Introduction
The scientific study of the role of cannabis in pain medicine still lags far behind the growing use driven by public approval. Accumulated clinical experience is therefore an important source of knowledge. However, no study to date has targeted physicians who actually use cannabis in their daily practice.

Methods: Registered, active, board-certified pain specialists in Israel (n=79) were asked to complete a Web-based survey. The survey was developed using the Qualtrics Online Survey Software. Questions were formulated as multiple-choice questions, and these addressed three areas of interest: 1) doctors’ personal experience; 2) the role of cannabis in pain medicine; and 3) cannabis medicalization and legalization.

Results: Sixty-four percent of all practicing pain specialists in Israel responded. Almost all prescribe cannabis. Among them, 63% find cannabis moderately to highly effective, 56% have encountered mild or no side effects, and only 5% perceive it as significantly harmful. Common indications are neuropathic pain (65%), oncological pain (30%), arthralgias (25%), and any intractable pain (29%). Leading contraindications are schizophrenia (76%), pregnancy/breastfeeding (65%), and age <18 years (59%). Only 12% rated cannabis as more hazardous than opiates. On a personal note, 45% prefer cannabis for themselves or a family member. Lastly, 54% would like to see cannabis legalized in Israel.

Conclusion: In this survey, pain clinicians experienced in prescribing cannabis over prolonged periods view it as an effective and relatively safe treatment for chronic pain, based on their own experience. Their responses suggest a possible change of paradigm from using cannabis as the last resort.

Keywords: cannabis, pain, survey
rigorous and widespread scientific research still lags behind clinical developments. This situation precludes establishing evidence-based professional guidelines and may in turn lead to much confusion among clinicians regarding basic practical issues related to MC. The accumulated clinical experience of physicians using cannabis in their daily practice is therefore of paramount importance. Only a few studies thus far have examined the attitude, beliefs, and knowledge of specialist physicians toward the clinical application of cannabis. Two older studies have explored the attitudes of US oncologists regarding the theoretical use of cannabis as an antiemetic, with only a minority supporting rescheduling of cannabis for this purpose and availability of cannabis on prescription.

More recent studies attempted to address physician attitudes toward the general equations of medical use and legalization of cannabis or physicians’ educational gaps in the field. Of note, none of these studies has targeted physicians with actual experience in the clinical use of cannabis nor addressed practical questions relating to their accumulated experience.

Israel is one of the pioneers and world leaders in the study and application of cannabis for medical purposes. In accordance with the 1961 UN Convention on Narcotic Drugs, cannabis is still defined as a “dangerous substance” under Israeli law. However, in 2004, the Israeli Ministry of Health began issuing permits for compassionate use of cannabis in selected patients. Due to increased demand, the Ministry of Health formed a dedicated in-house government agency in 2011, the Medical Cannabis Unit (MCU), which authorizes and regulates cannabis growth, cultivation, distribution, medical use, and scientific research. In practice, a physician with a designated specialty relevant to the particular case at hand submits a licensure application to the MCU. If approved, the individual patient receives a prespecified monthly amount of cannabis (either as plant flowers or as cannabis oil) for 6–12 months. Follow-up appointments and scheduled renewals are mandatory. Of note, the prescribing physicians do not specify the exact composition of cannabis dispensed to the patient. These are determined by trial and error by the dispensing authority. This process is currently being revised with the formation of distinct preapproved strains, pharmacological grade analyses for growers, and so on.

Out of >30,000 licensed patients, the most common indication for MC use in Israel is chronic intractable pain, with figures steadily increasing. Accordingly, a considerable number of pain specialists in Israel have gained substantial, long-lasting experience following and monitoring patients on MC. Nevertheless, only two small surveys to date have evaluated Israeli physicians’ attitudes toward MC. In one study, 72 practitioners comprising a heterogeneous group with very limited experience with cannabis have voiced partial acceptance of MC for therapeutic use with concern for mental health implications. However, as mentioned, the overwhelming majority of their responders had no direct personal experience with cannabis at all as clinicians. The other study consisted of a very small sample of rheumatologists who supported some potential role for cannabinoids in the management of rheumatoid disease. It is therefore intriguing to examine the attitudes, beliefs, and personal experience of pain specialists in Israel regarding the medicinal use of cannabis, as they represent one of the largest potential reservoirs of clinicians who see and manage cannabis patients in their daily practice.

Materials and methods

In April–May 2017, all registered, active, board-certified pain specialists in Israel (n=79) were invited by email to complete a Web-based survey. The email included a short explanation about the survey, its structure, and the intended publication of the data. Therefore, completing and returning the survey was considered as informed consent.

Of note, a major limitation is the fact that after deliberating over the issue, we decided not to collect personal or demographic details regarding study participants. The community of pain specialists in Israel is a very small one and it would have been possible in principle to identify responders even based on basic demographic variables. Therefore, we have decided, despite the clear added value, to avoid collecting such data. Review and approval by an institutional review board (IRB) was not deemed necessary due to the following considerations: 1) it was a Web-based study targeting a healthy population, with no questions regarding the individual’s personal medical condition, no direct measurement of biological properties, and not involving any medical interventions; 2) responses were completely anonymized, and no personal data regarding the responders were collected in any data base.

The survey was developed using the Qualtrics Online Survey Software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT, USA). Server space and access to the Qualtrics application was provided by the Center for Brain Functions, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, as well as by Tel Aviv University. Questions were formulated as multiple-choice questions.

The survey consisted of 18 questions relating to three major areas of interest:

1. Doctors’ personal experience with patients under their care
   • The number of new cannabis permit requests issued per year
- Observed treatment efficacy and safety
- Whether they feel they received adequate education on MC during their fellowship training

2. Views and beliefs regarding the role of cannabis in pain medicine
- The most suitable indications and contraindications, as well as estimated rates of addiction in pain patients
- A comparison of MC and opiates in terms of potential hazard, which should be attempted first, and their personal preference if they were patients themselves.

3. Personal view regarding cannabis medicalization and legalization
- The need for a specialized government agency for MC approval and their view regarding its current professional framework
- Their view regarding legalizing the recreational use of cannabis

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). For all questions but two (Item numbers 1 and 2 in Section 2), we calculated the percentage of detectors that selected a certain answer out of the total pool of responders. For Questions 1 and 2 in Section 2, we calculated the percentage of “yes” responders for each item. We used the chi-square or independent samples t-test to examine our hypotheses.

Results
Fifty pain specialists responded to the survey, which represents 64% of all practicing pain specialists in Israel.

Doctors’ personal experience
Most responders (95%) prescribe cannabis in their pain practice: more than a half (54%) request cannabis for >20 patients per year, and a third issue >50 requests per year. Only 5% of specialists never prescribe cannabis for chronic pain (Figure 1A). Nearly two-thirds of responders (63%) find cannabis to be moderately to highly effective in treating patients with intractable chronic pain. An additional 20% find it marginally effective, and only 5% find it ineffective (Figure 1B). In terms of general safety, only three responders
(7%) find cannabis marginally harmful; two (5%) perceive it as significantly harmful.

In terms of side effects, 25 responders (56%) state that they have encountered only mild or no side effects, and an additional 16 (37%) report observing serious adverse effects in few patients (Figure 1C).

Of note, 81% of pain clinicians feel that they have not received adequate education regarding the medical use of cannabis during their specialty training (Figure 1D).

**Views and beliefs regarding the role of cannabis in pain medicine**

The indications judged most suitable for starting cannabis treatment in patients were neuropathic pain, supported by nearly two-thirds (65%) of responders, followed by oncological pain supported by exactly half (50%), arthralgias related to rheumatic diseases by a quarter (25%), and any pain after failing previous conventional treatments by 29%. The least suitable were chronic low back pain (15%), visceral pain (12%), and chronic postoperative pain (8%). Fibromyalgia was considered an appropriate indication by 21% of responders (Figure 2A).

The most relevant contraindications were schizophrenia and previous psychosis (76% of the responders), followed by pregnancy/breastfeeding (65%) and age <18 years (59%). Lack of a clear diagnosis was considered a contraindication by 47%. Interestingly, despite growing evidence implicating cannabis use in adverse cardiovascular events,16,17 significant cardiovascular disease was only rated as a contraindication by 29% of responders and age >85 years was only considered a contraindication by one responder (2%) (Figure 2B). In terms of rates of addiction to cannabis in the context of medical use, 37% of the responders estimated that 10%–15% of patients develop addiction. However, the majority (63.4%) estimated it to be higher than that (Figure 2C).

Only 12% rated cannabis as more hazardous than opiates, whereas 43% felt that opiates are more hazardous than cannabis and 45% found them equally hazardous (Figure 3A). Nevertheless, 60% replied that opiate therapy should be exhausted prior to commencing cannabis treatment, with 40% opting for cannabis treatment prior to initiation of opiates (Figure 3B). On a personal note, when asked whether they would personally prefer for themselves or a family member to be treated with cannabis or opiates in case the need arises, 55% opted for opiate treatment, with 45% preferring treatment with cannabis (Figure 3C).

**Personal view regarding cannabis medicalization and legalization**

Responders present divided views on the need for a specialized government agency that reviews and issues cannabis permits following the recommendation of a specialist: (51%)

---

**Figure 2** Views and beliefs regarding the role of cannabis in pain medicine.

**Notes:** (A) Most suitable indications; (B) contraindications; (C) rates of addiction.
 feel that such an agency is needed, compared with 49% who regard it redundant. When asked about the application process, 37% think that cannabis should be handled by specialists requested to complete prescriptions similar to those of other controlled medications (such as opiates or amphetamines). Additional 10% think that regular prescriptions completed by a specialist should suffice, and only one feels that any registered medical doctor should be able to directly prescribe cannabis (Figure 4A).

Nevertheless, the majority of all responders (63%) feel that the doctors reviewing applications on behalf of the MCU approve or decline them not based on clear criteria or a solid professional knowledge. Only 9% feel the opposite, while the remaining 28% respond that they do not know (Figure 4B).

Notably, doctors with more experience in prescribing cannabis were more likely to feel there was no sound professional basis for these decisions ($\chi^2=7.5, p<0.05$).

Lastly, a little over a half of the responding pain specialists in Israel (54%) state that based on their experience in treating patients with cannabis for prolonged periods of time, they would like to see cannabis legalized in Israel, under an age limit (Figure 4C).

Interestingly, an informative approach was to divide responders based on whether they would personally prefer to be treated with cannabis rather than opiates. Responders who preferred cannabis ($n=17$), in comparison with those who preferred to be treated with opiates ($n=22$), apply for more cannabis permits per year ($\chi^2=6.8, p<0.01$) and would treat their patients with cannabis before using opiates ($\chi^2=16.84, p<0.00005$) (Figure 5A and B). Furthermore, all the doctors who prefer to be treated with cannabis are in favor of legalization and vice versa ($\chi^2=37, p<0.0000001$) (Figure 5C). Finally, this group rated cannabis as more effective ($t(41)=2.75, p<0.01$), and less addictive ($t(39)=2.33, p<0.05$) (Figure 5D and E).

**Discussion**

There is an obvious need to explore the potential role of cannabis as a part of the armamentarium for chronic pain management. Unfortunately, scientific evidence still lags far behind the growing use driven by public approval. Accumulated clinical experience is, therefore, in the meantime, a major source of knowledge that is important in guiding current practice. To date, this is the first survey examining
attitudes toward the medical use of cannabis among a cohort of experienced pain specialists who actually use cannabis in their daily practice.

Of note, this survey has had impressive response rates – 50 out of 79 board-certified, active pain specialists have provided their inputs. The first emerging fact from this survey is that a majority of Israeli pain specialists are actively involved in the process leading to the use of cannabis by their patients. More than 90% of those involved in this process do so in their daily practice, with a third of the responders using it quite often. Similarly, a majority finds MC to be moderately to highly effective. This, by itself, is a significant finding, especially when considering the fact that patients treated with MC are, by definition, refractory to most existing therapeutic avenues. Moreover, it is generally agreed that the side effect profile is relatively favorable, with most pain specialists encountering only mild adverse effects in most patients and rare serious side effects.

Expanding evidence indicates that herbal cannabis has analgesic effects in both neuropathic and nonneuropathic pain.

Figure 4 Personal views regarding cannabis medicalization and legalization.
Notes: (A) Should MC be prescribed via an MCU? (B) Does a valid professional framework underlie the MCU decisions? (C) legalization (recreational use).
Abbreviation: MC, medical cannabis; MCU, Medical Cannabis Unit.
or diagnosis, such as neuropathic pain, cancer-related pain, or rheumatic diseases. Accordingly, these are indeed three of the better-described indications in literature. The most robust evidence exists for neuropathic pain, and there are several high-quality randomized controlled clinical trials establishing the analgesic efficacy of smoked cannabis.\(^3\)\(^{20} - ^{22}\) Cancer-related pain has also been explored and has yielded similar supporting evidence for cannabis-induced analgesia.\(^23\)\(^{24}\) Similar data exist for pain associated with rheumatoid arthritis.\(^25\)\(^{26}\) For a more exhaustive recent review, refer the study by Hauser et al.\(^27\)

Notably, all these leading indications are conditions that are amenable to objective diagnosis. Some (29%) also considered pain that is unresponsive to several acceptable lines of treatment as a possible indication, and a similar proportion also noted fibromyalgia as a considerable indication. This may reflect the helplessness that many pain specialists feel when dealing with these two patient populations, given the limited available effective treatment options for them.

Interestingly, low back pain and chronic postoperative pain, among the most common types of chronic pain syndromes seen in primary care and in pain clinics, were not considered to be good indications for MC treatment. This may reflect the basic distinction many physicians make between neuropathic and nociceptive pain syndromes (with these two conditions usually ascribed to the last, although this, of course, is not always the case). It may also stem from the fact that both conditions have many treatment options, a large body of literature to support current treatments, as well as updated and useful clinical guidelines.\(^28\)\(^{29}\)

Most pain specialists view psychiatric morbidity, breastfeeding, and a young age as the leading contraindications. All these have indeed been implicated as potential contraindications,\(^30\)\(^{-}^{32}\) although there is still no clear consensus as to their scope and validity, as well as to the factors contributing to individual patient vulnerability to neuropsychiatric adverse effects.\(^33\) Medical conditions that have been suggested to be exacerbated by the use of cannabis, on the other hand, such as heart failure, arrhythmias, and excessive blood pressure,\(^16\)\(^{17}\)\(^{34}\) were not viewed as such by most pain specialists. This is surprising and may be due to gaps in knowledge rather than a well-informed stance. Similarly, when asked to estimate addiction rates, only 37% correctly estimated it to be 10%–15%, as we have recently reported in a prevalence study in two large tertiary pain clinics.\(^35\) Most have assumed higher rates of addiction, which is in accordance with the literature dealing mostly with addiction rates in recreational users, often adolescents, and does not relate to specific compositions of the cannabinoids used or to specific cannabis strains. The exact scope of addiction with cannabis is still largely debated. Some have claimed cumulative incidence as high as 37% after 3 years,\(^36\) while others have documented lower rates of a lifetime risk of 17% in those initiating cannabis use in early adolescence\(^37\) and still others have estimated the risk for users to develop dependence of 9% for cannabis, compared to 67.5% for nicotine and 22.7% for alcohol.\(^38\) As far as we are aware, there is no literature specifically evaluating cannabis misuse among patients with prescription-medical grade cannabis, except for our observation of 10%-15% addiction rates. The question of the addictive potential of cannabis across different life stages and psychosocial contexts therefore remains largely unresolved.

Such misconceptions regarding contraindications and addiction rates reflect a clear gap in knowledge. Indeed, >80% of responders feel that they had not received adequate training regarding cannabis use during their pain medicine training. It is interesting to note that while >90% of respondents do use cannabis, the vast majority still feel they were inadequately trained to do so. It may be seen as somewhat worrying that pain specialists are comfortable prescribing what they consider themselves untrained to use. This may reflect the fact that they are being pressured to use cannabis by public opinion rather than by medicinal considerations. Otherwise, it may reflect the difficulty in managing intractable pain patients, where often one turns to less-proven therapies and interventions in the face of ongoing suffering and dwindling options. Lastly, it may signify that pain specialists are not well trained in the use of cannabis but become aware and experienced during their posttraining medical practice. In any case, there is obviously a clear need for improvement in formal education on MC, since physicians practically use it in their clinics.

The comparison between MC and opiate therapy is intriguing for obvious reasons. Approximately 90% of pain specialists regard opiates as more than – or as hazardous – as cannabis, with only a small minority rating cannabis to be more hazardous than opiates. Most also feel that cannabis is moderately to highly effective (and this relates mostly to patients who have already failed opiate therapy, as this is a preliminary requirement for MC approval). However, only 40% feel that cannabis should be attempted before prescribing opiates, while most pain specialists still prefer exhausting opiate therapy prior to prescribing cannabis. This apparent discrepancy may stem from lingering biases but may also reflect the fact that doctors are more comfortable prescribing medications they have extensive literature on and that are properly manufactured, rather than using an unstandardized
herbal product that is relatively unsupported, despite their own good experience with it. Lastly, this may represent a feeling that opiates are nevertheless more powerful analgesics than cannabis and therefore more efficacious.

Crucially, 45% of Israeli pain specialists state that they themselves would prefer to be treated with cannabis rather than opiates in case of chronic pain. While there still is a small opposing majority (55%), this can be seen as an overall vote of confidence in cannabis treatment.

In regards to legal and procedural issues concerning cannabis treatment, a small majority of responders feel that currently, there is a need for a specialized government agency for reviewing and handling cannabis permits. However, a large majority feels that, currently, this agency does not operate under any clear criteria or sound scientific knowledge. This view may change soon with the publication of Medical Grade Cannabis – Clinical Guide (Landschaft et al, unpublished data, 2017), nicknamed “The Green Book”, detailing current evidence and the guidelines they are operating under.

Lastly, a majority of Israeli pain specialists personally support the legalization of cannabis, provided there is an age restriction. This is extremely interesting since, as far as we know, this is the first survey of medical professionals reported thus far that shows such support. This may stem from the fact that these are pain specialists with actual experience with prescribing cannabis to a variety of patients under different conditions, who have had the chance to assess its overall and lasting effects, and have found them to be less daunting than assumed. Otherwise, it may also reflect the view that legalization may solve many problems and dilemmas that accompany medicalization, which in turn is often seen as a step toward cannabis legalization in the long run.

Interestingly, the question that was found to be most predictive of other favorable views on MC was whether the doctors preferred cannabis to opiates for themselves or a close family member in case of need. These doctors also prescribed more cannabis to their patients and thought it should be attempted prior to opiates. This may indeed reflect an independent personally held view. However, in light of the fact that these appear to be the doctors with more experience in prescribing cannabis, it may also suggest that more clinicians are experienced with the use of cannabis, and that there is a movement away from regarding cannabis as a dangerous substance in the same category as other class I drugs. If so, it may very well be that the role of cannabis in pain medicine may soon change, as more and more clinicians become experienced with its use. As their replies suggest, it may play a more central, as well as earlier, role in clinical pain management.

Conclusion
In the current survey, which probed the attitudes, beliefs, knowledge, and collected experience of pain specialists using cannabis in their daily practice, cannabis emerges as an effective treatment option for many patients with chronic pain who have failed previous treatments. Moreover, their responses arguably present a possible change of paradigm and the possibility to consider cannabis earlier in the course of the disease, and not as a last resort. In terms of side effect profile, it seems to be an acceptable option, although large population-based databases are required to address this issue in a more comprehensive manner. In light of the large gaps in knowledge, further research is needed to support or refute this practice. In the meantime, there is a clear need for better education on existing evidence and on practical issues pertaining to the use of MC in pain medicine.
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