Effect of dyspnea on frailty stages and related factors in Taiwanese men with COPD
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Purpose: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) impacts health-related quality of life in men more than in women. In patients with dyspnea, frailty is more likely to develop and aggravate disability. Despite this, few studies have addressed frailty in men with COPD. The present study investigated the effects of dyspnea and its related factors on frailty in men with COPD.

Patients and methods: This cross-sectional observational study selected 125 participants by voluntary sampling at the thoracic outpatient clinics of two medical centers in Taiwan. The modified Medical Research Council questionnaire was used as the basis to classify dyspnea. Data were collected using questionnaires and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24.0 (IBM Corporation., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results: There were 85.90% and 26.70% patients with COPD assessed in the unfit stage among the dyspnea and non-dyspnea groups, respectively. Additionally, the number of medication use and the COPD Assessment Test (CAT) scores were correlated with the period from fitness to unfitness among the dyspnea group and non-dyspnea group.

Conclusion: COPD with dyspnea was more common in the unfit stages. The total number of medication use and CAT scores were significantly related to frailty.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a progressive and irreversible respiratory disease, and is more common in those who are middle-aged, male, smokers, and former smokers. The burden of COPD is going to increase in recent years due to exposure to more severe air pollution and a faster aging population. Studies have revealed that COPD patients with frailty face more risks to exposure than those without COPD, and it not only increases the negative health-related outcomes (eg, disability and mortality), but also places significant expenditure on health care resources. Among COPD patients, studies have also revealed that males have higher frailty prevalence than females due to higher smoking exposure and disease burden. Also, COPD patients undergoing dyspnea have an increased risk of frailty. If clinicians better understand the effects of dyspnea and its related factors on frailty, they may help the COPD men to delay dependency and disability. However, a retrospective analysis of the literatures showed that most studies have not been categorized by sex or considered the related factors on COPD patients with dyspnea or not in terms of frailty. As a result, it would be difficult to realize and provide suitable care for them. Thus, this study aimed to determine the effects of dyspnea and its related factors on frailty in men with COPD, and to serve as a reference for the clinical care of these patients in the future.
Materials and methods

Study design and procedure

This was a cross-sectional, observational study at the thoracic outpatient clinics of the Taipei Veterans General Hospital and the Tri-Service General Hospital. After the Human Research Institutional Review Boards of two medical centers in Taiwan approved the study (approval number: 2015-03-005A, 1-103-05-162), the researchers explained the purpose of the study to patients who met the inclusion criteria, and the subjects completed a consent form. Next, patient demographic and disease characteristic data were collected using self-administered questionnaires. The modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) questionnaire was used to classify dyspnea. The COPD Assessment Test (CAT) questionnaire was used to classify and group the patients. The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) was used to identify patients with depression. Finally, the Chinese-Canadian Study of Health and Aging Clinical Frailty Scale (CSHA-CFS) was used to evaluate frailty. All patients who participated in this study signed an informed consent form.

Samples

GPOWER, a menu-driven program for IBM-compatible and Apple Macintosh personal computers, was used to estimate the required sample size, based on an effect size of 0.3, a significance level of 0.05, and a power of 0.95. The required sample size was 111 subjects. With an estimated attrition rate of 20%, the required sample size was 131 subjects. The inclusion criteria were International Classification of Disease (ICD) diagnostic codes 10.44–9, a history of smoking, and gender of male. Patients were excluded if they had a diagnosed cognitive mental disorder (ICD-10-CM: F00-99).

Research tools

General characteristics

The patients’ general characteristics comprised demographic variables and disease characteristics. The demographic variables were age, educational attainment, income, smoking status, and body mass index (BMI), whereas the disease characteristics were the history of acute exacerbation of the last years, the Charlson Comorbidity Index, number of medication use, and pulmonary function. The content validity of the questionnaire results was 0.94.

Modified Medical Research Council questionnaire

The mMRC questionnaire is used to evaluate dyspnea-related disability on a scale of 0–4 based on the degree of dyspnea and restriction of activities. To achieve concurrent validation in this study, we calculated the Spearman rank correlation between the mMRC scores and CAT scores, which are commonly used to evaluate COPD. The result indicated that the mMRC and CAT scores were highly correlated and thus that they had good validity (0.757, P<0.001). Additionally, re-testing for reliability yielded an interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.574. In data analysis, the mMRC score can be treated as a binary variable: mMRC scores of 0 or 1 represent no dyspnea, whereas those ≥2 show dyspnea according to ABCD assessment tool of the 2018 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) update.

COPD Assessment Test (CAT)

The CAT questionnaire is used to evaluate and define the health status of patients with COPD. The test evaluates dyspnea, coughing, sputum production, wheezing, fatigue, sleep disturbance, daily activity status, feeling of control, and other items. Each item is scored from 0 (complete absence of the symptom or complication) to 5 (constant or frequent suffering from the symptom or complication), for a total score of 0–40. Because of copyright limitations, the scale could not be modified, so content validation was not performed in the present study. To ensure reliability, the coefficient of internal consistency was used, which yielded a Cronbach’s α of 0.997.

Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale

This scale, developed by Radloff, evaluates depressed mood, feelings of guilt and worthlessness, psychomotor retardation, loss of appetite, and sleep disturbance. Subjects were interviewed about the frequency of the above symptoms in the past week. Each question was scored from 0 to 3, with a total score of 0–60 for the 20 questions. Higher scores represented more severe depressive symptoms. Because of copyright limitations, the scale could not be modified, so content validation was not performed in this study. For reliability, the coefficient of internal consistency was used, which yielded a Cronbach’s α>0.9.

Chinese-Canadian Study of Health and Aging Clinical Frailty Scale

This scale was developed in Canada in 2010 to study health and aging through rapid screening for potentially frail older adults in communities. The scale scores frailty from 1 (very fit) to 7 (severely frail). Each level is defined as follows: Level 1 – very fit and robustly active, generally exercise regularly, and are the most fit for their age; Level 2 – well
without significant disease complications, but less fit than individuals in Level 1; Level 3 – well with controlled disease, and symptoms are better controlled than in Level 4; Level 4 – no obvious dependency, but vulnerable, with the appearance of low-level symptoms and a greater likelihood that the patient will complain of symptoms; Level 5 – mildly frail, with evaluation results showing limitations in instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs), leading to dependence on others; Level 6 – moderately frail and requiring assistance for ADLs and IADLs; Level 7 – severely frail and completely dependent on others for ADLs, or terminally ill. Due to copyright limitations, the scale could not be modified, so content validation was not performed in this study. For reliability, the coefficient of internal consistency was used, which yielded a Cronbach’s $\alpha>0.9$. For data analysis, the evaluation results were treated as a binominal variable as follows: fit and unfit. Fit was defined as Level 3 or below; unfit as Level 4 and above.

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to analyze the experimental results. Descriptive statistics (mean, SD, and percentage) were used to report the subject characteristics in accordance with the purpose of the study. Inferential statistics (Student’s $t$-test or Mann–Whitney U test, and chi-squared test) were used to compare independent variables between groups. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine frailty factors in patients with COPD. The level of significance was set at $P<0.05$.

Results

A total of 125 subjects were included in this study: 64 (51.2%) with dyspnea and 61 (48.8%) without.

Demographic and disease characteristics
Among the demographic characteristics, age and the proportion of patients who had only middle school education and below were significantly higher in the dyspnea group than in the non-dyspnea group. There were no significant differences between the groups in the other demographic characteristics (BMI, monthly income, and smoking status). In terms of the disease characteristics, more patients in the dyspnea group than in the non-dyspnea group had higher CAT scores, frequent exacerbation, and severe or worse respiratory tract obstruction. However, there were no significant differences between the groups in terms of Charlson Comorbidity Index score, number of medication use, and other variables (Table 1).

Frailty status of patients with COPD and predictors for frailty stage

The non-dyspnea group included 44 (73.3%) fit individuals and 16 (26.70%) unfit individuals. The dyspnea group included 9 (14.1%) fit individuals and 55 (85.9%) unfit individuals. The difference in this distribution was statistically significant ($P=0.001$; Table 2). In terms of overall groups, using the fit group as a reference, analysis showed that the predictors for unfit were: age (odds ratio [OR] = 1.07); high school or above (OR = 0.45); number of medication use (OR = 1.60); CAT scores (OR = 1.21); and acute exacerbation (OR = 1.89; Table 3).

The variables that had statistical significance in the overall analysis were used as a basis for the subgroup. After adjusting for age and education, the only predictor of unfit was CAT scores (OR = 1.28) among non-dyspnea group using the fit group as a reference group.

It meant that an increased score of one in CAT scores corresponded to a 1.28-fold increase in the risk of unfit among male COPD patients without dyspnea (Table 4). In the dyspnea group, the predictors for frailty was the number of medication use (OR = 2.35) using the fit group as a reference group. It meant that every increase of one of medication use corresponded to a 2.35-fold increase in unfit risk in male COPD patients with dyspnea (Table 5).

Discussion

This study showed that male COPD patients with dyspnea more often display unfit than those without dyspnea. It was difficult to compare with other studies because of their different populations, definition and measurement of frailty. However, COPD patients with dyspnea tended to have higher prevalence in frailty, which was consistent with previous studies. A possible reason for these findings is that individuals with dyspnea are more predisposed to a sedentary lifestyle and are more likely to have increased rates of low muscle strength and low muscle mass or strength is a symbol of frailty. Therefore, it could be understood why COPD patients with dyspnea are shown to be more unfit.

In addition, nearly 30% of patients had an unfit stage among COPD patients without dyspnea in this study. The percentage of unfit stage was in accordance within Dent’s study regarding Asia-Pacific community-living older adults (3.5%–27%). It has been reported that there was a positive correlation between frailty risk and COPD despite different instruments in the assessment of frailty. A prior study found that there were common risk factors (eg, aging and cigarette use) and pathophysiology (systematic inflammation) among patients with frailty and COPD. In this study, the mean...
Table 1  Demographic variables and disease characteristics of COPD patients (n=125)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (n=125, 100%)</th>
<th>Non-dyspnea group (n=61, 48.8%)</th>
<th>Dyspnea group (n=64, 51.2%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>77.36</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>72.15</td>
<td>10.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>23.07</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>23.24</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation (times/last year)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of medications used</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school or below</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>56.80</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or above</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>43.20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income (N$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤16,304</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>63.20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>57.40</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;16,305</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36.80</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>77.60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>80.30</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Comorbidity Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>72.60</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung function (FEV1 pred%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild obstruction</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate obstruction</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53.60</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>67.20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe or worse obstruction</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Mann–Whitney U test; CAT score was 6.43±5.09 in non-dyspnea patients under fit stage and 13.5±6.95 in non-dyspnea patients under the unfit stage, respectively (P<0.001). This study revealed that the disease burden of the unfit group was significantly higher than the fit group in non-dyspnea patients. The logistic regression analysis also showed that higher CAT scores were associated with higher frailty risk in non-dyspnea patients. It might be the reason to explain why nearly 30% of patients had the unfit stage among COPD patients without dyspnea.

Because frailty is a dynamic change, the results of this study showed that frailty in patients with COPD without dyspnea is affected by CAT and in those with dyspnea is affected by number of medication use. CAT is a multidimensional instrument to evaluate the health status impairment among COPD, and it is not only an indicator associated with acute exacerbation, symptom burden, COPD severity but also correlated with systemic inflammation mediators. Inflammation is a core element of the cycle of frailty through interrupted muscle mass and the induction of age-related pathological processes, and an article by Hubbard et al evaluated significant associations between frailty and inflammations. The findings demonstrated that

Table 2  Frailty stage of COPD patients with different degrees of dyspnea (n=124)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-dyspnea group (n=60, 48.39%)</th>
<th>Dyspnea group (n=64, 51.61%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frailty evaluation results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfit</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P<0.001.
Frailty stage and related factors in men with COPD

Table 3 Predictors of frailty in COPD patients: overall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unfit vs fit (ref)</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>(1.034–1.119)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or above</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>(0.217–0.931)</td>
<td>0.031&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school or below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 16,305</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>(0.299–1.305)</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 16,304 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>(0.182–1.129)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of medications used</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>(1.141–2.250)</td>
<td>0.007&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>(1.128–1.301)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>(1.031–3.459)</td>
<td>0.040&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Comorbidity Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>(0.417–4.512)</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>(0.706–5.512)</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of respiratory tract obstruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe or worse</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>(0.444–4.345)</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>(0.157–1.107)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>(0.988–1.116)</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Univariate logistic regression (OR). <sup>a</sup>P<0.05. <sup>b</sup>P<0.01. <sup>c</sup>P<0.001.
Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; ref, reference group; CAT, COPD Assessment Test.

Table 4 Predictors of frailty stage in men with COPD: non-dyspnea group (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unfit vs fit (ref)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>(1.093–1.486)</td>
<td>0.002&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of medications used</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>(0.780–3.367)</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute exacerbation</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>(0.140–1.680)</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Multivariate logistic regression – controlled for age, education attainment. <sup>c</sup>P<0.01.
Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; ref, reference group; CAT, COPD Assessment Test.

Table 5 Predictors of frailty stage in men with COPD: dyspnea group (n=64)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unfit vs fit (ref)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>(0.972–1.199)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of medications used</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>(1.002–5.519)</td>
<td>0.050&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute exacerbation</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>(0.542–11.969)</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Multivariate logistic regression – controlled for age, education attainment. <sup>c</sup>P<0.05.
Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; ref, reference group; CAT, COPD Assessment Test.

as reduced drug clearance and increased drug accumulation, and disease-related distress increased the chances of taking multiple medications among COPD. This leads to an increase in the risk of medication side effects, for instance, impaired mobility, malnutrition, hospitalization, and also increased the risk of frailty. Besides, among older adults, multiple medication use not only represents multiple illnesses or the result of inappropriate medication, but also revealed knowledge of medication use becoming more inadequate, increasing the risk of exposure to medication-related adverse reactions. It might be the reason that the number of medication use is related to the progression from fit to unfit.

Conclusion

The present study showed that male COPD patients with dyspnea numbered more than half as unfit, and the number of medication use was independently associated with being unfit. Among non-dyspnea patients with COPD men, the CAT scores were associated with being assessed as unfit. Because frailty is common among patients with COPD with dyspnea, it not only affects the maintenance of health-related quality of life but also increases the frequency of medical resource utilization. Thus, the study results can provide important information on early and efficient detection of frailty-related factors. Using the frailty-related factors as the basis for intervention development can help patients to avoid exposure factors and negative health outcomes such as disability and death. In addition, this study incorporated consideration of gender difference, thus providing more specific results as a reference for the intervention development and individualized care. There were limited studies that discussed the associations between COPD males and frailty status. The present study excluded patients with cognitive mental disorder. Therefore, our findings could be affected by the exclusion of patients with cognitive mental disorder. This is a limitation in this study. However, the present study aimed to explore...
the factors associated with frailty in males with COPD but without cognitive mental disorder. This study still highlights the importance of frailty risk in COPD patients.

In the future, aside from frailty-related evaluation of patients with COPD using the demographic, disease characteristic, and psychiatric factors evaluated in this study, other tools including level inflammatory chemokines (eg, interleukins, tumor necrosis factors, and C-reactive protein), hormones, and muscle content can also be used to make objective evaluations in advance. Considering the acute attacks and dynamic change characteristics experienced by patients with COPD, subgroup classification based on the acute attack stage or degree of obstruction at different stages and the evaluation and comparison of the relationships and effects between these subgroups and frailty can assist in the clinical care and provide a reference for the customized care of these patient groups.
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