Comparative evaluation of tomographic and biometric characteristics in bilateral keratoconus patients with unilateral corneal Vogt's striae: a contralateral eye study

Mohamad-Reza Sedaghat¹
Farshad Askarizadeh²
Foroozan Narooie-Noori³
Tahereh Rakhshandadi³
Hadi Ostadi-moghadam²
Sattar Rajabi²

¹Cornea Research Center, Khatam-Al-Anbia Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran; ²Refractive Errors Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran; ³Department of Optometry, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare tomographic and biometric characteristics measured by the corneal tomography and ocular biometry in bilateral keratoconus (KCN) patients with and without corneal Vogt's striae.

Methods: Ninety-two eyes of 46 subjects with a reliable diagnosis of bilateral KCN with unilateral Vogt's striae were enrolled in this cross-sectional contralateral eye study. In addition to refraction (calculated by vectorial analysis) and visual acuity, corneal tomographic measurements were obtained by the Pentacam (Scheimpflug-based anterior segment tomography). Also, ocular biometric characteristics were evaluated using the Ocuscan® RxP (ultrasound biometer). The KCN eyes were categorized into two groups, including eyes with Vogt's striae and eyes without Vogt's striae.

Results: Our results showed significant differences in the sphere, cylinder, spherical equivalent, J0, corrected and uncorrected distance visual acuity, flat, steep and maximum keratometry, anterior chamber depth (ACD), and central corneal thickness (CCT) between the two groups (all $P < 0.001$). The eyes without Vogt's striae had a shorter ACD measured by the Pentacam and biometer. There were no differences in axial length (AL) and vitreous length (VL) between the two groups (all $P > 0.05$). Also, there was poor agreement between the measurements of the Pentacam and ultrasound biometer for ACD in the study groups.

Conclusion: Corneal tomographic and ocular biometric measurements showed significant differences between KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae except for AL and VL. These differences should be noticed in clinical evaluations and treatment of KCN patients.

Keywords: cornea, keratoconus, corneal tomography, ocular biometry, Vogt's striae

Introduction

Keratoconus (KCN) is an asymmetric, bilateral condition which may have a unilateral onset. This non-inflammatory corneal ectasia is progressive and associated with stromal thinning, irregular astigmatism, and apical corneal bulge.^{1,2} There are numerous reports about the prevalence of KCN, ranging from 0.05% to 2.5%.²⁻⁴ KCN impairs vision and affects the vision-related quality of life, which continues to deteriorate over time.^{5,6} With progression of KCN, biomicroscopic signs such as stromal thinning, corneal scars, Fleischer's ring, and Vogt's striae may be seen on slit-lamp biomicroscopy.^{1,7,8} One of the typical biomicroscopic signs of KCN is the Vogt's striae, which may be unilateral or bilateral.^{8,9} The Vogt's striae are fine vertical lines generated by compaction of the Descemet's membrane and deep stroma that are parallel to the axis of the cone and

Correspondence: Farshad Askarizadeh
Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Vakil-abad Street, Mashhad 9177948964, Iran
Tel +98 912 143 9961
Fax +98 215 541 8080
Email asgarifarshad@yahoo.com

vanish when physical compression is applied to the cornea.⁹ The Vogt's striae are also known as dark and light banding patterns and stress lines.^{9,10} Although this condition is defined as vertical lines,⁹ there are some reports of unilateral or bilateral horizontal Vogt's striae in KCN eyes.^{11,12}

The Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of KCN (CLEK) study showed 34% of KCN patients have unilateral Vogt's striae, while the striae are bilateral in 30% of the cases.⁸

A few studies have assessed the associations of Vogt's striae with refractive and corneal alterations in KCN eyes.^{9,13} Hollingsworth and Efron evaluated the characteristics of corneal Vogt's striae in keratoconic eyes using *in vivo* confocal microscopy⁹ and Mocan et al compared refraction and keratometry in KCN patients with and without Vogt's striae.¹³

On the other hand, in novel refractive and cataract procedures [phakic intraocular lens (IOL) implantation, phacoemulsification with IOL implantation], accurate measurement of the axial length (AL) is essential to attain acceptable refractive outcomes. In addition to AL, anterior chamber depth (ACD), lens thickness (LT), vitreous length (VL), and corneal curvature are the most important factors for calculating a precise implantable IOL power.^{14–16} Moreover, the majority of the mentioned components in addition to corneal parameters (corneal thickness and curvature) are valuable for assessment of follow-up and treatment consequences of KCN patients.^{17–19} Different studies about biometric characteristics of KCN eyes have used different measurement devices without considering the Vogt's striae.^{20,21}

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and compare corneal tomographic and ocular biometric measurements using a Scheimpflug-based tomography and a contact ultrasound biometer in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae.

Methods

This cross-sectional comparative-descriptive study was carried out at Sedaghat Eye Clinic, Mashhad, Iran from February to June 2017. All participants in this study were residents of Mashhad with the same ethnicity.

According to the Declaration of Helsinki, written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences.

The sampling was done based on pilot study and cross-sectional nature of the study. Therefore, 92 eyes of 46 subjects with a reliable diagnosis of bilateral KCN with unilateral Vogt's striae were enrolled in this study.

All the participants underwent a comprehensive ophthalmic examination, including a full patient history, uncorrected

distance visual acuity (UDVA), corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), manifest and cycloplegic refraction by autorefractometer (KR-1, Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), irregularity of the retinoscopic reflex with scissoring in both eyes, non-contact computerized tonometry (Topcon Corporation), slit-lamp biomicroscopy, funduscopy, Scheimpflug-based anterior segment tomography (Pentacam HR, Oculus, Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) and placido disc-based corneal topography (TMS4, Tomey, Enlarga, Germany).

All measurements were performed between 04:00 pm and 06:00 pm by one skilled optometrist (FA).

The inclusion criterion for the KCN eyes was a reliable diagnosis of KCN made by an experienced corneal refractive surgeon based on slit-lamp signs, as well as corneal topography/tomography and pachymetry maps. The inclusion criteria for KCN patients were at least one clinical sign on slit lamp biomicroscopy (Vogt's striae, Fleischer's ring, apical thinning, etc.),^{1,8} topographic signs of KCN (skewed asymmetric bow-tie, inferior steepening, etc.),²² tomographic presentations of KCN (abnormal elevation maps, abnormal pachymetry maps, KCN detection by Belin/Ambrosio Enhanced Ectasia Display III, etc.).²³

The exclusion criteria in all the groups were previous eye surgery, history of corneal cross linking, corneal scars, vascularization, inflammation, opacity, history of herpetic keratitis, severe dry eye, contact lens use during the last three weeks before the examination, cataract, glaucoma or glaucoma suspect, intraocular pressure-lowering treatment, pregnancy, lactation, and underlying autoimmune or systemic diseases. Eyes diagnosed as KCN suspect or pellucid marginal degeneration were excluded from the study as well.

Considering the mentioned criteria, we included 46 patients with bilateral KCN but unilateral Vogt's striae in this study. The KCN eyes were categorized into two groups, including KCN eyes with Vogt's striae and KCN eyes without Vogt's striae. Then, the following corneal measurements were recorded using the Pentacam: corneal power (flat, steep and maximum keratometry), ACD, and central corneal thickness (CCT).

The same experienced optometrist obtained all corneal imaging and biometric measurements in a consistent manner based on the manufacturers' instructions and previous studies.^{24–27} Measurements based on quality state and index provided by Pentacam were accepted and erroneous acquisitions were repeated after 5 minutes. The mechanism of the Pentacam has been described in previous studies.²⁴ Although studies have shown acceptable repeatability and reproducibility of the Pentacam imaging for the normal cornea,^{25,26}

it should be considered that Pentacam measurements are variable in patients with KCN.²⁷ Therefore, three measurements were done at 5-minute intervals with each device (the Pentacam and ultrasound biometry) for each patient and mean value of the three measurements was used for statistical analysis. Moreover, the AL, ACD, LT, and VL were measured using the Alcon Ocuscan® RxP (Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA) ultrasound biometer. The Ocuscan® RxP is an ophthalmic contact ultrasound device developed to measure ocular biometry in an easy to use format. This device is reliable in clinical use and we also applied this system for A-scan biometry.²⁸ Biometry was performed at the end of the measurements to avoid its probable influence on the other imaging modalities due to corneal flattening after applanation with the ultrasound probe. The mechanism of this device and its measurement method has been discussed in other studies.²⁸ Before performing A-scan ultrasonography, in order to anesthetize the cornea, one drop of tetracaine 0.5% (Anestocaine; Sina Darou, Iran) was instilled in each eye. Since there was no study to assess the repeatability of ultrasound biometry in the KCN eyes, the measurements were repeated three times (10 results for each measurement) per eye and the mean value of the measurements was recorded. If the SD of a measurement was greater than ± 0.12 mm for AL, the measurement was rejected and the procedure was repeated until the SD was in the range of ± 0.12 mm. If the participants suffered from poor fixation, low visual acuity, and high myopia, which made the results unreliable, the measurements were repeated to achieve acceptable results. To control the effect of accommodation while measuring the lens thickness, the patients were requested to look at a target placed at six meters with their fellow eye. ACD, LT, AL, and VL were noted in each eye.

The manufacturer's representative checked the calibration of the devices before the study and we did not change or adjust the default settings for corneal tomography and ocular biometry.

Power vector analysis was performed to compare refraction between the two study groups. The results of spherocylindrical refraction were converted to vectors expressed by three dioptric powers: M, J0, and J45 [$M=S+(C/2)$, $J0=-C/2\cos(2\alpha)$, $J45=-C/2\sin(2\alpha)$], where M was equal to the spherical equivalent of the given refractive error, and J0 and J45 were the 2 Jackson cross cylinder equivalents to the conventional cylinder. Cycloplegic refraction was recorded in the conventional manner (sphere, cylinder, and axis) and then converted to the coordinates of the power vector as described by Thibos and Horner.²⁹

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and MedCalc Software version 15.8.X86 (MedCalc Software Bvba, Ostend, Belgium). Normal distribution of the parameters was assessed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. A paired sample *t*-test was run to compare the parameters with a normal distribution while a Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare non-parametric parameters. Pearson correlation coefficient was run for correlative analyses. In order to study the agreement between the measurements made by devices, the Bland and Altman method was used. The 95% limits of agreement (LoA) (mean difference ± 1.96 SD [SD]), which defines the range within which most differences between measurements by the two methods will lie, were calculated. *P*-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

We assessed 46 bilateral KCN patients [28 males (60.87%) and 18 females (39.13%)] in this study. The mean age of the participants was 26.61 ± 6.99 years (range: 18–45 years).

There were significant differences in the refractive outcomes between the two groups (all $P < 0.001$) except for J45 ($P = 0.131$). Some basic characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. It should be mentioned that the outcomes of cycloplegic refraction were used in this study.

Contralateral comparison of biometric characteristics (measured by ultrasound biometry) between KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae are presented in Table 2. The results of paired sample *t*-test showed the eyes without Vogt's striae had shorter ACD ($P = 0.001$) and greater LT ($P = 0.010$), but there were no differences in AL ($P = 0.307$) and VL ($P = 0.756$) between the two groups.

The results of the paired sample *t*-test showed that there were significant differences in the corneal tomographic characteristics between two study groups. Comparison of Scheimpflug-based corneal tomographic measurements between KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae are presented in Table 3.

In KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae, investigations of the correlations between tomographic and biometric measurements are shown in Table 4.

It should be mentioned that the Pentacam measures “internal” ACD (aqueous depth from the posterior corneal surface), whereas Ocuscan® RxP evaluates the ACD from the anterior surface of the cornea (external ACD). In order to calculate the external ACD for Pentacam results, we added

Table 1 Contralateral comparison of basic parameters between keratoconus eyes with and without Vogt's striae

Parameter	With Vogt's striae		Without Vogt's striae		Mean difference	P-value
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range		
Sph (D)	-3.28 $\pm$ 2.67	-8.75 to +1.75	-0.98 $\pm$ 1.90	-7.25–1.50	-2.29 $\pm$ 2.68	<0.001 ^a
Cyl (D)	-5.03 $\pm$ 2.10	-8.75 to -1.75	-2.52 $\pm$ 1.90	-8.25–0.00	-2.51 $\pm$ 2.28	<0.001 ^a
SE (D)	-5.97 $\pm$ 3.50	-16.62 to -0.12	-2.24 $\pm$ 2.43	-10.12–0.88	-3.73 $\pm$ 3.37	<0.001 ^a
J0 (D)	1.30 $\pm$ 1.94	-4.00–7.93	0.30 $\pm$ 0.10	-2.07–2.62	0.10 $\pm$ 1.62	<0.001 ^b
J45 (D)	0.32 $\pm$ 1.83	-3.71–3.13	-0.19 $\pm$ 1.12	-3.25–2.62	0.55 $\pm$ 2.62	<0.131 ^a
UDVA (Log MAR)	0.68 $\pm$ 0.45	0.00–1.60	0.41 $\pm$ 0.46	0.00–1.60	0.26 $\pm$ 0.36	<0.001 ^a
CDVA (Log MAR)	0.31 $\pm$ 0.30	0.00–1.00	0.09 $\pm$ 0.11	0.00–0.40	0.23 $\pm$ 0.26	<0.001 ^a

Notes: ^aWilcoxon signed ranks test. ^bPaired-samples t-test. Bold values are significant.

Abbreviations: Sph, sphere; Cyl, cylinder; SE, spherical equivalent; J0, Jackson cross cylinder; axes at 0° and 90°; J45, Jackson cross cylinder; axes at 45° and 135°; UDVA, uncorrected distance visual acuity; CDVA, corrected distance visual acuity; D, diopter; Log MAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

the CCT and ACD values together and then performed the desired statistical analyses.

As shown in Table 5, there was no correlation between the two imaging devices in terms of external ACD in two study groups ($P>0.05$). Also, 95% LoA were determined for better comparison of the degree of agreement between the two methods.

According to Table 5 and Figure 1, there was no reasonable agreement between the measurements of the Pentacam and ultrasound biometer for external ACD in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae.

According to the staging scheme in CLEK study,³⁰ keratoconic eyes were classified into three groups based on keratometry of steepest corneal meridian: mild (<45 diopters [D]), moderate (45–52 D) and severe (>52 D). In selected eyes with Vogt's striae, 2 (4%), 10 (20%), and 38 (76%) of eyes were in mild, moderate, and severe groups, respectively, whereas in KCN eyes without Vogt's striae, 11 (22%), 28 (56%), and 11 (22%) of eyes were in mild, moderate, and severe groups, respectively.

Discussion

In order to evaluate KCN eyes and to achieve the best refractive outcome after refractive (phakic IOL implantation, intracorneal ring implantation) and cataract surgery in these patients, tomographic and biometrics characteristics should

be determined precisely.^{14–19} Meanwhile, determination of ocular biometric characteristics in patients with KCN, as the most common form of corneal ectatic disorders,^{1,2} is necessary to achieve the best post keratoplasty refractive outcomes, and to estimate the treatment sequels and follow-up of these patients.¹⁷

On the other hand, Vogt's striae or stress lines, which may be visualized on slit lamp biomicroscopy, are fine vertical lines in the deep stroma that could be considered an important clinical indicator of KCN.^{7–10,13}

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first contralateral eye study to investigate and compare corneal tomographic and ocular biometric characteristics of bilateral KCN patients with and without Vogt's striae.

Our results revealed that KCN eyes with Vogt's striae had higher values of sphere, spherical equivalent, cylinder, and J0 than those without Vogt's striae. Additionally, UDVA and CDVA were worse in eyes with Vogt's striae than eyes without these lines. Using Scheimpflug-based tomography, we found that flat, steep and maximum keratometry were higher in eyes with Vogt's striae. Also, CCT measurements revealed a thinner cornea in eyes with Vogt's striae. The above-mentioned differences have an essential value in the clinical practice. Indeed, the presence of Vogt's striae in keratoconic eyes seems to be an indicator of significant alterations in refractive and tomographic measurements.

Table 2 Contralateral comparison of biometric characteristics between keratoconus eyes with and without Vogt's striae

Parameter	With Vogt's striae		Without Vogt's striae		Mean difference	P-value ^a
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range		
AL (mm)	23.49 $\pm$ 0.87	21.28–25.29	23.44 $\pm$ 0.89	20.77–25.14	0.05 $\pm$ 0.30	0.307
ACD (mm)	3.70 $\pm$ 0.39	2.84–4.66	3.60 $\pm$ 0.36	2.98–4.57	0.10 $\pm$ 0.20	0.001
VL (mm)	16.14 $\pm$ 0.83	13.94–18.26	16.15 $\pm$ 0.84	13.90–17.92	-0.01 $\pm$ 0.25	0.756
LT (mm)	3.65 $\pm$ 0.26	3.20–4.18	3.69 $\pm$ 0.26	3.18–4.18	-0.04 $\pm$ 0.10	0.010

Notes: ^aPaired-samples t-test. Bold values are significant.

Abbreviations: AL, axial length; ACD, anterior chamber depth; VL, vitreous length; LT, lens thickness.

Table 3 Contralateral comparison of tomographic characteristics between keratoconus eyes with and without Vogt's striae

Parameter	With Vogt's striae		Without Vogt's striae		Mean difference	P-value
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range		
K1 front (D)	48.58 $\pm$ 4.75	42.20–65.70	44.83 $\pm$ 2.40	39.30–51.10	3.74 $\pm$ 4.50	<0.001^a
K2 front (D)	53.14 $\pm$ 5.23	43.80–71.20	47.45 $\pm$ 3.34	40.80–56.30	5.70 $\pm$ 5.52	<0.001^b
K1 back (D)	–7.23 $\pm$ 0.90	–10.20 to –5.70	–6.31 $\pm$ 1.02	–7.60 to –0.40	–0.92 $\pm$ 1.20	<0.001^b
K2 back (D)	–7.63 $\pm$ 3.06	–10.60–11.80	–7.03 $\pm$ 0.65	–8.80 to –5.70	–0.60 $\pm$ 3.14	<0.001^b
Kmax (D)	59.21 $\pm$ 6.07	48.10–77.00	51.40 $\pm$ 5.42	44.10–67.40	7.81 $\pm$ 5.74	<0.001^a
CCT (μ m)	457.20 $\pm$ 3.25	369.00–537.00	487.13 $\pm$ 35.80	409.00–553.00	–29.94 $\pm$ 25.57	<0.001^a
ACD (mm)	3.56 $\pm$ 0.36	2.79–4.47	3.41 $\pm$ 0.40	2.28–4.24	0.15 $\pm$ 0.25	<0.001^a

Notes: All parameters were measured by Pentacam. ^aPaired-samples t-test. ^bWilcoxon signed ranks test. Bold values are significant.

Abbreviations: K1, flat keratometry; K2, steep keratometry; Kmax, maximum keratometry; CCT, central corneal thickness; ACD, anterior chamber depth (internal).

The results of ultrasound biometry showed no difference in AL and VL between KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae. However, ultrasound biometry showed that ACD in KCN eyes with Vogt's striae was 0.1 mm deeper than those without Vogt's striae. According to the literature, the ACD is deeper in KCN eyes in comparison to normal eyes, which may be due to morphological alterations in the cornea as

formerly explained by some authors.² However, our results showed that a deeper ACD can be seen at the presence of Vogt's striae. Considering the effect of preoperative ACD on IOL power calculation,^{31,32} it seems that it is better to use new generation IOL formulas for IOL power calculation in KCN patients with Vogt's striae. Furthermore, LT was different between KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae and was

Table 4 Correlation coefficients of refractive, tomographic, and biometric characteristics of keratoconus eyes with and without Vogt's striae

Parameter	AL ^a (mm)		ACD ^a (mm)		VL ^a (mm)		LT ^a (mm)	
	P-value ^c	r	P-value ^c	r	P-value ^c	r	P-value ^c	r
AL ^a (mm)								
With Vogt's striae	–	–	0.019	0.345	<0.001	0.928	0.333	–0.146
Without Vogt's striae	–	–	0.002	0.436	<0.001	0.935	0.197	–0.194
ACD ^a (mm)								
With Vogt's striae	–	–	–	–	0.636	0.072	<0.001	–0.506
Without Vogt's striae	–	–	–	–	0.081	–0.260	<0.001	–0.582
VL ^a (mm)								
With Vogt's striae	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.136	–0.224
Without Vogt's striae	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.081	–0.260
CCT ^b (μ m)								
With Vogt's striae	0.450	0.114	0.692	0.060	0.461	0.111	0.739	–0.050
Without Vogt's striae	0.953	0.009	0.723	–0.054	0.643	0.070	0.718	–0.055
K1 ^b (D)								
With Vogt's striae	0.062	–0.280	0.110	0.239	0.010	–0.376	0.944	–0.011
Without Vogt's striae	0.051	–0.291	0.811	0.036	0.055	–0.285	0.821	0.034
K2 ^b (D)								
With Vogt's striae	0.155	–0.213	0.368	0.136	0.053	–0.288	0.619	0.075
Without Vogt's striae	0.250	–0.173	0.520	–0.097	0.342	–0.143	0.546	0.091
Kmax ^b (D)								
With Vogt's striae	0.214	–0.187	0.083	0.259	0.116	–0.235	0.193	–0.195
Without Vogt's striae	0.479	–0.107	0.873	–0.024	0.446	–0.115	0.552	0.090
SE (D)								
With Vogt's striae	0.302	–0.156	0.120	–0.232	0.497	–0.103	0.303	0.156
Without Vogt's striae	0.941	0.011	0.497	0.103	0.497	–0.103	0.452	–0.114

Notes: ^aMeasured by ultrasound biometer. ^bMeasured by Pentacam. ^cPearson correlation coefficient analysis. Bold values are significant.

Abbreviations: AL, axial length; ACD, anterior chamber depth; VL, vitreous length; LT, lens thickness; CCT, central corneal thickness; K1, flat keratometry; K2, steep keratometry; Kmax, maximum keratometry; SE, spherical equivalent.

Table 5 Pentacam and ultrasound biometer measurements for anterior chamber depth of keratoconus eyes with and without Vogt's striae

Parameter Device	Pentacam	Ultrasound	Difference		Correlation		95% LoA	
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Δ	P-value ^a	r	P-value	Lower	Upper
ACD (mm)								
With Vogt's striae	4.01 $\pm$ 0.36	3.70 $\pm$ 0.39	-0.31 $\pm$ 0.53	0.0002	-0.005	0.974	-0.72	1.35
Without Vogt's striae	3.90 $\pm$ 0.39	3.60 $\pm$ 0.36	-0.30 $\pm$ 0.53	0.0004	0.006	0.968	-0.74	1.33

Notes: ^aPaired-samples t-test. Bold values are significant.

Abbreviations: ACD, anterior chamber depth; Δ , mean difference; r, Pearson correlation coefficient; LoA, limit of agreement.

Figure 1 Bland-Altman plots of the ACD measured by the Pentacam against ultrasound biometer in KCN eyes with Vogt's striae (top) and without Vogt's striae (bottom). The middle line in each figure is the mean difference of the values and the lines on the sides represent the upper and lower 95% LoA (mean difference $\pm$ 1.96 SD).

Abbreviations: ACD, anterior chamber depth; KCN, keratoconus; LoA, limits of agreement.

thicker in KCN eyes with Vogt's striae. This difference was only statistically significant (0.04 mm, $P=0.010$) and further studies are needed to assess the clinical importance of it.

We studied possible correlations between biometric results in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae. We found an intermediate correlation between AL and ACD in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae. Moreover, AL had a strong correlation with VL in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae. Also, CCT, steep keratometry, maximum keratometry, and spherical equivalent were not correlated with AL, ACD, LT, and VL in either study group. Meanwhile, there was a poor correlation between flat keratometry and VL in KCN eyes with Vogt's striae. What is certain is that the interpretation of the relationships between different ocular components is a very complex issue and is not the main focus of our study.

Moreover, we evaluated the agreement between the Pentacam and ultrasound biometer for measurement of ACD but failed to find a reasonable agreement between them in the study groups. Also, the results of the present study showed a wide LoA for ACD measurements on Pentacam and ultrasound biometer in either study group. To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the agreement among ACD measurements in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae using Pentacam and ultrasound biometer. It can be concluded that one should be cautious interpreting ACD values obtained from Pentacam and ultrasound biometer in eyes with KCN.

Mocan et al found an association between Vogt's striae with refraction and corneal topography in KCN. They reported significant differences in the refractive components and steepest keratometry between patients with and without corneal Vogt's striae.¹³ Our results are consistent with their findings, but it should be borne in mind that Mocan et al assessed refraction and corneal curvature and did not assess corneal tomography and ocular biometry, while we assessed clinical, tomographic, and ocular biometric parameters in a contralateral eye study in KCN patients with and without Vogt's striae. Also, they did not use a power vector to analyze the refractive astigmatism.

In another study, Hollingsworth and Efron studied the correlation between the arrangement of the Vogt's striae in the stroma and the steepest corneal curvature of the cornea using corneal topography.⁹ They did not compare the clinical and subclinical findings between KCN patients with and without Vogt's striae.

It is noteworthy that no study has evaluated and compared tomographic and biometric characteristics of KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae; hence, we are unable to

compare the results of the present study with other published articles. However, a large body of literature has described the differences between KCN and normal eyes.

Although our study is remarkable for complete assessment and comparison of tomographic and biometric characteristics in KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae, performing this study without using *in vivo* confocal microscopy should be noted as a limitation. Another limitation that can be mentioned for the present study is that considering the study design and asymmetric nature of KCN, the majority of the selected eyes with Vogt's striae had severe KCN.

Although this contralateral eye study has substantial advantages, we had a limitation for matching KCN severity between two study groups. The same limitation was reported by Mocan et al in their study.¹³ Based on steepest keratometry values, 22% of KCN eyes without Vogt's striae had severe KCN in the current study. Interestingly, we found that KCN eyes with clinical evidence of Vogt's striae had worse corneal tomographic findings. Classification of KCN severity only based on keratometry values may seem rational but this staging scheme may lead us astray from a clinical point of view. The results of the present study cast doubt on the validity of keratometry-based classification of KCN severity. Therefore, it is recommended to design a study to compare clinical characteristics of KCN eyes with and without Vogt's striae in patients who have similar steep keratometry values.

Conclusion

In summary, this comparative-descriptive study of keratoconic eyes with and without Vogt's striae appear to suggest that in ophthalmic evaluation, attention should be paid to the clinical characteristics of KCN eyes with Vogt's striae. In this case of evidence-based medicine, the results showed the association of Vogt's striae with KCN severity. Vogt's striae have been reported to be an indicator of KCN⁷ but our results showed different clinical manifestations in eyes with and without Vogt's striae. Moreover, Pentacam and ultrasound biometry could not be used interchangeably for ACD measurement in KCN eyes. This important clinical finding should be considered in phakic IOL implantation to improve the visual outcomes of KCN eyes.^{18,19} It is critical to note that the present study did not aim to show the causal relationship between Vogt's striae and clinical characteristics of KCN eyes, but to explain the association of the stromal Vogt's striae with tomographic and biometric alterations in KCN eyes. The results of our study can be used in clinical assessment, monitoring, and treatment of KCN patients with and without Vogt's striae. In conclusion, we found that the

presence of Vogt's striae is associated with deterioration in refractive, tomographic, and biometric findings.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank all the willing participants in this study, without whose involvement this research would not have been possible.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Rabinowitz YS, Katoconus RYS. Katoconus. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 1998;42(4):297–319.
- Romero-Jiménez M, Santodomingo-Rubido J, Wolffsohn JS. Katoconus: a review. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye*. 2010;33(4):157–166.
- Hashemi H, Beiranvand A, Khabazkhoob M, et al. Prevalence of katoconus in a population-based study in Shahrud. *Cornea*. 2013;32(11):1441–1445.
- Jonas JB, Nangia V, Matin A, Kulkarni M, Bhojwani K. Prevalence and associations of katoconus in rural maharashtra in central India: the central India eye and medical study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2009;148(5):760–765.
- Aydin Kurna S, Altun A, Gencaga T, Akkaya S, Sengor T. Vision related quality of life in patients with katoconus. *J Ophthalmol*. 2014;2014(4):1–7.
- Kymes SM, Walline JJ, Zadnik K, Sterling J, Gordon MO; Collaborative longitudinal evaluation of katoconus study group. Changes in the quality-of-life of people with katoconus. *American J Ophthalmol*. 2008;145(4):611–617.
- Grieve K, Ghoubay D, Georgeon C, et al. Stromal striae: a new insight into corneal physiology and mechanics. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):13584.
- Zadnik K, Barr JT, Edrington TB, et al. Baseline findings in the Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Katoconus (CLEK) study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1998;39(13):2537–2546.
- Hollingsworth JG, Efron N. Observations of banding patterns (Vogt striae) in katoconus: a confocal microscopy study. *Cornea*. 2005;24(2):162–166.
- Somodi S, Hahnel C, Slowik C, Richter A, Weiss DG, Guthoff R. Confocal in vivo microscopy and confocal laser-scanning fluorescence microscopy in katoconus. *Ger J Ophthalmol*. 1996;5(6):518–525.
- Chung SH, Kim EK. Katoconus with unilateral horizontal stress lines. *Cornea*. 2005;24(7):890.
- Güngör IU, Beden U, Sönmez B. Bilateral horizontal Vogt's striae in katoconus. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2008;2(3):653–655.
- Mocan MC, Yilmaz PT, Irkeç M, Orhan M. The significance of Vogt's striae in katoconus as evaluated by in vivo confocal microscopy. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2008;36(4):329–334.
- Olsen T. Calculation of intraocular lens power: a review. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand*. 2007;85(5):472–485.
- Bozorg S, Pineda R. Cataract and katoconus: minimizing complications in intraocular lens calculations. *Semin Ophthalmol*. 2014;29(5–6):376–379.
- Guerra MG, Silva AMM, Marques SHM, et al. Phakic intraocular lens implantation: refractive outcome and safety in patients with anterior chamber depth between 2.8 and 3.0 versus ≥ 3.0 mm. *Ophthalmic Res*. 2017;57(4):239–246.
- Ernst BJ, Hsu HY. Katoconus association with axial myopia: a prospective biometric study. *Eye Contact Lens*. 2011;37(1):2–5.
- Esteve-Taboada JJ, Domínguez-Vicent A, Ferrer-Blasco T, Alfonso JF, Montés-Micó R. Posterior chamber phakic intraocular lenses to improve visual outcomes in katoconus patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2017;43(1):115–130.
- Kato N, Toda I, Hori-Komai Y, Sakai C, Arai H, Tsubota K. Phakic intraocular lens for katoconus. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(3):605.e2–605.e2.
- Cinar Y, Cingü AK, Sahin M, et al. Comparison of optical versus ultrasonic biometry in katoconic eyes. *J Ophthalmol*. 2013;2013:481238.
- Aurich H, Pham DT, Wirbelauer C. Biometric evaluation of katoconic eyes with slit lamp-adapted optical coherence tomography. *Cornea*. 2011;30(1):56–59.
- Matalia H, Swarup R. Imaging modalities in katoconus. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2013;61(8):394–400.
- Belin MW, Ambrósio R. Scheimpflug imaging for katoconus and ectatic disease. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2013;61(8):401–406.
- Oliveira CM, Ribeiro C, Franco S. Corneal imaging with slit-scanning and Scheimpflug imaging techniques. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2011;94(1):33–42.
- Lackner B, Schmidinger G, Pieh S, Funovics MA, Skorpik C. Repeatability and reproducibility of central corneal thickness measurement with Pentacam, Orbscan, and ultrasound. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2005;82(10):892–899.
- Mcalinden C, Khadka J, Pesudovs K. A comprehensive evaluation of the precision (repeatability and reproducibility) of the Oculus Pentacam HR. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52(10):7731–7737.
- Vianna LM, Muñoz B, Hwang FS, Gupta A, Jun AS. Variability in Oculus Pentacam tomographer measurements in patients with katoconus. *Cornea*. 2015;34(3):285–289.
- Sohajda Z, Papp J, Berta A, Módis L. The comparative study of two recently developed A-scan devices: determination of central corneal thickness, anterior chamber depth and axial length. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2008;86(1):45–48.
- Thibos LN, Horner D. Power vector analysis of the optical outcome of refractive surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2001;27(1):80–85.
- Zadnik K, Barr JT, Gordon MO, Edrington TB. Biomicroscopic signs and disease severity in katoconus. Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Katoconus (CLEK) Study Group. *Cornea*. 1996;15(2):139–146.
- Mirafteb M, Hashemi H, Fotouhi A, Khabazkhoob M, Rezvan F, Asgari S. Effect of anterior chamber depth on the choice of intraocular lens calculation formula in patients with normal axial length. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol*. 2014;21(4):307–311.
- Jeong J, Song H, Lee JK, Chuck RS, Kwon JW. The effect of ocular biometric factors on the accuracy of various IOL power calculation formulas. *BMC Ophthalmol*. 2017;17(1):62.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.