COPD phenotypes: differences in survival
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Background: The aim of the study was to analyze the characteristics and survival of a group of patients with COPD according to their clinical phenotype.

Patients and methods: The study population was selected from patients undergoing scheduled spirometry between January 1, 2011 and June 30, 2011 at the respiratory function laboratory of a teaching hospital and comprised those with a previous and confirmed diagnosis of COPD and forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1) of <70%. The patients selected were classified into 4 groups: positive bronchodilator response, non-exacerbator, exacerbator with emphysema, and exacerbator with chronic bronchitis. Patients were followed up until April 2017.

Results: We recruited 273 patients, of whom 89% were men. The distribution by phenotype was as follows: non-exacerbator, 47.2%; positive bronchodilator response, 25.8%; exacerbator with chronic bronchitis, 13.8%; and exacerbator with emphysema, 13.0%. A total of 90 patients died during follow-up (32.9%). Taking patients with a positive bronchodilator response as the reference category, the risk factors that were independently associated with death were older age (HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03–1.09), lower FEV1 (HR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.96–0.99), and exacerbator with chronic bronchitis phenotype (HR, 3.28; 95% CI, 1.53–7.03).

Conclusion: Classification of COPD patients by phenotype makes it possible to identify subgroups with different prognoses. Thus, mortality was greater in exacerbators with chronic bronchitis and lower in those with a positive bronchodilator response.
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Introduction

COPD is a common, preventable, and treatable condition that is characterized by persistent limitation of airflow as a consequence of exposure to harmful gases or particles.1

In clinical practice, we can see that the clinical presentation, response to therapy, and progression of COPD differ between patients. Consequently, it is increasingly clear that to evaluate the complexity of the disease, we cannot base our approach exclusively on the degree of airflow obstruction. In this sense, symptoms and exacerbations are particularly important and can help to identify different clinical forms of the disease. A phenotype of COPD is considered a set of attributes that, either alone or in combination, enable us to describe the differences between COPD patients based on clinically significant parameters (symptoms, exacerbations, response to treatment, rate of disease progression, and mortality).2

Spanish COPD guidelines (GesEPOC)3 classify patients according to their predominant pattern, emphysema, or chronic bronchitis, together with the frequency of exacerbations. Thus, GesEPOC also describes the mixed phenotype asthma-COPD overlap (ACO).
Several studies have analyzed the prognosis for each of the phenotypes. The chronic bronchitis phenotype is clearly associated with exacerbations, admissions to hospital due to exacerbation, and mortality.\textsuperscript{4–8} The case of ACO is more controversial. While some authors report a higher incidence of exacerbation\textsuperscript{9,10} and mortality in this phenotype,\textsuperscript{11,12} others have not detected a higher incidence of exacerbations.\textsuperscript{13} Compared with the other phenotypes of COPD, ACO has even been reported to have a “protective effect” against mortality.\textsuperscript{3–15}

The objective of the present study was to analyze the characteristics and survival of a group of patients with COPD according to their clinical phenotype.

Patients and methods

This study was approved by the Gregorio Marañón Hospital Ethics Committee. Patients provided written informed consent to participate in the study. The study population was selected from all patients who underwent scheduled spirometry between January 1, 2001 and June 30, 2011 at the respiratory function laboratory of a teaching hospital and included those with a previous and subsequently confirmed diagnosis of COPD by spirometry (by confirming the existence of a forced expiratory volume in the first second \([\text{FEV}_1]\)/forced vital capacity ratio \(<0.70\) after the bronchodilator test) and \(\text{FEV}_1\) of \(<70\%\).

The patients selected were classified into 4 groups: positive bronchodilator response, non-exacerbator, exacerbator with emphysema, and exacerbator with chronic bronchitis. First, all patients with an increase in \(\text{FEV}_1\) \(>12\%\) and \(>200\text{ mL}\) in the bronchodilator test result were included in the positive bronchodilator response group. Next, all patients who had visited the emergency department less than twice for a respiratory complaint but did not require admission for the complaint during the year before the initial spirometry test were included in the non-exacerbator group.

Patients who had been admitted for a respiratory complaint during the year before undergoing spirometry were included in the exacerbator with emphysema group or exacerbator with chronic bronchitis group according to the information in the clinical history and the following criteria. Patients were identified as belonging to the exacerbator with emphysema phenotype when, in addition to the criteria for exacerbation, they had radiological and/or functional abnormalities (diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide) compatible with pulmonary emphysema. Patients in the exacerbator with chronic bronchitis group had to present the criteria for exacerbation and have had productive cough for 3 months per year for at least 2 consecutive years.

The variables recorded at inclusion were age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, comorbidities (arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, ischemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation, cerebrovascular accident), blood eosinophil count, lung function parameters, and treatment of respiratory disease.

Follow-up was until April 1, 2017. Patients’ death was recorded on this date; if the patient had not died, the last date the patient was known to be alive was recorded. During follow-up, dates of and reasons for visits to the emergency department and admissions to hospital, specifically for exacerbations of COPD and pneumonia, were recorded. Dates and causes of death were obtained from the clinical history.

Statistical analysis

The results for continuous variables are presented as mean and CI; the results for categorical variables are presented as frequency and percentage.

Categorical data and proportions were analyzed using the \(\chi^2\) or Fisher exact test, as applicable. Continuous variables were compared using an analysis of variance or the Kruskal–Wallis test.

Survival was analyzed taking the date of inclusion in the study as the reference point. The study ran from the day the initial spirometry test was performed until death or the last date the patient was known to be alive. A Kaplan–Meier analysis was performed to estimate the cumulative probability of death according to phenotype. The log-rank test was used for univariate comparisons between groups. Lastly, multivariate Cox regression analysis was used to test the association between phenotype and death, with an adjustment for age, sex, and \(\text{FEV}_1\).

The statistical analysis was performed using R, version 3.4.3. Statistical significance was set at \(p<0.05\).

Results

We recruited 273 patients, of whom 89% were men (Table 1). Mean age was 67.99 years (95\% CI, 66.72–69.25). Mean BMI was 28.05 kg/m\(^2\) (95\% CI, 27.40–28.71). Most patients were smokers (33.7\%) and ex-smokers (64.5\%); 1.1\% of the patients were nonsmokers. The most common comorbidities were arterial hypertension (53.5\%), dyslipidemia (39.6\%), diabetes mellitus (26\%), ischemic heart disease (13.9\%), atrial fibrillation (12.8\%), and cerebrovascular accidents (8.1\%). The mean eosinophil percentage was 2.42\% (95\% CI, 2.22\%–2.63\%), and the mean \(\text{FEV}_1\) was 48.64\% (95\% CI, 47.14\%–50.14\%). As for treatment, 93\% of patients were receiving anticholinergic drugs, 88.6\% long-acting \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic agonists, and 77.7\% inhaled corticosteroids.
In relation to the distribution of phenotypes, non-exacerbators accounted for 47.2% of patients, 25.8% of patients had a positive bronchodilator response, 13.8% were exacerbators with chronic bronchitis, 13.0% were exacerbators with emphysema, with mean values of diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide (DLCO) and KCO (DLCO/alveolar volume) of 57.54 (95% CI 45.41–69.67) and 61.20 (95% CI 48.72–73.68), respectively, in this last group. Table 2 shows the characteristics of patients according to phenotype. We found significant differences in age (lower in patients with a positive bronchodilator response), smoking (more active smokers in patients with a positive bronchodilator response), some comorbid conditions (lower percentage of patients with hypertension and ischemic heart disease among patients with a positive bronchodilator response), the eosinophil count and percentage (higher in patients with a positive bronchodilator response), and FEV₁ (higher in patients with a positive bronchodilator response). Significant differences were also found for mortality, which was lower in patients with a positive bronchodilator response.

Table 1 General characteristics of the study patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>67.99 (66.72–69.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>243 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>28.05 (27.40–28.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>92 (33.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>176 (64.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>71 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>146 (53.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>108 (39.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular accident, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils, absolute value</td>
<td>202.93 (185.18–220.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils, %</td>
<td>2.42 (2.22–2.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, mL</td>
<td>1,221.39 (1,171.76–1,271.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, %</td>
<td>48.64 (47.14–50.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA</td>
<td>254 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LABA</td>
<td>242 (88.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled corticosteroid</td>
<td>212 (77.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roflumilast</td>
<td>7 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theophylline</td>
<td>15 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COH</td>
<td>91 (33.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP</td>
<td>31 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiPAP</td>
<td>14 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, n (%)</td>
<td>90 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in the first second; LABA, long-acting β₂-adrenergic agonists; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; BiPAP, bilevel positive airway pressure; COH, continuous home oxygen therapy.

Ninety patients (32.9%) died during follow-up. Table 3 shows the causes of death according to phenotype. The most frequent cause was respiratory disease (acute exacerbation of COPD/pneumonia/sepsis), irrespective of the phenotype analyzed, followed by cancer.

Survival is shown according to phenotype in Figure 1. The factors that were independently associated with survival – age, FEV₁, and the exacerbator with chronic bronchitis phenotype – are shown in Table 4. The risk of mortality increased with age (HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03–1.09), and increased with the decrease in FEV₁ (HR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.96–0.99). The risk of mortality was significantly greater in exacerbators with chronic bronchitis than in patients with a positive bronchodilator response (HR, 3.28; 95% CI, 1.53–7.03).

Discussion

The main conclusion of our study is that the classification by phenotype among patients with COPD makes it possible to identify subgroups with different prognoses. Thus, survival was greater in patients with a positive bronchodilator response and lower in exacerbators with chronic bronchitis.

Previous studies have shown that 6%–25% of patients with COPD have ACO.14–21 In our study, 25.8% of patients had a positive bronchodilator response. This figure fell within the range of reversibility detected by other authors in a similar percentage of patients (21%–27.6%).13,22 Furthermore, the FEV₁ of patients with a positive bronchodilator response in our study was higher than that of other phenotypes. Similar results were reported by Calle Rubio et al,18 although this finding was not reported elsewhere.10,14

Non-exacerbators accounted for 47.2% of patients, exacerbators with chronic bronchitis accounted for 13.8%, and exacerbators with emphysema accounted for 13.0%. This distribution is similar to that reported in other studies, which show non-exacerbator to be the most frequent phenotype.16–21 However, a recent study performed in primary care in Russia showed that the most common phenotype was exacerbator with chronic bronchitis, with only 36% of patients classified as non-exacerbators. The authors also indicated that these results were associated with the difficulty in diagnosing COPD in Russia.23 Consistent with previous studies, patients with chronic bronchitis were frequent among exacerbators.20,21

Severe exacerbations seem to be an independent risk factor for death,24–26 with the result that the frequency of exacerbations increases the risk of death. The risk of death increases 2-fold in patients with 1 or 2 severe exacerbations.
(requiring a visit to the emergency department or admission to hospital) compared with non-exacerbators; the risk is 4-fold greater in patients with 3 or more severe exacerbations per year.24 These data have been confirmed in other studies, which show that the risk of death is even independent of the BODE index.25 Other authors have also shown that the risk of death increases with the number of hospitalizations, as does the risk of a subsequent admission.26 We found that exacerbators with chronic bronchitis have a 3-fold greater risk of death than patients with a positive bronchodilator

Table 3 Cause of death according to phenotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Positive bronchodilator response</th>
<th>Non-exacerbator</th>
<th>Exacerbator with emphysema</th>
<th>Exacerbator with chronic bronchitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute exacerbation of COPD/pneumonia/respiratory sepsis</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46.67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25.56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
response. In this way, it is important to optimize the treatment of these patients. The first step of treatment in patients with exacerbator phenotype with chronic bronchitis is the double bronchodilator therapy, and the next step is to identify the best option for each patient according to their characteristics. These options include drugs such as inhaled corticosteroids and mucolytics, as well as long-term phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitors and antibiotics.27

Disease progression is the main cause of death in patients with COPD.28 In our setting, 50%–80% of COPD patients died of exacerbation (30%–50%), lung cancer (8.5%–27%), or other respiratory diseases.28–33 In the early stages of COPD, the causes of death are mainly non-respiratory, although most are associated with smoking (cancer, ischemic heart disease, and cerebrovascular accident).29 In the case of patients with COPD and chronic respiratory insufficiency, Zielinski et al34 observed that the main causes of death were exacerbation of chronic respiratory insufficiency (38%), heart failure (13%), respiratory infection (11%), pulmonary thromboembolism (10%), cardiac arrhythmias (8%), and lung cancer (7%). In our study, the trend was similar, with respiratory disease (exacerbation of COPD, pneumonia, and respiratory sepsis) being the main cause, followed by cancer, mainly lung cancer.

Our results were obtained under real-world conditions and show that a simple positive bronchodilator response, which is therefore less demanding than the current criteria for ACO, makes it possible to identify a subgroup of patients with COPD and a more favorable course than the other phenotypes. They also show that mortality is higher in exacerbators with chronic bronchitis. The worst prognosis found in patients with exacerbator phenotype with chronic bronchitis is in line with other studies that have been previously published. For example, De Abajo Larriba et al35 have recently described that these patients are more severe, have more exacerbations, and require more income than the other phenotypes.

Our study is subject to a series of limitations. First, the study was performed in a single center, thus limiting the generalizability of the results. Second, due to the design characteristics of our study, it was not possible to collect the exacerbations diagnosed and treated ambulatory by primary care physicians; only exacerbations management in the emergency department were considered. Third, the lack of active follow-up implies that for patients who died outside the hospital, we could not register the cause of death in some cases. Lastly, some of the variables that might have influenced the results – cumulative smoking, duration of COPD, and treatment of comorbidities – were not available.

In conclusion, classification of COPD patients by phenotype makes it possible to identify subgroups with different prognoses. Mortality was higher in patients with the exacerbator with chronic bronchitis phenotype than in the positive bronchodilator response phenotype. It is clear that the treatment and the clinical progress of patients with COPD phenotypes.
COPD must be improved in exacerbators with chronic bronchitis.
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