Refeeding syndrome in the frail elderly population: prevention, diagnosis and management
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Abstract: Aging is linked to physiological and pathophysiological changes. In this context, elderly patients often are frail, which strongly correlates with negative health outcomes and disability. Elderly patients are often malnourished, which again is an independent risk factor for both frailty and adverse clinical outcomes. Malnutrition and resulting frailty can be prevented by adequate nutritional interventions. Yet, use of nutritional therapy can also have negative consequences, including a potentially life-threatening metabolic alteration called refeeding syndrome (RFS) in high-risk patients. RFS is characterized by severe electrolyte shifts (mainly hypophosphatemia, hypomagnesemia and hypokalemia), vitamin deficiency (mainly thiamine), fluid overload and salt retention leading to organ dysfunction and cardiac arrhythmias. Although the awareness of malnutrition among elderly people is well established, the risk of RFS is often neglected, especially in the frail elderly population. This partly relates to the unspecific clinical presentation and laboratory changes in the geriatric population. The aim of this review is to summarize recently published recommendations for the management of RFS based on current evidence from clinical studies adapted with a focus on elderly patients.
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Introduction
Pathophysiological signs and symptoms, such as functional and mental decline, socioeconomic problems, loss of teeth and changes in the smell and taste senses, occur with older age. Some older people become frail over time. Frailty is a biological syndrome with multiple dimensions, which results from cumulative declines across multiple physiological systems and leads to worse outcomes (disability, poor quality of life) due to decreased reserves and low resistance to stressors.1 Frailty is affected by age, gender, lifestyle and socioeconomic status, as well as by comorbidities and cognitive and sensory impairments.2–4 However, frailty does not mean disability, which is characterized by physical and/or mental limits on activities and social participation; instead, it is a form of pre-disability.4,5 Frailty predicts negative clinical outcomes (falls, polypharmacy, hospital and nursing home admission) and is associated with a higher risk of mortality.6–9 The criteria for frailty syndrome have been defined by Fried et al: unintentional weight loss (>5% weight loss over 1 year), self-reported exhaustion, weakness, slow walking speed and low physical activity level. People who meet two of these criteria are pre-frail, and those who meet three or more criteria are frail.3

As frailty is a reversible process, it can be positively influenced by adequate nutritional support because of the close association between poor nutritional status...
and frailty syndrome in older adults.\textsuperscript{10–13} Micronutrient deficiencies and low protein intake which leads to sarcopenia (the weakening of skeletal muscle tissue and the subsequent functional decline with age) are related to frailty, thus increasing the risk of frailty syndrome.\textsuperscript{1,14} Nutritional intervention, together with resistance training, contributes to reducing frailty.\textsuperscript{15–18}

Malnutrition is a main topic in the frail elderly population, as up to 50% of older people have a high risk for malnutrition. Malnutrition is highly prevalent in European hospitals (20–60\%) and is especially frequent in geriatric patients.\textsuperscript{35} It can thus be seen as the cause or the consequence of disease: malnutrition can worsen the course of the disease and be caused by the disease itself.\textsuperscript{19–24}

Nutritional therapy aims to reduce the negative effects of malnutrition, such as higher morbidity, higher complication rates and thus longer hospital stays and mortality. The refeeding syndrome (RFS) is a potential life-threatening complication of the nutritional therapy in the replenishment phase. It is known and has been studied for over 70 years, beginning at the end of World War II with the death of many fasting prisoners after they started a normal diet again and also shown in Keys’ Minnesota experiment with young healthy participants.\textsuperscript{25–27} From a pathophysiological point of view, RFS is an exaggerated response of the malnourished catabolic body to a nutritional therapy, indeed to anabolism. The intake of food, and therefore the switch from a catabolic to an anabolic metabolism, causes electrolyte and fluid disturbances, as well as limitations of organ functions.\textsuperscript{28,29} Symptoms such as heart failure, peripheral edema and neurologic disorders can occur. Protein, lipid and glucose metabolisms are disturbed, and a lack of vitamins, especially thiamine (vitamin B1), occurs. If not treated, these disturbances can lead to severe negative effects, from multiorgan dysfunction to death.\textsuperscript{28–32}

To date, the awareness of malnutrition in the elderly population is well established, whereas the potential life-threatening risk of RFS is much less known, especially in elderly patients.\textsuperscript{29,33,34} Screening for RFS risk is not commonly done. Even when malnutrition is present, the risk of RFS is usually neglected or overlooked among hospitalized or institutionalized patients.\textsuperscript{35}

Pathophysiology and clinical manifestations of RFS

RFS, the exact pathophysiology of which remains unclear, mostly occurs within the first 72 hours after the start of nutritional therapy and shows a rapid progression. It emerges from the switch from a catabolic to an anabolic state after a prolonged starving period. During this fasting period, glucose oxidation is reduced. Insulin secretion is therefore decreased, and glucagon and catecholamine levels are increased.\textsuperscript{37} Glycogen reserves are consumed.\textsuperscript{36} Gluconeogenesis starts along with lipolysis and proteolysis to maintain energy production. As a result, muscle proteins are wasted, as well as vitamin and electrolyte stores.\textsuperscript{36,38} Through lipolysis, blood levels of free fatty acids increase, and ketogenesis in the liver is stimulated.\textsuperscript{36} Therefore, ketone bodies, mainly hydroxybutyrate, become the main energy suppliers of the organism (Figure 1).

By the start of the nutritional therapy, carbohydrates are the main energy suppliers, and concentration of glucose suddenly increases causing hyperglycemia. The insulin secretion subsequently increases and stimulates the anabolic processes. Intracellular shifts of glucose and electrolytes (phosphate, potassium, magnesium) occur, and their blood levels may drop severely. These drops can lead to life-threatening spasms or arrhythmia.\textsuperscript{28,30,36,39,40}

As previously mentioned, the increased insulin secretion causes the intracellular uptake of phosphate. Phosphate is important for the intracellular metabolism of macronutrients for both energy production, as glucose must be phosphorylated to enter glycolysis, and energy transfer. Hypophosphatemia, the most common definitional criterion of RFS, can cause neuromuscular, neurologic, respiratory and/or hematologic problems.\textsuperscript{36,41} Hypokalemia and hypomagnesemia both can cause arrhythmia as well as rhabdomyolysis, paresis, confusion and respiratory insufficiency.\textsuperscript{36}

Along with the increased insulin secretion in the early phase of refeeding, the kidneys tend to retain sodium, which induces water retention indeed. The consecutive rise of extracellular volume can lead to peripheral edema and heart failure.

Both electrolyte and vitamin deficiencies often arise, primarily due to a lack of thiamine.\textsuperscript{36} Thiamine is an important cofactor in the metabolism of carbohydrates, which allows for energy production. It enables the conversion from glucose to ATP (Krebs cycle). In cases of thiamine deficiency, glucose is converted to lactate by the lactate dehydrogenase, leading to metabolic acidosis. Thiamine deficiency thus may lead to neurologic (Wernicke’s encephalopathy, dry beriberi) or cardiovascular disorders (wet beriberi), together with water retention.\textsuperscript{36}

Briefly and according to the long clinical experience of the authors, the three main symptoms of RFS are tachycardia, tachypnea and edema, but there are many unspecific symptoms occurring in the manifestation of RFS.\textsuperscript{28,36,42}
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Current level of evidence on RFS

The overall evidence level regarding RFS is poor, especially in the frail elderly patient population. Only few randomized controlled studies are available. A recent review of Friedli et al summarizes the best actual evidence on hand. Based on this review, there is an experts’ consensus defining risk factors, timely occurrence and a possible algorithm for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of RFS in medical inpatients. However, even though there has been awareness of RFS for over 70 years, there is no universally accepted definition for it, and there is a lack of strong evidence for incidence rates, prevention and therapy. Thus, many cases probably stay unrecognized and therefore untreated, especially in older hospitalized patients, as its clinical manifestations are nonspecific and similar to other symptoms in this population (eg, weakness, confusion and poor mobility).

The guidelines of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) are widely used for the nutritional medical support of adults. These guidelines give advice on the recognition of malnutrition through the management of nutritional therapy (oral, enteral or parenteral). The newly published experts’ consensus statement based on the review on RFS of Friedli et al provides an algorithm for the management of patients with nutritional therapy in order to prevent and treat RFS.

Prevention

Nutritional support team

As the early identification of at-risk patients and the recognition of RFS are crucial, well-trained medical staff are needed. Specialized nutritional support teams, consisting of physicians, dieticians, nurses and pharmacists, are present in many hospitals. These multidisciplinary nutritional support teams assist the attending medical staff in the management of patients receiving nutritional therapy to optimize the patients’ outcome.
Risk factors and risk identification

Possible predictors for RFS are analyzed in many studies, for example, low energy intake for over 10 days or weight loss over 15%. Their sensitivity (67%) and specificity (80%) are low.47–49 Low serum magnesium (<0.7 mmol/L) was the only significant predictor in the study of Rio et al.50 Starvation itself is the most reliable predictor.50 In addition to oncologic patients, patients with eating disorders, patients with limited nutrient absorption (after bariatric surgery, with short bowel syndrome), patients with chronic vomiting or diarrhea and patients with chronic medication also have a higher risk of developing RFS.42,51–55

Older age, high Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS-2002) scores (23) and comorbidities were found to be risk factors for RFS in many studies.35,56 The NICE guidelines even indicate that frail elderly people or older people living alone are more likely to be at risk for RFS.46

RFS incidence can be up to 48% in malnourished patients and 14% in the geriatric population.57,58 Pourhassan et al showed that almost 75% of hospitalized geriatric patients with a risk for malnutrition were also at risk for RFS.35 As malnutrition is the most predominant and frequent clinical condition in elderly patients, there is a high risk for RFS for this patient population. Pourhassan et al investigated the relationship between the risk for malnutrition and the risk for RFS in an elderly population with three common screening tools: the NRS-2002 in inpatients, the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) in outpatients and the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) in older institutionalized patients.35,59–61 According to the NRS-2002, 74% were at risk for malnutrition, and 75.9% thereof were at high risk for RFS. According to the MUST score, 49.7% were at risk for malnutrition, and 95.4% thereof were at risk for RFS (with MUST ≥2: 100%). According to the MNA, 55.8% were at risk for malnutrition, and 69.1% of these were at risk for RFS.

According to these results, across all screening methods, patients at risk for malnutrition and for RFS had significantly higher weight loss in the past 6 months and lower magnesium and potassium levels than the malnourished patients not at risk for RFS. There were no differences in age or body mass index.35 However, the high prevalence of malnutrition in the geriatric population may indicate that age is a confounder rather than an independent predictive factor of RFS risk.52

RFS can occur with all forms of artificial nutrition; however, it is more frequently observed in patients receiving enteral nutrition, due to the stimulation of GLP-1, followed by parenteral nutrition. RFS is associated with severe complications but can potentially be avoided.47,50,57 Risk stratification is therefore essential before the start of the nutritional therapy (Figure 2), which should then be individually adapted according to the patient’s risk for RFS.42,46 However, if older patients are at risk for malnutrition, there is no need to perform a risk stratification. They can be considered at risk for RFS, as both the risk of malnutrition and the risk of RFS overlap.35,56

Diagnosis

The definition of RFS is based on serum electrolyte disturbances, especially hypophosphatemia, and on clinical symptoms.63 Hypophosphatemia is common in elderly geriatric patients (14%), if tested, and is related to the occurrence of RFS.35,58 It can be especially detrimental in frail elderly patients, particularly in those with several comorbidities. It is an independent predictor of mortality and causes a threefold increase in mortality: the more severe the hypophosphatemia, the worse the outcome.58 Based on the algorithm of Friedli et al, the diagnosis of RFS is based on hypophosphatemia, defined as a decrease in phosphate values >30% under the initial value or <0.6 mmol/L, or if two other electrolytes decrease under their normal values.42 The electrolyte disturbances may cause clinical symptoms, such as edema, respiratory insufficiency or heart insufficiency, together with fluid imbalance and micronutrient deficiencies.28,36 The critical timeframe for RFS is the first 72 hours. When electrolyte disturbances are present alone within 72 hours, it is considered an imminent RFS. In case of electrolyte disturbances associated with clinical symptoms within 72 hours, RFS is manifest (Figure 3).

Management

Generally, RFS is a rare complication; nevertheless, nutritional therapy should be adapted depending on the individual risk factors of every patient. Figure 4 shows the detailed recommendations for the nutritional management of all risk categories.62

Fluids

Hydration status is a key point in the management of RFS. Thus, prevention and treatment of RFS also means fluid management. As Tsiompanou et al showed, even if the awareness for RFS is present and restrictions in energy input and rate are made, RFS can occur due to intravenous fluid shifts/overload.64 Overhydration is an issue, especially in frail elderly patients.64 Older patients may suffer from dehydration and need fluid resuscitation, but they have an increased risk for overhydration due to comorbidities (eg, heart failure) and age-related decrease in organ function.64 Also, the choice of appropriate fluid is of special importance. Recent studies prefer balanced electrolyte solutions to 0.9% NaCl solutions,
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Initial risk determination for RFS

Minor risk factors (A)
- BMI <18.5 kg/m²
- Unintentional weight loss >10% in the preceding 3–6 months
- Very little or no nutritional intake for >5 days
- History of alcohol or drug abuse

Major risk factors (B)
- BMI <16 kg/m²
- Unintentional weight loss >15% in the preceding 3–6 months
- Very little or no nutritional intake for >10 days
- Low levels of serum potassium, phosphate or magnesium prior to feed

Very high risk factors (C)
- BMI <14 kg/m²
- Weight loss >20%
- Starvation >15 days

Minor risk = 1 risk factor A
High risk = 2 risk factors A or 1 risk factor B
Very high risk = 1 risk factor C

Figure 2 Identification of patients at risk for RFS.
Note: Used with permission of the Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology, Nutritional Medicine and Metabolism and is modified from Friedli et al and from National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NiCE).
Abbreviations: RFS, refeeding syndrome; BMI, body mass index.

Decrease of PO₄ from baseline >30% or <0.6 mmol/L
or
Any two other electrolyte shifts below normal range
→ Mg <0.75 mmol/L, PO₄ <0.80 mmol/L, K <3.5 mmol/L

Associated with clinical symptoms?
- Edema
- Tachycardia
- Tachypnea

YES

IMMINENT RFS

NO

YES

MANIFEST RFS

Figure 3 Diagnosis of RFS.
Note: Used with permission of the Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology, Nutritional Medicine and Metabolism and is modified from Friedli et al.
Abbreviation: RFS, refeeding syndrome.
Screening: risk for nutritional risk and risk for RFS

Assessment of hydration status and electrolyte check (K, Mg, PO4, Na, Ca)

Risk stratification for RFS according to the risk factors

No risk for RFS

Low risk for RFS

High risk for RFS

Very high risk for RFS

Correction of existing hydration deficits and replacement of previous or ongoing abnormal fluid losses

Preventive measures: electrolyte substitution, thiamine supplementation (at least 30 min before refeeding)

- Maintenance of the nutritional and hydration status according to the standards of care

Nutritional support

- Fluid maintenance

- Administration of micronutrients

Clinical and laboratory monitoring, management of complications

Nutritional support:

- Days 1–3: 15–25 kcal/kg/d
- Days 4: 30 kcal/kg/d
- From Day 5: full requirements

Fluid management:

- 30–35 mL/kg/d

No sodium restriction

Days 1–3: 200–300 mg thiamine

Days 1–10: Multivitamin

Nutritional support:

- Days 1–3: 10–15 kcal/kg/d
- Days 4–5: 15–25 kcal/kg/d
- Days 6: 25–30 kcal/kg/d
- From Day 7: full requirements

Fluid management:

- Days 1–3: 25–30 mL/kg/d
- From Day 4: 30–35 mL/kg/d

Sodium restriction

- Days 1–7: <1 mmol/kg/d

Days 1–10: Multivitamin

Nutritional support:

- Days 1–3: 5–10 kcal/kg/d
- Days 4–6: 10–20 kcal/kg/d
- Days 7–9: 20–30 kcal/kg/d
- From Day 10: full requirements

Fluid management:

- Days 1–3: 20–25 mL/kg/d
- Days 4–6: 25–30 mL/kg/d
- From Day 7: 30–35 mL/kg/d

Sodium restriction

- Days 1–10: <1 mmol/kg/d

Days 1–10: Multivitamin

Nutritional support:

- Days 1–3: 10–15 kcal/kg/d
- Days 4–5: 15–25 kcal/kg/d
- Days 6: 25–30 kcal/kg/d
- From Day 7: full requirements

Fluid management:

- Days 1–3: 25–30 mL/kg/d
- From Day 4: 30–35 mL/kg/d

Sodium restriction

- Days 1–7: <1 mmol/kg/d

Days 1–10: Multivitamin

Nutritional support:

- Days 1–3: 10–15 kcal/kg/d
- Days 4–5: 15–25 kcal/kg/d
- Days 6: 25–30 kcal/kg/d
- From Day 7: full requirements

Fluid management:

- Days 1–3: 25–30 mL/kg/d
- From Day 4: 30–35 mL/kg/d

Sodium restriction

- Days 1–7: <1 mmol/kg/d

Days 1–10: Multivitamin

Note: Used with permission of the Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology, Nutritional Medicine and Metabolism and is modified from Friedli et al.42

Abbreviation: RFS, refeeding syndrome.
except in cases of fluid loss over a stoma or fistula. The sodium retention due to insulin secretion after the refeeding leads to extracellular volume expansion and vasoconstriction. Salt restrictions (Na < 1 mmol/kg body weight per day) are therefore needed in patients with (very) high risk for RFS to encounter this phenomenon. A fluid input of 20–25 mL/kg body weight per day is generally needed to maintain the balance. In cases of fluid and/or salt restrictions, it is important to take into account the volume and salt content of the intravenous solution (up to 6 g/L in Ringer’s lactate solution or 9 g in 0.9% NaCl solution) and the intravenously administered drugs, as well as parenteral nutrition.

**Energy**

Most studies as well as the NICE guidelines recommend starting nutritional therapy with low caloric input and increasing step by step over 5–10 days, according to the individual’s risk of RFS and clinical features. Given the small number of extant randomized studies, this approach shows the best evidence level available. It is recommended to start nutritional support with an amount of 5–15 kcal/kg body weight per day (40–60% carbohydrate, 30–40% fat and 15–20% protein), depending on the risk category.

**Micronutrients**

As mentioned, the refeeding of catabolic patients causes an intracellular shift of electrolytes and vitamins (mainly thiamine), the stores of which may be empty. Blood levels drop subsequently. Therefore, it is very important to replete electrolyte levels before the start of the nutritional therapy. This step is especially important for phosphate and thiamine. Supplementation should therefore be started in a preventive manner, even for normal phosphate levels. As fat oxidation does not require phosphate-containing products, phosphate stores of the body can be completely depleted while circulating levels are within the normal range. Frequent assessment of phosphate levels is thus mandatory before and during refeeding to avoid RFS or minimize its consequences, as hypophosphatemia plays a key role in RFS.

Thiamine administration is essential as its body stores rapidly decrease. High-dose thiamine (200–300 mg) should be given 30 minutes before the start of the refeeding, at the latest. Other vitamins should be supplemented to 200% of the recommended daily intake (RDI), and trace elements should be supplemented to 100% of the RDI.

Other electrolytes, especially magnesium and potassium, should be supplemented according to blood levels. Magnesium is mandatory for the activity of the cellular sodium–potassium pump and is therefore important for the reabsorption of potassium. Therefore, hypomagnesemia should always be corrected when present with hypokalemia; otherwise, the hypokalemia cannot be corrected, and there is a potassium refractory state.

In contrast, iron should not be supplemented within the first 7 days of nutritional support, as iron administration supports blood production, which increases potassium needs, and hypokalemia can be worsened. Further, parenteral iron substitution should be considered with caution in catabolic patients, as parenteral iron supplementation can induce and/or prolong hypophosphatemia.

If the electrolyte levels drop severely during the nutritional therapy (imminent RFS), they must be repleted adequately. In cases of manifest RFS, electrolyte repletion should be intensified, and nutritional therapy (energy and fluids) should be reduced, according to the highest risk category. Thus, clinical symptoms must be treated. Table 1 shows the possible repletion protocol.

**Table 1** Suggested supplementation regimen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potassium</th>
<th>Magnesium</th>
<th>Phosphate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild deficiency</td>
<td>3.1–3.5 mmol/L Oral replacement with 20 mmol (as KCl or other salts) or i.v. replacement with 20 mmol KCl over 4–8 hours. Check levels the next day</td>
<td>0.5–0.7 mmol/L Oral replacement with 10–15 mmol Mg chloride or Mg citrate or Mg l-aspartate Oral Mg should be given in divided doses to minimize diarrhea (absorption process is saturated at about 5–10 mmol Mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate deficiency</td>
<td>2.5–3.0 mmol/L i.v. replacement with 20–40 mmol KCl over 4–8 hours. Check levels after 8 hours, if not normal levels, give further 20 mmol KCl</td>
<td>0.32–0.6 mmol/L i.v. replacement with 0.6 mmol/kg/d PO4 (as K2PO4 or Na2PO4) over 8–12 hours. Check levels after 8–12 hours and repeat infusion if necessary (max. of 50 mmol PO4 in 24 hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe deficiency</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 mmol/L i.v. replacement with 40 mmol KCl over 4–8 hours. Check levels after 8 hours, if not normal levels, give further 40 mmol KCl</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 mmol/L i.v. replacement with 20–24 mmol MgSO4 (4–6 g) over 4–8 hours. Reassess every 8–12 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** i.v., intravenous.
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Monitoring
The main issue regarding RFS is to be aware of it, to prevent it and to be able to diagnose and treat it, as it can occur and progress rapidly in the first 72 hours after the beginning of the nutritional therapy. Intensive clinical evaluation, including vital signs and hydration status, as well as blood levels, is mandatory to detect early signs of RFS, such as organ failure and fluid overload. The body weight (or fluid balance) should be checked daily, as an increase of 0.3–0.5 kg/d (1.5 kg/week) could be a sign of pathologic water retention. Laboratory measurements of phosphate, thiamine and magnesium levels may be uncommon in the elderly population, but they are essential in the monitoring of RFS (Figure 5). Additional ECG monitoring is recommended during the first few days in patients with a very high risk for RFS or with severe electrolyte imbalance (K<2.5 mmol/L, PO₄<0.32 mmol/L, Mg<0.5 mmol/L). Severe electrolyte imbalance can potentially cause severe arrhythmia and QT prolongations up to torsade de pointes, especially in older patients.

Conclusion
Malnutrition is prevalent in the frail elderly population and is a main topic in geriatric medicine. Nutritional therapies are widely used to improve the nutritional status of malnourished catabolic patients. RFS may develop as a complication of nutritional rehabilitation, leading to severe complications and becoming potentially life-threatening, especially in the frail elderly, if not recognized in a timely manner and treated adequately. Thus, as the risk for malnutrition is associated with the risk for RFS, awareness of this condition among the medical staff treating elderly frail patients must increase. Broad randomized clinical studies evaluating nutritional therapy and RFS in elderly patients are needed.
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