Macular choroidal thickness in pregnant women with type 1, type 2 and gestational diabetes mellitus measured by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography
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Purpose: To analyze choroidal thickness (CT) of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM), type 2 DM and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.

Patients and methods: This cross-sectional study included 144 eyes of 72 pregnant women in the third trimester divided into four groups: 27 non-diabetic pregnant women; 15 pregnant women with GDM; 16 with type 2 DM and 14 with type 1 DM. CT was measured using optical coherence tomography at ten different locations. We also analyzed possible confounding factors, such as gestational age, glycosylated hemoglobin, time from DM diagnosis, hypertension and severity of diabetic retinopathy.

Results: The comparison between the four groups showed a thinner choroid in patients with type 1 DM in all locations, with statistical significance in subfoveal and temporal measurements. When comparing only patients with type 1 and type 2 DM, adjusting for confounding factors, the choroid of patients with type 1 DM remained thinner at all macular points, also with statistical significance in subfoveal and temporal measurements.

Conclusion: Pregnant women with type 1 DM had significantly thinner CT measurements on subfoveal and temporal locations. No differences were found in CT between the control group and pregnant women with GDM and type 2 DM.
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Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a major cause of visual impairment in women during their childbearing years. It is known that pregnancy is an independent risk factor for DR progression,1-3 and its implications can persist for the first year after delivery. Other risk factors include glycemic control, duration of diabetes, baseline level of DR, hypertension and preeclampsia.4,5 Previous reports, however, suggest that rapid optimization in glucose control may result in an increased risk for the progression of DR during pregnancy.3,4

The reasons why pregnancy itself causes a worsening in DR are still being questioned. A possible relation with morphological changes of the choroid should be studied. Diabetic choroidopathy, first reported by Hidayat and Fine in 1985,6 might be present before the onset of DR.7 Several choroidal changes have been described...
in diabetic patients, including microaneurysms, dilatation and obstruction of the choriocapillaris, increased vascular tortuosity, vascular dropouts, areas of vascular non-perfusion and choroidal neovascularization.8

The development of the enhanced depth imaging (EDI) technique of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) systems have allowed adequate analysis of choroidal morphologic features in normal and pathological eyes.9 EDI-OCT dramatically increases image resolution of the choroid by decreasing signal strength posterior to the retinal pigment epithelium. Although many authors have reported a decrease in choroidal thickness (CT) among diabetic patients,10–15 there are some discordant studies.7,16,17

The presence of choroidal changes related to pregnancy is also being investigated. The strong correlation between central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC) and pregnancy is well documented.18,19 Choroidal dysfunction and ischemia are also a common ocular complication of preeclampsia.20–22 Since it is a noninvasive diagnostic method, OCT is ideal for the study of choroidal changes in pregnant women. Some studies have already analyzed CT in healthy pregnant women using OCT,23–28 but the results are still inconclusive.

The aim of this study was to analyze CT measurements of non-diabetic pregnant women and of pregnant women with type 1, type 2 and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) using SD-OCT.

Patients and methods

This cross-sectional study included 144 eyes of 72 pregnant women in the third trimester divided into four groups: Group 1 consisted of 27 non-diabetic pregnant women (control group); Group 2 consisted of 15 pregnant women with GDM; Group 3 consisted of 16 pregnant women with type 2 diabetes mellitus (type 2 DM) and Group 4 consisted of 14 pregnant women with type 1 diabetes mellitus (type 1 DM). The participants were recruited between March and September 2016 at the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA), Brazil. All participants received in person a full explanation about the study and provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the HCPA research ethics committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines.

All participants were receiving prenatal care at HCPA and were in their third trimester of singleton pregnancy. Subjects with a history of laser photocoagulation, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) treatment, ocular surgery or any ocular pathology except for DR were excluded. Refractive disorders with spherical equivalent greater than ±1.0 diopters, intraocular pressure higher than 21 mmHg or best-corrected visual acuity worse than 0.1 logMAR were also exclusion criteria. Participants with preeclampsia, multiple pregnancies, renal or rheumatological diseases or history of smoking were also excluded.

The criteria used for GDM diagnosis followed the International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) recommendations.29 We analyzed the glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) of all diabetic patients; the blood samples for HbA1c were collected within 30 days of the ophthalmic exam. DR grading was performed according to the international severity scale:30 mild nonproliferative DR (microaneurysms only); moderate nonproliferative DR (more than just microaneurysms but less than severe non-proliferative DR); severe nonproliferative DR (more than 20 intraretinal hemorrhages in each of four quadrants or venous beading in two quadrants or prominent intraretinal microvascular abnormalities in 1 quadrant) and proliferative DR (neovascularization or vitreous/preretinal hemorrhage). The diagnosis for each individual was based on the grading of the worse eye per subject. Only treatment-naive patients were included.

All study participants underwent an interview with demographic and background history. The ophthalmic examination included uncorrected visual acuity, best-corrected visual acuity, Goldmann applanation tonometry, slit-lamp assisted biomicroscopy, indirect ophthalmoscopy and SD-OCT. All OCT scans were performed in the morning (8:00 am to 12:00 pm) to avoid diurnal variations of CT. The same experienced ophthalmologist (CB) performed all ophthalmic examinations and OCT scans, using Heidelberg Spectralis OCT (Heidelberg Engineering Co, Heidelberg, Germany). Choroid was imaged with a 6-line radial scan (30°, 9.2 mm) using the EDI setting, with 100 images averaged per section. All scans were reviewed before being included in the study. Those with image artefacts or inaccurate choroidal limits were excluded.

CT was determined as the vertical distance from the outer surface of the line formed by the retinal pigment epithelium to the choriocapillary interface using the Spectralis OCT measurement software. The measurements were made by an experienced ophthalmologist (DL) masked to the participant group. Previous studies have already demonstrated the reproducibility of this technique, even across different OCT systems.31–33 CT was measured at ten different locations: at the fovea and every 500 µm from the fovea up to 2,500 µm temporally and up to 2,000 µm nasally (Figure 1). We used the following abbreviations
for the macular points: T5: CT at 2,500 µm temporally to the fovea; T4: CT at 2,000 µm temporally to the fovea; T3: CT at 1,500 µm temporally to the fovea; T2: CT at 1,000 µm temporally to the fovea; T1: CT at 500 µm temporally to the fovea; SF: CT at the fovea; N1: CT at 500 µm nasally to the fovea; N2: CT at 1,000 µm nasally to the fovea; N3: CT at 1,500 µm nasally to the fovea and N4: CT at 2,000 µm nasally to the fovea.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V.15.0 (SPSS Science, Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative variables were presented as mean (±SD) or median and interquartile range. Categorical variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. To compare means between groups, analysis of variance (ANOVA) complemented by Tukey was applied. In case of asymmetry, Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used. For qualitative data, a chi-squared test was used. Differences in CT were analyzed using generalized estimating equations (GEE) with Bonferroni adjustment. A p-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
The demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects are summarized in Table 1. The OCT scans were performed in 54 eyes of 27 healthy pregnant women, 30 eyes of 15 pregnant women with GDM, 32 eyes of 16 pregnant women with type 2 DM and 28 eyes of 14 pregnant women with type 1 DM. There was no significant difference in age, ethnicity and gestational age between groups. As expected, time from DM diagnosis was significantly higher in subjects with type 1 DM in comparison with subjects with type 2 DM. HbA1c values were significantly higher

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Nondiabetic group (n=27)</th>
<th>GDM group (n=15)</th>
<th>Type 2 DM group (n=16)</th>
<th>Type 1 DM group (n=14)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>28.1 ± 7.0</td>
<td>31.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>31.4 ± 6.0</td>
<td>28.1 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>25 (92.6)</td>
<td>10 (66.7)</td>
<td>13 (81.3)</td>
<td>13 (92.9)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>3 (18.8)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>33.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>33.7 ± 3.19</td>
<td>32.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>31.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from DM diagnosis (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (P25–P75)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9–4.5)</td>
<td>13.5 (5–20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.8†</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.7*</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>6 (37.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic retinopathy, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (6.3%)</td>
<td>6 (42.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Analysis of variance (ANOVA); *Chi-squared test; †Mann–Whitney test; a,b same letter does not differ from each other at a 5% of significance by Tukey test; ‡Fisher’s exact test.

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.
in patients with type 1 DM (7.4% ± 1.2%) compared with GDM patients (5.7% ± 0.8%) \( (p=0.06) \). Two patients from group 2 and six patients from group 3 had chronic hypertension diagnosis \( (p=0.001) \), requiring adjustment in CT analysis.

Of the 14 subjects with type 1 DM, 6 (42.9%) were diagnosed with DR (1 mild nonproliferative, 4 moderate nonproliferative and 1 proliferative DR). In comparison, only one patient (6.3%) with type 2 DM was diagnosed with moderate nonproliferative retinopathy \( (p=0.031) \). None of the subjects with DR had macular edema on OCT and all of them were treatment-naive at the time of the exam.

Comparing the 10 CT measurements of the four groups, adjusted for the presence of hypertension, the choroid always tended to be thinner in patients with type 1 DM. There was no significant differences between nondiabetic, GDM and type 2 DM groups. From macular points T5 to T1, macular thickness was significantly higher in pregnant women with type 1 DM. In the subfoveal measurement, however, macular thickness was significantly higher in pregnant women with type 2 DM in comparison with pregnant women with type 1 DM. No measures nasal to the fovea were statistically different between the groups. All measurements and \( p \)-values are shown in Table 2.

When we analyzed only the groups with diabetic patients, adjusting also for HbA1c levels, the choroid was thinner in patients with type 1 DM in comparison with patients with GDM or type 2 DM (Table 3). CT measurements in T5, T3, T2, T1 and SF macular points were significantly thinner in patients with type 1 DM in comparison with patients with GDM and type 2 DM. The choroid in T4 and N1 macular points, however, was significantly thinner in patients with type 1 DM only in comparison with patients with DMG. No statistically significant difference between groups was found at the N2, N3 and N4 macular points.

In order to analyze CT adjusting also for time of DM diagnosis and presence of DR, we also performed an analysis only between the type 1 DM and type 2 DM groups (Table 4). CT of patients with type 1 DM remained thinner than in patients with type 2 DM at all macular points, but with statistical significance only in T4, T3, T2, T1 and SF points.

### Discussion

The choroid is a complex vascular network which provides vascular supply for the retinal pigment epithelium and outer retina layers, representing the sole provider of oxygen and nutrients to the avascular fovea. This vascular network is responsible for more than 85% of the blood flow in the eye. Unlike the retina, autoregulation of choroidal blood flow is limited and it has intense autonomic innervation. Abnormal choroidal blood flow can result in photoreceptor dysfunction and death.\(^{24,35}\)

CT is influenced by major factors such as age, refractive error and axial length (AL), with increasing age, AL and decreasing refractive diopter being associated with a reduction of CT.\(^{36}\) Diurnal variations in CT have also been reported.\(^{37,38}\) Although choroidal thickness has more precise characteristics in some diseases such as CSC and age-related macular degeneration (AMD), choroidal changes in diabetic patients have been studied and controversial results have been published.
**Table 3** Comparison of CT measurements of pregnant patients with GDM, type 1 DM and type 2 DM, adjusted for HbA1c values and for the presence of hypertension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GDM group (n=15), mean ± SE (µm)</th>
<th>Type 2 DM group (n=16), mean ± SE (µm)</th>
<th>Type 1 DM group (n=14), mean ± SE (µm)</th>
<th>p-value (adjusted for HbA1c and hypertension)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>271.5 ± 43.3a</td>
<td>243.5 ± 35.7b</td>
<td>209.4 ± 39.2b</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>287.9 ± 47.7a</td>
<td>254.2 ± 36.8a</td>
<td>222.4 ± 42.5a</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>397.6 ± 59.4a</td>
<td>276.4 ± 56.1a</td>
<td>227.9 ± 51.6a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>311.7 ± 75.3a</td>
<td>290.4 ± 70.0b</td>
<td>235.5 ± 64.0b</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>221.1 ± 77.3a</td>
<td>305.8 ± 76.7b</td>
<td>243.4 ± 66.0b</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>327.1 ± 85.9a</td>
<td>319.9 ± 88.9b</td>
<td>250.4 ± 72.6b</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>310.5 ± 104.6a</td>
<td>293.2 ± 106.1a</td>
<td>237.8 ± 86.1a</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>284.1 ± 105.4</td>
<td>284.1 ± 107.4</td>
<td>228.5 ± 86.7</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>248.7 ± 100.6</td>
<td>258.4 ± 100.5</td>
<td>216.4 ± 83.3</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td>223.8 ± 80.5</td>
<td>227.8 ± 77.4</td>
<td>190.8 ± 66.6</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a Same letter does not differ from each other at a 5% of significance by GEE with Bonferroni adjustment.

Abbreviations: CT, choroidal thickness; DM, diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; GEE, generalized estimating equations; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c; N1, choroidal thickness at 500 µm nasal to the fovea; N2, choroidal thickness at 1,000 µm nasal to the fovea; N3, choroidal thickness at 1,500 µm nasal to the fovea; N4, choroidal thickness at 2,000 µm nasal to the fovea; SF, choroidal thickness at the fovea; T1, choroidal thickness at 500 µm temporal to the fovea; T2, choroidal thickness at 1,000 µm temporal to the fovea; T3, choroidal thickness at 1,500 µm temporal to the fovea; T4, choroidal thickness at 2,000 µm temporal to the fovea; T5, choroidal thickness at 2,500 µm temporal to the fovea.

Recent studies conducted by Yazici et al17 and Tavares Ferreira et al16 found that the choroid is thicker in diabetic patients. The population-based Beijing Eye study17 also found that DM can lead to a slight thickening of the choroid, although not related to the severity of DR. Yulek et al concluded that subfoveal CT was not significantly corre-

**Table 4** Comparison of CT measurements of pregnant patients with type 1 DM and type 2 DM; adjusted for HbA1c values and the presence of hypertension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type 2 DM group (n=16), mean ± SE (µm)</th>
<th>Type 1 DM group (n=14), mean ± SE (µm)</th>
<th>p-value (adjusted for HbA1c, time of DM diagnosis and presence of diabetic retinopathy and hypertension)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>244.7 ± 86.5 211.2 ± 83.3</td>
<td>209.4 ± 39.2b 209.4 ± 39.2b</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>255.6 ± 78.9 221.9 ± 76.2</td>
<td>222.4 ± 42.5a 222.4 ± 42.5a</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>274.6 ± 87.8 234.8 ± 75.4</td>
<td>227.9 ± 51.6a 227.9 ± 51.6a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>287.8 ± 112.9 242.6 ± 95.8</td>
<td>235.5 ± 64.0b 235.5 ± 64.0b</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>303.5 ± 117.6 240.0 ± 100.9</td>
<td>243.4 ± 66.0b 243.4 ± 66.0b</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>320.8 ± 132.1 249.6 ± 110.2</td>
<td>250.4 ± 72.6b 250.4 ± 72.6b</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>295.9 ± 155.4 240.3 ± 127.6</td>
<td>237.8 ± 86.1a 237.8 ± 86.1a</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>285.2 ± 153.4 225.3 ± 126.9</td>
<td>228.5 ± 86.7 228.5 ± 86.7</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>260.2 ± 153.9 206.1 ± 129.5</td>
<td>216.4 ± 83.3 216.4 ± 83.3</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td>220.8 ± 122.7 186.6 ± 101.9</td>
<td>190.8 ± 66.6 190.8 ± 66.6</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GEE with Bonferroni adjustment.

Abbreviations: CT, choroidal thickness; DM, diabetes mellitus; GEE, generalized estimating equations; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c; N1, choroidal thickness at 500 µm nasal to the fovea; N2, choroidal thickness at 1,000 µm nasal to the fovea; N3, choroidal thickness at 1,500 µm nasal to the fovea; N4, choroidal thickness at 2,000 µm nasal to the fovea; SF, choroidal thickness at the fovea; T1, choroidal thickness at 500 µm temporal to the fovea; T2, choroidal thickness at 1,000 µm temporal to the fovea; T3, choroidal thickness at 1,500 µm temporal to the fovea; T4, choroidal thickness at 2,000 µm temporal to the fovea; T5, choroidal thickness at 2,500 µm temporal to the fovea.

lated with increased duration of diabetes.39 Regatieri et al10 however, reported a thinner CT in patients with diabetic macular edema or treated proliferative DR compared with normal subjects. Vujosevic et al,11 Esmaeelpour et al,12,13 Querques et al14 and Shen et al15 also demonstrated that CT decreases in diabetic eyes with clinical signs of DR compared with controls.

The aim of this study was to analyze these possible changes in CT in diabetic patients during pregnancy. Since pregnancy is an independent risk factor for the progression of DR, we questioned if possible changes in the choroid may contribute to this progression. There is limited data on this issue and to the best of our knowledge this is the first study to compare CT in pregnant women with type 1 and type 2 DM. Acmaz et al40 reported that CT was significantly thicker in healthy pregnant women and women with GDM in comparison with non-pregnant women. However, there was no significant difference between the GDM group and the healthy pregnant women group.

As pregnancy itself could lead to physiological changes in the choroid, our control group consisted of non-diabetic pregnant women. In this study, we found no difference in CT between non-diabetic pregnant women, women with GDM and pregnant women with type 2 DM. Pregnant women with type 1 DM, however, had smaller CT measurements at all points analyzed.

This choroid thinning in patients with type 1 DM was more significant in the measurements located temporally to the fovea. Measurements of CT nasally to the fovea were not statistically different.
We also performed this analysis only among diabetic patients to enable adjustment for HbA1c, with similar results. When we compared only pregnant women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, adjusting also for time of diabetes and presence of retinopathy, CT remained significantly thinner in subjects with type 1 DM between T4 and SF points.

Despite being a controversial subject, most of the available evidence seems to indicate that choroid thins in diabetic eyes.41 According to our findings, pregnant subjects with type 1 DM also followed this thinning pattern. The significantly higher prevalence of DR found in these patients may have contributed to this finding. It is possible to hypothesize that choroidal thinning in type 1 pregnant diabetic patients occurs as part of diabetic choroidopathy modifications. The decreased choroidal blood flow found in diabetic patients,42–44 along with changes such as atrophy and dropout of the choriocapillaris,45,46 could contribute to this thinning. It remains to be understood how these ocular blood flow abnormalities seen in diabetic patients are influenced by pregnancy hyperflow and whether these modifications could contribute to the DR progression seen in pregnancy.

Since CT measurements may be susceptible to several confounding factors, we tried to minimize possible biases. The examinations were performed only in the morning shift to avoid diurnal variations, and patients with refractive disorders with spherical equivalent greater than ±1.0 diopters were excluded. Exams without clear identification of the choroid-scleral junction were also excluded from the analysis. Since laser photocoagulation and anti-VEGF treatments may change CT,10,41,46 only treatment-naive patients were included. None of the subjects with DR had macular edema on OCT.

Our study also has some limitations, such as the small number of subjects. Its cross-sectional design allow us to analyze choroidal characteristics only from the third trimester of pregnancy. Further prospective studies with a larger number of subjects should be performed to confirm these findings.

Conclusion
Our study showed no statistically significant difference in CT between non-diabetic pregnant women, pregnant women with GDM and pregnant women with type 2 DM during the third trimester. Pregnant women with type 1 DM had significantly thinner CT measurements on subfoveal and temporal to the fovea analysis.
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