508 (P<0.001), 1.519 (P<0.001), 1.292 (P=0.002), 2.010 (P=0.041), 1.235 (P=0.043), 1.741 (P=0.006), 2.329 (P=0.005), 1.471 (P<0.001), and 3.718 (P<0.001). Conversely, the OS time of stomach cancer was significantly increased with high PVT1 expression (HR=0.848, P=0.046). In addition, smoking significantly increased the expression of PVT1 in KIRP (OR=1.319, P=0.007) and lung adenocarcinoma (OR=1.205, P=0.034).

Published studies of PVT1 on tumorous prognosis

The literature search resulted in 22 published studies eligible for the meta-analysis (Figure S1), 19 from China,^{13,15,16,18,19,21–34} and 3 from Japan,³⁵ USA,¹⁷ and Italy.³⁶ These studies involved 2,376 patients and 11 types of cancers, whose PVT1 level was detected in tumor tissue and circulating blood by RT-PCR. The main characteristics of each study are summarized in Table S1. In addition, the included studies had high quality, with NOS scores of more than 6 for each study (data not shown).

Pooled effect of PVT1 on tumorous prognosis

Pooled effect of PVTI on progression

Seventeen cohorts from published studies and 20 from TCGA provided the ORs of PVT1 on TNM progression in 8,128 patients. Under the random effects model, the pooled effect (pooled OR=1.46, 95% CI: 1.29–1.65) showed that high PVT1 significantly increased the risk of TNM progression (Table 2, Figure S2). Moreover, high PVT1 expression also significantly promoted the development of LNM, DOI and DM with pooled ORs of 2.77 (95% CI: 1.65–4.66), 4.32 (95% CI: 1.99–9.36) and 1.35 (95% CI: 1.01–1.80) under the random effects model (Table 2, Figure S3A–C). Furthermore, the risks of poor differentiation (pooled OR=1.62, 95% CI: 1.21–2.18) and lymphatic invasion (pooled OR=1.48, 95% CI: 1.02–2.15) were significantly increased in patients with high PVT1 expression under the fixed effects model (Table 2, Figure S3D–E).

Table 2 The effect of high PVT1 expression on metastasis

Type of	Cohorts	Cases	P value of	Pooled	95% CI
metastasis			heterogeneity	OR	
TNM	37	8,128	<0.0001	1.46	1.29-1.65
LNM	11	1,130	0.001	2.77	1.65-4.66
DOI	6	498	0.007	4.32	1.99–9.36
DM	8	884	0.008	1.35	1.01–1.80
DIF	8	1,116	0.500	1.62	1.21–2.18
LI	4	543	0.130	1.48	1.02-2.15

Abbreviations: LNM, lymph node metastasis; DOI, depth of invasive; DM, distant metastasis; DIF, differentiation; LI, lymphatic invasion.

Table 3 The effect of high PVT1 expression on prognosis

Type of prognosis	Cohorts	Cases	P value of heterogeneity	Pooled OR	95% CI
OS	48	11,022	<0.0001	1.32	1.22-1.43
DFS	8	975	0.750	1.77	1.46-2.13
PFS	3	304	0.110	1.71	I.45–2.00

Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; DFS, disease-free survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

Pooled effect of PVT1 on survival

Forty-eight cohorts, 16 from published studies and 32 from TCGA, showed data for OS by PVT1 level in 11,022 patients. The pooled effect (pooled HR=1.32, 95% CI: 1.22–1.43) indicated that high PVT1 expression significantly decreased the OS time under the random effects model (Table 3, Figure S4). Similar effects have been shown on DFS (pooled HR=1.77, 95% CI: 1.46–2.13) and PFS (pooled HR=1.71, 95% CI: 1.45–2.00) which were reported in more than 2 cohorts (Table 3, Figure S5).

Pooled effect of smoking on PVT1 expression

In addition, the effects of smoking on PVT1 expression were also reported in 12 cohorts within 3,600 patients. Figure 1 depicts that smoking significantly increased the level of PVT1 expression under the fixed effect model (pooled OR=1.09, 95% CI: 1.01–1.16).

Correlation of PVT1 and relative genes in TCGA cancers

Thirty-four relative genes of PVT1 in cancers were summarized by systematic review. Besides 6 co-expression genes in 8q24, 28 target genes of transcriptional regulation of PVT1 were identified by functional experiments in a variety of human cell lines.^{30–33,35,37–50} The correlations between them and PVT1 were assessed with the merging RNA-Seq data of 32 types of cancers in TCGA. The PVT1 level

				OR	OR	
Study or subgroup	Log (OR)	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Li et al ³⁴	0.1697 0).4125	0.8%	1.18 (0.53–2.66)		
TCGA-BLCA	-0.0284 0).0855	17.9%	0.97 (0.82–1.15)		
TCGA-CESC	0.1 062 0	0.1188	9.3%	1.11 (0 .88–1.40)		
TCGA-ESCA	-0.1755 0).1568	5.3%	0.84 (0.62–1.14)		
TCGA-HNSC	0.1124 0	0.0988	13.4%	1.12 (0.92–1.36)		
TCGA-KICH	0.2005 0	0.1967	3.4%	1.22 (0.83–1.80)		
TCGA-KIRC	-0.0492 0	0.1986	3.3%	0.95 (0.65–1.41)		
TCGA-KIRP	0.2769 0	0.1029	12.4%	1.32 (1.08–1.61)		
TCGA-LUAD	0.1865 0	0.0875	17.1%	1.21 (1.02–1.43)		
TCGA-LUSC	-0.0284 0).1275	8.0%	0.97 (0 .76–1.25)		
TCGA-PAAD	0.0686 0).1246	8.4%	1.07 (0.84–1.37)		
Wan et al ³²	-0.6578 0).4232	0.7%	0.52 (0.23–1.19)		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.09 (1.01–1.16)		
Heterogeneity: χ^2 = 14.18, df = 11 (P = 0.22); f^2 = 22%						- 10
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$	2.26 (<i>P</i> = 0.02)			0.1	No smoking Smoking	10
					Olioking	

Figure I Pooled OR of smoking on PVTI expression.

Abbreviations: SE, standard error; IV, inverse variance methods; BLCA, bladder cancer; CESC, cervical cancer; ESCA, esophageal cancer; HNSC, head and neck cancer; KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney papillary cell carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic cancer; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas database.

was positive relative to the expression of 30 genes except for miR-30a (r=0.0196, P=0.06384), whereas miR-1206, miR-146a and miR-195 could not be detected by RNA-Seq technique (Table 4). However, the relative coefficient of miR-152 (r=0.0382, P=0.00031) and TSHR (r=0.0897, P<0.00001) was <0.1, which was considered as no correlation. Because of significant correlations between PVT1 and co-expression genes, the effects of PVT1 on OS time were further assessed by Cox proportional hazards model by controlling the expression of MYC, miR-1207, miR-1208, miR-1205, miR-1205 and miR-1204 in 9451 patients of 32 types of cancer; as shown in Table 5, high PVT1 expression still decreased the OS time with the HR being 1.29 (95% CI: 1.25–1.32).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was conducted for the association between PVT1 expression and TNM as well as OS. Each diagnosis test was deleted in turn to examine the influence of the removed data on the overall OR/HR. High PVT1 expression still significantly increased the risk of TNM and OS throughout (data not shown).

Publication bias

Publication bias was checked for the effects of PVT1 expression on TNM and OS (Figure 2). Begg's test showed significant rank correlation in studies of PVT1 effect on TNM (Z=2.45, Pr>|z|=0.015) and OS (Z=3.01, Pr>|z|=0.003). Given this result, we performed Egger's test where evidence

of significance publication bias was found for TNM (r=1.81, 95% CI: 0.98–2.64, P<0.0001) and OS (r=1.85, 95% CI: 0.95–2.74, P<0.0001). Consequently, we performed trim and fill analysis; the adjusted pooled-OR of TNM and pooled-HR of OS were 1.31 (95% CI: 1.16–1.49) and 1.15 (95% CI: 1.11–1.18) with P<0.0001 for heterogeneity of both.

Discussion

This study aimed to assess the effect of PVT1 expression on cancer prognosis. The pooled effect showed that high PVT1 expression significantly increased the risk of poor differentiation and cancer metastasis, and significantly decreased the survival time of patients. Furthermore, the expression of PVT1 was significantly correlated to that of genes playing important roles in tumorigenesis. PVT1 could act as an effective biomarker for tumorous prognosis surveillance.

lncRNAs have been shown to act as master regulators of gene expression and thus could play a critical role in various biological functions and disease processes including cancer. With the advances in the RNA-Seq technique and improvement of bioinformatics, a large number of tumor associated lncRNAs have recently been discovered through genomics studies. However, only a few lncRNAs have been fully explored to understand the role in cancers such as regulation of transcription, translation, protein modification and the formation of RNA–protein or protein–protein complexes. PVT1 has been identified as an oncogene and highly correlated with Myc which participates in oncogene activation through Akt/c-Myc signaling pathway.^{12,13,51,52} Further research into the

Table 4 The characteristic of identified genes an	d correlation with PVT1 in 8,927 patients of 32 cancers
---	---

Gene name	Genes identifie	Correlation with PVTI			
	Gene type	Relationship	Cancers identified	Coefficient	P value
MIR I 204	miRNA	Co-expression	NR	0.2234	0.00000
MIR I 205	miRNA	Co-expression	NR	0.2664	0.00000
MIR I 206	miRNA	Co-expression	NR	-	_
MIR 208	miRNA	Co-expression	NR	0.1430	0.00000
MYC	mRNA	Co-expression	NR	0.1918	0.00000
MIR I 207(-5p)	miRNA	Co-expression and target	BRCA	0.1982	0.00000
BCL2	mRNA	Target	OS*	0.1666	0.00000
CASP3	mRNA	Target	CRC	0.1722	0.00000
CCNDI	mRNA	Target	OS*	0.1774	0.00000
CD151	mRNA	Target	GC	0.1761	0.00000
CDKNIA(p21)	mRNA	Target	PAAD, NSCLC	0.1735	0.00000
CDKN2A(p16)	mRNA	Target	GC	0.1766	0.00000
CDKN2B(p15)	mRNA	Target	NSCLC, GC	0.1661	0.00000
DDB2	mRNA	Target	NSCLC	0.1755	0.00000
EZH2	mRNA	Target	CESC, THCA, NSCLC	0.1799	0.00000
FASN	mRNA	Target	OS*	0.1769	0.00000
FGF2	mRNA	Target	GC	0.1384	0.00000
HIF I A(HIF I a)	mRNA	Target	GC	0.1667	0.00000
LASPI	mRNA	Target	ESCC	0.1714	0.00000
LATS2	mRNA	Target	NSCLC	0.1700	0.00000
MIR I 46A	miRNA	Target	PRAD	-	-
MIR 52	miRNA	Target	GC	0.0382	0.0003 I
MIR 86	miRNA	Target	GC	0.1146	0.00000
MIR I 95	miRNA	Target	CESC, OS*	-	_
MIR200B	miRNA	Target	CESC	0.1965	0.00000
MIR203A	miRNA	Target	ESCC	0.1381	0.00000
MIR30A	miRNA	Target	GC	0.0196	0.06384
MIR424	miRNA	Target	CESC	0.1641	0.00000
SMAD3	mRNA	Target	CESC	0.1713	0.00000
SMAD4	mRNA	Target	CRC	0.1673	0.00000
SNALL	mRNA	Target	GC	0.1752	0.00000
TIMPI	mRNA	Target	OV	0.1800	0.00000
TP53(p53)	mRNA	Target	OV	0.1759	0.00000
TSHR	mRNA	Target	THCA	0.0897	0.00000

Abbreviations: NR, not reported; BRCA, breast cancer; OS*, osteosarcoma; CRC, colorectal cancer; GC, gastric cancer; PAAD, pancreatic cancer; NSCLC, nonsmall-cell lung cancer; CESC, cervical cancer; THCA, thyroid cancer; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; PRAD, prostate cancer; OV, ovarian cancer; CRC, colorectal cancer.

Table 5 The effect of PVT1 and coexpression genes on OS time	ł
under Cox proportional hazards model	

Gene	Wald	P value	HR (95% CI)
МҮС	71.07	<0.0001	1.077 (1.058–1.095)
miR-1207	5.933	0.015	1.011 (1.002–1.019)
miR-1208	3.985	0.046	1.011 (1.001–1.021)
miR-1205	19.593	<0.0001	1.017 (1.009–1.025)
miR-1204	313.171	<0.0001	0.847 (0.831-0.862)
PVTI	263.402	<0.0001	1.285 (1.247–1.324)

mechanism found PVT1 could target genes such as LASP1, p15, p16, EZH2, TSHR, and FOXM1 to promote tumor cell proliferation, migration and invasive capability in some cancers.^{25,28,34,48} Moreover, PVT1 was confirmed to promote

protein stability of MYC, RSPO1, NOP2 and increase the level of them.^{52–54} High PVT1 expression was also linked to poor prognosis of some cancers with most patients from China (Table S1). The relationship between PVT1 expression and cancer prognosis is still ambiguous and should be identified by more samples from other groups. For stable detection in 32 types of TCGA cancer, it was found that PVT1 tended to increase the risk of TNM progression and decrease the OS time in most of them.

To further explore the unbiased effect of PVT1 on cancer prognosis, we performed a meta-analysis with the cohorts from TCGA and other published studies. The adjusted pooled OR of 1.31 (95% CI: 1.16–1.49) and pooled HR of 1.15 (95% CI: 1.11–1.18) indicated that





high PVT1 increased the risk of TNM progression and decreased the overall survival time. The effect of high PVT1 on depth of invasive, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis as well as poor differentiation and lymphatic invasion also provided direct and epidemiological evidence of PVT1 participating in cancer metastasis. Furthermore, five co-expression genes and 24 identified target genes were significantly associated with PVT1 in all TCGA cancers; although the relative coefficients of them were too small to declare a high degree of correlation, which might be caused by heterogeneity of huge samples of data. Moreover, high PVT1 expression dependently decreased the OS time of patients by controlling the influence of co-expressed genes. In addition, it was shown that the expression of PVT1 could be upregulated by smoking to deteriorate the cancer prognosis.^{55–57} This suggested that PVT1 expression was an effectively common biomarker for human tumorous prognosis surveillance.

Some meta-analyses focused on the association between IncRNAs such as BANCR,58 HOTTIP,59 CCAT2,60 and metastasis as well as prognosis of cancer; all based on lncRNAs detected by RT-PCR from the cohorts of published studies. To search for an applicably common biomarker for prognosis surveillance, we focused on the effect of PVT1 expression, detected by RT-PCR and RNA-Seq on metastasis and survival as well as the correlation of PVT1 and possible target genes in all common cancer. In the present study, a new way was provided to improve the traditional meta-analysis, by which useful information not published in the literature could be found through published databases to provide more comprehensive evidence. More importantly, the possible mechanism and the common effect of PVT1 on prognosis of all cancers was proved. Furthermore, we provide coefficient references for correlation analysis of gene expression with huge amounts of RNA-Seq data. To our best knowledge, this is the first improved meta-analysis of PVT1 effect on cancer prognosis with the data from the cohorts of TCGA and published studies.

Our study also contains some limitations. Since PVT1 was identified as a common biomarker for cancer prognosis surveillance, there was no reference value to distinguish poor prognosis patients with PVT1 expression detected by RT-PCR or RNA-Seq. Second, although 24 possible target genes were proved to be significantly related to PVT1 expression in most cancers by pooled analysis, the mechanism of their involvement in PVT1 deteriorating cancer prognosis was still clear. Therefore, studies are needed to explore the reference value of PVT1 expression detected by RT-PCR/RNA-Seq to distinguish poor prognosis as well as the role of PVT1 target genes in cancer prognosis.

Conclusion

This improved meta-analysis is the first to demonstrate the effect and possible mechanism of PVT1 on cancer prognosis. The expression of PVT1 could be a biomarker for tumorous prognosis surveillance.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the contribution of TCGA. This study was supported by Research Project of Shenzhen Health and Family Planning System (201604130086, SZBC2017003).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and causespecific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10053):1459–1544.
- Hashim D, Boffetta P, La Vecchia C, et al. The global decrease in cancer mortality: trends and disparities. *Ann Oncol.* 2016;27(5):926–933.
- Pineros M, Znaor A, Mery L, Bray F. A global cancer surveillance framework within noncommunicable disease surveillance: making the case for population-based cancer registries. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2017;39(1):161–169.
- Peng WX, Koirala P, Mo YY. LncRNA-mediated regulation of cell signaling in cancer. Oncogene. 2017;36(41):5661–5667.
- Schmitz SU, Grote P, Herrmann BG. Mechanisms of long noncoding RNA function in development and disease. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2016;73(13):2491–2509.
- Guttman M, Rinn JL. Modular regulatory principles of large non-coding RNAs. *Nature*. 2012;482(7385):339–346.
- Dhamija S, Diederichs S. From junk to master regulators of invasion: lncRNA functions in migration, EMT and metastasis. *Int J Cancer*: 2016;139(2):269–280.
- Yu X, Li Z. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR: a novel oncogene (review). Mol Med Rep. 2015;12(4):5611–5618.
- Raveh E, Matouk IJ, Gilon M, Hochberg A. The H19 Long non-coding RNA in cancer initiation, progression and metastasis – a proposed unifying theory. *Mol Cancer*. 2015;14:184.
- Weidle UH, Birzele F, Kollmorgen G, Rüger R. Long non-coding RNAs and their role in metastasis. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics*. 2017;14(3):143–160.
- 11. Lee JS. Exploring cancer genomic data from the cancer genome atlas project. *BMB Rep.* 2016;49(11):607–611.
- Colombo T, Farina L, Macino G, Paci P. PVT1: a rising star among oncogenic long noncoding RNAs. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:304208.
- Cui D, Yu CH, Liu M, Xia QQ, Zhang YF, Jiang WL. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 as a novel biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37(3):4127–4134.
- Cumbo F, Fiscon G, Ceri S, Masseroli M, Weitschek E. TCGA2BED: extracting, extending, integrating, and querying The Cancer Genome Atlas. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2017;18(1):6.
- Yuan CL, Li H, Zhu L, Liu Z, Zhou J, Shu Y. Aberrant expression of long noncoding RNA PVT1 and its diagnostic and prognostic significance in patients with gastric cancer. *Neoplasma*. 2016;63(3):442–449.
- Yang YR, Zang SZ, Zhong CL, Li YX, Zhao SS, Feng XJ. Increased expression of the lncRNA PVT1 promotes tumorigenesis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2014;7(10):6929–6935.
- Iden M, Fye S, Li K, Chowdhury T, Ramchandran R, Rader JS. The IncRNA PVT1 contributes to the cervical cancer phenotype and associates with poor patient prognosis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):e0156274.
- Zheng X, Hu H, Li S. High expression of lncRNA PVT1 promotes invasion by inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in esophageal cancer. *Oncol Lett.* 2016;12(4):2357–2362.
- Huang C, Liu S, Wang H, Zhang Z, Yang Q, Gao F. LncRNA PVT1 overexpression is a poor prognostic biomarker and regulates migration and invasion in small cell lung cancer. *Am J Transl Res.* 2016;8(11):5025–5034.
- Soeken KL, Sripusanapan A. Assessing publication bias in metaanalysis. Nurs Res. 2003;52(1):57–60.
- Chen J, Li Y, Zheng Q, et al. Circular RNA profile identifies circPVT1 as a proliferative factor and prognostic marker in gastric cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2017;388:208–219.
- Huang Y, Mu Z, Lin L, et al. Expression and clinical significance of lncRNA PVT1 in renal carcinoma tissues. *Shandong Medical*. 2015;55(36):11–13. Available from: http://www.sdyy.cbpt.cnki.net/ WKD/WebPublication/paperDigest.aspx?paperID=aedb6d35-739c-40ed-85bd-d23caab689ef. Accessed July 05, 2018.

- Liu Y, Quan X, Qin X, Y. L. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on difference of expression of IncRNA in ovarian cancer patients. *Pract J Cancer*. 2016;31(03):384–386+389.
- Ren X, Xiao Y, Zhang L, et al. Expression and clinical significance of PVT1 gene in blood of the patients with gastric cancer. *Chin J Cancer Biother*: 2016;23(05):688–691. Available from: http://www.biother.org/ zgzlswzlzz/ch/reader/view_abstract.aspx?file_no=20160517&flag=1. Accessed July 05, 2018.
- Xu MD, Wang Y, Weng W, et al. A positive feedback loop of lncRNA-PVT1 and FOXM1 facilitates gastric cancer growth and invasion. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(8):2071–2080.
- Li Q, Dai Y, Wang F, et al. Differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs and the prognostic potential in colorectal cancer. *Neoplasma*. 2016;63(6):977–983.
- Huang C, Yu W, Wang Q, et al. Increased expression of the lncRNA PVT1 is associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer patients. *Minerva Med.* 2015;106(3):143–149.
- Kong R, Zhang EB, Yin DD, et al. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 indicates a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes cell proliferation through epigenetically regulating p15 and p16. *Mol Cancer*. 2015;14:82.
- Ding C, Yang Z, Lv Z, et al. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 is associated with tumor progression and predicts recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Oncol Lett.* 2015;9(2):955–963.
- Zhang S, Zhang G, Liu J. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes cervical cancer progression through epigenetically silencing miR-200b. *APMIS*. 2016;124(8):649–658.
- Wu BQ, Jiang Y, Zhu F, Sun DL, He XZ. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes EMT and cell proliferation and migration through downregulating p21 in pancreatic cancer cells. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2017:1533034617700559.
- Wan L, Sun M, Liu GJ, et al. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes non-small cell lung cancer cell proliferation through epigenetically regulating LATS2 expression. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2016;15(5):1082–1094.
- Zhou Q, Chen F, Zhao J, et al. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 promotes osteosarcoma development by acting as a molecular sponge to regulate miR-195. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(50):82620–82633.
- 34. Li PD, Hu JL, Ma C, et al. Upregulation of the long non-coding RNA PVT1 promotes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma progression by acting as a molecular sponge of miR-203 and LASP1. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(21):34164–34176.
- 35. Takahashi Y, Sawada G, Kurashige J, et al. Amplification of PVT-1 is involved in poor prognosis via apoptosis inhibition in colorectal cancers. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;110(1):164–171.
- Martini P, Paracchini L, Caratti G, et al. lncRNAs as novel indicators of patients' prognosis in stage I epithelial ovarian cancer: a retrospective and multicentric study. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(9):2356–2366.
- Beck-Engeser GB, Lum AM, Huppi K, Caplen NJ, Wang BB, Wabl M. Pvt1-encoded microRNAs in oncogenesis. *Retrovirology*. 2008;5:4.
- Siwarski D, Müller U, Andersson J, et al. Structure and expression of the c-Myc/Pvt1 megagene locus. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*. 1997;224:67–72.
- Carramusa L, Contino F, Ferro A, et al. The PVT-1 oncogene is a Myc protein target that is overexpressed in transformed cells. *J Cell Physiol*. 2007;213(2):511–518.
- Liu E, Liu Z, Zhou Y. Carboplatin-docetaxel-induced activity against ovarian cancer is dependent on up-regulated lncRNA PVT1. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2015;8(4):3803–3810.
- Kong W. Regulation of miR-1207-5p by lncRNA PVT1 and its effect on breast cancer development. Tianjing Medical University [M]. 2016. Available from: https://max.book118.com/html/2017/0730/125183433. shtm. Accessed July 05, 2018.

- Chen X, Gao G, Liu S, et al. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 as a novel diagnostic biomarker and therapeutic target for melanoma. *Biomed Res Int.* 2017;2017:7038579.
- Huang H, Xie L, Zhu Y, et al. Possible mechanism of lnc RNA-PVT1 on cisplatin-induced DNA damage and repair. J Guangdong Medical Collge. 2016;34(6):572–577.
- Shen CJ, Cheng YM, Wang CL. LncRNA PVT1 epigenetically silences miR-195 and modulates EMT and chemoresistance in cervical cancer cells. *J Drug Target*. 2017;25(7):637–644.
- Liu HT, Fang L, Cheng YX, Sun Q. LncRNA PVT1 regulates prostate cancer cell growth by inducing the methylation of miR-146a. *Cancer Med.* 2016;5(12):3512–3519.
- Li T, Meng XL, Yang WQ. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 acts as a "sponge" to inhibit microRNA-152 in gastric cancer cells. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2017;62(11):3021–3028.
- 47. Huang T, Liu HW, Chen JQ, et al. The long noncoding RNA PVT1 functions as a competing endogenous RNA by sponging miR-186 in gastric cancer. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2017;88:302–308.
- Zhou Q, Chen J, Feng J, Wang J. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 modulates thyroid cancer cell proliferation by recruiting EZH2 and regulating thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor (TSHR). *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37(3):3105–3113.
- Gao YL, Zhao ZS, Zhang MY, Han LJ, Dong YJ, Xu B. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 facilitates cervical cancer progression via negative regulating of miR-424. *Oncol Res.* 2017;25(8):1391–1398.
- Zuo Q. The characteristics and roles of non-coding RNAs miR-141/ miR-22 and PVT1 on tumour growth and metastasis in gastric cancer. Third Military Medical University [D]. 2016.
- Tseng YY, Bagchi A. The PVT1-MYC duet in cancer. *Mol Cell Oncol.* 2015;2(2):e974467.
- Riquelme E, Suraokar MB, Rodriguez J, et al. Frequent coamplification and cooperation between C-MYC and PVT1 oncogenes promote malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2014;9(7): 998–1007.
- Sarver AL, Murray CD, Temiz NA, Tseng YY, Bagchi A. MYC and PVT1 synergize to regulate RSPO1 levels in breast cancer. *Cell Cycle*. 2016;15(7):881–885.
- Wang F, Yuan JH, Wang SB, et al. Oncofetal long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes proliferation and stem cell-like property of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by stabilizing NOP2. *Hepatology*. 2014;60(4):1278–1290.
- Wang J, Qiu M, Xu Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA CCAT2 correlates with smoking in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 2015;36(7):5523–5528.
- 56. Liu Y, Luo F, Xu Y, et al. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancer stem cells, mediated by a long non-coding RNA, HOTAIR, are involved in cell malignant transformation induced by cigarette smoke extract. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2015;282(1):9–19.
- Thai P, Statt S, Chen CH, Liang E, Campbell C, Wu R. Characterization of a novel long noncoding RNA, SCAL1, induced by cigarette smoke and elevated in lung cancer cell lines. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 2013;49(2):204–211.
- Hu L, Li M, Pu L, Ding Y, Liu J, Xiong S. The different prognostic value of long non-coding RNA BANCR in human cancers. *Minerva Med.* 2017;108(1):97–100.
- Hu L, Li M, Ding Y, Pu L, Liu J, Xiong S. Long non-coding RNA HOTTIP, a novel potential prognostic marker in cancers. *Minerva Med.* 2017;108(1):95–97.
- Fan YH, Fang H, Ji CX, Xie H, Xiao B, Zhu XG. Long noncoding RNA CCAT2 can predict metastasis and poor prognosis: a meta-analysis. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2017;466:120–126.

Supplementary materials



Figure SI The flow chart of meta-analysis.

				OR	OR
Study or subgroup	Log (OR)	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Zheng et al ¹⁶	1.2384	0.4802	1.3%	3.45 (1.35-8.84)	
Yuan et al ¹⁷	1.2078	0.41	1.7%	3.35 (1.50–7.4 7)	- <u>1.5.6</u>
Yang et al ¹	1.9459	0.6848	0.7%	7.00 (1.83–26.79)	5
Wu et al ²	2.0794	0.8467	0.5%	8.00 (1.52–42.05)	
Wan et al ³	1.311	0.4342	1.5%	3. 71 (1.58–8.69)	
TCGA-UVM	0.4725	0.2754	2.7%	1.60 (0.93–2.75)	
TCGA-THCA	0.0119	0.1024	4.9%	1.01 (0.83–1.24)	+
TCGA-TGCT	0.174	0.2175	3.3%	1.19 (0.78–1.82)	
TCGA-STAD	0.1579	0.1024	4.9%	1.17 (0.96–1.43)	+
TCGA-SKCM	0.0611	0.1046	4.9%	1.06 (0.87–1.30)	+
TCGA-READ	0.3791	0.2997	2.5%	1.46 (0.81–2.63)	-
TCGA-PAAD	-0.1755	0.2946	2.5%	0.84 (0.47–1.49)	a character and
TCGA-MESO	-0.1244	0.3207	2.3%	0.88 (0.47–1.66)	
TCGA-LUSC	0.004	0.1191	4.7%	1.00 (0.79–1.27)	+
TCGA-LUAD	0.0583	0.0979	4.9%	1.06 (0.87–1.28)	+
TCGA-LIHC	-0.0192	0.0707	5.2%	0.98 (0.85–1.13)	
TCGA-KIRP	0.6103	0.1218	4.6%	1.84 (1.45–2.34)	
TCGA-KIRC	0.4656	0.1002	4.9%	1.59 (1.31–1.94)	-
TCGA-KICH	0.3243	0.1711	4.0%	1.38 (0.99–1.93)	
TCGA-HNSC	0.6663	0.1455	4.3%	1.95 (1.46–2.59)	
TCGA-ESCA	0.0733	0.1707	4.0%	1.08 (0.77–1.50)	
TCGA-CHOL	0.8961	0.4577	1.4%	2.45 (1.00-6.01)	
TCGA-BRCA	0.1553	0.0676	5.3%	1.17 (1.02–1.33)	-
TCGA-BLCA	0.098	0.0909	5.0%	1.10 (0.92–1.32)	+-
TCGA-ACC	0.4769	0.2433	3.0%	1.61 (1.00-2.60)	<u>.</u>
Takahashi et al ⁴	1.2418	0.4288	1.6%	3.46 (1.49-8.02)	
Ren et al ⁵	0.7984	0.8427	0.5%	2.22 (0.43-11.59)	
Liu et al ⁶	1.2361	0.5505	1.1%	3.44 (1.17–10.13)	
Li et al ¹⁸	-0.2877	0.7603	0.6%	0.75 (0.17-3.33)	
Li et al ⁷	1.4882	0.4273	1.6%	4.43 (1.92–1 0.23)	
Kong et al ⁸	1.3499	0.4731	1.3%	3.86 (1.53–9. 75)	
Huang et al ⁹	1.4816	0.6702	0.8%	4.40 (1.1 8–16.37)	
Huang et al ¹⁰	1.2361	0.5505	1.1%	3.44 (1.17–1 0.13)	
Ding et al ¹¹ (cohort 2)	0.3485	0.3099	2.4%	1.42 (0.77-2.60)	
Ding et al ¹¹ (cohort 1)	-1.168	0.9534	0.4%	0.31 (0.05–2.02)	
Cui et al ¹⁹	1.1531	0.3524	2.0%	3.17 (1.59–6.32)	
Chen et al ²⁰	0.1613	0.3718	1.9%	1.18 (0.57–2.44)	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.46 (1.29, 1.66)	•
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.07 v^2$	= 129.54. df =	36 (P < 0)	00001): P	= 72%	
Test for overall effect: $7 = 6$	5.06 (P < 0.000	01)	,,,,	0.01	0.1 1 10 100
				Low F	PVT1 expression High PVT1 expression

Figure S2 The pooled effect value of PVT1 on TNM.

Abbreviations: IV, inverse variance methods; SE, standard error; UVM, ocular melanoma; SKCM, melanoma; STAD, stomach cancer; TGCT, testicular cancer; THCA, thyroid cancer; READ, rectal cancer; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; MESO, mesothelioma; LIHC, liver cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney papillary cell carcinoma; HNSC, head and neck cancer; ESCA, esophageal cancer; ACC, adrenocortical cancer; BLCA, bladder cancer; BRCA, breast cancer; CHOL, bile duct cancer; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas database.

Α		OR		OR
Study or subgroup	log (OR) SE	Weight IV. Random, 95	% CI IV. Fix	ed. 95% Cl
Chen et al ²⁰	0.4618 0.438	34 10.4% 1.59 (0.67–3.	75)	
Cui et al ¹⁹	0.8281 0.395	57 11.0% 2.29 (1.05-4.	97)	
Huang et al ¹⁰	-1.2874 0.583	32 8.5% 0.28 (0.09–0	87)	—
Huang et al ²	2.1972 0.421	6 10.6% 9.00 (3.94–20.	56)	
Huang et al	1.0235 0.722	28 7.0% 2.78 (0.67–11.	47)	
	1.2868 0.581	17 8.5% 3.62 (1.16–11 .	32)	
Ren et al Tekebashi et el ⁴	2.1102 0.931	19 0.2% 0.20 (1.33-01 23 10.5% 2.07 (1.28 6	23) 97)	
Wan et al ³	1 0445 0 404	16 10.9% 2.84 (1.20–0.	28)	
Yang et al ¹	2.2936 0.793	34 6.3% 9.91 (2.09–46.	93)	
Yuan et al ¹⁷	0.8489 0.39	91 11.0% 2.34 (1.09–5.	03)	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: τ^2 = 0.48, χ Test for overall effect: Z =	² = 29.67, <i>df</i> = 10 (<i>P</i> < 0 3.85 (<i>P</i> = 0.0001)	100.0% 2.77 (1.65–4. 0.00010); I ² = 66%	66) 0.01 0.1 Low PVT1 expression	1 10 100 High PVT1 expression
В				
-		OR		DR
Study or subgroup		Weight IV, Random, 95%	CI IV, Rando	im, 95% Cl
Cui et al ¹⁰	2.4003 0.4567	18.7% 11.03 (4.50–26.	99)	
Kong et al ⁸	1 8168 0 4945	18.0% 6.15.(2.33_16	43) 22)	_
Liu et al ⁶	0.6038 0.5569	16.7% 1.83 (0.61–5.	45) -	+ •
Ren et al ⁵	0.1923 0.88	11.1% 1.21 (0.22–6.	80)	•
Yuan et al ¹⁷	2.472 0.4548	18.8% 11 .85 (4.86–28.	89)	
Iotal (95% CI)	2 45 00 16 5 (D-	100.0% $4.32(1.99-9)$	36)	
Heterogeneity: $\tau = 0.62$, Test for everall effect: 7	$\chi = 15.88, dt = 5 (P = -2.71 (D = 0.0002)$	0.007); 7 = 69%	0.01 0.1	1 10 100
Test for overall effect. 2	- 3.71 (F - 0.0002)		Low PVT1 express	ion High PVT1 expression
С		OR	OF	R
Study or subgroup	log [OR] SE	Weight IV, Random, 95% C	I IV, Random	n, 95% Cl
Chen et al ²⁰	0.7476 0.467	74 8.0% 2.11 (0.84–5	.28)	+
Cui et al "	0.7505 1.241	11 1.3% 2.12 (0.19–24	.12)	
Huang et al ⁻	2.4384 0.578	39 5.5% 11.45 (3.68–35	.62)	
Liu et al ⁶	0.1055 0.052	27 40.2% 1.11 (1.00–1 33 4.4% 1.45 (0.40–5	27) —	
Ren et al ²⁴	0.0797 0.079	9 37.7% 1.08 (0.93–1	.27)	+
Takahashi et al ⁴	-0.2877 1.174	8 1.5% 0.75 (0.08-7	.50)	
Yuan et al ¹⁷	0.73 1.238	39 1.3% 2.08 (0.18–23	53)	
		400.00/ 4.05 (4.04.4	20)	
Total (95% CI)	2 - 40.04 - 16 - 7 (D - 0	100.0% $1.35(1.01-1)$.80)	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^{-}= 0.05$, χ^{-} Test for overall effect: Z =	$^{-}$ = 18.94, df = 7 (P = 0. 2 05 (P = 0.04)	.008); / = 63%	0.01 0.1	1' 10 100'
	2.00 (/ 0.04)		Low PVT1 expressi	on High PVT1 expression
П		OR		OR
Study or subaroup	log (OR) SE	Weight IV. Random, 95% C	I IV. Rano	dom. 95% Cl
Chen et al ²⁰	-0.0182 0	.3434 19.4% 0.98 (0.50-	-1.92)	
Cui et al ¹⁹	0.0526 0	.4219 12.8% 1.05 (0.46-	-2.41) -	_ _
Ding et al ¹¹ (cohort 1)	0.4279 0.	.7297 4.3% 1.53 (0.37-	-6.41) —	
Ding et al ¹¹ (cohort 2)	0.5533 0.	.3155 23.0% 1.74 (0.94-	-3.23)	
Huang et al	1.1528 0.	.4805 9.9% 3.17 (1.23-	-8.12)	
Kong et al	0.0472 0.	.4002 10.4% 2.33 (0.93- .4805 9.9% 3.17 (1.23-	-3.04) .8.12)	
Litetal Litetal ⁶	0 4383 0	8823 2.9% 1.55 (0.28-8	(74)	
Ren et al ⁵	-0.064 0.	.7759 3.8% 0.94 (0.21-	-4.29)	
Takahashi et al ⁴	0.2476 0.	.8001 3.6% 1.28 (0.27-	-6.15) —	
Total (95% CI)	- 40.04 - 16 - 7 (D - 0.0	100.0% 1.62 (1.21-	-2.18)	-
Heterogeneity: $\tau^{-}=0.05$, χ^{-}	r = 18.94, dt = 7 (P = 0.0)	JU8); / = 63%	0.01 0.1	1 10 100
Test for overall effect. $Z = 3$	5.20(P = 0.001)		Low PVT1 expressi	on High PVT1 expression
F				
-		90		OR
Study or subgroup	log [OR]	SE Weight IV, Random. 95	i% CI IV. Ra	ndom, 95% Cl
Chen et al ²⁰	-0.1767 0.30	082 38.4% 0.84 (0.46-1.	53)	
Kong et al ⁸	0.606 0.45	526 17.8% 1.83 (0.75-4.	45)	+
Takahashi et al ⁴	0.7509 0.42	285 19.9% 2.12 (0 .91–4.	91)	+
Yuan et al''	0.8489 0.3	391 23.9% 2.34 (1 .09–5.	03)	
Total (05% CI)			15)	
Heterogeneity: $\gamma^2 = 5.70$	$df = 3 (P = 0.13): I^2 = 4$	47%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.05 (<i>P</i> = 0.04)		U.U1 0.1 Low PVT1 expression	1 10 100 In High PVT1 expression
			vii onpi6000	

Figure S3 The pooled effect value of PVT1 on (A) LNM, (B) DOI, (C) DM, (D) DIF, and (E) LI. Abbreviations: DIF, differentiation; DM, distant metastasis; DOI, depth of invasive; IV, inverse variance methods; LI, lymphatic invasion; LNM, lymph node metastasis; SE, standard error.

Study or subgroup	log [HR]	SE	Weight	HR IV, Random, 95% Cl	HR IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Chen et al ²⁰	0.375	0.1888	2.0%	1.45 (1.00-2.11)	
Cui et al ¹⁹	0.5423	0.2098	1.8%	1.72 (1.14–2.59)	
Ding et al ¹¹	0.01	0.2249	1.7%	1.01 (0.65–1.57)	
Huang et al ¹⁰	1.1029	0.3313	1.0%	3.01 (1.57–5.77)	
Huang et al ¹²	0.5777	0.2564	1.5%	1.78 (1.08–2.95)	
lden et al ¹³	0.708	0.3562	0.9%	2.03 (1.01-4.08)	
Kong et al ⁸	0.7381	0.343	1.0%	2.09 (1.07-4.1 0)	
Li et al ⁷	1.0116	0.363	0.9%	2. 75 (1.35–5.60)	
Liu et al ¹⁴	1.1029	0.3313	1.0%	3.01 (1.57–5.77)	
Martini et al ²¹ (Testing)	0.2624	0.0852	3.1%	1.30 (1.1 0–1.54)	
Martini et al ²¹ (Training)	0.7419	0.2069	1.8%	2.10 (1.40-3.15)	
TCGA-ACC	0.6694	0.2104	1.8%	1.95 (1.29-2.95)	
TCGA-BLCA	0.076	0.0671	3.3%	1.08 (0.95–1.23)	+
TCGA-BRCA	-0.007	0.0793	3.2%	0.99 (0.85-1.16)	-
TCGA-CESC	0.1007	0.1184	2.8%	1.11 (0.88–1.39)	
TCGA-CHOL	-0.0555	0.1745	2.2%	0.95 (0.67-1.33)	
TCGA-COAD	0.1458	0.1537	2.4%	1.1 6 (0.86–1.56)	
TCGA-DLBC	0.0554	0.4312	0.7%	1.06 (0.45-2.46)	
TCGA-ESCA	0.0583	0.1193	2.8%	1.06 (0 .8 4–1.34)	
TCGA-GBM	0.1115	0.1022	2.9%	1.12 (0.92-1.37)	
TCGA-HNSC	0.1187	0.0729	3.3%	1.1 3 (0.98–1.30)	+
TCGA-KICH	0.3112	0.2292	1.7%	1.37 (0.87–2.14)	
TCGA-KIRC	0.4108	0.084	3.1%	1.51 (1.28–1.78)	
TCGA-KIRP	0.2191	0.1149	2.8%	1.24 (0.99–1.56)	
TCGA-LGG	0.4181	0.0666	3.3%	1.52 (1.33–1.73)	
TCGA-LIHC	0.0478	0.0511	3.4%	1 05 (0.95–1.16)	
TCGA-LUAD	-0.0608	0.0647	3.3%	0.94 (0.83-1.07)	
TCGA-LUSC	-0.0545	0.0697	3.3%	0.95 (0.83-1.09)	
TCGA-MESO	0.1213	0.1669	2.2%	1.13 (0.81–1.57)	
TCGA-OV	-0.006	0.0598	3.4%	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	+
TCGA-PAAD	0.2562	0.0816	3.2%	1.29 (1.1 0–1.52)	
TCGA-PCPG	-0.0192	0.3831	0.8%	0.98 (0.46-2.08)	
TCGA-PRAD	0.6981	0.3421	1.0%	2.01 (1.03-3.93)	
TCGA-READ	0.2957	0.3128	1.1%	1.34 (0.73–2.48)	
TCGA-SARC	0.2111	0.1041	2.9%	1.24 (1.01–1.51)	
TCGA-SKCM	0.0733	0.076	3.2%	1.08 (0.93–1.25)	+
TCGA-STAD	-0.1649	0.0828	3.2%	0.85 (0.72-1.00)	
TCGA-TGCT	0.2127	0.2889	1.3%	1.24 (0.70–2.18)	
TCGA-THCA	0.5545	0.2024	1.9%	1.74 (1.17–2.59)	
TCGA-THYM	0.8454	0.303	1.2%	2.33 (1.29-4.22)	
TCGA-UCEC	0.3859	0.0591	3.4%	1.4 7 (1.31–1.65)	
TCGA-UCS	0.2437	0.1378	2.5%	1.28 (0.97–1.67)	
TCGA-UVM	1.3132	0.3277	1.1%	3.72 (1.96–7.07)	
Wan et al ³	0.9018	0.3612	0.9%	2.46 (1.21-5.00)	
Yang et al	1.1857	0.2064	1.9%	3.27 (2.18-4.90)	
Yuan et al'	0.8242	0.3937	0.8%	2.28 (1.05-4.93)	
Zhang et al ¹⁵	0.47	0.5448	0.5%	1.60 (0.55-4.65)	
Zhou et al	0.207	0.6129	0.4%	1.23 (0.37–4.09)	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.32 (1.22–1.43)	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.04$, $\chi^2 = 206.7$	9, <i>df</i> = 47 (<i>P</i> < 0.0000	01); <i>I</i> ² = 77%		0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.97 (P <	0.0001)			0.1	Low PVT1 expression High PVT1 expression

Figure S4 The pooled effect value of PVT1 on OS.

Abbreviations: IV, inverse variance methods; OS, overall survival; SE, standard error; ACC, adrenocortical cancer; BLCA, bladder cancer; BRCA, breast cancer; CESC, cervical cancer; CHOL, bile duct cancer; COAD, colon cancer; DLBC, large B-cell lymphoma; ESCA, esophageal cancer; GBM, glioblastoma; HNSC, head and neck cancer; KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney papillary cell carcinoma; LGG, lower grade glioma; LIHC, liver cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; MESO, mesothelioma; OV, ovarian cancer; PAAD, pancreatic cancer; PCPG, pheochromocytoma & paraganglioma; PRAD, prostate cancer; READ, rectal cancer; SARC, sarcoma; SKCM, melanoma; STAD, stomach cancer; TGCT, testicular cancer; THCA, thyroid cancer; THYM, thymoma; UCEC, endometrioid cancer; UCS, uterine carcinosarcoma; UVM, ocular melanoma.

Α				HR			HR	
Study or subgroup	log [HR]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C		IV, Fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Chen et al ²⁰	0.3612	0.1853	27.0%	1.44 (1.00–2.06)			 	
Cui et al ¹⁹	0.6831	0.2728	12.5%	1.98 (1.16–3.38)				
Kong et al ⁸	0.7957	0.3436	7.9%	2.22 (1.13–4.35)				
Li et al ⁷	0.3507	0.3537	7.4%	1.42 (0.71–2.84)			+	
Li et al ¹⁸	1.7647	1.343	0.5%	5.84 (0.42-81.20)		1	· · · · ·	
Takahashi et al ⁴	0.929	0.4018	5.7%	2.53 (1.15–5.57)				
Xu et al ²³	0.5596	0.1717	31.5%	1.75 (1.25–2.45)				
Yuan et al ¹⁷	0.793	0.3509	7.5%	2.21 (1.11–4.40)				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.77 (1.46–2.13)			•	
Heterogeneity: χ^2 = 4.25, df = 7 (P =	= 0.75); <i>I</i> ² = 0%						+ +	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.91 (P < 10^{-1})$	0.0001)				0.01	0.1 Low PVT1 expression	1 10 High PVT1 expre	100 ession

	_		

			HR	HR
Study or subgroup	log [HR]	SE Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Martini et al ²¹ (Testing)	0.47	0.1059 59.0%	1.60 (1.30–1.97)	, –
Martini et al ²¹ (Training)	0.5306	0.1369 35.3%	1.70 (1.30–2.22)	,
Wan et al ³	1.2208	0.3403 5.7%	3.39 (1.7 4–6.60)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	1.71 (1.45–2.00)	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 4.44$, <i>df</i> = 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.11) Test for overall effect: <i>Z</i> = 6.57 (<i>P</i> < 0.000)); <i>I²</i> = 55% 01)			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Low PVT1 expression High PVT1 expression

Figure S5 The pooled effect value of PVT1 on (A) DFS and (B) PFS. Abbreviations: DFS, disease-free survival; IV, inverse variance methods; PFS, progression-free survival; SE, standard error.

Author	Year	Country	Cancer	Case	Metastasis						Prognosis		Smoking on
			type	(high/low)	TNM		LNM	DOI	ΜQ	DIF	Outcomes	HR (95% CI)	PVTI
					OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)			OR (95% CI)
Yuan	2015	China	GC (tissue)	112 (55/56)	3.346	2.337	2.337	11.846	2.075	NR	SO	2.280 (1.054-4.930)	NR
et al ¹⁷					(1.498–7.475)	(1.086–5.030)	(1.086–5.030)	(4.858–28.884)	(0.183-23.573)		DFS	2.210 (1.111–4.395)	
Cui et al ¹⁹	2015	China	NSCLC	108 (53/55)	3.168	NR	2.289	11.026	2.118	1.054	SO	1.72 (1.14–3.25)	Irrelevant
			(tissue)		(1.588–8.243)		(1.054-4.972)	(4.505–26.983)	(0.186-24.073)	(0.461–2.408)	DFS	1.98 (1.16–4.35)	data
Xu et al ²³	2016	China	GC (tissue)	190 (96/94)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	DFS	1.75 (1.25–2.56)	NR
											DSS	1.64 (1.15–2.39)	
Takahashi	2014	Japan	CRC	164	3.462	2.119	2.968	NR	0.750	1.281	DFS	2.532 (1.152–10.747)	NR
et al ⁴			(tissue)	(133/31)	(1.494–8.022)	(0.915-4.908)	(1.282–6.870)		(0.075–7.451)	(0.267–6.149)			
Li et al ¹⁸	2016	China	CRC	30 (15/15)	0.750	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	DFS	5.84 (0.42–81.19)	NR
			(tissue)		(0.169–3.327)								
Zheng	2015	China	ESCA	77 (39/38)	3.450	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
et al ¹⁶			(tissue)		(1.346–8.841)								
Huang	2015	China	PAAD	85 (67/18)	3.442	NR	0.276	1.829	NR	1.550	SO	3.013	NR
et al ¹⁰			(tissue)		(1.170-10.127)		(0.088–0.863)	(0.614-5.444)		(0.275–8.738)		(1.574–6.673)	
Yang	2014	China	NSCLC	82 (65/17)	7.000	NR	9.911	NR	NR	NR	SO	3.273 (2.184-6.937)	NR
et al			(tissue)		(1.829–26.788)		(2.093-46.925)						
Huang	2016	China	SCLC	120 (60/60)	NR	NR	9.000	NR	11.455	NR	os	1.782 (1.078–2.945)	NR
et al ¹²			(tissue)				(3.939–20.566)		(3.683–35.628)				
Martini	2016	ltaly	EOC	Training 73	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	SO	2.10 (1.40–3.30)	NR
et al ²¹			(tissue)	(NR)							PFS	1.70 (1.30–2.20)	
				Testing 126	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	SO	1.30 (1.10–1.60)	
				(NR)							PFS	1.60 (1.30–1.90)	
Kong	2015	China	GC (tissue)	80 (40/40)	3.857	1.833	NR	6.152	1.11.1	2.333	SO	2.092 (1.068-4.096)	NR
et al ⁸					(1.526–9.750)	(0.755–4.455)		(2.334–16.211)	(1.002–1.232)	(0.932–5.839)	DFS	2.216 (1.130-4.345)	
Ding	2015	China	HCC	58 (49/9)	0.311	NR	NR	NR	NR	1.534	NR	NR	NR
et al''			(tissue)		(0.048-2.2028)					(0.367–6.412)			
				214	1.417	NR	NR	NR	NR	1.739	RFS	1.653 (1.019–2.681)	NR
				(157/57)	(0.772–2.603)					(0.937–3.228)	SO	1.01 (0.65–1.56)	
Zhang	2016	China	CESC	90 (45/45)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	SO	1.60 (0.55–4.66)	NR
et al ⁱ⁵			(tissue)										
Wu et al ²	2017	China	PAAD	30 (15/15)	8.000	NR	Unuseful data	NR	NR	Unuseful data	NR	NR	NR
			(tissue)		(1.5224–2.042)								
Ren et al ⁵	2016	China	CO	28 (13/15)	2.222	NR	8.250	1.212	1.083	0.938	NR	NR	NR
			(serum)		(0.426-11.603)		(1.328–51.263)	(0.216-6.800)	(0.926–1.267)	(0.205-4.294)			
Wan	2016	China	NSCLC	105 (56/49)	3.710	NR	2.842	NR	NR	NR	os	2.464 (1.214–4.999)	0.518
et al ³			(tissue)		(1.584–8.694)		(1.286–6.282)				PFS	3.39 (1.74–6.63)	(0.226–1.186)
Zhou	2016	China	*SO	53 (29/24)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	os	1.23 (0.37–4.05)	NR
et al ²²			(tissue)										
													(Continued)

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

Dovepress

Author	Year	Country	Cancer	Case	Metastasis						Prognosis		Smoking on
			type	(high/low)	ΣNT	-	LNM	DOI	ΜQ	DIF	Outcomes	HR (95% CI)	PVTI
					OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)			OR (95% CI
lden	2016	USA	CESC	121 (63/58)	R	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	SO	2.03 (1.01-4.08)	R
et al ¹³			(tissue)										
Li et al ⁷	2017	China	ESCC	104 (52/52)	4.429	NR	NR	NR	NR	3.167	SO	2.75 (I.35–5.59)	I.185
			(tissue)		(1.917–10.229)					(1.235–8.117)	DFS	1.42 (0.71–2.87)	(0.528–2.662)
Liu et al ⁶	2016	China	CESC	85 (67/18)	3.442	NR	3.621	1.829	I.455	1.550	OS	3.013 (1.574-6.673)	NR
			(tissue)		(1.170-10.127)		(1.158-11.323)	(0.614-5.444)	(0.402–5.260)	(0.275-8.738)			
Huang	2015	China	RC (tissue)	54 (39/15)	4.400	NR	2.783	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
et al ⁹					(1.183–16.367)		(0.675–11.477)						
Chen	2016	China	с С	187	1.175	0.838	1.587	NR	2.112	0.982	SO	1.455 (1.005–2.105)	NR
et al ²⁰			(serum)	(112/75)	(0.567–2.434)	(0.458–1.532)	(0.672–3.751)		(0.845–5.278)	(0.501–1.927)	DFS	1.435 (0.998–2.061)	

Abbreviations: LI, lymphatic invasion; LNM, lymph node metastasis; DOI, depth of invasive; DM, distant metastasis; DIF, differentiation; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease-free survival; PFS, progression-free survival; DS, disease specific survival; RFS, recurrence free survival; NR, no report; GC, gastric cancer; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; ESCA, esophageal carcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic cancer; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CESC, cervical cancer; SCLC, succerding cancer; ESCA, esophageal carcinoma; RC, renal carcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic cancer; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CESC, cervical cancer; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; RC, renal carcinoma.

.

2726

References

- Yang YR, Zang SZ, Zhong CL, Li YX, Zhao SS, Feng XJ. Increased expression of the lncRNA PVT1 promotes tumorigenesis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2014;7(10):6929–6935.
- 2. Wu BQ, Jiang Y, Zhu F, Sun DL, He XZ. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes EMT and cell proliferation and migration through down-regulating p21 in pancreatic cancer cells. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2017:1533034617700559.
- Wan L, Sun M, Liu GJ, et al. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes non-small cell lung cancer cell proliferation through epigenetically regulating LATS2 expression. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2016;15(5):1082–1094.
- 4. Takahashi Y, Sawada G, Kurashige J, et al. Amplification of PVT-1 is involved in poor prognosis via apoptosis inhibition in colorectal cancers. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;110(1):164–171.
- Ren X, Xiao Y, Zhang L, et al. Expression and clinical significance of PVT1 gene in blood of the patients with gastric cancer. *Chin J Cancer Biother*. 2016;23(05):688–691.
- Liu Y, Quan X, Qin X, Y. L. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on difference of expression of IncRNA in ovarian cancer patients. *Pract J Cancer*. 2016;31(03):384–386+389.
- Li PD, Hu JL, Ma C, et al. Upregulation of the long non-coding RNA PVT1 promotes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma progression by acting as a molecular sponge of miR-203 and LASP1. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(21):34164–34176.
- Kong R, Zhang EB, Yin DD, et al. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 indicates a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes cell proliferation through epigenetically regulating p15 and p16. *Mol Cancer*. 2015;14:82.
- Huang Y, Mu Z, Lin L, et al. Expression and clinical significance of lncRNA PVT1 in renal carcinoma tissues. *Shandong Medical*. 2015;55(36):11–13.
- Huang C, Yu W, Wang Q, et al. Increased expression of the lncRNA PVT1 is associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer patients. *Minerva Med.* 2015;106(3):143–149.
- Ding C, Yang Z, Lv Z, et al. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 is associated with tumor progression and predicts recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Oncol Lett.* 2015;9(2):955–963.

- Huang C, Liu S, Wang H, Zhang Z, Yang Q, Gao F. LncRNA PVT1 overexpression is a poor prognostic biomarker and regulates migration and invasion in small cell lung cancer. *Am J Transl Res.* 2016;8(11):5025–5034.
- Iden M, Fye S, Li K, Chowdhury T, Ramchandran R, Rader JS. The IncRNA PVT1 contributes to the cervical cancer phenotype and associates with poor patient prognosis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):e0156274.
- Liu Y, Quan X, Qin X, Y. L. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on difference of expression of IncRNA in ovarian cancer patients. *Pract J Cancer.* 2016;31(03):384–386+389.
- Zhang S, Zhang G, Liu J. Long noncoding RNA PVT1 promotes cervical cancer progression through epigenetically silencing miR-200b. *APMIS*. 2016;124(8):649–658.
- Zheng X, Hu H, Li S. High expression of lncRNA PVT1 promotes invasion by inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in esophageal cancer. *Oncol Lett.* 2016;12(4):2357–2362.
- Yuan CL, Li H, Zhu L, Liu Z, Zhou J, Shu Y. Aberrant expression of long noncoding RNA PVT1 and its diagnostic and prognostic significance in patients with gastric cancer. *Neoplasma*. 2016;63(3):442–449.
- Li Q, Dai Y, Wang F, et al. Differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs and the prognostic potential in colorectal cancer. *Neoplasma*. 2016;63(6):977–983.
- Cui D, Yu CH, Liu M, Xia QQ, Zhang YF, Jiang WL. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 as a novel biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37(3):4127–4134.
- Chen J, Li Y, Zheng Q, et al. Circular RNA profile identifies circPVT1 as a proliferative factor and prognostic marker in gastric cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2017;388:208–219.
- Martini P, Paracchini L, Caratti G, et al. lncRNAs as novel indicators of patients' prognosis in stage I epithelial ovarian cancer: a retrospective and multicentric study. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(9):2356–2366.
- Zhou Q, Chen F, Zhao J, et al. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 promotes osteosarcoma development by acting as a molecular sponge to regulate miR-195. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(50):82620–82633.
- Xu MD, Wang Y, Weng W, et al. A positive feedback loop of lncRNAPVT1 and FOXM1 facilitates gastric cancer growth and invasion. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(8):2071–2080.

Cancer Management and Research

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal

Dovepress