An ectodermal dysplasia patient treated with a small diameter implant supporting a single crown
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Abstract: Ectodermal dysplasia (EDD) is a developmental disorder that affects the skin, hair, and teeth among other organs generated in the ectoderm. Dental implants have been used to successfully treat partial edentulism in EDD patients, but the success rate is much lower for these patients. The report herein is a successful case of a single mini, small diameter, implant used to support a single crown of a mandibular right second premolar. A review of implant treatment in EDD patients is included.
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Introduction
Dental implants can be used to successfully restore partial and complete edentulism. There are patients who present with systemic disorders that may affect outcomes. Ectodermal dysplasia (EDD) is one of these.1,2 There have been reports on successful dental implant treatment in patients with EDD, but the success rate is much lower for EDD patients.3,4 This is a case report of a successful single crown supported by a small diameter, mini, implant in a patient with EDD.

EDD
EDD is a large group of disorders with developmental abnormalities in ectodermal structures that include skin, hair, nails, teeth, and glands.1,2 Among a variety of signs and symptoms of EDD are dry hypoplastic skin, sparse scalp and body hair, dystrophic fingernails, deficient sweat glands, and oligodontia.1,2 These patients exhibit two or more affected structures that develop from ectoderm.1,2 Teeth affected by EDD is one of the criteria for distinguishing between affected and unaffected individuals in a clinical examination. There are over 120 syndromes in which oligodontia is one of the associated findings.4,5 The majority of these are hereditary. The most commonly associated with missing teeth are Down syndrome and EDD.4 Oligodontia is defined as the absence of more than six teeth, excluding third molars.2,6-9 There are more than 200 types of EDD, but the causative gene is known in only 60 types.7,9 This disorder has an incidence of 1:100,000 births and is more common among boys.1,2,7-9 The phenotypical outcome is the result of an autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or X-linked genetic expression.8-13 The most common form is the X-linked, hypohidrotic form and constitutes about 80% of those affected.14-16 Edentulous sites are often hypoplastic with sharp narrow atrophic ridges.17,18 Early diagnosis of EDD is essential
for appropriate treatment. To address all aspects of EDD, multidisciplinary treatment planning may be important from childhood to adulthood.19–26

Dental implant treatment of patients with EDD

The dentition and smile are crucial for the oral function and overall facial esthetics.27,28 Generally, patients desire dental treatment for functional and esthetic reasons. Oral rehabilitation of EDD patients in the past has involved partial or complete removable prostheses supported by tissue or teeth. Dental implant-supported prostheses has provided an additional treatment option for these patients.29

Wong et al studied the oral health and related quality of life (QL) in patients with EDD.25 They targeted young individuals aged 11–15 years. These patients lacked a mean of 8.9 teeth and reported this to have a considerable QL impact. The majority (88%) reported functional limitations and emotional well-being issues.25 Locker et al studied QL in 36 Canadian children who were missing a mean of 6.8 teeth; 75% reported functional and psychosocial impacts “often” or “every day/almost every day”.26

Small diameter implants (SDIs) can be considered for the retention of removable dentures and the support of fixed prostheses especially in narrow ridges. Patients treated with SDIs for removable prosthetic retention had more satisfaction with their rehabilitation than those treated with conventional nonimplant-supported prostheses.30 The treating clinicians reported better retention, masticatory efficiency, and comfort with a positive impact on their QL.30

Some reports in literature described the use of mini implants for prosthetic rehabilitation of children and in children affected by EDD.31–33 The early insertion of dental implants is recommended in children with severe hypodontia.34,35 Buser et al at an International Team of Oral Implantology Consensus Conference 2000 described EDD as a condition which may affect oral tissues by increasing their susceptibility to other diseases by interfering with healing and increasing the risk of implant failure.36 Guckes et al, in a prospective study done on 51 patients with EDD, aged 8–68 years, placed implants in anterior mandibles and anterior maxilla.37 The implant survival rates were 91% in the mandible and 76% in the maxilla.37 A literature review by Yap and Klineberg concluded that implant survival rates vary between 88.5% and 97.6% in patients with EDD and between 90% and 100% in patients with tooth agenesis.38 Implants placed in adolescents with EDD do not have a significant effect on craniofacial growth, but there is a higher risk of implant failure.38,39

Case report

A 20-year-old female affected by EDD presented with a chief complaint of a painful, mobile, and carious mandibular right second deciduous molar. Extraoral examination revealed hypotonicity of the perioral musculature. Her hair was thin and sparse. Her skin was dry, prone to rashes and easily sunburned. A medical consultation was made but no genetic testing was obtained on this patient, so a specific diagnosis type could not be made. The oral examination disclosed carious deciduous teeth and oligodontia (Figures 1–3). On the right side, missing permanent teeth were the maxillary second and third molars, second premolar, central and lateral incisors, left premolars, and second and third molars. In the mandible missing teeth were the right third molar, second premolar, left third molar and second premolar, and central incisors. Eleven teeth were absent from agenesis. The mandibular incisors were incompletely developed (Figure 2). Multiple carious deciduous teeth were deemed hopeless (Figures 1 and 2). After a discussion and informed consent was obtained from the patient to have the case details and any accompanying images published, implant treatment was decided upon.
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Figure 1 Maxilla of the ectodermal dysplasia patient.
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Figure 2 Mandible of the ectodermal dysplasia patient.
First, carious deciduous teeth were extracted, the maxillary lateral incisors, and right second molar and left first molar and the mandibular right second molar (Figure 4). The maxillary right first premolar was extracted due to coronal caries and radicular malformation. The mandibular left first premolar had a malformed root but was left in place. The mandibular second premolar was absent due to agenesis. The overlying deciduous second molar was slightly submerged. Orthodontic treatment was then instituted over a 7-year period at the Department of Orthodontics, University of Genoa, Italy, without complications (Figures 5 and 6).

A maxillary 12 unit all-ceramic fixed partial denture, from first molar to first molar, was fabricated. This was supported by the first molars and cuspids. Preemptive cuspid endodontics were needed due to large pulp chambers. Endodontic therapy was performed on the maxillary left first molar due to occlusal caries. Implants were not considered because of the high failure rate in EDD patients. Additionally, SDIs may not perform well in the maxilla due to probable low bone density and off-axial loading from the mandibular incisors.

The mandibular right second premolar site had healed well (Figure 7). This implant was planned to be placed flaplessly. A 2.5×13 mm SDI (MDL Intra-Lock International®, Boca Raton, FL, USA) was selected and placed according to SDI guidelines. An osteotomy was performed with a 1.2 mm drill sited equidistantly between the premolar and first molar and the center of the ridge crest. During osteotomy, a qualitative assessment of type 3 bone was made. The implant was then seated at 12 rpm and water cooled to prevent any significant insertion heat (Figures 8–10). An impression was done at insertion appointment and fabricated during the osseointegration time. After 3 months, a low cusp ceramic crown was inserted,
Figure 7 The healed site.

Figure 8 The small diameter implant was placed at 12 rpm and water cooled during seating.

Figure 9 Radiograph during seating of small diameter implant.

Figure 10 The implant after integration.

Figure 11 Panoramic radiograph after treatment completion.

assessed, and definitively cemented with Cement-Over™ Abutment (Intra-Lock International) (Figures 11 and 12). The crown has been in uneventful successful function for 4 years (Figure 13).

**Discussion**

EDD is a rare genetic disorder that is usually transmitted as an X-linked recessive trait. Ninety-five percent of patients with EDD have the X-linked form. Because of subtle manifestations, EDD is difficult to diagnose. The number of teeth that develop are a basis of diagnosis. Hypodontia, anodontia, and dental malformations are part of the disorder. Because the teeth give the alveolar ridges osseous stimulation, absence of teeth results in narrow atrophic edentulous ridges that make poor support for removable dentures. Thus, endosseous implants can provide support and retention for effective function of fixed or removable prostheses. However, endosseous dental implants should
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not be placed until skeletal growth is complete. The implants may stabilize and maintain the osseous ridges. The goal of treatment is to improve function and provide an esthetic outcome. Poor alveolar development, a larger number of missing teeth, and the morphology and position of the teeth that did develop complicate the management of treatment.

Patients with hypodontia are frequently treated with complete or partial conventional removable prostheses. In the case described herein, a small diameter implant was selected because of its small displacement. A small physical displacement may be beneficial, in that the implant has a substantial supporting bone volume for remodeling. In case of a failure, there would be less osseous resorption and more remaining bone for a revision. Nonetheless, SDIs exert more osseous load than standard implants. Load attenuation needs to be addressed by an occlusal scheme that minimizes off-axial forces. Additionally, assessing the patients’ load generating capability may be an important parameter to assess the risk of overload. An excessive jaw force capability may indicate a larger diameter implant to exert less per-square-mm load on the supporting bone. Although no bite force assessment was made, the qualitative load assessment was deemed to be of low magnitude. After functional loading, the implant showed no marginal bone loss with apparent appropriate bone remodeling at a 4-year follow-up (Figures 11–13).

When there is alveolar ridge resorption, the facial cortex approaches the lingual cortex and narrows the residual ridge. There is less medullary bone as compared to dense cortical bone. This may enable better support for SDIs because the implant then engages the dense cortical bone throughout its complete length. The dense cortical bone is highly resistant to occlusal loads that are delivered to the bone.

Bergendal demonstrated a 64.3% implant failure in patients with EDD. Nonetheless, other reports showed that dental implants can be used successfully in partially and completely edentulous patients affected by congenital disorders, such as EDD. Thus, children and adults with EDD may be treated with SDIs in atrophic ridges due to the residual cortices.

Oral prostheses supported by implants provide comfortable function and psychological benefits for children with EDD. Sfeir et al affirmed that mini implants can be a part of dental treatment for EDD patients and that their use reduces the number of surgeries.

Literature reports indicate that different cusp inclinations can have a significant effect on bone remodeling responses in terms of bone density and osseous stability. A higher cusp inclination can lead to a higher bone remodeling rate and greater bone–implant interfacial stress. Degidi et al found functional loading to stimulate bone remodeling at the bone–implant interface. A high percentage of lamellar bone was found in loaded implants. Heat may be generated during seating of SDIs, so water coolant may be used to prevent an inordinate temperature increase that may be detrimental to the bone. EDD complete edentulism can be successfully treated with CAD-CAM implant-supported overdentures.
fabrication is a definitive technical advance for accuracy, and occlusal loads may be better controlled.51

The small percutaneous exposure of SDIs may dramatically reduce the incidence of peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis.44 No marginal bone loss was seen with this patient in the postoperative 4 years. Implants may not be appropriate in children who have no complete osseous growth due to drifting and displacement of the bones during maturation.45

**Conclusions**

SDIs may be a minimally invasive approach for support in fixed prosthetics in selected patients with EDD. Preoperative site evaluation and evaluation of the patient’s disorder and an appropriate occlusal scheme that minimizes off-axial loads is required. This is a case report and is of a low credibility level. In the future, a meta-analysis of case reports and case series may elucidate this topic for appropriate treatment of these individuals.
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