Treatment of post-craniotomy acute severe supraorbital neuralgia using ultrasound-guided pulsed radiofrequency: a case report
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Abstract: Pulsed radiofrequency is an effective, safe, and nondestructive pain treatment technique that has been insufficiently reported in the treatment of supraorbital neuralgia. We report the successful use of pulsed radiofrequency to alleviate acute severe supraorbital neuralgia in a post-craniotomy patient. A 52-year-old female, who had acute severe supraorbital neuralgia after having received a right frontal craniotomy and with poor efficacy in response to medication and a nerve block, was subjected to ultrasound-guided pulsed radiofrequency treatment and experienced complete pain relief, as a result of which the patient stopped taking all analgesic drugs. The patient had follow-up visits for 1 year, during which she reported no pain. Furthermore, no side effects of nerve damage after pulsed radiofrequency were observed. Our results suggest that pulsed radiofrequency is a promising technique for the treatment of supraorbital neuralgia and that further studies of this technique should be conducted.
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Introduction
Supraorbital neuralgia has a low prevalence and is infrequently covered in the literature; therefore, it is not well understood by many clinicians.1 Many patients do not exhibit clear recoveries with common medications.2 Among several therapeutic approaches, nerve block treatments do not confer lasting efficacy.2,3 Neurolysis can cause significant trauma that likely aggravates facial symptoms.4 Nerve ablation irreversibly damages nerves and can correspondingly result in decreased quality of live.3 Peripheral nerve stimulation has a considerable likelihood of complications.4 As such, current treatments cannot simultaneously achieve both satisfactory outcomes and injury reduction.

Pulsed radiofrequency is an effective, safe, and nondestructive pain treatment technique that is minimally invasive, has few side effects, and involves simple procedures.5–7 Two published articles reported that patients with supraorbital neuralgia exhibited pain relief after at least two treatments with pulsed radiofrequency.8,9 We report that a post-craniotomy patient who suffered acute severe supraorbital neuralgia got subsequent alleviation of her pain after just one treatment of pulsed radiofrequency. These results provide a reference for the future treatment of supraorbital neuralgia.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Written informed consent was obtained for the publication of this case report and the accompanying images from the patient who participated in this study.
Case
The patient was a 52-year-old female and was hospitalized because of “repeated dizziness for over 2 months”. She was diagnosed with meningioma in the right anterior cranial fossa (Figure 1A and B) and received a craniotomy and a tumor resection through an intra-hairline coronal incision (Figure 1C and D). The patient experienced satisfactory recovery in the early postoperative phase but had pain in the right eyebrow and forehead on postoperative day 3. A physical examination identified right supraorbital notch tenderness. Head computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging generated images consistent with post-craniotomy conditions. The patient was subjected to an oral regimen of ibuprofen, oxycodone, and carbamazepine for 7 days. The patient initially experienced remission, but her headache gradually aggravated and later manifested a visual analog scale (VAS, 0 indicating no pain at all, 10 indicating the most severe pain imaginable) of 9. On postoperative day 12, the patient received steroids and local anesthetics to facilitate the treatment of a supraorbital nerve block, which resulted in complete remission of the headache right after the blockade (VAS = 0). However, when the anesthetic effect disappeared, the intensity of the headache was only slightly alleviated for 2 days, which was corroborated by a VAS score of 6, after which the VAS reached its pretreatment level of 9. We explained the procedure, potential efficacy, and side effects of pulsed radiofrequency treatment before performing pulsed radiofrequency of the right supraorbital nerve on this patient with informed consent.

Figure 1 Preoperative cranial magnetic resonance image and design of the surgical incision.
Notes: Spherical occupational lesions in the right anterior cranial fossa, 3×3 cm, with consistent edge patterns (A, B). The surgical opening was an intra-hairline coronal incision, which became a flap (C). The flap was turned forward and downward and fixed with skin retractors to fully expose the skull. The bone window reached the anterior cranial fossa; the right frontal skull was drilled before a bone flap was generated along the bone window margin using a cutter (D).
The patient laid in a supine position and a Pain Management Generator (PMG-230; Baylis Medical Inc., Montreal, Canada) was attached to the skin of her back through the negative electrode pad. A puncture point, set at 1/3 of the ipsilateral supraorbital margin, was subject to routine disinfection and local anesthesia. A sterile transparent sheath was used to cover the 6–13 MHz linear transducer (probe) of a SonoSite M-Turbo portable ultrasound. Next, aseptic ultrasound gel was placed on and above the patient’s eyebrow before the probe was positioned above and parallel to the eyebrow. Cross scanning was performed by gently moving the probe toward the eyebrow, whereby the right supraorbital notch was revealed on the screen (Figure 2A). A 5 cm, 21-gage radiofrequency trocar (PMF-21-50-2; Baylis Medical Inc.) was guided by ultrasound to puncture the supraorbital notch (Figure 2B). The needle core was removed, and there was no bleeding after the piston was withdrawn. A radiofrequency electrode (PMK-21-50; Baylis Medical Inc.) was then introduced. The sensory threshold was determined through 50 Hz electrical stimulation such that the depth and direction of the puncture needle was fine-tuned until abnormal sensation could be induced by a voltage of 0.1 V. Afterward, the pulsed radiofrequency mode of the pain management generator was initiated to treat the patient with the following specifications: 42°C, 2 Hz pulsed radiofrequency, 120 seconds in duration, two consecutive treatments.

Just after the pulsed radiofrequency treatment, the VAS decreased from preoperative 9 to postoperative 3. Then VAS of the patients gradually decreased, and on the fifth day after the treatment, VAS = 0 was obtained. The subject displayed no pain (VAS = 0) during 12 months of follow-up visits. We evaluated the supraorbital sensory function by testing for light touch, pinprick. Before the treatment, 6 hours, 1 day, and 5 days after the treatment, the responses of the patient were normal in the supraorbital innervated area.

Discussion

This patient had the following symptoms: 1) pain in the right superior orbital innervated area; 2) right supraorbital notch tenderness; and 3) effective diagnostic block of the right supraorbital nerve. Hence, this case matched the diagnostic criteria for supraorbital neuralgia outlined in the second version of the International Classification of Headache Disorders. First, the patient in this study exhibited encouraging efficacy after just one treatment of pulsed radiofrequency; second, instead of using fluoroscopic guidance, we employed ultrasound guidance, which not only explicitly revealed the supraorbital notch but also lacked the side effects of X-rays. Without repeated operations, just one treatment of pulsed radiofrequency allowed the patient long-lasting pain relief. In the meanwhile, we found that this patient had a relatively shorter history compared to patients in other reports. However, whether the length of history is an influencing factor of efficacy of pulsed radiofrequency can only be determined by deeper investigations. Also, future studies with larger sample sizes are necessary to validate whether ultrasound-guided pulsed radiofrequency can be a therapeutic option for supraorbital neuralgia.

Most causes of supraorbital neuralgia are idiomatic or trauma-related. In this study, the patient began to experience supraorbital neuralgia on day 3 after a right forehead craniotomy. In 1991, it was reported that some patients developed supraorbital neuralgia after surgery. Some forehead surgical procedures, such as flap and postoperative pressure dressings, may damage the supraorbital nerve, which could also cause supraorbital neuralgia. It is important to promptly and correctly identify the cause of this disease, which is crucial for the provision of targeted therapy as early as possible. During craniotomies, neurosurgeons should employ strategies to protect the supraorbital nerve and prevent supraorbital neuralgia.
The patient in this study exhibited no postoperative side effects of nerve damage, demonstrating that it is safe to employ pulsed radiofrequency to treat supraorbital neuralgia. Vallejo et al proposed that pulsed radiofrequency treatment influences the expression level of many pain-related genes to effectively relieve hyperalgesia, and we previously reported that pulsed radiofrequency reduced neuropathic pain behavior through upregulation of glia cell line-derived neurotrophic factor expression. We speculate that the possible pain control mechanism of pulsed radiofrequency might be the selective inhibition of the pain transduction pathways, and of course, the actual mechanism of pulsed radiofrequency treatment is not clear yet. This is different from traditional radiofrequency nerve stimulation, neurolysis, and nerve ablation. The policy of stepwise ascension in the level of destructiveness when treating this patient with supraorbital neuralgia. Specifically, medication was first attempted, and if it was found ineffective, medication combined with a nerve block treatment was then used. If medication combined with a nerve block treatment was ineffective, nondestructive pulsed radiofrequency was used. If this technique was ineffective, more invasive options would be employed. Fortunately, pulsed radiofrequency generated satisfactory efficacy for the patient and spared her from more invasive procedures such as nerve stimulation, neurolysis, and nerve ablation. The policy of stepwise ascension provided prominent and lasting pain relief at a relatively small cost.

Our results revealed that pulsed radiofrequency is a potentially promising treatment for supraorbital neuralgia. However, it is necessary to perform a quantitative assessment and comparative studies of its efficacy, which require prospective randomized controlled studies.

Conclusion
Pulsed radiofrequency provided prominent and lasting pain relief and produced no apparent complications in a post-craniotomy patient with supraorbital neuralgia who had previously undergone ineffective treatments of medication and nerve block. Our results suggest that pulsed radiofrequency is a nondestructive and minimally invasive treatment involving simple procedures and may be a promising therapeutic technique for the treatment of supraorbital neuralgia. Studies of this treatment deserve the attention of the research community.
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