Decreased expression of COLEC10 predicts poor overall survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma
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Purpose: Collectin subfamily member 10 (COLEC10) encodes for collectin liver 1 (CL-L1), which is highly expressed in normal liver. Nevertheless, the association between COLEC10 and the prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remains unclear. To address this question, the prognostic value of COLEC10 expression in HCC was explored in this study.

Patients and methods: Data from The Cancer Genome Atlas were used to compared transcriptional levels of COLEC10 in HCC samples and samples from healthy controls. In addition, COLEC10 mRNA and protein expression levels were analyzed in HCC tissue samples from Chinese patients and matched adjacent nontumorous tissue samples, by quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemistry, respectively. The prognostic value of COLEC10 was further examined using the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis online tool.

Results: Both the mRNA and protein levels of COLEC10 were found to be downregulated in HCC tissues compared with normal controls. Survival analysis indicated that decreased mRNA and protein levels of COLEC10 were related with shorter overall survival in patients with HCC. In addition, univariate and multivariate analysis demonstrated that COLEC10 is an independent prognostic factor for overall survival of HCC patients.

Conclusion: Together, the results suggest that decreased expression of COLEC10 may predict poor overall survival in patients with HCC.
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Introduction

There were around 782,500 newly diagnosed liver cancer cases and 745,500 deaths from this disease globally during 2012, with the astonishing fact that half of the new cases and deaths occurred in China.1 Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most common pathological type of liver cancer, has high rates of recurrence and metastasis.2 Therefore, effective methods for the early detection and prognosis of HCC are important. Biological markers for HCC are not yet sufficiently effective in the areas of screening, early diagnosis, and therapeutic evaluation. There is therefore an urgent need to identify and establish new biomarkers for HCC.

Collectin subfamily member 10 (COLEC10) encodes for collectin liver 1 (CL-L1), which belongs to the C-lectin family. The lectins participate in complement activation by neutralizing or agglutinating the microorganisms.3 Like most C-lectins, CL-L1 possesses classic collagen-like domains and carbohydrate recognition sequences. However, CL-L1 is distinguished from other family members by its unique chromosomal and
COLEC10 is found on chromosome 8q, rather than chromosome 10q, where most human collectins are located. Moreover, previous studies have shown that CL-L1 is localized in the cytoplasm, whereas most collectins are secreted proteins. These characteristics indicate that COLEC10 may be a special collectin and function differently from other family members. In 2013, a complex consisting of collectin kidney 1 (CL-11) and CL-L1 was found to bind to mannose-associated serine proteases (MASPs) and promote the complement cascade. Subsequent reports revealed that serum levels of CL-L1 were increased during head-down tilted bed rest and acute liver failure. By contrast, in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and in critically ill children, serum levels of CL-L1 were lower than in healthy controls. Recently, mutation of COLEC10 has been found in 3MC syndrome patients, leading to craniofacial dysmorphism in children, indicating that CL-L1 may have functions beyond stimulating complement cascade.

In this study, we characterized the expression of COLEC10 in HCC by analyzing the data from the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) database and via immunohistochemistry (IHC). Further, we evaluated the prognostic function of COLEC10 in HCC patients. The results showed that COLEC10 may be a promising biomarker for the prognosis in HCC.

Methods

The Cancer Genome Atlas profiling data sets

The GEPIA online tool (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/detail.php?gene=&clicktag=survival#iframe) was used to produce a box plot of COLEC10 transcriptional expression. The gene name was set as “COLEC10,” and “liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC)” datasets was then selected. The P-value cut-off was set as 0.01. The result was normalized and log2-scaled using GEPIA’s online analysis tool.

In addition, HCC data sets from 434 patients in The GEPIA database (http://cancergenome.nih.gov) were used to explore the relationship between COLEC10 expression and survival time in HCC patients. Kaplan–Meier survival plots were obtained using the GEPIA online tool.

Patients and tissues

Eighty-four pairs of HCC tumor samples and nontumor samples were collected at Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, People’s Republic of China, from 2003 to 2009, for use in quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis. Also obtained from Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center were tissue microarrays containing 136 pairs of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded HCC samples and nontumor counterparts from adjacent tissues. The tissue microarrays had been constructed as described previously. All patients were definitively diagnosed with HCC by 2 independent pathologists, and none of them had received preoperative treatments before surgery. In this study, no patients received preoperative treatments before surgery. Complete clinicopathologic data and follow-up information were gathered from the medical records of every patient.

Ethics statement

The research was approved by the institutional review board of Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, and written informed consent was obtained from each patient involved in the study. All the procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the approved guidelines and the principles expressed in the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, which was revised in 2008.

Cell lines and culture conditions

Eight HCC cell lines (BEL7402, Hep3B, H2P, H2M, PLC8024, QSG7701, QGY7703, and SMMC7721) and 1 immortalized liver cell line (LO2) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium with 10% newborn calf serum (Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA). All cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified chamber containing 5% CO2.

qRT-PCR

Fresh HCC and adjacent nontumor samples were stored at −80°C after surgery. Total RNA was extracted from the samples using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then reverse-transcribed using a rapid RT-PCR Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, People’s Republic of China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was carried out to determine the transcriptional expression of COLEC10 using a SYBR Green PCR Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Risch-Rotkreuz, Switzerland) and Light-Cycler480 384-well PCR system (Roche Diagnostics). β-actin was used as a control. The primers for COLEC10 and β-actin are as follows:

```
COLEC10 forward primer: 5'-TTGCTCGGCTCAAGA CATCTAT-3'
COLEC10 reverse primer: 5'-GAACACCCGAAAG AAGCCAC-3'
```

β-actin was used as a control. The primers for COLEC10 and β-actin are as follows:

```
COLEC10 forward primer: 5'-TTGCTCGGCTCAAGA CATCTAT-3'
COLEC10 reverse primer: 5'-GAACACCCGAAAG AAGCCAC-3'
```
β-actin forward primer: 5’-TGGCACCAGCACAATGAA-3’
β-actin reverse primer: 5’-CTAAGTCATAGTCGCTAGAAGCA-3’

**Western blot analysis**
Western blot was carried out in line with the standard protocol using anti-CL-L1 antibody (1:1,000; Novus, St. Louis, MO, USA), Catalog: H00010584-M01 and anti-β-actin antibody (1:2,000; CST, Danvers, MA, USA, Catalog: #3700).

**IHC staining**
IHC staining was carried out as described previously. The microarray slides were incubated with primary polyclonal antibodies against CL-L1 antibody (1:100; Novus). The avidin–biotin–peroxidase method was used to visualize immunoreactivity and hematoxylin was used as the counter-stain. A semiquantitative scoring system was used to score the immunostained slides as described previously. Based on receiver operating characteristic curves analyses, the optimum cutoff value for CL-L1 was 6, so a staining score of 6 or less was classified as low expression, and a score of >6 was designated as high expression.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Paired Student’s t-test was used in comparisons of COLEC10 mRNA levels between HCC tissue samples and their paired nontumor counterparts. The χ² test or Fisher’s exact test was used to evaluate correlations between CL-L1 expression and clinicopathological parameters. Differences were considered significant when the P-value was <0.05.

**Results**
**COLEC10 expression was lower in HCC**
The transcription levels of COLEC10 in 369 HCC tissues and 160 normal liver samples were analyzed using the GEPIA database and its online analysis tool. The box plot indicated that COLEC10 was significantly downregulated in HCC compared to normal controls (P<0.01, Figure 1A). To validate this result, qRT-PCR was conducted to measure the COLEC10 mRNA levels in 84 HCC samples and paired nontumor counterparts. Downregulation of COLEC10 was detected in 60/84 (71.4%) of HCC tissue samples when compared with their matched nontumor tissues (defined as a twofold decrease). The average transcriptional expression of COLEC10 in HCC was significantly lower compared with that in the nontumor tissue (2.29 versus 0.63, respectively, P<0.0001, paired Student’s t-test; Figure 1B). The protein levels of CL-L1 were investigated using Western blot analysis in 8 HCC cell lines and 1 immortalized liver cell line (LO2). CL-L1 was detected in small amounts in the Huh7 and QSG7701 cell lines and was barely detected in the other HCC cell lines, whereas it was detected strongly in the LO2 cell line (Figure 1C).

Tissue microarrays containing 136 pairs of HCC tissue samples and nontumor tissue sample counterparts were examined for CL-L1 expression by IHC. The protein was observed to be localized in the cytoplasm of normal liver cells and HCC cells (Figure 1D). Compared with normal liver tissue, HCC tissue showed weaker staining for CL-L1 by varying degrees (Figure 1D). Lower CL-L1 expression was detected in 97 of 136 (71.3%) HCC tissue samples compared with the matched nontumor tissue samples.

**Correlations between CL-L1 expression and clinicopathological features**
IHC staining was carried out to explore the potential prognostic value of CL-L1 expression in HCC. One hundred thirty-six immunostained HCC tissue samples were classified into 2 groups: high expression (score >6, n=56) and low expression (score ≤6, n=80). Table 1 shows the correlations between CL-L1 expression and clinicopathological parameters. As shown in Table 1, this study consisted of 56 patients aged <50 years and 80 patients aged ≥50 years (P=0.113). Decreased CL-L1 expression was significantly associated with vascular invasion (P=0.013) and surrounding invasion (P=0.003). These results indicate that there may be a negative correlation between CL-L1 expression and HCC progression.

**Prognostic value of COLEC10 in HCC**
Kaplan–Meier analysis indicated that decreased CL-L1 expression was clearly correlated with poorer survival outcomes (log-rank test, P=0.006, Figure 2A). The 3, 5, and 8-year survival rates in the low-expression group were 41.3%, 7.5%, and 0%, respectively, compared with 62.5%, 37.5%, and 1.7%, respectively, in the high-expression group (log-rank test, P<0.001). Univariate analysis showed that hepatitis B virus surface antigen (P=0.032), α-fetoprotein (P=0.008), tumor number (P=0.001), vascular invasion (P<0.001) and decreased COLEC10 expression (P=0.007) were significant predictors of overall survival in HCC (Table 2). Multivariate analysis indicated that tumor number (P=0.037), vascular invasion (P<0.001), and decreased COLEC10 expression (P=0.001) were independent predictive factors for poor outcomes in HCC patients (Table 2).
The prognostic role of \textit{COLEC10} in HCC was then investigated using an online tool (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/detail.php). Survival curves were plotted for 434 HCC patients. Patients with high \textit{COLEC10} mRNA levels were found to have longer overall survival compared with those with low \textit{COLEC10} mRNA levels ($P=0.016$, hazard ratio $=0.64$, Figure 2B).

**Discussion and conclusion**

Collectins act as sensors for the innate immunity and trigger complement cascade by binding to particular ligands on microorganisms or altered mammalian cells.\textsuperscript{14} Although most collectins exist as circulating proteins produced by hepatocytes, the product of the \textit{COLEC10}, CL-L1, has recently been reported as a cytoplasmic protein present in hepatocytes. \textit{COLEC10} is located on chromosome 8q rather than on chromosome 10q as with other human collectin genes.\textsuperscript{15} These findings suggest CL-L1 may exhibit different features and functions from the other collectins.

During the last decade, CL-L1 has been observed to have similarities to collectin 11 (CL-11) in terms of genomic organization and protein structure. First, there is a high degree of similarity between the transcripts of \textit{COLEC10} and \textit{COLEC11}: 6 exons of \textit{COLEC11} have similar arrangements to those of \textit{COLEC10} and encode similar sequences. Second, CL-L1 and CL-11 both structurally have a N-terminal, a collagenous region, a neck region, and a carbohydrate recognition domain of 125 amino acids.\textsuperscript{16} Third, mutations in
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COLEC11 and COLEC10 were successively identified as the causes of 3MC syndrome. A heteromeric complex formed by CL-L1 and CL-11 has been shown to mediate complement activation by interacting with MASPs. Originally it was believed that CL-11 was a secreted protein, whereas CL-L1 was cytoplasmic. In 2013, researchers found CL-L1 was copurified with CL-11 from blood circulation. This provided strong evidence that CL-L1 can also be secreted as soluble protein in the plasma. The highest expression of COLEC10 mRNA was observed in hepatocytes. In our study, CL-L1 was observed in the cytoplasm but not the extracellular space around normal hepatocytes and HCC cells. In addition, CL-L1 staining was stronger in normal liver tissue compared with HCC samples. The question of whether decreased COLEC10 expression is a driver factor or concomitant phenomenon in the development of HCC remains to be answered.

CL-11 and CL-L1 both take part in the activation of complement system. However, their carbohydrate recognition domain displays different affinities for saccharides: CL-11 tends to bind to L-fucose and D-mannose, whereas CL-L1 interacts with D-fucose, N-acetylglucosamine and sometimes D-galactose. This indicates the collectins may participate in response to different infectious diseases.

Polymorphisms in COLEC11 and COLEC10 are related to 3MC syndrome, a developmental disorder characterized by facial deformity, cognitive dysfunction, hearing loss, and vesicorenal abnormality. COLEC11 was found to be strongly expressed in embryonic murine craniofacial cartilages and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>COLEC10 expression</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low level (%)</td>
<td>High level (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>38 (67.9)</td>
<td>18 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>43 (53.8)</td>
<td>37 (46.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>78 (60.5)</td>
<td>51 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>8 (47.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>71 (59.7)</td>
<td>48 (40.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP (ng/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23 (48.9)</td>
<td>24 (51.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>58 (65.2)</td>
<td>31 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12 (50.0)</td>
<td>12 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>68 (60.7)</td>
<td>44 (39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>61 (57.5)</td>
<td>45 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19 (63.3)</td>
<td>11 (36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor encapsulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>53 (55.8)</td>
<td>42 (44.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27 (65.9)</td>
<td>14 (34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>62 (53.9)</td>
<td>53 (46.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16 (84.2)</td>
<td>3 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrounding invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>55 (51.9)</td>
<td>51 (48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
<td>5 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>46 (56.8)</td>
<td>35 (43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>34 (61.8)</td>
<td>21 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Statistical significance (P<0.05) is shown in bold.
Abbreviations: AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; Colec10, collectin subfamily member 10; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

Figure 2 (A) Kaplan–Meier analysis of the relationship between overall survival and CL-L1 expression, (B) the prognostic effect of the COLEC10 mRNA level in HCC from GEPIA database.

Notes: (high): Samples with expression level higher than the median of TPM (transcripts of per million) are considered as the high-expression cohort. (low): Samples with expression level lower than the median of TPM are considered the low-expression cohort.
Abbreviations: COLEC10, collectin subfamily member 10; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; TPM, transcripts per million.
to guide neural crest cell movement.\textsuperscript{19} Similarly, \textit{COLEC10} was shown to be expressed in craniofacial tissues during development and to serve as a cellular chemoattractant to regulate cell migration.\textsuperscript{18} These results imply that CL-L1 has similar functions to CL-11 not only in immune system but also in embryogenesis.

In conclusion, this is the first study to examine the expression of \textit{COLEC10} in HCC. Our findings showed that \textit{COLEC10} is downregulated in HCC. We also found that the low expression of CL-L1 was significantly associated with vascular invasion and surrounding invasion of HCC patients. \textit{COLEC10} was identified as a new potential biomarker, the low expression of which predicts worse outcomes in patients with HCC. Thus, \textit{COLEC10} may serve as a novel predictive marker for the prognosis of patients with HCC.
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