National analysis of testicular and scrotal trauma in the USA

Areg Grigorian
Joshua K Livingston
Sebastian D Schubl
Bima J Hasjim
Daniel Mayers
Eric Kuncir
Cristobal Barrios
Victor Joe
Jeffry Nahmias

Division of Trauma, Burns and Surgical Critical Care, Department of Surgery,
University of California, Irvine, Orange County, CA, USA

Background: To provide a descriptive analysis of scrotal and testicular trauma in the USA. Additionally, we hypothesized that motorcycle collision would have a higher association with scrotal or testicular trauma and subsequent scrotal or testicular operation, compared to a bicycle collision.

Methods: The National Trauma Data Bank (2007–2015) was queried to identify adult male patients with scrotal or testicular trauma. A multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed.

Results: A total of 8,030 patients (0.23%) had scrotal/testicular injury, with 44.6% involved in blunt trauma. A penetrating mechanism occurred in 50.5% of cases, with assault by firearm (75.8%) being the most common. The median age of the patients was 31 years and the median injury severity score was 8. Most had isolated scrotal or testicular trauma (74.5%), with 48.3% requiring scrotal or testicular operation, most commonly repair of laceration (37.3%). Patients involved in a motorcycle collision had higher risk for scrotal/testicular trauma (OR = 5.40, CI = 4.40–6.61, p = 0.0005) and subsequent scrotal/testicular surgery (OR = 4.93, CI = 3.82–6.36, p = 0.0005), compared to bicycle collision.

Conclusion: Scrotal or testicular trauma is rare but occurs most commonly after assault by firearm. Most patients only have isolated scrotal or testicular trauma, but nearly half require subsequent scrotal or testicular operation. Trauma patients presenting after a motorcycle collision have a higher association of scrotal or testicular trauma and subsequent surgery when compared to those involved in a bicycle collision.
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Introduction

The scrotum is relatively protected from severe damage due to its inherent mobility and the presence of the cremasteric reflex and tunica albuginea. The incidence of scrotal or testicular injury in trauma is <1%, and while it is generally not life threatening, prompt diagnosis and appropriate management are critical to prevent morbidity. The American Urological Association recommends early scrotal exploration in all patients suspected of testicular rupture to prevent testicular loss, infection, chronic pain, infertility, and altered self-image.

Common blunt mechanisms of injury in scrotal trauma include motor vehicle, motorcycle, and bicycle accidents. To that end, a recent descriptive analysis from a French database regarding genitourinary injury (GUI) found motorcyclists, followed by bicyclists to have the highest incidence of scrotal or testicular injury. This is presumably because motorcyclists are vulnerable to high-impact collisions, whereas bicyclists incur less significant force...
velocity. Interestingly, according to the Pew Research Center, 59% of the French population owns a bicycle compared to 53% in the USA, but more Americans own a motorcycle than in France (14% vs 12%). This suggests that the incidence of scrotal or testicular trauma in the USA may be different than in France. Accordingly, we first sought to provide a descriptive analysis of scrotal and testicular trauma in the USA. Additionally, we hypothesized that in the USA, motorcycle collision would have a higher association with scrotal or testicular trauma and subsequent scrotal or testicular operation, compared to a bicycle collision.

Methods
This work was approved by the institutional review board of the University of California, Irvine. The National Trauma Data Bank (NTDB) is a multicenter registry of trauma centers in North America maintained by the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma. Each year, there is an updated cohort of patients added to the database. All patients admitted from January 2007 through December 2015 who were >18 years of age were included. The primary end points of interest included the incidence of scrotal or testicular injury and subsequent scrotal or testicular operation, defined by the International Classification of Diseases version-9: scrotal/testicular trauma, 878.2/878.3; scrotal/testicular operation, 61–63.99. The NTDB does not differentiate between scrotal and testicular trauma as these injuries are grouped together. Isolated scrotal or testicular trauma was indicated in patients that did not have any other GUIs. A descriptive analysis of all trauma patients with scrotal or testicular trauma was performed. Next, patients with scrotal or testicular trauma after a bicycle collision were compared to those presenting after a motorcycle collision. Secondary outcomes evaluated included total hospital length of stay (LOS), intensive care unit (ICU) LOS, ventilator days, acute kidney injury, acute respiratory distress syndrome, myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, urinary tract infection, severe sepsis, unplanned intubation or ICU admission, penile operation, and mortality.

Patient demographic information including age, gender, and prehospital comorbidities were collected. Prehospital comorbidities included congestive heart failure, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, myocardial infarction, smoking, end-stage renal disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cerebrovascular accident. The injury profile included the injury severity score (ISS) and mechanism of injury. Any associated kidney, bladder, urethra, ureter, adrenal gland, penile, pelvis, spine, and traumatic brain injury was also included. All variables were coded as present or absent.

A Student’s t-test was used to compare continuous variables, and χ² was used to compare categorical variables for bivariate analysis. Categorical data were reported as percentages, and continuous data were reported as medians with interquartile range.

The magnitude of the association between predictor variables and the incidence of scrotal or testicular trauma and subsequent scrotal or testicular operation were individually measured using a univariable logistic regression model. Covariates including age >65 and ISS >16 were controlled for using a hierarchical multivariable logistic regression model and risk for outcomes were reported with an OR and 95% CI. All p-values were 2-sided, with a statistical significance level of <0.05. All analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 24, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

This research involved humans. However, since this retrospective study was performed using a national database with deidentified patients, risk to participants is minimal. Additionally, the NTDB does not hold any identifying patient data. There is no consent required.

Results
Demographics of all adult patients with scrotal and testicular trauma
From 3,489,850 male trauma patients, 8,030 were identified to have a scrotal or testicular injury (0.2%) with less than half (44.6%) involved in blunt trauma. Of these, the most common mechanisms, in order, were motorcycle collision (38.5%), motor vehicle accident (21.3%), pedestrian collision (15.8%), and bicycle collision (2.8%). A penetrating mechanism occurred in 50.5% of cases, with assault by firearm (75.8%) being the most common. The median age of all patients with scrotal or testicular injury was 31 years, and the median ISS was 8. The most common comorbidity was smoking (16.6%). Most patients had isolated scrotal or testicular trauma (74.5%), and the most commonly associated GUI was penile (16.2%) (Table 1).

Outcomes of all adult patients with scrotal and testicular trauma
The median hospital LOS was 3 days, and the median ICU LOS was 4 days. Nearly half of the patients (48.3%) with scrotal or testicular trauma underwent a subsequent scrotal or testicular operation. The most common operation was repair.
of laceration of scrotum or testis (37.3%), followed by unilateral orchectomy (23.4%). The most common in-hospital complication was pneumonia (3.1%) (Table 2).

### Characteristics of scrotal and testicular trauma patients involved in motorcycle or bicycle collision

Compared to patients involved in a motorcycle collision, those involved in a bicycle collision had a lower median ISS (5 vs 17, \( p=0.0002 \)) but higher incidence of associated penile trauma (17.0% vs 6.9%, \( p=0.0005 \)). There was no difference in all other GUI (Table 3). Compared to patients involved in a bicycle collision, those involved in a motorcycle collision had a shorter ICU LOS (5 vs 8 days, \( p=0.02 \)) and ventilator days (5 vs 7, \( p=0.01 \)) with a lower proportion requiring penile surgery (2.5% vs 9.0%, \( p=0.0002 \)). There were no differences in all other in-hospital complications examined (\( p>0.05 \)).

### Multivariable regression analysis for risk of scrotal or testicular injury in trauma patients and secondary outcomes

The covariates in the multivariable analysis included age \( >65 \) and ISS \( >16 \). In comparison to all patients involved in a bicycle collision, those involved in a motorcycle collision had a 5-fold relative increase in incidence of scrotal or testicular trauma (OR=5.40, 95% CI=4.40–6.61, \( p=0.0004 \)) and need for subsequent scrotal/testicular surgery (OR=4.93, 95% CI=3.82–6.36, \( p=0.0005 \)) (Table 4).

### Discussion

We performed a descriptive analysis of scrotal and testicular trauma in the USA, using 9 years of data from a large national database, and found the incidence to be 0.2% and occurring primarily in younger adults. Penetrating trauma was the most common overall mechanism, with assault by firearm being the most common penetrating injury. Of blunt traumas, the most common was a motorcycle accident. The majority of patients had isolated scrotal or testicular trauma. Most patients had a relatively short hospital stay (3 days). However, nearly half required a scrotal/testicular operation, with repair of laceration being the most common procedure performed. Trauma patients presenting after a motorcycle collision had a higher association with scrotal or testicular trauma and subsequent surgery when compared to those involved in a bicycle collision.
The incidence of scrotal or testicular trauma is rare. Similar to a previous report, we found the incidence to be <1\%.\(^4\) Previous studies have found that blunt mechanism is the most common mechanism leading to genitourinary trauma, occurring in up to 85\% of cases of scrotal or testicular injury, whereas our study found that only 44.6\% of cases presented after a blunt mechanism, whereas 50.5\% were involved in a penetrating mechanism (with the majority being due to assault with a firearm).\(^4,9,10\) This may be due to our study involving a large range of participating trauma centers, including large urban trauma centers with a high volume of penetrating trauma.

In cases of blunt trauma, scrotal or testicular injury commonly occurs in motorcyclists and bicyclists. Using a large French database, Terrier et al.\(^7\) showed that 72\% of cases of blunt scrotal or testicular trauma occurred after a motorcycle collision, whereas 20\% were due to a bicycle collision. The remainder were either from a motor vehicle collision or involving a pedestrian struck by a vehicle. In our study, 38.5\% of blunt scrotal or testicular trauma cases involved a motorcycle, 21.3\% a motor vehicle, and 2.8\% a bicycle. One explanation for the higher rate of bicycle collisions leading to a scrotal or testicular injury, in the French study is the fact that more French citizens ride bicycles compared to people within the USA.\(^8\) Interestingly, although more Americans ride motorcycles compared to the French population, the rate of motorcycle collisions leading to scrotal or testicular trauma in the USA was much lower compared to the French population (17.1\% vs 72.0\%). Traffic conditions, laws, and norms may help explain the difference. According to the International Road Traffic and Accident Database, young French adults have among the highest mortality and morbidity rates in European countries.\(^11\) The incidence of major traumatic injuries, such as spinal cord injury, in French motorcyclists has increased based on an epidemiological study.\(^12\) In contrast, the injury rate in motorcyclists from the USA has decreased 6.2\% from 2007 to 2015.\(^13\) Laws governing protective gear may be responsible for this trend. For example, after implementing a universal motorcycle helmet law in California, the fatality rate dramatically decreased by nearly 40\%.\(^14\) More states have implemented laws mandating the use of helmets in motorcyclists.\(^15,16\) This conscious effort and public safety awareness of the benefits of helmet use in motorcyclists may translate to additional protective gear, such as armored clothing with high-density foam panels that may protect the groin and help prevent scrotal and testicular trauma. Additional epidemiologic studies investigating traffic conditions, laws, and norms between French and USA motorcyclists can help further explain this difference.

Protective gear and proper saddle positioning may play a role in preventing GUI after bicycle collisions. In our study, we found more bicyclists with scrotal or testicular trauma had a concomitant penile injury, compared to motorcyclists. This is a particularly interesting finding when considering that a motorcycle collision has more than a 5-fold higher risk of scrotal or testicular injury compared to a bicycle collision. Men’s bicycles have a top tube that runs from the seat to the handlebar. In comparison, women’s bicycles have a lower set bar which allows them to mount the bicycle with a dress – a feature that is outdated in the modern era.\(^17\) The higher location of the top tube on men’s bicycles has been associated with penile trauma after bicycle collisions in which the

### Table 3 Characteristics of trauma patients with scrotal or testicular injury after motorcycle or bicycle collision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Motorcyclists (n=1,377)</th>
<th>Bicyclists (n=100)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (IQR)</td>
<td>35.0 (23)</td>
<td>37.0 (23)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>210 (15.3)</td>
<td>17 (17.0)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>120 (8.7)</td>
<td>7 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>24 (1.7)</td>
<td>3 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>5 (0.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>7 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular accident</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVD</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-stage renal disease</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS, median (IQR)</td>
<td>17.0 (18)</td>
<td>5.0 (13)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary injuries, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated scrotal(^1)</td>
<td>1,100 (79.9)</td>
<td>78 (78.0)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder or urethra</td>
<td>146 (10.6)</td>
<td>5 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile</td>
<td>95 (6.9)</td>
<td>17 (17.0)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>50 (3.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureter</td>
<td>8 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal gland</td>
<td>19 (1.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional injuries, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic fracture</td>
<td>688 (50.0)</td>
<td>28 (28.0)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic brain injury</td>
<td>479 (34.8)</td>
<td>16 (16.0)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal injury</td>
<td>450 (32.7)</td>
<td>24 (24.0)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(^1\)Considered isolated if no other genitourinary injury present.

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IQR, interquartile range; ISS, injury severity score; PVD, peripheral vascular disease.

### Table 4 Multivariable logistic regression analysis\(^2\) of outcomes in trauma patients involved in a motorcycle collision versus bicycle collision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scrotal/testicular trauma</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>4.40–6.61</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrotal surgery after scrotal/testicular trauma</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>3.82–6.36</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(^2\)All models controlled for age ≥165 and ISS ≥16.

Abbreviation: ISS, injury severity score.
rider accelerates forward.\textsuperscript{18,19} Bicycle manufacturers should be aware of this problem to design the frame and seating in a way to circumvent this potential injury. Additionally, protective gear may help decrease the rate of penile trauma in both bicycle and motorcycle collisions. In a single-center retrospective study of all patients admitted with GUI after bicycle collisions, Yelon et al.\textsuperscript{20} found that all of the patients with these injuries were not wearing protective gear or padded shorts. Furthermore, the saddle in which the rider sits may also play a role in GUI after bicycle collisions. To avoid stresses within the perineum and provide efficient support, the saddle needs to be at least 2 times wider than the bi-ischial width of the cyclist.\textsuperscript{21} Compared to the female pelvis, males have a steeper arch of the pubic symphysis, which exposes the rider to the metal handlebar or head tube during collision as opposed to the cushioned anterior nose of the saddle.\textsuperscript{22} Future research on ideal bicycle design, seating, and protective gear/clothing is warranted to help prevent GUI.

Our study has several limitations. Reporter bias is inherent in all large national databases that depend on voluntary participation, and all our data were restricted to information provided in the NTDB, which is also subject to input error. Not all data fields were complete, and some variables had missing or incomplete information. Notable data fields relevant to our study that are missing include speed of the collision and if the motorcycle or bicycle rider was wearing protective gear. Additionally, the NTDB does not include information on American Association for the Surgery of Trauma grading system or other urologic grading systems for scrotal and testicular injuries.\textsuperscript{23} Without this information, it is not possible to discern a small scrotal contusion from a large avulsion or a testicular contusion from testicular rupture.

**Conclusion**

Scrotal or testicular trauma is rare but occurs most commonly after assault by firearm. Most patients only have isolated scrotal or testicular trauma requiring a short hospital stay, but nearly half do require a scrotal or testicular operation, most commonly to repair laceration of the scrotum or testis. Trauma patients presenting after a motorcycle collision have a higher association with scrotal or testicular trauma and subsequent surgery when compared to those involved in a bicycle collision. Future prospective research should investigate the role that protective gear may have in reducing scrotal or testicular trauma and/or subsequent need for scrotal or testicular surgery, especially in motorcycle and bicycle collisions.
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